Effect of Cooking Temperature and Time Period on Phytochemical Content and *in vitro* Antioxidant and Anti-Inflammatory Activity of the Leaf Extracts of *Typhonium trilobatum* (A Less Focussed Edible Herbal Plant)
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Abstract: The effect of cooking temperature (100-180°C) and contact time (10-30 min) on the leaf extract of *Typhonium trilobatum* (Kharkol) was explored for phytochemical profile and *in vitro* antioxidant activity. The overall objective of this study was to assess the changes in phenolic content and antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activity of *T. trilobatum* during heating. Methodology: At each temperature/time combination, total phenolic content, total flavanoid content, total tannin content, DPPH, Hydroxyl (OH), ABTS radical scavenging assay, total antioxidant capacity assay, FRAP assay, Lipid peroxidation inhibition assay, Albumin denaturation assay were analysed. Results: Large diversity in phytochemicals content and antioxidant activity were found with temperature and time variation in comparison to crude extract (unheated). Heating increases total phenolic and antioxidant as well as anti-inflammatory capacity of the sample by liberating the phenolic compounds from their esterified or glycosylated bound form. On heating at high temperature, which is relevant to domestic frying 150-180°C for 30 min, the leaves showed highest polyphenol (194.86±25.07 mg GAE/DE), flavonoids (61.28±2.99 GAE/DE) and tannin (46.77±1.30 GAE/DE) content. Conclusion: In major cases significant increase in (in vitro) antioxidant capacity is observed on heating at 150°C for 10 min. So domestic cooking at this temperature seemed to be optimum for maximum utilization of its antioxidant or disease preventing capacity.
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1. Introduction

There are huge evidences concerning the health promoting and disease preventing potential of plant in dietary context [1, 2], and the group of compounds that appear to be key contributors to these potentials are the phytochemicals which are non nutritive, naturally occurring chemical constituents of plants. They are highly valued for their medicinal value of which most important are antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, antiallergic, antibiotic, hypoglycaemic etc. Phytochemicals, as antioxidants, are highly efficient to scavenge these free radicals and thereby inhibit the progression of many degenerative diseases of now-a-days [3]. In food industry there are lots of uses of synthetic antioxidant but these compounds are associated with toxicity and carcinogenic effect. Due to too many side effects of these synthetic antioxidants and several drugs [4], there is an increasing importance on exploration of plant material as a natural source of antioxidant. In this scenario a systematic and planned research work on plant may lead to some fruitful solutions, they are the rich source of phytochemicals. But most dietary vegetables or plants are subjected to thermal treatment in different ways according to the cooking methods and the culinary traditions of the various countries. The application of heat during household cooking encompasses a variety of processes, such as boiling, frying, steaming, baking and roasting, in traditional and microwave ovens.[5] Thermal treatment of foods induces several physical, chemical and biological modifications, leading to sensory, nutritional and textural changes. In plants, phytochemicals serve a wide range of functions, including pigmentation and light capture (e.g. anthocyanins, lycopene), defence against insects and diseases (e.g. glucosinolates). Natural phytochemicals show a large diversity of structures, ranging from fairly simple molecules to complex polymers, with or without glycosylation and/or esterification.[6] Polyphenols may be classified into different groups depending on the number of phenol rings and the structural components that bind them together. Four main classes are (i) phenolic acids, (ii) flavonoids, (iii) stilbenes, and (iv) lignans.[7] Their antioxidant activity depends on many structural factors such as number and position of the hydroxyl groups and the degrees of glycosylation, esterification, and polymerization.[8] These compounds behave differently upon processing. In a recent study [9] with *T. trilobatum* it has been reported that these are precious source of nutrients and phytochemicals in comparison to many expensive commonly used daily leafy vegetables. Further HPLC analysis [10] with these samples established the presence of potential phytochemicals. Since these samples are edible less focussed herbal leafy vegetables, they need cooking or heating before consumption. So, the aim of this work was to analyse the effect of different cooking temperature and time period on the phytochemical content of sample leaf under discussion. To restrict the investigation area, only domestic cooking...
In spite of having potential antioxidant activity, the edible plant leaf remains less focused in our daily diet due to lack of scientific information. In a previous work [9, 10], it has been shown that it is a potent source of nutrients and phytochemicals. To get the beneficial effect it is important to include it into our daily diet with knowledge of the sustaining beneficial effect of phytochemicals due to cooking. Lack of work on such aspect on \textit{Typhonium trilobatum} insisted the authors to study the effect of different high temperature (100ºc, 150ºc and 180ºc) and different cooking duration (10, 20, 30min) which are relevant to usual domestic cooking on phytochemical content and their antioxidant potential. Hopefully this will be helpful for future studies on related aspects.

