Modelling and Analysis of Multi-Plate Clutch

Aniruddha Joshi¹, Aniket Bharambe², Manthankumar Tandel³, Rajat Jadhav⁴, Shreyas Honagekar⁵

^{1, 2, 3}Department of Mechanical Engineering, Dr.D.Y.Patil College of Engineering, Pune, India

⁴Department of Mechanical Engineering, Pimpri Chinchwad College of Engineering, Pune, India

⁵Department of Mechanical Engineering, Suman Ramesh Tulsiani Technical Campus faculty of engineering, Pune, India

Abstract: Multi plate clutch is one of the important part in the power transmission systems. Good design of clutch provides better engine performance. Multi plate clutch is most widely used in racing cars and heavy duty vehicle where high torque transmission required and limited space is available. In this project, we have designed a multi plate clutch by using empirical formulae. A model of multi plate clutch has been generated in CATIA V5 and then imported in ANSYS workbench for Automobile Applications. We have conducted structural analysis by varying the friction surfaces material and keeping base material as Steel. By observing the results, comparison is done for materials to validate better lining material for multi plate clutch by doing analysis on clutch with help of ANSYS Workbench software and FEM to find out which material is best for the lining of friction surfaces.

Keywords: Multi-plate clutch, Friction material, SF-MC2, ANSYS, CATIA V5.

1. Introduction

The clutch is a mechanical device, which is used to connect or disconnects the source of power from the remaining parts of the power transmission at the will of the operator. The clutch can connect and disconnect the driving shaft and the driven shaft. An automotive clutch can permit the engine to run without driving the car. Depending on the orientation, speeds, materials, torque produced and finally the use of the whole device, different kinds of clutches are used. This is desirable when the engine is to be started or stopped, or when the gears are to be shifted. It is the link between engine and transmission. When a clutch is at a standstill, clutch is engaged to transfer the torque to the transmission; and when vehicle is in motion, clutch is first disengaged of the drive to allow for gear selection and then again smoothly to power the vehicle.

2. Multi-plate Friction Clutch

Multi plate clutch comes under the category of friction clutch. Multi plate clutch is an extension of single plate type where the number of friction and metal plates is increased. The increase in number of friction surfaces obviously increases the capacity of clutch to transmit torque. Alternatively the size of the clutch is reduced. This type of clutch is used in some racing vehicles where high torque is to be transmitted. Besides, this finds application in case of scooters and motorcycles, where space available is limited.

Torque capacity of the friction clutch depends upon following factors: Coefficient of friction, the diameter of the friction plate, axial thrust applied by the pressure plate. The diameter of the friction plate is restricted by the size of the clutch. The axial force is limited by the amount force the person can apply on the foot pedal to disengage the clutch. So to transmit maximum torque friction material have adequate coefficient of friction.

3. Friction Materials

An axial clutch is one where the mating frictional members are moved in a direction parallel to the shaft. Frictional material is the most important component of a multi plate clutch. Frictional material is responsible for transmitting the torque from the driving shaft to the driven shaft. A wide variety of frictional materials are in use in clutches today. Currently there are certain materials like cork and certain fibre composite materials are in use right now. High performance and cheap alternatives are currently being searched for. Right now factors like cost and availability in large amounts hinder the use of high performance alternatives.

Desirable properties of a frictional materials for clutches:

- The two materials in contact must have a high coefficient of friction.
- The materials in contact must resist wear effects, such as scoring, galling and ablation.
- The friction value should be constant over a range of temperatures and pressures. The materials should be resistant should be resistant to the environment (moisture, dust, pressure)
- The materials should possess good thermal properties, high heat capacity, and good thermal conductivity, withstand high temperatures, able to withstand high contact pressures.
- Good shear strength to transferred friction forces to structure.

