International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2013): 6.14 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

Establishment of a New Rat Model of Alzheimer's Disease Using Copper Sulfate

Ashraf Khaled Awaad^{1, 2}, Hassan Fayed², Passainte Hassan³

¹Center of Excellence for Research in Regenerative Medicine and its Application (CERRMA), Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

²Department of Biochemistry, Medical Research Institute (MRI), Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt ³Department of physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

> Corresponding Author: Ashraf Khaled Awaad dr_ashraf201286[at]yahoo.com (+2) 01142912623

Abstract: Copper is of great importance for the normal brain. Excess of copper results in neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease (AD). The pathological hallmarks of AD are the amyloid- β (A β) peptides. A β peptides have been shown to bind copper with high affinity. We established a new rat model of AD by using copper sulfate (CuSO₄) solution with several doses and for different periods of time. The rats were randomly divided into normal control groups and AD groups. All rats underwent behavioral, histopathological and biochemical evaluations. The results of behavioral test revealed a significant improvement in the normal control groups. Conversely, AD groups showed impairments in the cognitive function as well as spatial memory with several degrees according to the duration and dose of CuSO₄ administration. The precise dose of CuSO₄ that showed the most cognitive deficits was 6 mg/L for eight weeks. Histochemistry staining revealed neuronal abnormalities in the brain sections of AD groups with several degrees. Biochemical analysis demonstrated that the level of γ -secretase was highest at a dose 6 mg/L CuSO₄ for eight weeks, conversely, the level of a-secretase was lowest at the same dose. We conclude that copper appeared to have a role in the formation of senile plaques, the hall mark of AD. According to these issues, we established a new rat model of AD using CuSO₄ solution.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease; Amyloid- β (A β) peptides, Object recognition test, Morris water maze (MWM) test, α -secretase, γ -secretase.

1.Introduction

AD is a progressive disease, it occurs over a long period before the onset of symptoms which are impaired memory, apathy, and depression [1]. The characteristics of AD consist in neurofibrillary tangles (intraneuronal aggregates of hyperphosphorylated tau proteins) and senile plaques [dense extraneuronal deposits composed of amyloid β (A β)]. The other features linked to these two core pathological hallmarks of AD are inflammation, oxidative stress, progressive synaptic, and neuronal loss. Although a myriad of evidence shows that the hippocampal volume decrease belongs to the AD earliest signs, as it is pointed out by the authors of the review paper presented in this issue, this element clearly could not be used as a diagnostic criterion [1].

Aß peptides are generated by interplay of various secretases cleaving amyloid precursor protein (APP) [2]. Crucial steps in APP processing occur at the cell surface and in the trans-Golgi network TGN. From the TGN, APP can be transported to the cell surface or directly to an endosomal compartment. On the cell surface, APP can be proteolyzed directly by α -secretase and then γ -secretase, a process that does not generate A β , or reinternalized in clathrin-coated pits into another endosomal compartment containing the proteases β -secretase and γ -secretase. Although most APP must pass through the cell surface as part of its processing, this step is very rapid, as little APP is on the surface at any point in time. Why some surface APP is internalized into endosomes and some proteolyzed directly by a-secretase is unclear, although segregation of APP and β -secretase into lipid rafts may be a crucial element [3], [4].

Disbalance of some metal ions, especially zinc and copper, is thought to play an important role in the pathogenesis of many neurodegenerative diseases including multisystem atrophy, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Parkinson's, and Alzheimer's diseases [5]. The role of copper in the pathogenesis of AD is much less clear than the role of zinc; though it was revealed that copper ions as well as zinc ions are colocalized with A β plaques in the brain. In work an increased level of copper was shown in blood serum of patients with AD. Moreover it was found that copper level was significantly increased in cerebrospinal fluid [6].

It is interesting that all the molecules involved in amyloid plaque formation and neurofibrillary tangles bind copper [7]. Copper bound with $A\beta$ becomes more toxic for neurons, and the cytotoxicity of copper $A\beta$ peptides complexes directly correlates with the level of copper [8]. Indeed, binding of copper with $A\beta$ is associated with the generation of oligomers capable of penetrating into cells. The toxicity of copper in AD is believed to be mediated through inhibition of cytochrome-c oxidase by $A\beta$ bound copper ions and their ability to stimulate phosphorylation and aggregation of the tau protein [8].