2. Materials and Method

2.1 Chemicals

2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonate) (ABTS), 2,4,6-tri(2-pyridyl)- s-triazine (TPTZ),FeCl3 6H2O [ferric chloride hexahydrate], 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), Folin–Ciocalteu reagent, quercetin, gallic acid, catechin were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, Wis., U.S.A.) NaNO2, AlCl3, NaOH, Na2CO3, ammonium acetate, glacial acetic acid, acetyl acetone, ascobic acid, Na2PO4, ammonium molybdate, FeSO4, Tricloroacetic acid, Thiobarbituric acid were supplied by E. Merck India.

2.2 Identification and authentication of samples:

Whole plant of \textit{Typhonim trilobatum} was submitted to the Herbarium of Calcutta University, Kolkata. One week later its voucher specimen was collected after its identification (Accession No. 20012) which was identified and authenticated by taxonomist of the Calcutta University Herbarium, Kolkata.

2.3 Sample Collection

The plant \textit{Typhonium trilobatum} was collected from different district of west Bengal as well as from different local market.

2.4 Sample preparation

The leaves were shade dried for 3-4 days and grounded into powder and stored for further study.

2.5 Determination of total polyphenol content

To measure the total polyphenol content, Folin–Ciocalteu assay was employed [18]. 0.2 mL of 80% methanolic extract of samples (1mg/ml) was added with 1 mL of Folin–Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent (10 fold diluted). 0.8 mL of 2% Na2CO3 and 60% methanol were added successively. Then the reaction mixture was incubated at room temperature for 30 min and were spectrophotometrically analysed at 740 nm. The calibration curve was plotted using gallic acid (20–100 mg/ml) as standard and the result of polyphenol content was represented as mg of gallic acid equivalent per g of dry extract.

2.6 Determination of flavonoid content

Aluminium chloride method [19] was used to quantify total flavonoid content. An aliquot of extracts (0.1ml) or the standard solution of quercetin (20 to 100 mg/ml) was added to a 10 ml volumetric flask containing 4 ml of distilled water, 0.3 ml 5% NaNO2 was added. After 5 min, 0.3 ml 10% AlCl3 was added. At 6th min, 2 ml 1 M NaOH was added and the total volume was made up to 10 ml with distilled water. The absorbance was measured at 510 nm and the results were expressed as mg of quercetin equivalent per g of dry extract.

2.7 Determination of Total Hydrolysable Tannin Content (HTC)

Content of tannins was determined by Folin-Denis method [20].0.5gm of dry sample was added to 75 ml of distilled water, boiled for 30 minutes. Then it was centrifuged. The supernatant liquid was collected and made up to the volume of 100ml by distilled water. 0.1ml of this solution, 7.5ml of water, 0.5ml of Folin-Denis reagent and 1ml of 35% Na2CO3 were added to it. The volume was made up to 10ml by using distilled water and shaken well. After incubation for 30 minutes at room temperature, the absorbance was measured at 700nm against a tannic acid standard calibration curve (20-100mg/ml).