3.1. Friction Materials: Sintered Iron

Friction pads are manufactured by sintering blend of powders consisting of heat absorption material along with friction generating & lubricating materials. The powders are blended in optimized proportions & compacted to form a solid flat button of predetermined shape. They are highly durable with harsher engagement characteristics compared to organic linings. [1]

Table 1: Fropenies of Sintered from						
Material	Sintered Iron					
Young's Modulus	275.79 N/mm ²					
Tensile strength	400 N/mm ²					
Poisson's ratio	0.34					
Friction Coefficient	0.25±0.05					

Table 1: Properties of Sintered Iron

3.2. Friction Material: SF-MC2

SF-MC2 is a high performance, high friction, non-metal composite material containing a high percentage of aramid fibre. It can be considered as an alternative for sintered metal materials and offers many advantages. It will resist high energy inputs and is suitable for both dry and oil-immersed applications. It is not abrasive to the counter material, is silent in operation and it will resists high pressures. The wear rate of SF-MC2 is low even at high temperatures. SF-MC2 has higher friction coefficient and higher Kevlar composition when compared with the conventional used friction material. [1]

Table 2: Properties of SF-MC2

Material	SF-MC2
Young's Modulus	7260±100 N/mm ²
Tensile strength	70±5 N/mm ²
Poisson's ratio	0.27±0.03
Friction Coefficient	0.50±0.05

4. Specifications of the Clutch

The clutch plate model is based on the clutch used in **Bajaj Pulsar 150 DTS-i**.

Torque (T) = 12.66 N-m @ 6500 rpm Number of friction surfaces (n) = 9 Inner diameter of the friction plate (Di) = 104 mm Outer diameter of the friction plate (Do) = 125 mm

5. Analysis of Multi-Plate Clutch with Friction Material



Figure 1: CATIA model of multi plate clutch



Figure 2: Meshing done on Designed Model

5.1. Analysis of Multi-Plate Clutch with Friction Material as Sintered Iron



Figure 3: Total Deformation for Sintered Iron Friction



Figure 4: Equivalent Strain for Sintered Iron Friction Material



Figure 5: Equivalent Strain for Sintered Iron Friction Material

5.2. Analysis of Multi-Plate Clutch with Friction Material as SF-MC2



Figure 6: Total Deformation for SF-MC2 Friction Material



Figure 7: Equivalent Strain for SF-MC2 Friction Material





6. Analytical Calculations

6.1. Analytical Calculations for Sintered Iron Friction Material with Finite Element Method

Rectangular cross-section of the friction material pad is divided into two CST elements which are in plane stress condition. [2][3]



Figure 9: Discretization of the Element

Table 3: Nodes					
Elements		Nodes			
1	1	2	3		
2	2	4	3		

E = 275.79 N/mm2

- v = 0.34
- $\mu = 0.25$
- T = 12.66 N/m
- $R = 57.25 \text{ x } 10^{-3} \text{ m}$

Clamping Force [W]: T = μ n W R 12.66 = 0.25 x 9 x W x 57.25 x 10-3

Volume 5 Issue 7, July 2016 www.ijsr.net Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY W = 98.28 N Element 1





1 x1 y1 x2 1 y2 1 х3 y3 $A = \frac{1}{2}$ 1 0 0 0.5 0 1 1 0 21 $A = \frac{1}{2}$ $A = 5.25 \text{ mm}^2$

Cofactors:

 $C_{12} = -21$ $C_{22} = 21$ $C_{32} = -21$ $C_{13} = -0.5$ $C_{23} = 0$ $C_{33} = -0.5$

Stress-Strain Relationship Matrix [D]: $\begin{bmatrix}
1 & v & 0 \\
v & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & (1-v)/2
\end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0.34 & 0 \\
0.34 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$

 $[D] = 311.8385 \begin{bmatrix} 0.34 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.33 \end{bmatrix}$

