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Abstract: Background: Acquiescence with policies and procedures of measures of patients’ safety need to be extremely respected and practices by all the health care practitioners, though decisive staff adherence towards all the standards of patients’ safety. Objective: To assess the level of awareness Towards Measures of Patients Safety among Restorative Staff at Selected Dental clinics, Saudi Arabia. Method: A cross-sectional survey was conducted among restorative staff at selected dental clinics, Saudi Arabia. A 28-item self-administered questionnaire was provided to 150 restorative staff in the research setting to assess their level of awareness towards measures of patients’ safety. Results: Approximately more than one third of the participants (40.0%) were not aware about measures of patients’ safety. Furthermore, majority of the participants (78.7%) which revealed a highly statistically significantly difference (P<0.0001) were replied that they were adequacy of protective equipments within the current research setting. Concerning the assessment for the level of awareness towards measures of patients’ safety. The current study results showed that majority of the participants were showed a high level of awareness towards measures of patients’ safety. (86.0%) which represent highly significance differences (P<0.0001). Conclusions: The current study results revealed that there were high levels of awareness Towards Measures of Patients Safety among Restorative Staff at Selected Dental clinics, Saudi Arabia.
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1. Introduction

Measures of patients’ safety are meant to reduce the risk of transmission of blood borne and other pathogens from both recognized and unrecognized sources. They are the basic level of infection control precautions which are to be used, as a minimum, in the care of all patients. (Siegel et al., 2007). According to National Communicable Disease Center, universal precautions is designed to prevent the transmission of blood borne diseases such as human immune deficiency virus, hepatitis B, and other blood borne pathogens when first aid or health care is provided. Under Universal Precautions, blood and certain body fluids of all patients are considered potentially infectious.

Measures of patients’ safety were initially developed in 1987 by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in the United States and in 1989 by the Bureau of Communicable Disease Epidemiology in Canada. The Precautions include specific recommendations for use of gloves, gowns, masks, and protective eyewear when contact with blood or body secretions containing blood is anticipated. (Al-Saigul, Fontaine, Haddad, 2002)

Health care workers in particular dental restorative staff is at risk of acquiring infection through professional exposure to Infectious diseases. The minority studies have reported on dental restorative staff adherence towards universal precautions and reported lack of adequate practices in relation to compliance towards the personnel protective equipments. (Janjua, et al., 2007).

Exposure to particular health hazards are expected to influence definite high-risk for all the health care providers. All the health care workers especially the medical staff who are working in surgical units and Operation Theater are more required to have a reason of a better understanding in adherence with PPE usage which is significant as it provides an assessment of the efficacy of accessible preventative strategies. This could then assist to recognize the preventive variables which are likely to improve the compliance and decrease the risk of infection transmission. Then, it is possible to integrate these preventative approaches into the strategies of health care setting. (Colodner, et al., 2003 & Taneja, & 2010)

Measures of patients’ safety is the only approach so that all these infections could be prevented. Inadequate experience of dental restorative staff in performing invasive procedures, they are at particular risk of exposure to blood-borne pathogens (Chopra, et al., 2008). Dental restorative staff should have reasonable knowledge and performance in relation to adherence to personnel protective equipments. Additionally, Elliott et al. (2005), reported that dedicated training must be conducted before a dental restorative staff caring for any patient procedure particularly the ones concerning sharp devices. Physicians’ compliance towards the personnel protective equipments has been reported to be with low rate. (Jawaid, Iqbal & Shahbaz, 2009).

Hazards caused by non adherence to universal precautions by the health care providers, statistics reported by the Central Register of Occupational Diseases in Poland indicates that among 314 new cases of occupational diseases in HCWs in 2005, HBV and HCV represented 42.6% of all cases.9 Despite the substantial reduction in HBV infection since vaccination was introduced in 1989, the incidence of HCV hepatitis in Poland is still on the increase in this occupational group. (Wacawik, siorowski & Inglot, 2003) & Wilczyn, et al., (2005).
Measures of patients' safety consciousness education has not been prominent among health care workers especially the category of dental restorative staff, particularly in developing countries. To the best of our knowledge, the awareness and standardized practices with universal precautions among dental restorative staff. We, therefore, conducted this study to assess the levels of knowledge and awareness towards universal precautions among dental restorative staff during their duties at the University Hospital of the King Abdul-Aziz University, Saudi Arabia.

2. Participants and Methods

This study was conducted in February, 2016 at the Selected Dental clinics, Saudi Arabia. The study was granted ethical approval by the Administrative Committee within the selected setting. The participants were selected from the Departments of Dental Restorative after signing an informed written consent form; the questionnaire was given to each participant. Before administration of the questionnaire, the purpose of the study was explained to each respondent and confidentiality of the information assured.