2.8 FRAP Assay

FRAP values were evaluated by the method of Benzie and Strain [21]. To prepare working FRAP reagent, 50 ml of 300 mM acetate buffer (pH-3.6) was mixed with 5 ml of 40 mM TPTZ (2, 4, 6-tripyridyl-s-triazine) dissolved in 40 mM HCl and 5 ml of 20 mM FeCl3. 100 μg of extract was added to 3 ml of freshly prepared working FRAP reagent. The absorbance at 593 nm was measured immediately and after 4 min of incubation at 37 ºC. The change in absorbance was recorded as the final absorbance. For plotting calibration curve, FeSO4.7H2O was used as standard at various concentrations (100-500 μM/l). The ferric reducing ability of sample was expressed as FRAP value (μM of Fe2+ equivalent).
2.9 DPPH radical scavenging activity

DPPH (2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) radical scavenging activity was evaluated by the method of Hsu et al., 2007 [22] with minor modifications. 3 ml of 0.1 mM DPPH solution was mixed with 1 ml of various concentrations (100 to 300 µg/ml) of leaf extract. The mixture was shaken vigorously and incubated at room temperature for 30 min in the dark. The reduction of the DPPH free radical was measured by reading the absorbance at 517 nm by a spectrophotometer against methanol blank. The solution without any extract and with DPPH and methanol was used as control. The percentage inhibition DPPH radical was calculated as: 

\[
\text{Percentage inhibition of DPPH radical} = \left(1 - \frac{\text{Absorbance of sample}}{\text{Absorbance of control}}\right) \times 100.
\]

IC50 value of each sample was determined from the graph between sample concentration and the percentage of DPPH radical inhibition.

2.10 Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity

To evaluate the hydroxyl radical scavenging activity, the method as described by Singh [23] was used. Different concentrations (50, 100 and 150 µg) of sample extracts were taken separately in tubes. 1 ml of Iron EDTA (0.1% ferrous ammonium sulphate and 0.26% EDTA) was mixed with 0.5 ml of EDTA (0.018%) and 1 ml of DMSO (0.85% in 0.1 M phosphate buffer with pH 7.4). To commence the reaction 0.5 ml of ascorbic acid (0.22%) was added and held in a water bath at 80 – 90 °C for 15 min. 1 ml of ice cold TCA was added to stop the reaction. 3ml of Nash reagent (75 g of ammonium acetate was mixed with 3 ml of glacial acetic acid and 2 ml of acetyl aceton and the volume was made upto 1 L with distilled water) was finally added and incubated for 15 min at room temperature for yellow colour development. Then the absorbance was taken at 412 nm against reagent blank. Percentage of hydroxyl radical scavenging activity was calculated as follows: 

\[
\text{Percentage inhibition of hydroxyl radical} = \left(1 - \frac{\text{Absorbance of sample}}{\text{Absorbance of control}}\right) \times 100.
\]

The hydroxyl radical scavenging activity is expressed as EC50.

2.11 Total antioxidant activity by the phosphomolybdenum method

The total antioxidant activities of the plant leaf extract were evaluated by the phosphomolybdenum complex formation method [24]. 100-300µg different concentration of each leaf extract were added to test tube containing 3 ml of distilled water and mixed with 1 ml of reagent solution (0.6 M H2SO4, 28 mM Na2PO4 and 4 mM ammonium molybdate).The vials were capped and incubated in water bath at 95 °C for 90 minutes. After, cooling, the absorbance was measured at 695 nm against the reagent blank. The antioxidant activity is measured against an ascorbic acid calibration curve.

2.12 In vitro lipid peroxidation inhibition assay

Freshly excised goat liver was processed to get 10% homogenate in cold phosphate buffer saline, pH- 7.4 using homogenizer and filtered to get clear homogenate. The degree of lipid peroxidation was assessed by TBARS standard method [25] with minor modification [26]. Different concentration (100-300 µg) of crude leaf extract was added to liver homogenate. Lipid peroxidation was initiated by adding 100µl of 15mM FeSO4 solution to 3ml of tissue homogenate. After 30 min, 100 µl of this reaction mixture was taken in a tube containing 1.5 ml of 10% TCA. After 10 min tubes were centrifuged and supernatant was separated and mixed with 1.5 ml of 0.67% TBA in 50% acetic acid. The mixture was heated for 30 min in boiling water bath. The intensity of pink coloured complex formed was measured at 535 nm. A control was prepared without any sample. The percentage inhibition was calculated by using the formula below.

\[
\text{Percentage inhibition of lipid peroxidation} = \left(1 - \frac{\text{Absorbance of sample}}{\text{Absorbance of control}}\right) \times 100.
\]

The results were expressed as EC50 Value.