A noteworthy hypothesis has been proposed that explains a possible mechanism of the participation of copper ions in the pathogenesis of AD [9]. According to this hypothesis, an initially local dyshomeostasis of copper ions can trigger in the brain generation of the metal-induced A β aggregates, which can be transformed to amyloid fibrils. These early fibrils can initiate an autocatalytic fibrillation of A β that is manifested by a decrease in its concentration in the

Volume 5 Issue 8, August 2016 www.ijsr.net

cerebrospinal fluid where $A\beta$ monomers can associate to fibrils and produce amyloid plaques. At this stage copper ions also can bind with fibrils and be incorporated into the plaques. With the further development of the process, redoxactive copper cations can be captured by the plaques and thus become unavailable for sequestration by proteins binding metals [9].

According to these issues, in the present study, we established a new rat model of AD using copper sulfate solution in one of multi dispensary experiments.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Animal

Six-week-old male Wistar rats $(120 \pm 20g)$ were supplied by the Experimental Animal Center of the Faculty of Medicine, Alex University, Egypt. The rats were housed, 5 per cage, at a controlled temperature of 22 ± 2 °C, relative humidity (50 \pm 10%) and with a standard 12-h light/dark cycle. The animals were given food and water ad libitum. The study was approved by the Local Ethics Commission for the Animal Experimentation.

2.2. Grouping

Rats were randomly divided into normal control group and Alzheimer's disease groups. {1} Normal control group was maintained on plain water and was further divided into five sub groups termed N1, N2, N3, N4 and N5 (n=10 for each) that were scarified after 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 weeks respectively; {2} Alzheimer's disease groups {AD groups} (A, B and C) were administrated copper sulfate (CuSO₄) solution in drinking water at a dose of 2, 4 and 6 mg/L respectively. AD groups were further divided into fifteen sub groups (n=10 for each) according to the duration of administrated CuSO₄ solution (time of sacrificing) as the following table:

 Table 1: Classification of normal control and AD groups according to period spent and doses of CuSO₄.

Period spent (Week)	Normal control groups		AD groups	
	Ν	А	В	С
	(n=10 for	(2 mg/L	(4 mg/L	(6 mg/L
	each)	CuSO4)	CuSO4)	CuSO4)
2	N1	A1	B1	C1
4	N2	A2	B2	C2
6	N3	A3	B3	C3
8	N4	A4	B4	C4
10	N5	A5	B5	C5

2.3 Methods

2.3.1 Behavioral Procedures

2.3.1.1 Morris Water Maze Test

i. Training Trial

The rat was placed in a pool of water where it must use and remember visual cues located in the room to find a platform hidden underneath the surface of water. All rats were trained in the water maze for 3 consecutive days. The time required for reaching the hidden platform (latency) was measured manually by using a stopwatch [10].

ii. Probe trial

A probe trial (retention testing) consisted of 60 sec of a free swimming period for each rat with the hidden platform removed from the pool. The better the memory is consolidated the more time will the rat swim around the location of the platform. The time spent in the target quadrant (expressed as percent of the time spent in the pool) was calculated.

2.3.1.2 Object Recognition Test

In this task, a rat is placed in a square open-field with two identical (Familiar) objects on each side of the open-field. After a 10 minute exploration period, the rat is then put back into its home cage for 1 hour and then re-tested in the same open-field but this time one of the objects is replaced for another (New) object. The time spent by the animals in exploring each object was recorded manually by using a stopwatch. The reaction to a new object was measured by calculating the discrimination index (DI): time spent in exploring the new object over total exploration time. Consequently, a ratio of 0.5 reflects equal exploration of the familiar and the new object, indicating no learning retention [11].

2.3.2 Histopathological Observation

At end of the experiment, all rats were anesthetized with ether and sacrificed. The hippocampus tissue of the rat brains were removed and fixed with 10% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 mol/L phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.4) for 24h and embedded in paraffin for making hippocampal blocks [12]. Then, serial sections of the blocks were cut at 5 μ m thick in a rotary microtome for hematoxylin & eosin (H&E) and modified Congo red staining.

2.3.2.1 Hematoxylin and Eosin Staining

In brief, after dewaxing the paraffin sections, hematoxylin staining was performed for 3 sec, followed by eosin staining for 3 min, and then the sections were dehydrated with alcohol, cleared with xylene, and sealed. The hippocampal histopathological abnormalities were investigated under a light microscope [13].