Strain-Displacement Relationship Matrix [B]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} C12 & 0 & C22 & 0 & C32 & 0 \\ 0 & C13 & 0 & C23 & 0 & C33 \\ C13 & C12 & C23 & C22 & C32 & C33 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix} = 0.0952 \begin{bmatrix} -21 & 0 & 21 & 0 & -21 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & -21 & 0 & 21 & -0.5 & -21 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} -21 & 0 & -0.5 \\ 0 & -0.5 & -21 & 0 & 21 & -0.5 & -21 \\ 21 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 21 & -21 & 0 & -21 \\ -21 & 0 & -0.5 & -21 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix}^{T} = 0.0952 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -0.5 \\ 0 & -0.5 & -21 \\ -21 & 0 & -0.5 \\ 0 & -0.5 & -21 \end{bmatrix}$$

Element Stiffness Matrix [K] 1:

 $[K]_1 = t A [B]^T [D] [B]$

= 103.86	30					
441.083	7.035	-441	-3.465	441.083	ן 7.035	
7.035	145.780	-3.570	-145.530	7.035	145.780	
-441	-3.570	441	0	-441	-3.570	
-3.465	-145.530	0	145.530	-3.465	-145.530	
441.083	7.035	-441	-3.465	441.083	7.035	
L 7.035	145.780	-3.570	-145.530	7.035	145.780 J	
Element 2	2					



Figure 11: Element 2

Area o	fΤ	riang	le:				
	1	<i>x</i> 1	y1				
1	1	x2	y2				
$A = \overline{2}$	1	x3	y3				
	1	0.5	0				
1	1	0.5	21				
$A = \overline{2}$	1	0	21				
$A = 5.25 \text{ mm}^2$							
Cofactors: $C_{12} = 0$							
$C_{22} = 21$							
\sim $-$	D1						

 $C_{22} = -21$ $C_{32} = -21$ $C_{13} = -0.5$ $C_{23} = 0.5$ $C_{33} = 0$

Stress-Strain Relationship Matrix [D]:

 $\begin{bmatrix} D \end{bmatrix} = \frac{E}{1-v^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & v & 0 \\ v & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1-v)/2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} D \end{bmatrix} = 311.8385 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.34 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.33 \end{bmatrix}$

Strain-Displacement Relationship Matrix [B]:

$[B] = \frac{1}{2A}$	[C12 0 [C13	0 C13 C12	C22 0 C23	0 C23 C22	C3 3 0 2 C3	2 0 0 C3 2 C3	3
		0	0	21	0	-21	0]
[B] = 0.0	952	-0.5	0	0.5	21	0	-21

Volume 5 Issue 7, July 2016

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

	<mark>0</mark> آ	0	-0.5	1
	0	-0.5	0	1
	21	0	0.5	1
	0	0.5	21	1
	-21	0	0	1
$[B]^{T} = 0.0952$	Lο	0	-21	

Elemental Stiffness Matrix [K] 2:

 $[K]_2 = t A [B]^T [D] [B]$

	= 1	03.8630					
1	0.083	0	-0.083	-3.465	0	3.465	1
	0	0.25	-3.570	-0.25	3.570	0	
	-0.083	-3.570	441.083	7.035	-441	-3.465	I
	-3.465	-0.25	7.035	145.780	-3.570	-145.530	
	0	3.570	-441	-3.570	441	0	I
	3.465	0	-3.465	-145.530	0	145.530]

[K] =								
	441.083	7.035	-441	-3.465	441.083	3 7.035	0	0 -
	7.035	145.780	-3.570	-145.530	7.035	145.780	0	0
	-441	-3.570	441.083	0	-441	-0.105	-0.083	-3.465
103 8630	-3.465	-145.530	0	-145.780	0.105	-145.530	-3.570	-0.25
103.0030	441.083	7.035	-441	0.105	882.083	7.035	-441	-3.570
	7.035	145.780	-0.105	-145.530	7.035	291.31	-3.465	-145.530
	0	0	-0.083	-3.570	-441	-3.465	441.083	7.035
L 0 0 -3.465 -0.25 -3.570 -145.530 7.035 145.780								
Displacement Matrix [U]:								