The research was carried out by one of the author who were appropriately trained in administering the informed consent and the self-report questionnaire to the health care workers. In this cross-sectional study, a structured questionnaire prepared by the authors, was administered to the participants. A 28-item self-administered structured questionnaire about awareness of measures of patient safety was devised de novo and tested. It included a full range of response options, designed to identify the practitioner’s level of awareness of measures of patient safety in the selected setting. Prior to distribution of the questionnaire, a pilot study was done on a selective group of health care workers who were asked to fill out the questionnaire and return it back with their comments and criticism. Minor changes were then made to the final instrument.

The initial part of the questionnaire consisted of demographic information such as occupation, age, gender, and the marital status. The second part of the questionnaire comprised of questions regarding their awareness of measures of patient safety. This part also assessed awareness of policies regarding awareness of measures of patient safety. It took approximately 15 minutes to complete each questionnaire.

The participants’ results concerning the assessment of the levels of awareness of measures of patient safety, there were three levels for answers high level, medium level and high level. The level of awareness of measures of patient safety by examining questions about: use of protective barriers such as gloves and gown, mask and protective goggles.

The data were coded and analyzed by SPSS® for Windows® ver. 12.0. Strict confidentiality was maintained. All the data were stored in computers at a secured location, with access provided only to the researchers involved in the study. The \( \chi^2 \) test was used to test association between categorical variables. A p value <0.05 (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant

3. Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Percentage of the level of Awareness of Measures of Patient Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>levels of awareness of measures of patient safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of measures of patient safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of Measures of Patient Safety concerning Provision of Protective Equipments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of awareness towards Universal Precaution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 showed that approximately more than one third of the participants (40.0%) were not aware about measures of patients’ safety. Furthermore, majority of the participants (78.7%) which revealed a highly statistically significantly difference (P<0.001) were replied that they were adequacy of protective equipments within the current research setting. Concerning the assessment for the level of awareness towards measures of patients’ safety. The current study results showed that majority of the participants were showed a high level of awareness towards measures of patients’ safety. (86.0%) which represent highly significance differences (P<0.001).

4. Discussion

The current study findings represent that majority of the participants were showed a high Level of adherence towards Awareness of Measures of Patient Safety concerning Provision of Protective Equipments (86.0%) which represent highly significance differences (P=0.0001). This results consistent with the study results carried out by Sadoh, et al, (2006) who reported that more than two third of his study sample had a high level of compliance in relation to protective equipments. Moreover, these study results is congruent with the study findings of Odujurin, Adegoke & Clin (2013), which revealed that , reported frequent use of protective gears such as gloves, eyewear, masks and aprons. More women than men reported using protective gears most times with significantly more nurses reported frequent use followed by medical technologists and medical doctors. Although , this study results is incongruent with the study results of According to Jawaid, Iqbal & Shahbaz , (2009) who reported that among medical doctors working in a tertiary care hospital in Pakistan, compliance for hand washing was 86%, for wearing gloves was 79%, masks 46%, eye goggle 25% and for using gowns/plastic aprons was 45%.35 However, there is sometimes a high rate of non-compliance among health care workers and this may be due to a lack of understanding among health care workers of how to properly use protective barriers. Although, according to Danchavijitr, Tantiwatanapaiboon & Chokloikaew (2005), who found that the use of personal protective equipment was somewhat favorable, the concern among most of the health
care workers, particularly the porters, was that the provision of protective gears was inadequate which will interfere with the health care providers to provide personal protective equipment and the appropriate training for the correct use. Furthermore, improvement in safety equipment is needed to better protect health care workers from exposure to blood-borne pathogens.

Furthermore the study results revealed that majority of the participants (78.7%)* were showed that there was a high level concerning the adequacy in relation to the provision of protective equipments which represent highly significance differences (P<0.0001).According the standards, policies & procedures of infection control, recommendations for protection against viral hepatitis(1985), and the recommendations for preventing transmission of infection with human T-lymphotropic virus type III/lymphadenopathy-associated virus in the workplace(1985), it was stated that availability of supplies and awareness programs for these standard precautions are among the main rules and regulations for better compliance.

In this study, Concerning the assessment for the level of awareness towards universal precautions the current study results showed that majority of the participants were showed a high level of awareness towards universal precautions (86.0%)* which represent highly significance differences (P<0.0001). These results congruent with the study results carried out by Beltrami (2000) and the study done by Wang, Chen & Liu (2010), who concluded that respondents who had a high level of awareness towards universal precautions. Furthermore, these study results consistent with the findings reported by Orji (2002), who concluded that non-compliance among medical doctors and nurses are associated with insufficient knowledge, workload, forgetfulness, workplace safety and the insight that colleagues also failed to follow.
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