2.3.2.2 Modified Congo Red Staining

Briefly, brain sections were stained with modified Congo red staining which was considered as an accepted histochemical marker for the β -pleated-sheet structure of amyloid. Sections were deparaffinized by embedding in xylene and alcohol and followed by tap water. Afterwards, slides were submerged for 20 min in a solution of 100% ethanol supersaturated with sodium chloride, then made alkaline with a final concentration of 0.01% sodium hydroxide [14].

Slides were then immersed for 60 min in a separate portion of alkaline alcoholic saturated sodium chloride containing 0.2% Congo red dye (solution filtered prior to use). Slides were then dehydrated with 8 dips in 95% ethanol, then 8 dips in two baths of 100% alcohol. The tissue was finally cleared in xylene and cover slipped with di-n-butylphthalate-polystyrene-xylene (DPX) [14].

Volume 5 Issue 8, August 2016 www.ijsr.net

2.3.3 Biochemical analysis

2.3.3.1 Tissue homogenate preparation

Hippocampus tissue was prepared for detection of γ -secretase and α -secretase. The tissue was homogenized in a 50 mM phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH = 7.0. The homogenate was centrifuged for 10 min at 7000xg and the supernatant was then immediately frozen in -20 °C [15], [16]. 2.3.3.2 Determination of γ -secretase and α -secretase in both rat serum and hippocampus tissue

 γ -secretase and α -secretase were determined by rat γ -secretase ELISA kit (Glory Science Co., Ltd) using ELISA reader [15], [16].

Table 2: Performance of different groups during 3 days of training in Morris water maze task as regards the latency (se	c) to
reach the hidden platform.	

Period spent (sacrificing time)	Normal control groups (n=10 for each)			AD groups (n=10 for each sub group)								
(Week)	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	(A)			(B)			(C)		
				(2 mg/L CuSO ₄)			(4 mg/L CuSO ₄)			(6 mg/L CuSO ₄)		
				Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3
2	36.08 ± 27.69	* 27.10 ± 22.46	*# 18.30 ± 12.51	38.50 ± 25.44	31.50 ± 17.67	*a 26.30 ± 16.73	39.60 ± 19.40	32.40 ± 12.69	*a 28.60 ± 15.15	42.20 ± 20.50	37.90 ± 15.79	*a 31.10 ± 13.87
4	34.30± 19.64	* 26.70 ± 14.05	*# 19.60 ± 10.88	a 44.10± 19.33	a 40.70 ± 13.47	*a 36.30 ± 10.75	a 47.50± 15.15	a 42.70 ± 15.49	*a 39.10± 17.24	a 50.80± 14.72	a 44.90 ± 13.68	*a 42.00± 12.07
6	32.60± 19.78	* 23.10 ± 11.37	*# 16.80 ± 12.29	a 47.30± 15.58	a 42.60 ± 14.27	*a 39.70 ± 16.68	a 50.40± 17.87	a 46.20± 15.14	*a 43.00± 12.07	a 52.50± 13.70	ab 49.60± 10.38	*ab 46.10± 10.81
8	34.10± 12.20	* 27.70 ± 7.95	*# 17.80 ± 7.41	a 51.00± 15.63	a 48.50 ± 13.93	a 46.20 ± 10.06	a 53.20± 14.23	a 50.50± 11.48	a 49.30± 13.92	a 57.20± 15.31	abc 58.00± 11.74	abc 58.90± 12.02
10	35.10± 11.09	* 24.20 ± 10.86	*# 16.90 ± 9.31	a 52.70± 9.52	*a 51.60 ± 7.84	a 51.10 ± 5.37	Died	Died	Died	Died	Died	Died

3. Results

3.1 Behavioral Observations

3.1.1 Morris Water Maze Test i. Training Trial

The results of 3 days of training in the water maze task revealed that, in the normal control groups, there was a significant decrease in the mean time (latency) to reach the hidden platform (Table 2). These results demonstrate the baseline improvement in performance of the normal control groups. AD groups showed slightly, but not significant, improvement during each of the days of training when compared with the day before regarding the time spent to reach the hidden platform. However, AD sub group C4 (administrated 6 mg/L CuSO₄ for eight weeks) did not show any improvement during each of the days of training.

Probe Trial

training. (Table 2).