	U1x
	U1y
	U2x
	U2y
	U3x
	U3y
	U4x
[U] =	U4y

Force Matrix [F]:



Global Equation:

[K] [U	J] = [F	7]							
	441.083	7.035	-441	-3.465	441.083	7.035	0	0	$\begin{bmatrix} U \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
	7.035	145.780	-3.570	-145.530	7.035	145.780	0	0	U1y
	-441	-3.570	441.083	0	-441	-0.105	-0.083	-3.465	U2x
102 0620	-3.465	-145.530	0	-145.780	0.105	-145.530	-3.570	-0.25	U2y
103.0030	441.083	7.035	-441	0.105	882.083	7.035	-441	-3.570	U3x
	7.035	145.780	-0.105	-145.530	7.035	291.31	-3.465	-145.530	U3y
	0	0	-0.083	-3.570	-441	-3.465	441.083	7.035	U4x
	L O	0	-3.465	-0.25	-3.570	-145.530	7.035	145.780-	U4y
	9	0 0 8.28							

Applying Boundary conditions: U1x = U1y = U2y = U3x = U3y = U4y = 0F1x = F1y = F2y = F3x = F3y = F4y = 0

 $103.8630 \begin{bmatrix} 441.083 & -0.083 \\ -0.083 & 441.083 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U2x \\ U4x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 98.28 \\ 98.28 \end{bmatrix}$

 $\begin{bmatrix} 441.083 & -0.083 \\ -0.083 & 441.083 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U2x \\ U4x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.946246 \\ 0.946246 \end{bmatrix}$

 $\begin{array}{l} U2x = 2.145682 x 10^{-3} \mbox{ mm} \\ U4x = 2.145682 x 10^{-3} \mbox{ mm} \end{array}$

Elemental Strain $\{ \mathbf{C} \}_1$:

$$\{ \mathbf{C} \}_{1} = [\mathbf{B}]_{1} [\mathbf{U}] \\ = 0.0952$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -21 & 0 & 21 & 0 & -21 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & -21 & 0 & 21 & -0.5 & -21 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 2.145682 \times 10^{\circ}(-3) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \{ \mathbf{C} \}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.289647 \times 10^{\circ}(-3) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
mm/mm

Elemental Strain $\{ E \}_2$:

$$\{ \mathbf{C} \}_{2} = [\mathbf{B}]_{2}[\mathbf{U}] \\ = 0.0952 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 21 & 0 & -21 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.5 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.5 & 0 & 0.5 & 21 & 0 & -21 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2.145682 \times 10^{\circ}(-3) \\ 0 \\ 2.145682 \times 10^{\circ}(-3) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\{ \mathbf{C} \}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.289647 \times 10^{(-3)} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{mm/mm}$$

Elemental Stress $[\sigma]_1$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \end{bmatrix}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} D \end{bmatrix} \{ E \}_1 \\ = 311.8385 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.34 & 0 \\ 0.34 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.33 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4.289647 \times 10^{-3} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[\sigma]_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.337677\\ 0.454810\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{N/mm^{2}}$$

Elemental Stress $[\sigma]_2$:

$[\sigma]_2 = [D] \{ \varepsilon \}_2$								
= 311.8385								
[1	0.34	0]	[4.289647 x 10 ⁽⁻³⁾]					
0.34	1	0	0					
lο	0	0.33						

Volume 5 Issue 7, July 2016

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

0 0 98.28

$$[\sigma]_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.937677\\ 0.454810\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{N/mm^{2}}$$

6.2. Analytical Calculations for SF-MC2 Friction Material with Finite Element Method

Rectangular cross-section of the friction material pad is divided into two CST elements which are in plane stress condition. [2][3]



Figure 12: Discretization of the Element

Elements	Nodes					
1	1	2	3			
2	2	4	3			

 $E = 7260 \text{ N/mm}^2$ $\mathbf{v} = 0.27$

- $\mu = 0.5$
- $\mu = 0.5$ T = 12.66 N/m
- $R = 57.25 \times 10^{-3} m$

Clamping Force [W]: $T = \mu n W R$ 12.66 = 0.5 x 9 x W x 57.25 x 10⁻³ W = 49.14 N





Area of Triangle:									
	1	x1	y1						
1	1	<i>x</i> 2	y2						
$A = \overline{2}$	1	x3	y3						
	1	0	0						
1	1	0.5	0						
$A = \overline{2}$	1	0	21						
A = 5.	$A = 5.25 \text{ mm}^2$								

Cofactors:

$$\begin{array}{c} C_{12} = -21 \\ C_{22} = 21 \\ C_{32} = -21 \\ C_{13} = -0.5 \\ C_{23} = 0 \\ C_{33} = -0.5 \end{array}$$

Stress-Strain Relationship Matrix [D]:

$$[D] = \frac{E}{1-v^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & v & 0 \\ v & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1-v)/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[D] = 7830.8704 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.27 & 0 \\ 0.27 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.365 \end{bmatrix}$$

Strain-Displacement Relationship Matrix [B]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -21 & 0 & -22 & 0 & -23 & 0 \\ 0 & -23 & 0 & -23 & 0 & -23 \\ -24 & -2$$

Element Stiffness Matrix [K] 1:

$$[K]_1 = t A [B]^T [D] [B]$$

	= 2608.20	023					
1	441.092	6.678	-441	-3.843	441.092	ן 6.678	
	6.668	161.215	-2.835	-160.965	3.833	161.215	
	-441	-2.835	441	0	-441	-2.835	
	-3.833	-160.965	0	160.965	-3.833	-160.965	
	441.092	6.678	-441	-3.843	441.092	6.678	
	6.668	161.215	-2.835	-160.965	6.668	161.215 J	

Element 2

Volume 5 Issue 7, July 2016 www.ijsr.net Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2013): 6.14 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391





Area of Triangle:

 $\begin{array}{c|c} & 1 & x1 & y1 \\ A = \frac{1}{2} & 1 & x2 & y2 \\ 1 & x3 & y3 \\ 1 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 1 & 0.5 & 21 \\ A = \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & 21 \end{array}$

 $A = 5.25 \text{ mm}^2$

Cofactors:

 $C_{12} = 0$ $C_{22} = 21$ $C_{32} = -21$ $C_{13} = -0.5$ $C_{23} = 0.5$ $C_{33} = 0$ Stress-Strain Relationship Matrix [D]:

 $\begin{bmatrix} D \end{bmatrix} = \frac{E}{1-v^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & v & 0 \\ v & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1-v)/2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} D \end{bmatrix} = 7830.8704 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.27 & 0 \\ 0.27 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.365 \end{bmatrix}$

Strain-Displacement Relationship Matrix [B]:

$[B] = \frac{1}{2A}$	C12 0 C13	0 C13 C12	C22 0 C23	0 C23 C22	C3 3 0 2 C3	2 0 6 C3 62 C3	3
[B] = 0.0	952	0 0 0.5	0 -0.5 0	21 0 0.5	0 0.5 21	-21 0 0	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -21 \end{bmatrix}$

	0	0	-0.5
	0	-0.5	0
	21	0	0.5
	0	0.5	21
	-21	0	0
$[B]^{T} = 0.0952$	L 0	0	-21

Elemental Stiffness Matrix $[K]_2$: $[K]_2 = t A [B]^T [D] [B]$

= 2	608.2023	3				
0.092	0	-0.092	-3.843	0	ן 3.843	
0	0.25	-2.835	-0.25	2.835	0	
-0.092	-2.835	441.092	6.678	-441	-3.843	
-3.833	-0.25	6.668	161.215	-2.835	-160.965	
0	2.835	-441	-2.835	441	0	
L 3.833	0	-3.833	-160.965	0	160.965 J	

Global Stiffness Matrix [K]:

