ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

Design Instrument for Assessing the Accuracy of Nominal Group Translation form English into Indonesian Language with Halliday's Nominal Group Approach

Ade Sukma Mulya¹, Nur Hasyim², Sri Wahyono³

^{1, 2, 3}Politeknik Negeri Jakarta, Accounting Departement, Kampus Baru UI Depok

Abstract: The article entitled The Assessment Instrument of Nominal Group Translation. The The purpose of the research is to design an instrument that can assess the translation accuracy of the nominal group from English nominal group into Indonesian. With the existance of the instrument, the result of translation of nominal group into Bahasa can be easily assessted. The further result of the research, the instrument can function as a guidance for any people who are interested in the translation studies to assess the translation accuracy of the nominal group. According to system functional linguistics(SFL) theory, the nominal group consists of the noun head that is modified by words, phrases, and clauses and those haveeach function as classfier, ephitet, numerative, deictic and qualifier. Because the nominal group structure is complex, a translator needs to translate the nominal group carefully.

Keywords: Instrument, Nominal Group, Accuracy

1. Introduction

The accurat translation has a very important role to help people to understand various texs writen di source languages. To understand the accurate translation, the translation must be assessted. How to assesst the accuracy? The wriers designed an instrument to assesst the thanslation accuracy.

In the research, the writers are interested to design an instrument to assest translation accuracy of nominal group translation from English to Indonesian language. The writes focused on the nominal group becuase the structure of the nominal group is complext. The other reason is the structure of Inglish nominal group is different with the Indnoesian nominal group. The nominal group consists of the main thing, and the thing is modified by the other words and the words have its fuction as classifier, ephitet, numerative, and deictic. The nominal group involves noun, adjective, adjective phrase, adjective clause.

2. The Reference Study

Most of the clause consists of one long nominal group, those two splendid old electric trains with pantographs. This group consists the noun trains preceded and followed by various othe items all of them in some way characterizing the trains in question. These occur in certain sequence, and the sequence is largely fixed, although some some variation is possible (Halliday, 2014: 364)

Those	two	Splendid	Old	Electric	Trains
Deictic	Numerative	Epithet1	Epithet2	Classifier	Thing
determiner	numeral	Adjective	adjective	Adjective	Noun

Fig. Experiental structure of part a nominal group

This is an experiental structure which, taken as a whole, has the function of specifying (i) a class of things, namely *trains*, and (ii) some category of membership within this class. We shall refer to the element expessing the class by the functional lebel **Thing**(Hallidays, 2014: 364).

Categorization within the class is typically expressed by one or more of the functional elements Deictic, Numerative, Rphitet and classifier. They serve to realize terms within different systems of the system network of the nominal group (Hallidays, 2014: 364).

Explanation (Hallidays, 2014: 365):

Deictic: The deitic element indicates whether or not some specific subset of the Thing is intended; and if so, which. The nature of the Deictic is determined by the system of DETERMINATION. Here a(an) one, no, and each are non-specific determiners, and the absence of a determiner similarly marks a nominal group as non-specific when it is plural or mass, e.g. the, those, his, her, whose,, and the chief's fnction as specific ones. The specific Deictics are demontrative or possessive determinerss, or embedded possessive nominal group.

Numerative. The Numerative element indicates some numerical feature of the particular subset of the Thing: either quantity or order, either exact or in exact.

- (a) The quantifying Numeratives (or 'quantitatives') specify eithr an exact number (cardinal numerals, e.g. *two trains*) or an inexact number(e.g. *many trains*, *lots of trains*).
- (b) The ordering Numeratives (or 'ordinatives') specify either an exact place (e.g.

Ephitet. The Ephitet indicates some some quality of the subset, e.g. *old*, *long*, *blue*, *fast*; sice qualities are denoted by adjectives, Ephitet are often realized by adjectives. (i)

Volume 6 Issue 10, October 2017

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

Th quality of the subset may be an objective property of the thing itself, construed as a description of the experience of the entity that it presents; or (ii) it may be expression of the speaker's subjective attitude towards it, e.g. splendid, silly, fantastic.

Classifier. The Classifier indicates a particular subclass of the thing in question, e.g. *electric train, passenger trains, toy trains.* Sometimes the same word may be function eithr as Ephitet or as Classfier, with a difference in meaning; fast trains may mean either 'trains that go fast' (fast = ephitet) or 'trains classified as expresses' (fast = classifier). The range of semantic relations that may be embodied in a set of items functioning as Classifier is very broad; it includes mateial, scale and scope, purpose and function, status and rank, mode of operation—more or less any feature that may serve to classify a set of things into a system of smaller sets.

Thing. The Thing is the noun head

In Santosa (2003), the nominal group has Thing and modifiers. The modifiers conist of *pre-modifier*dan *post-modifier*.

D (deitik) is a definite or indefinitearticlesl, and possessive adjective.