In the probe trial, a probe test was conducted by removing the platform on the last day of MWM task. Results of memory retention testing revealed that normal control rats showed a significantly higher percent of the time spent in the target quadrant of the pool than those of AD groups. In particular, AD sub group C4 showed a significantly lowest percent of the time spent in the target quadrant compared with its corresponding normal control group; i.e. AD sub group C4 failed to remember the precise location of the platform. (Table 3).

Furthermore, AD groups spent a significantly more time to reach the hidden platform when compared with their corresponding normal control groups in each of the 3 days of

Volume 5 Issue 8, August 2016

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

DOI: 10.21275/ART2016755

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2013): 6.14 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

Period spent (sacrificing time) (Week)	Normal control	Copper groups (n=10 for each sub group)					
	(n=10 for each)	=10 for each) (A) (2 mg/L CuSO ₄) (4 m		(C) (6 mg/L CuSO ₄)			
2	44.10 ± 9.07	38.20 ^a ± 7.88	35.90 ^a ± 6.96	33.20 ^{ab} ± 6.79			
4	43.90 ± 9.59	36.10 ^a ± 5.53	31.90 ^{ab} ± 6.43	28.30 ^{abc} ± 6.26			
6	43.90 ± 8.72	33.10 ^a ± 6.21	28.10 ^{ab} ± 5.85	23.40 ^{abc} ± 6.20			
8	43.10 ± 9.73	31.20 ^a ± 7.88	23.90 ^{ab} ± 6.96	16.80 ^{abc} ± 6.31			
10	44.00 ± 6.67	26.80 ^a ± 7.99	Died	Died			

 Table 3: Performance of different groups during the 4th day (probe trial) of Morris water maze task as regards the percent of time spent in target quadrant

Data are presented in mean \pm S.D.

*: Significant at P value <0.05 between normal control group and AD groups

a: Significant at P value <0.05 between AD sub group (A) and AD sub groups (B,C)

b: Significant at P value <0.05 between AD sub group (B) and AD sub group (C) $\,$

3.1.2 Object Recognition Test

In the object recognition test (ORT), AD groups showed cognitive deficits, where the discrimination index was significantly lowered in AD groups compared with their corresponding normal control groups. The precise dose of CuSO₄ that showed the most cognitive deficits was 6 mg/L for eight weeks (*sub group C4*), where the DI = 0.5, indicating no learning retention (Table 4).

Table 4: Performance of normal control and AD groups in object recognition test through calculation of discrimination index

 (DI)

Period spent		Copper groups							
(sacrificing time)	Normal control groups	(n=10 for each sub group)							
(Week)	(n=10 for each)	(A)	(B)	(C)					
		(2 mg/L CuSO ₄)	(4 mg/L CuSO ₄)	(6 mg/L CuSO ₄)					
2	0.87 ± 0.03	$0.78^{*} \pm 0.01$	$0.72^{*a} \pm 0.02$	$0.60^{*ab} \pm 0.02$					
4	0.84 ± 0.03	$0.76^{*} \pm 0.01$	$0.68^{*a} \pm 0.02$	$0.56^{*ab} \pm 0.01$					
6	0.86 ± 0.03	$0.73^{*} \pm 0.01$	$0.64^{*a} \pm 0.01$	$0.53^{*ab} \pm 0.02$					
8	0.86 ± 0.03	$0.72^{*} \pm 0.02$	$0.61^{*a} \pm 0.01$	$0.50^{*ab} \pm 0.01$					
10	0.84 ± 0.02	$0.59^{*a} \pm 0.01$	Died	Died					

Data are presented in mean \pm S.D.

*: Significant at P value <0.05 between normal control group and AD groups

a: Significant at P value <0.05 between AD sub group (A) and AD sub groups (B,C) $% \left(B,C\right) =0.05$

b: Significant at P value <0.05 between AD sub group (B) and AD sub group (C)

3.2 Histopathological Observations

3.2.1 Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining

In normal control groups, the pyramidal cells in the CA1 region of hippocampus tissue were arranged neatly and tightly, and no cell loss was found. Additionally, cells were round and intact with nuclei stained blue (Figure 1 A-B). However, obvious hippocampal histopathological damage was observed in AD groups. The pyramidal layered structure was disintegrated, and neuronal loss was found in the CA1 region. Neurons with pyknotic nuclei and with shrunken cytoplasma. In addition, herano bodies as well as darkly stained cells as the beginning of tangle formation were

observed (Figure 2A). Also, numerous plaques formation were seen (Figure 2B). These abnormalities were more intense in *AD sub group C4* over those of other AD sub groups. Where, herano bodies, plaques and granulovascular degenerations were seen in hippocampal section of *C4 sub group* (Figure 3).