[K] =								
	F441.092	6.678	-441	-3.843	441.092	6.678	0	0 1
	6.668	160.215	-2.835	-160.965	3.833	161.215	0	0
	-441	-2.835	441.092	0	-441	0.998	-0.092	-3.843
2608 2023	-3.833	-160.965	5 O	161.215	-3.833	-160.965	-2.835	-0.25
2000.2025	441.092	6.678	-441	-0.998	882.092	6.678	-441	-2.835
	6.668	160.215	0.998	-160.965	10.501	322.18	-3.833	-160.965
	0	0	-0.092	-2.835	-441	-3.843	441.092	6.678
	L O	0	-3.833	0.25	-2.835	-160.965	6.668	161.215
Displa	cemen	t Matri	x [U]:					

	$\int U 1x$	
	U1y	
	U2x	
	U2y	
	U3x	
	U3y	
	U4x	
[U] =	U4y	
Force	Matrix	[F]:
	r 0	1
	0	
	136.5	03
	0	
	0	
	0	

Global Equation:

[F] =

136.503

0

[K] [U	[] = [F]							
	F441.092	6.678	-441	-3.843	441.092	6.678	0	0 -	$[U^{1x}]$
	6.668	160.215	-2.835	-160.965	3.833	161.215	0	0	U1y
	-441	-2.835	441.092	0	-441	0.998	-0.092	-3.843	U2x
2608 2023	-3.833	-160.965	50	161.215	-0.998	-160.965	-2.835	-0.25	U2y
2000.2025	441.092	6.678	-441	-0.998	882.092	6.678	-441	-2.835	U3x
	6.668	160.215	0.998	-160.965	10.501	322.18	-3.833	-160.965	U3y
	0	0	-0.092	-2.835	-441	-3.843	441.092	6.678	U4x
	L 0	0	-3.833	0.25	-2.835 ·	-160.965	6.668	161.215 -	U4y
	[0]								
	0								
	49.14								
	0								
	0								
	0								
	49.14								
=									

Applying Boundary conditions: U1x = U1y = U2y = U3x = U3y = U4y = 0F1x = F1y = F2y = F3x = F3y = F4y = 0

Volume 5 Issue 7, July 2016 www.ijsr.net Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: ART2016641

 $\begin{bmatrix} 441.092 & -0.092 \\ -0.092 & 441.092 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U2x \\ U4x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 49.14 \\ 49.14 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 441.092 & -0.092 \\ -0.092 & 441.092 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U2x \\ U4x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0188405 \\ 0.0188405 \end{bmatrix}$

 $U2x = 4.27222x10^{-5}$ mm $U4x = 4.27222x10^{-5}$ mm

Elemental Strain $\{ \mathbf{C} \}_1$:

$$\{ \mathbf{C} \}_{1} = [\mathbf{B}]_{1} [\mathbf{U}]$$

= 0.0952

$$\begin{bmatrix} -21 & 0 & 21 & 0 & -21 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & -21 & 0 & 21 & -0.5 & -21 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 4.27222 \times 10^{\circ}(-5) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\{ \mathbf{C} \}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 8.5410266 \text{ x } 10^{-5} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{mm/mm}$$

Elemental Strain $\{ \mathbf{C} \}_2$:

$$\{ \mathbf{C} \}_{2} = [\mathbf{B}]_{2}[\mathbf{U}] \\ = 0.0952 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 21 & 0 & -21 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.5 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.5 & 0 & 0.5 & 21 & 0 & -21 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4.27222 \times 10^{\wedge}(-5) \\ 0 \\ 4.27222 \times 10^{\wedge}(-5) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\{ E \}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 8.5410266 \times 10^{-5} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{mm/mm}$$

Elemental Stress $[\sigma]_1$:

$$[\sigma]_1 = [D] \{ \in \}_1$$

$$= 7830.8704$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.27 & 0 \\ 0.27 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.365 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8.5410266 \times 10^{\circ}(-5) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.66883 \\ 0.180585 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{N/mm^{2}}$$

Elemental Stress $[\sigma]_2$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \end{bmatrix}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} D \end{bmatrix} \{ C \}_{2}$$

$$= 7830.8704$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.27 & 0 \\ 0.27 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.365 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8.5410266 \times 10^{-5} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.66883 \\ 0.180585 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{N/mm^{2}}$$

7. Results

Total deformation for sintered iron is 2.2761e-6 m and that of SF-MC2 is 3.8077e-8 m through ANSYS Simulation Workbench 16 and the values obtained for the same through analytical calculations are 2.1456e-6 m for sintered iron and 4.2722e-8 m for SF-MC2. Equivalent Strain for sintered iron is 2.861e-3m/m and that of SF-MC2 is 5.1843e-5 m/m through ANSYS Simulation Workbench 16 and the values obtained for the same through analytical calculations are 4.2896e-3 m/m for sintered iron and 8.5410e-5 m/m for SF-MC2. Equivalent Stress for sintered iron is 7.8916e5 Pa and that of SF-MC2 is 3.7638e5 Pa through ANSYS Simulation Workbench 16 and the values obtained for the same through analytical calculations are 9.3767e5 Pa for sintered iron and 6.6883e5Pa for SF-MC2. Also the results have been tabulated below:

		Table 5	5:	Result	table	for	Sintered	iron
--	--	---------	----	--------	-------	-----	----------	------

Material-	Total	Equivalent Strain	Equivalent
Sintered iron	Deformation	(Von-Mises)	Stress (Von-
	(m)	(m/m)	Mises) (Pa)
ANSYS results	2.2761e-6	2.8615e-3	7.8916e5
Analytical	2.1456e-6	4.2896e-3	9.3767e5

 Table 6: Result table for SF-MC2

Material-	Total	Equivalent	Equivalent Stress
SF-MC2	Deformation	Strain (Von-	(Von-Mises) (Pa)
	(m)	Mises) (m/m)	
ANSYS results	3.8077e-8	5.1843e-5	3.7638e5
Analytical	4.2722e-8	8.5410e-5	6.6883e5

8. Conclusion

In multi plate clutch, friction plate plays very important role in torque transmission from engine to transmission system. So the friction material property is very important in clutch. From the above tables, it is clear that SF-MC2 is a better friction material than Sintered Iron. It is also observed that total deformation, equivalent strain and equivalent strain of SF-MC2 is in the permissible range for the ideal friction material. SF-MC2 has the low total deformation when compared to the conventional friction material. Also, the stress induced in SF-MC2 material is far less than that developed in Sintered Iron friction material. Hence, it is concluded that SF-MC2 serves as a better friction material than Sintered Iron and gives better clutch performance.

References

- [1] Frenos Sauleda Sa, Friction Material Catalogue
- [2] Mamta G. Pawar, Monarch K. Warambhe, Gautam R. Jodh, "Design and Analysis of Clutch Using Sintered Iron as Friction Material", *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering* (*IJITEE*) ISSN: 2278-3075, Volume-3, Issue-7, December 2013
- [3] Vijaykumar Jatti, Mandar Sapre, "Finite Element Analysis", First Edition, Tech-max Publications Co 2015
- [4] Ganesh Raut, Anil Manjare, P Bhaskar, "Analysis of Multidisc Clutch Using FEA", *International Journal of*

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT) –Volume 6 Number 1- Dec 2013

- [5] Virendra kumar patel, Gopal Sahu, Prakash Kumar Sen, Ritesh Sharma, Shailendra Bohidar, "Review on Wear Analysis of Different Types of Clutch Materials", International Journal of Research in Advent Technology, Vol.3, No.11, November 2015 E-ISSN: 2321-9637
- [6] V.B Bhandari, "Design of Machine Elements", *Third Edition, Tata-McGraw-Hill book, Co 2007*
- [7] R.S.Khurmi, J.K.Gupta, "Theory of Machines", *New Edition S.Chand, Co 2005*