Num (nemerative) adalah cardinal number at auordinal number. Numerative isa large number of, a pack of, a glass of dan lain-lain.

E (epitet) is adjective, present participle and past participle.

C (classifier) is adjective and gerund.

T (*thing*) is the head thing

Qualifier is post modifier of the Thing.

3. The Reseach Methodology

The reseach is related to a design of instrument to assesst the translation of the English nominal group, so the writer uses a descriptive- qualitative approach. The data of the research is nominal group taken from the text book of *Organizational Behavior* written by Stephen Robbin and its translation.

4. Result and Discussion

In this part, the writers present the scoring of translation's accuracy of the nominal group. There are three categories of grouping quality of translation; they are the accuracy of translation of the nominal group, the less accuracy of translation of the nominal group, and not-accurate translation of the nominal group.

The Score of the assessment of the nominal group translation categorized as the translation's accuracy.

No	Elemen Kelompok Nomina	Skor
1.	Noun	1
2	Classisifier/Penjenis	1
3.	Ephitet/Pendeskripsi	1
4.	Numeratif	1
5	Deictic	1/0

Explanation:

- 1) The translator is able to determine the thing accurately.
- 2) The translator is able to translate word functioned as classifier
- 3) The translator is able to translate word functioned as ephitet
- 4) The translator is able to translate word functioned as numerative.
- 5) The translator is able to translate word functioned as Deictic.

The Score of the assessment of the nominal group translation categorized as the translation's accuracy.

No	The element of nominal group	Skor
1.	Noun	1
2	Classisifier/Penjenis	0
3.	Ephitet/Pendeskripsi	1
4.	Numeratif	1
5.	Deictic	1/0

Explanation: The translator does not translate one of the elements or the translator does not translate the elements accurately.

The Score of the assessment of the nominal group translation categorized as the translation's accuracy.

No	The elements of the nominal group	Skor
1.	Noun	0
2	Classisifier	1
3.	Ephitet	1
4.	Numeratif	1
5.	Deictic	1/0

Explanation: The translator can not determine the thing as the Noun Head.

The example 1: The assessment accuracy of nominal group translation .

No	Source language	Target language
2	The planning	Fungsiperencanaanmencakupmend
	fuctionencompsses	ifinisikantujuan, menyusun strategik
	defining an organization's	eseluruhanuntukmencapaitujuanter
	goal, establishing an	sebut,
	overall strategy for	sertamengembangkanserangkainre
	achieving those goals, and	ncanauntukmengintergrasikandan
	developing a	mengordinasikan aktivitas
	comprehensive set of	
	plans to integrate and	
	cordinate activities	

The first step of the assessment of nominal group is to place the elements of the nominal group in the boxes.

An	Organization/s	Goal	establishing an overall strategy for achieving those goals, and developing a comprehensive set of plans to integrate and cordinate activities
Deictic	Clasifier	Thing	Qualifier
determiner	Noun	Noun	Present participle
Score =1	Score = 1	Score= 1	Score = 1

Volume 6 Issue 10, October 2017

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

The second step of the assessment of nominal group that has been translated into The Indonesian language is to place the elements of the nominal group in the boxes.

Sebuah	Tujuan	Organizat	yangmenetapkansebua	The
(tidak		ion was	hstrategi yang	translation is
ada)		not	menyeluruhuntukmenc	not accurate
		translated	apaisejumlahtujuan,	
			danmengembangkanse	
			perangkatperencanaanu	
			ntukmengintergrasikan	
			danmengkordinasikans	
			ejumlahaktivitas.	
Dictic	Thing	Clasifier	Qualier	The result of
				translation
Article	Noun	Noun	Present Participle	
Score 0	Score 1	Score 0	Skor 1	

After the translation of the nominal group was checked, the organization as the noun head was not translated. So, the translation of nominal group from English into Indonesian language was not accurate.

The example 2: The assessment of the accuracy of nominal group translation.

No	Bahasa Sumber		Bahasa Sasaran
2.	. Managers also act		Manajerjugabertindaksebag
	asa conduit to	1/H41/P.2	aipenyaluruntukmenghanta
	transmit information		rkaninformasikepadaparaan
	to organization		ggotaorganisasi.
	members.		

The first step of the assessment of nominal group is to place the elements of the nominal group in the boxes

Α	Conduit	to transmit information to organization members
Deictic	Thing	Qualifier
Article	Noun	To infinitive
Skor1	Skor1	Skor 1

The second step of the assessment of nominal group is to place the elements of the nominal group in the boxes

seorang(tidak ada)	Penyalur	untukmenghantarkaninformasikepad
		aparaanggotaorganisasi.
Deictic	Thing	Qualifier
Article	Noun	To infinitive
Skor 0	Skor 1	Skor1

From the boxes, deictic (article a) was not translated. Although, the article a was not translated, accordin to the above formula, the result of translation was accuare.