Figure 1: Morphologic structure of normal control rat hippocampus. (H&E X50)

Volume 5 Issue 8, August 2016 <u>www.ijsr.net</u>



Figure 2: Hippocampal tissue sections of AD rat

(A) Cell degenerative changes, cytoplasmic shrinkage and dark pyknotic nuclei (arrowhead), also darkly stained cells as the beginning of tangle formation (arrows) and herano body (star) were seen. (H&E X50)

(B) Numerous plaques formation (arrows). (H&E X50)



Figure 3: Hippocampal tissue section of AD sub group C4 rat showing: Intense neural destruction in the pyramidal layer of CA1 with the presence of plaque (star), herano bodies (arrows) and granulovacuolar degenerations (arrowhead). (H&E X50).

3.2.2 Modified Congo red staining

Histopathological slides of rat hippocampal sections were observed for the presence of A β deposits stained by Congo red as orange-red to brown deposits. The normal control rats did not show any A β deposits (Figure 4). However, AD groups showed A β deposits with various degrees of intensity. Forexample, rats administered 2 mg/L of CuSO₄ solution for 8 weeks showed little A β deposits (Figure 5A) and rats administered 4 mg/L of CuSO₄ solution for 8 weeks showed moderate A β deposits (Figure 5B), while rats administered 6 mg/L of CuSO₄ solution for 8 weeks showed strong A β deposits (Figure 5C). In addition, rats administered 4 and 6 mg/L of CuSO₄ solution for 10 weeks were died.



Figure 4: Section of normal control rat hippocampus, showing: no amyloid depositions (Congo red x50)



Figure 5: Sections of hippocampus of AD groups showing:

- (A) Weak Congo red stain indicated little amyloid deposits, eight weeks after administration of 2 mg/L CuSO₄ solution (AD sub group A4).
- (B) Moderate amyloid deposits, eight weeks after administration of 4 mg/L CuSO4 solution (AD sub group B4).
- (C) Strong amyloid deposits, eight weeks after administration of 6 mg/L CuSO4 solution (AD sub group C4)

3.3 Biochemical Observations

3.3.1 The level of γ -secretase in serum and hippocampus tissue

As shown in the table (5); compared to normal control groups, the levels of γ -secretase (in both serum and hippocampus tissue) were increased in AD groups as the duration of experiment proceed and dose of CuSO₄ increased with the highest level at a dose 6 mg/L for 8 weeks.

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

DOI: 10.21275/ART2016755

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2013): 6.14 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

Table 5: The level of γ -secretase in both serum (pg/ml) and hippocampus tissue (pg/gm tissue) among different studied
groups. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E

	Normal control groups . (n=10 for each)		Copper groups (n=10 for each sub group)						
(sacrificing time)			(A) (2 mg/L CuSO₄)		(B) (4 mg/L CuSO ₄)		(C) (6 mg/L CuSO₄)		
(Week)	Hippocampu		Hippocampu		Hippocampu		Hippocampu		
	s	Serum	s	Serum	s	Serum	s	Serum	
	(pg/gm	(pg/ml)	(pg/gm	(pg/ml)	(pg/gm	(pg/ml)	(pg/gm	(pg/ml)	
	tissue)		tissue)		tissue)		tissue)		
2	3061±	441±5.07	3066±	441±5.02	3074±	449±6.49	4102±	469±6.13	
Z	103.35		105.21		110.28		112.67		
4	3058±96.99	438±4.71	3068±	1 1E ± E 01	3085±	453±6.41	4113±	474±6.58	
4			104.26	445 ± 5.61	118.38		115.61		
6	2040 ± 08 52	±98.52 429±4.24	3073±	447±5.11	3089±	462±6.08	4122±	486±7.03	
0	5049 ± 98.52		106.71		116.56		122.34		
8	3060 ±	440±E 1	3076±	451+6.07	4096±	167±E 00	4120±110 E	407 + 7 12	
	105.38	440±5.1	109.25	451±6.07	116.72	407 ± 5.90	41391110.3	45/ ± 7.12	
10	2052 1 102 4	2.4 433±5.12	3080±	456±5.19	Died	Died	Died	Died	
10	3053±102.4		107.46					Died	

3.3.2 The level of α-secretase in serum and hippocampus tissue

The levels of α -secretase, a neuroprotective enzyme, (in both serum and hippocampus tissue) were decreased in AD groups

compared to normal control groups as the duration of experiment proceed and dose of $CuSO_4$ increased with the lowest level at a dose 6 mg/L for 8 weeks.

 Table 6: The level of α -secretase in both serum (pg/ml) and hippocampus tissue (pg/gm tissue) among different studied groups. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E.

					Coppe	Copper groups				
	Normal cor	ntrol groups	(n=10 for each sub group)							
Period spent	(n=10 fo	or each)	(A)		(B)		(C)			
(sacrificing time)			(2 mg/L CuSO ₄)		(4 mg/L CuSO ₄)		(6 mg/L CuSO ₄)			
(Week)	Hippocam pus (pg/gm tissue)	Serum (pg/ml)	Hippocamp us (pg/gm tissue)	Serum (pg/ml)	Hippocam pus (pg/gm tissue)	Serum (pg/ml)	Hippocamp us (pg/gm tissue)	Serum (pg/ml)		
2	4864± 148.51	445 ± 14.72	4856± 146.39	437 ± 13.82	4833± 151.35	417 ± 15.73	4817± 156.42	339± 15.83		
4	4860± 147.32	441± 14.15	4850± 152.24	432 ± 14.39	4829± 147.58	408 ± 14.62	4810± 147.38	387 ± 16.75		
6	4871± 148.24	449± 14.80	4843± 148.32	425± 14.71	4822± 155.64	402 ± 16.07	4802± 158.49	383 ± 17.03		
8	4867± 146.53	446± 13.25	4837± 150.63	421 ± 15.06	4816± 152.71	396 ± 15.43	4797± 156.25	374± 16.92		
10	4862± 150.28	441± 14.31	4830± 149.71	413± 14.27	Died	Died	Died	Died		

4. Discussion

It is well known that copper (Cu) is an essential transition metal for all living organisms, functioning as cofactor for many enzymes [17]. However, in *vivo* and in *vitro* studies demonstrated that excess inorganic Cu produces increased levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and damage to biomolecules, ultimately promoting cell death [18]. Humans are continuously at risk from excess Cu due to involuntary exposure to pollution (contaminated water, food), professional activities, ingestion of dietary supplements, and prolonged use of intrauterine devices [19].

Elevated Cu plasma levels have been associated with neurodegenerative damage. There has been a considerable increase in the number of published papers relating Cu to the neurodegenerative process. In line with this, Brewer hypothesized that ingestion of inorganic Cu from different sources is at least a partial cause of Alzheimer disease (AD) in developed countries [20].

In the present study we developed an extensive multidisciplinary analysis of the CNS effects of $CuSO_4$ administration with different concentrations and different periods of time in a mouse model. We used behavioral,

Volume 5 Issue 8, August 2016 www.ijsr.net

histological and biochemical approaches to examine the impact of CuSO₄ administration in *vivo* on a hall marker of AD-related pathology (A β plaques). In the current work, we demonstrated that rats which administrated CuSO₄ at a dose of 6 mg/L for eight weeks (*AD sub group C4*) exhibited obviously spatial learning and memory impairments assessed by behavioral, histological and biochemical approaches to examine the impact of CuSO₄ administration on the hall marker of AD-related pathology (A β plaques).

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, inorganic Cu causes neurodegenerative changes particularly in brain hippocampus, and thus Cu can be used to establish a new rat model of Alzheimer's disease (AD). This in *vivo* study reveals that the precise dose of CuSO₄ that showed strong A β deposits was 6 mg/L for eight weeks, so we have created a new animal model for AD. In view of the abundant evidence of disturbed Cu homeostasis in AD, we strongly recommend more in-depth studies on the mechanisms responsible for the pro-neurodegenerative effects of the association between Cu and A β . Furthermore, it is recommended that the present experimental evidence be used to promote the investigation of the emerging biomarkers to be applied in peripheral plasma as predictive tools in highrisk populations.

References

- Morrone CD, Liu M, Black SE, McLaurin J. Interaction between therapeutic interventions for Alzheimer's disease and physiological Aβ clearance mechanisms. Front. Aging Neurosci. 2015;7
- [2] Hollands C, Bartolotti N, Lazarov O. Alzheimer's Disease and Hippocampal Adult Neurogenesis; Exploring Shared Mechanisms. Front Neurosci. 2016 May 3;10:178.
- [3] Choy RW, Cheng Z, Schekman R. Amyloid precursor protein (APP) traffics from the cell surface via endosomes for amyloid β (A β) production in the trans-Golgi network. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109:E2077–E2082.
- [4] O'Brien R. J., Wong P. C. (). Amyloid precursor protein processing and alzheimer's disease. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 2011; 34:185–204.
- [5] Jellinger K. A. The relevance of metals in the pathophysiology of neurodegeneration, pathological considerations. Int. Rev. Neurobiol. 2013; 110:1– 4710.1016/b978-0-12-410502-7.00002-8.
- [6] Stelmashook EV, Isaev NK, Genrikhs EE, Amelkina GA, Khaspekov LG, Skrebitsky VG, Illarioshkin SN. Role of zinc and copper ions in the pathogenetic mechanisms of Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. Biochemistry (Mosc). 2014; 79:391-6.
- [7] Hayne DJ, Lim S, Donnelly PS. Metal complexes designed to bind to amyloid- β for the diagnosis and treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Chem Soc Rev. 2014; 43:6701-15.
- [8] Ayton S, Lei P, Bush AI. Metallostasis in Alzheimer's disease. Free Radic Biol Med. 2013; 62:76-89.

- [9] Tiiman A., Palumaa P., Tougu V. The missing link in the amyloid cascade of Alzheimer's disease—Metal ions. Neurochem. Int. 2013; 62:367–378.
- [10] Bromley-Brits K, Deng Y, Song W. Morris water maze test for learning and memory deficits in Alzheimer's disease model mice. Vis Exp. 2011; 53:2920.
- [11] Janus C, Pearson J, McLaurin J, Mathews PM, Jiang Y, Schmidt SD, et al. A beta peptide immunization reduces behavioural impairment and plaques in a model of Alzheimer's disease. Nature. 2000; 408:979–82.
- [12] Maliheh N, Seyed MH, Pejman M, Iraj S, Banafshe E, Nahid RR, et al. Neuropathological changes in brain cortex and hippocampus in a rat model of Alzheimer's disease. Iran Biomed J. 2011; 15:51-8.
- [13] Fukutani Y, Cairns NJ, Shiozawa M, Sasaki K, Sudo S, Isaki K, et al. Neuronal loss and neurofibrillary degeneration in the hippocampal cortex in late-onset sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2000; 54:523-9.
- [14] Nobakht M, Hoseini SM, Mortazavi P, Sohrabi I, Esmailzade B, Rahbar RN, et al. Neuropathological changes in brain cortex and hippocampus in a rat model of Alzheimer's disease. Iran Biomed J. 2011; 15:51–8.
- [15] Yang T, Arslanova D, Gu Y, Augelli-Szafran C, Xia W. Quantification of gamma-secretase modulation differentiates inhibitor compound selectivity between two substrates Notch and amyloid precursor protein. Mol Brain. 2008; 1:15.
- [16] Hiraoka Y, Ohno M, Yoshida K, Okawa K, Tomimoto H, Kita T, Nishi E. Enhancement of alpha-secretase cleavage of amyloid precursor protein by a metalloendopeptidase nardilysin. J Neurochem. 2007; 102:1595-605.
- [17] Jaishankar M., Tseten T., Anbalagan N., Mathew B.B., Beeregowda K.N. Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals. Interdiscip. Toxicol. 2014;7:60–72.
- [18] Arnal N, de Alaniz MJ, Marra CA. Effect of copper overload on the survival of HepG2 and A-549 humanderived cells. Human & Experimental Toxicology. 2013; 32:299–315.
- [19] Arnal N, Dominici L, de Tacconi MJ, Marra CA. Copper-induced alterations in rat brain depends on route of overload and basal copper levels. Nutrition. 2014 Jan;30(1):96-106.
- [20] Brewer GJ. Copper toxicity in Alzheimer's disease: cognitive loss from ingestion of inorganiccopper. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology. 2012; 26:89–92.

Author Profile



Ashraf Khaled Awaad received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in Biochemistry from Faculty of science-Alexandria University in 2007 and Medical Research Institute (MRI)- Alexandria University in 2014, respectively. From 2009-2015, he stayed in Medical

Research Institute (MRI) to study Molecular Biology. Since 2015, he stays in Center of Excellence for Research in Regenerative Medicine and its Application (CERRMA) to study stem cell, tissue culture, nanotechnology. He now resides in Egypt.