2.13 Inhibition of albumin denaturation

Method of Mizushima et al [27] was followed with minor modifications. The reaction mixture, consisting of test extract at different concentrations (100-300µg) and 1% aqueous solution of bovine albumin fraction were incubated at 37°C for 20 min and then heated at 51°C for 20 min. After cooling the samples, the turbidity was measured spectrophotometrically at 660 nm. Percent inhibition of protein denaturation was calculated as follows and IC50 value was calculated.

\[
\text{Percentage inhibition} = \left(\frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100.
\]

The results were expressed as IC50 Value.

2.14 Determination of ABTS+ scavenging activity

For ABTS assay, the method of Dimitrina (2010) and Roberta (1999) with some modifications was followed [28, 29]. ABTS was dissolved in water to make a concentration of 7 mmol/L. ABTS+ was produced by reacting the ABTS stock solution with 2.45 mmol/L potassium persulfate and allowing the mixture to stand in the dark at room temperature for 12–16 h before use.

For the test of samples, the ABTS+ stock solution was diluted with 80% methanol to an absorbance of 0.70 ± 0.02 at 734 nm. 4.85 ml of diluted ABTS+ was added to 0.15 ml of samples solution of different con. (100-300 µg), and the absorbance was taken 6 min after the initial mixing. BHT (0.1 mg/ml) was used as standard. The activities of the samples were evaluated by comparison with a control (containing 4.85 ml of ABTS solution and 0.15 ml of 80% Methanol). This activity is given as percentage ABTS+ scavenging that is calculated by the following formula:

\[
\text{ABTS+ scavenging activity} = \left(\frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100.
\]

The results were expressed as EC50.

3. Statistical Analysis

Statistical Analysis was performed with help of SPSS 17. Descriptive statistical analysis was performed to calculate
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the means with corresponding standard deviation (SD). Also One Way Analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc Tukey’s test was performed to compare the mean values. P<0.05 was taken to be statistically significant.

**4. Result and Discussion**

**Table 1:** Comparison of Yield percentage and Phytochemical content of T. trilobatum at different high temperature and time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp  ºC</th>
<th>Time(min)</th>
<th>Yield percentages %gm</th>
<th>Total polyphenol content mg/g extract</th>
<th>Total flavonoid content mg/g extract</th>
<th>Total tannin content mg/g extract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.83±.39</td>
<td>106.65±3.99</td>
<td>40.77±6.36</td>
<td>17.17±0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29±.2.6</td>
<td>133.17±6.86</td>
<td>50.31±5.97</td>
<td>67.61±4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.5±.79</td>
<td>136.96±6.10</td>
<td>52.05±3.20</td>
<td>39.64±0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36.67±.65</td>
<td>136.34±3.34</td>
<td>46.99±6.28</td>
<td>29.84±1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30.17±.72</td>
<td>144.88±8.85</td>
<td>55.27±5.35</td>
<td>41.34±0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19.58±.79</td>
<td>147.43±2.17</td>
<td>54.73±4.73</td>
<td>38.37±0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22.50±.79</td>
<td>137.25±3.43</td>
<td>48.72±7.82</td>
<td>34.41±1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33.67±.65</td>
<td>160.68±10.83</td>
<td>61.28±2.99</td>
<td>46.77±1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.58±.67</td>
<td>194.86±25.07</td>
<td>59.44±2.59</td>
<td>30.35±1.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are represented as mean ± SD. Values followed by different superscript letter(s) within each column are significantly different at P < 0.05 by Tukey test.

This table shows that there is wide variation in the yield percentages of leaf extract of Kharkol with change of temperature and time duration. The highest yield percentage (36.67±.65 gm) was noticed at 100°C (20 min) for Kharkol leaves.

The results suggest the sample is potential source of polyphenol but the rise of temperature and increase of time duration showed a sharp upward trend and the results suggest that high temperature 180ºc and long time duration (30min) are optimum for polyphenol extraction. It is supported by previous work where Naeem et al., 2012, [30] stated that temperature has significant influence on the phenolic content, where the highest content was reported at the highest temperature due to the increase in both the solubility of the solute and diffusion coefficient. Three possible mechanisms have been proposed to explain the changes of phenolic content of samples exposed to high temperature [31] these mechanisms include the release of bound phenolic compounds, the partial degradation of lignin leading to the release of phenolic acid derivatives, and the beginning of thermal degradation of the phenolic compounds. Resistance to high temperature against thermal degradation may be due to higher content of condensed tannin. The increase in total polyphenol content may be explained by the liberation of phenolic compounds [32].

A significant increase in TFC was observed when the sample was heated up to 180ºc. The highest TFC, (61.28±2.99 mg/g extract) was revealed at a heating temperature of (150ºc) for 30 min compared to the unheated raw. It is supported by previous study where Sathishkumar et al., 2008,[33] showed that at higher temperatures, flavonoids diffused more quickly from the cell to the extracting solvent.

Tannin content showed an upward trend with rise of temperature up to 150ºC and 30 min duration for Kharkol leaves. Highest value (46.77±1.30 mg/g extract) observed at this temperature and time period.

**Table 2:** In vitro antioxidant assay at different temperature and time period of Typhonium trilobatum leaf extract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp  ºC</th>
<th>Time(min)</th>
<th>DPPH IC50 value µg</th>
<th>ABTS IC50 value µg</th>
<th>OH IC50 value µg</th>
<th>LPIC50 value µg</th>
<th>FRAP mEq Fe⁺ equivalent</th>
<th>TAC mg/g</th>
<th>AD IC50 value µg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td></td>
<td>425.82±28.65</td>
<td>176.01±6.18</td>
<td>1406.73±100.9</td>
<td>1347.10±49.39</td>
<td>546.62±19.38</td>
<td>179.02±16.48</td>
<td>168.09±1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>409.86±36.53</td>
<td>141.66±42.73</td>
<td>344.12±27.08</td>
<td>725.37±36.67</td>
<td>612.61±71.25</td>
<td>224.00±11.55</td>
<td>87.64±1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>254.99±22.40</td>
<td>100.50±14.59</td>
<td>179.04±25.27</td>
<td>321.32±38.10</td>
<td>1073.36±128.69</td>
<td>258.11±29.88</td>
<td>82.44±1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>279.78±19.86</td>
<td>162.88±18.60</td>
<td>493.16±32.98</td>
<td>350.15±21.00</td>
<td>970.08±71.66</td>
<td>228.26±16.77</td>
<td>112.41±2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>412.84±33.54</td>
<td>120.17±35.81</td>
<td>366.60±16.61</td>
<td>377.91±35.02</td>
<td>685.46±118.03</td>
<td>249.65±99.99</td>
<td>106.15±1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>333.10±25.67</td>
<td>105.79±19.16</td>
<td>244.98±9.58</td>
<td>352.24±13.00</td>
<td>911.10±78.39</td>
<td>279.69±32.27</td>
<td>108.08±1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>349.32±41.40</td>
<td>163.97±32.01</td>
<td>518.52±26.70</td>
<td>440.46±8.32</td>
<td>880.65±100.82</td>
<td>232.39±15.95</td>
<td>134.30±2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>361.48±20.96</td>
<td>108.98±33.25</td>
<td>388.42±11.04</td>
<td>461.72±23.13</td>
<td>697.14±62.48</td>
<td>263.72±9.95</td>
<td>130.62±2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>340.14±26.51</td>
<td>130.01±33.45</td>
<td>261.26±9.39</td>
<td>814.29±34.19</td>
<td>847.90±46.42</td>
<td>286.39±28.00</td>
<td>109.61±2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>373.92±27.68</td>
<td>180.09±40.91</td>
<td>1024.92±43.87</td>
<td>1004.52±44.52</td>
<td>847.00±61.34</td>
<td>309.23±27.03</td>
<td>244.86±2.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are represented as mean ± SD. Values followed by different superscript letter(s) within each column are significantly different at P < 0.05 by Tukey test.

DPPH is stable nitrogen centered free radical and is extensively used for determining antioxidant activity. DPPH assay measures hydrogen (or electron) donating ability of the samples thereby changing DPPH radical from purple to yellow colour and converting it to its reduced form [34]. The results were expressed as IC50 value so, lower IC50 values indicates better free radical (DPPH) scavenger. Result showed that the samples are able to scavenge DPPH with respect to BHT standard (IC50 28.19±1.80µg). 150 º C-10 min time-temp variations proved to be the optimum
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condition in case of Kharkol leaves, to obtain its highest antioxidant activity (254.99±22.40(μg)). As temperature was increased, the scavenging potential of Kharkol leaves showed linear trend up to 150°C and the trend broke at 180°C. But time duration had significant effect on the antioxidant activity as it continued to increase orderly with time for 100°C but reverse effect observed after 150°C.

ABTS is also frequently used by the food industry and agricultural researchers to measure the antioxidant capacities of foods. In this assay, ABTS is converted to its radical cation by addition of potassium persulfate. This radical cation is blue in colour and absorbs light at 734 nm. The ABTS radical cation is reactive towards most antioxidants including phenolics, thiols and ascorbic acid. During this reaction, the blue ABTS radical cation is converted back to its colourless neutral form. Though, the sample leaf extracts is able to scavenge ABTS radical cation in terms of EC50, Kharkol leaf extract showed highest activity (100.50±14.59 μg) at 150°C (10min). Temperature increase up to 150°C revealed positive effect on the the ability of scavenging radical but further increase in temperature and time length from 10-30 min (except 100°C) decreased the ability.

Hydroxyl radical is known to cause lipid peroxidation and cellular damage in significant proportions by removing hydrogen atoms from unsaturated fatty acids. Hydroxyl radicals generated by ascorbic acid, iron and EDTA inside in vitro system are reduced significantly due to the hydroxyl radical scavenging activity of samples. It is formed as a consequence of oxidation reaction with DMSO to yield formaldehyde. This was detected by treatment with Nash reagent [35]. EC50 value of ascorbic acid which is used as standard is 72.50±1.14μg Study suggest that in raw condition ascorbic acid could be destroyed in high temperature approach [36]. Increase in temperature (309.23±27.03) is observed for Kharkol leaves at 180°C (30min).

Protein Denaturation is a process in which proteins lose their tertiary and secondary structure by application of external stress due to the presence of compounds like strong acid, a sample was able to scavenge hydroxyl radical but with increasing temperature Kharkol became more potent both at 100°C (10min) and 150°C (10min, 20min, 30min). Further increase of temperature 180°C and time duration (10min – 20min – 30min) resulted in decrease of activity.

Lipid peroxidation is the oxidative degaration of PUFA and involves formation of lipid radicals leading to membrane damage. Free radicals induce lipid peroxidation in PUFA rich areas like brain and liver [36]. Initiation of lipid peroxidation by FeSO₄ takes place through hydroxy radical by Fenton reaction[37]. The inhibition could be caused by absence of ferryl-perferryl complex or by scavenging hydroxy radical or superoxide radical or by changing the Fe³⁺/Fe⁺ or by reducing the rate of conversion of ferrous to ferric or by chelating the iron itself. Present result show that the leaf extract has potential to inhibit the generation of lipid peroxides with respect to BHT standard (IC₅₀ 60.67(μg)), The highest potentials exhibited (321.32±38.10) at 150°C (10min).

FRAP assay is based on the capability of the sample to reduce the Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ in the presence of TPTZ, forming a blue colour Ferrous - TPTZ complex with an absorption maxima at 595 nm [38]. The mean FRAP values, expressed as Fe (II) (μM)/g of dry extract. The highest value of sample (1073.36±126.89) was obtained at 150°C for 10 min. So the reducing capacity of heated samples was better than raw samples upto 150 °C temperature.

Table 3: Correlation matrix (Pearson’s correlation coefficient) between various mean values of antioxidant assay of Kharkol leaf extract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FRAP</th>
<th>TAN</th>
<th>POLY</th>
<th>TAC</th>
<th>FLAV</th>
<th>DPPH</th>
<th>ABTS</th>
<th>LP</th>
<th>AL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>.681*</td>
<td>.587*</td>
<td>.693*</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>-.726*</td>
<td>-.331*</td>
<td>-.411*</td>
<td>-.057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLY</td>
<td>.530*</td>
<td>.587*</td>
<td>.739*</td>
<td>.306*</td>
<td>-.732*</td>
<td>.225*</td>
<td>-.003</td>
<td>.532*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC</td>
<td>.468*</td>
<td>.693*</td>
<td>.739*</td>
<td>.103</td>
<td>-.630*</td>
<td>-.217*</td>
<td>-.204*</td>
<td>.205*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAV</td>
<td>.089</td>
<td>.506*</td>
<td>.103</td>
<td>.444</td>
<td>.502*</td>
<td>.475*</td>
<td>.571*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPH</td>
<td>-.585*</td>
<td>-.726*</td>
<td>-.732*</td>
<td>-.630*</td>
<td>-.444*</td>
<td>-.030</td>
<td>-.002</td>
<td>-.253*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABTS</td>
<td>-.110</td>
<td>-.331*</td>
<td>-.225*</td>
<td>-.217*</td>
<td>.502*</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>.504*</td>
<td>.519*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP</td>
<td>-.373*</td>
<td>-.411*</td>
<td>-.003</td>
<td>-.204*</td>
<td>.475*</td>
<td>-.002</td>
<td>.504*</td>
<td>.624*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.507*</td>
<td>.532*</td>
<td>.205*</td>
<td>.571*</td>
<td>.253*</td>
<td>.519*</td>
<td>.624*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>-.311*</td>
<td>-.493*</td>
<td>-.033</td>
<td>-.341*</td>
<td>.552*</td>
<td>.202*</td>
<td>.548*</td>
<td>.827*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level. ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

Result suggest that there is strong correlation (significant at 0.01 level) between the antioxidant assay in term of DPPH, FRAP, total antioxidant capacity Albumin denaturation (in vitro anti-inflammatory assay), and the polyphenol content of Kharkol. A high correlation is observed between DPPH, FRAP, ABTS, Lipid peroxidation, OH radical scavenging...
potential and Tannin. A correlation is also observed between the DPPH and flavonoid content.

5. Conclusion

The result of TPC and TFC content, together with the DPPH, ABTS, OH radical scavenging ability, lipid peroxidation, albumin denaturation and FRAP reducing potential, all demonstrated that *T. trilobatum* is rich in polyphenolic products with high antioxidant activity against various free radicals. In a previous scientific study of the authors, the polyphenolic profile of the leaf extract also showed the presence of specific phytochemicals such as quercetin, catechin and gallic acid. The application of heat at temperatures and times similar to domestic boiling, sautéing, frying showed that higher heating temperatures (150-180°C) and shorter heating period caused an increase in the antioxidant capability of the sample. This study intends to highlight the valuable fact that only simple domestic cooking temperature brings about increase in disease preventing capacity and this does not require any techniques which are followed in pharma ceutical industry. Both polyphenol and flavonoid content have been observed to possess strong positive correlations with the antioxidant activities determined by DPPH, ABTS, OH radical, FRAP lipid peroxidation and albumin denaturation (marker of in vitro anti-inflammatory assay) and the antioxidant activity of the sample is observed to be related to the presence of those particular compounds. Cooking for short time does not impact any negative effect on its valuable phytochemical content rather than these results indicate that thermal effect has positive impact on its antioxidant potentials. From the findings of present work, the highest activity for Kharkol leaves was observed at 150°C (with few exceptions). So cooking at 150°C, which is relevant to domestic sautéing and frying for 10 minutes is recommended for maximum utilisation of its antioxidant potential. Since the phytochemicals of sample are not heat sensitive, further extensive work on them may link to a route to a horizon of drug development.
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