The example 3: The assessment of the accuracy of nominal group translation

		<u> </u>		
No	The source text	Ref.	The target text	Ref.
3	Minzberg identified	Bab1/	Minzbergmengid	Bab
	four roles that	H.40/P.	entifikasiempatp	1/H3/P.7
	require making	3	eran yang	
	choices.		membutuhkansej	
			umlah pilihan.	

The first step of the assessment of nominal group is to place the elements of the nominal group in the boxes

Four	Roles	that require making choices.
Numerative	Thing	Qualifier
Numeral	Noun	Adjective clause
Skor 1	Skor 1	Skor 1

The first step of the assessment of nominal group translation is to place the elements of the Indonesian nominal group in the boxes

Empat	Peran	yang membutuhkan sejumlah pilihan
Numerative	Thing	Qualifier
Numeral	Noun	Adjective clause
Skor 1	Skor 1	Skor 1

The English nominal group that had been translated intio The Indonesian langguage and the result is accurate

5. Conslution

From the discussion above, the writers take some consclution, as follow:

- 1) First step to assesst the accurate translation of the English nominal group is to determine the structure of the nominal group, whether it is a pre-modifier +thing, or it is a post-modifier + thing.
- 2) Second step to assesst the accurate translation is to place the elelements of English nominal group into boxes. After the elements of the English nominal group was placed into boxes according to the function of each word, then each element was scored. If the translation is accurate, the score = 1. If it is not accurate, the score is 0.
- 3) The third step to assesst the accurate translation of the Indonesian nominal group is to place the elelements of the nominal group into boxes. After the elements of the Indonesian nominal group is placed into boxes according to the function of each word, then each element is scored. If the translation is accurate, the score = 1. If it is not accurate, the score is 0.
- 4) The element of nominal group *deictic*, especially for article *a*, *an* and *the*, has no effect on the translation's accuracy of the Indonesian nominal group.

References

- [1] Baker, Mona. 2009. *Translation Studies*. New York: Rountledge
- [2] Baker, Mona, In Other Words., Prentice Hall, London Catford, JC. 1974. A Linguistic Theory of Translation. New York: Oxford University Press.
- [3] Fromkin, Victoria. *An Introduction to Language*. New south Wales: Harcourt Brace JovanovicGroup(Australia) Pty.limited.
- [4] Halliday. M.A.K. 2014. *Halliday's Introduction To Functional Grammar*. Fourth Edition. New York: Routledge
- [5] Hatim, Basil and Jeremy Munday.2004. *Translation, An Advance Resource Book*. New York: Routledge.
- [6] Malmkjaer, K. 2005. Linguistics and Language of Translation. Edinburgh: Endinburgh University Press.

Volume 6 Issue 10, October 2017

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

- [7] Martin.J.R and David Rose.2007 Working With Discourse, Meaning Beyond the Clause. Second Edition.London: Athenum Press.
- [8] Molina, L.&Hurtado.A. 2002. Transalation Techniques Revisited: A Dynamic and Functionalish Approach. Meta, Vol.47, n.4. p. 498-512. University Press.
- [9] Mulya, Ade Sukmadan Ina Sukaesih.2015.Model PenerjemahanBukuTeksBidangEkonomi. PNJ: LaporanPenelitian.
- [10] Munday, J. 2001. *Introducing TRANSLATION STUDIES*. New York: Routledge.
- [11] Munday, 2001. Theories in Translation. Prentice Hall, London
- [12] Nababan, R.2003. *TeoriTerjemahanBahasa*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- [13] Newmark, 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall.
- [14] Nida, E.A &. Taber. 1974. *The Theory and Practice of Translation*. Den Haag. Brill.
- [15] Santosa, R. 2003. Semiotikasosial :PandanganTerhadapbahasa. Surabaya: PustakaEurika& JP. Press.
- [16] Thomson, G. 2004. *Introduction to Functional Grammar*. New York: Oxford University Press Inc.
- [17] Wiratno, T. danSantosa, R. .2011.

 **PengantarLinguistikUmum.Jakarta: Universitas

 Terbuka

Reference from Journal

- [18] Manliang Li. 2014. A Systemic Functional Study of the English Nominal Group with a number of. International Journal of English Linguistics, Vol.4 No.5. Canadian Center of Science and Education.
- [19] ______2015. A Systemic Functional Study of Quantifying Selection in the English Nominal Group. Journal of Language Teaching and Research, Vol.6 No.2, pp 443-451. DOI:http://dx.dot.org/10.1750/jltr.0602.27
- [20] ______2014. A Systemic Functional Study of The English Nominal Group as Grammatical Metaphor.International Journal of Business and Social Science.Vol 5. No. 9(1).School of Foreign Languages Inner Mongolia University, China.

Volume 6 Issue 10, October 2017 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY