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Abstract: The objective of this paper is to understand various types of flexibility in automobile industries to deal with various uncertain demands & products categories. The purpose of this study is to investigate various research questions related to manufacturing flexibility& Flexible manufacturing system (FMS). What are the components of manufacturing flexibility? Is there a relationship between manufacturing flexibility and organizational performance? Whether integrated strategies strengthen the relationship between manufacturing flexibility and organizational performance? This study emphasizes on necessity for flexibility in Manufacturing for Cost savings, improving efficiency & productivity in mass production. Flexibility today means quickness in delivery and high quality at reasonable prices. The research attempts to develop a framework for flexibility implementation in automobile industries in scenarios of fluctuation in market demands & manufacturing capabilities within required times. It starts with examining the different efforts of manufacturing flexibility improvement in various firms to understand the current focus and use of flexibility and investigate the problems they are faced with. The study deals with various scenarios of volume flexibility and as well mix flexibility. Manufacturing flexibility in automobile industries where there are high variations in product categories enforces the need of capacity enhancements & intergrations through layout optimizations. The static version of facilities layout problem (FLP) where given planer region is divided into departments assuming that material flows in between department & unit cost of transportation is given and will remain same during the planning horizon.
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1. Introduction

1.1 A flexible manufacturing system (FMS)

FMS is a manufacturing system in which there is some amount of flexibility that allows the system to react in the case of changes, whether predicted or unpredicted. This flexibility is generally considered to fall into two categories, which both contain numerous subcategories.

The first category, machine flexibility, covers the system's ability to be changed to produce new product types, and ability to change the order of operations executed on a part. The second category is called routing flexibility, which consists of the ability to use multiple machines to perform the same operation on a part, as well as the system's ability to absorb large-scale changes, such as in volume, capacity, or capability.

Most FMS consist of three main systems. The work machines which are often automated CNC machines are connected by a material handling system to optimize parts flow and the central control computer which controls material movements and machine flow.

The main advantages of an FMS are its high flexibility in managing manufacturing resources like time and effort in order to manufacture a new product. The best application of an FMS is found in the production of small sets of products like those from a mass production.

The purpose of this study is empirically investigating four research questions related to manufacturing flexibility.

• To find out the various components of manufacturing flexibility.
• To find out the relationship between manufacturing flexibility and organizational performance.
• Do integrated strategies strengthen the relationship between manufacturing flexibility and organizational performance?
• To find out is there any organizational characteristics that strengthen the relationship between manufacturing flexibility and organizational performance.

1.2 Necessity for Flexibility in Manufacturing

• Cost savings
• Efficiency
• Mass production
• Time
• Flexibility today means quickness in delivery and high quality at reasonable prices.

2. Literature Survey

SETHI, A. K. and SETHI, S. P., 1990 et al [1] made an extensive survey of flexibility in manufacturing. Their research is devoted to understanding the concept of flexibility in manufacturing and defining the various types of flexibility found in the literature. According to their survey, there are two types of uncertainties. The first type is due to internal disturbances, such as equipment breakdowns, variable task times, queuing delays, rejects and rework. The second type is caused by external forces such as uncertainties in the level of demand, product prices or lower cost savings.
product mix. We focus on the second type of uncertainty, termed the fundamental uncertainty of the competitive environment, and how to design facilities robust to manifestations of this uncertainty.

Rosenblatt (1986) et al [2] In the facility design literature, uncertainty has been studied as either the dynamic FLP and/or the stochastic FLP. As first studied by Rosenblatt (1986) and then by others (Balakrishnan et al. 1992, Urban 1992, 1993, 1998, Yang and Peters 1998, Kochhar and Heragu 1999), the dynamic FLP primarily addresses minimizing the combination of material handling costs and rearrangement costs over all production periods. For a survey of dynamic FLP algorithms, see Balakrishnan and Cheng (1998). On the other hand, the stochastic FLP uses the idea of probabilistically changing demand patterns in the same production period and/or from one period to another (Rosenblatt and Lee 1987, Kouvelis and Kiran 1991, Kouvelis et al. 1992, Palekar et al. 1992).

Smith & Norman (2000) et al [3] that models production uncertainty on a continuous scale under the assumption that product demands are independent. Using that idea, we relax product independence and allow correlated product demands. Correlation does not permit a closed form expression, as was developed by Smith and Norman for independent demands; therefore we develop and use an alternative method of evaluation.

Chan (2001) et al [4] studied the effects of different levels of routing flexibility on the performance of FMSs with and without the factor of machine breakdowns. Routing flexibility was defined as ‘a measure of the average number of choices of machine that an individual product can choose’. Five levels of routing flexibility were studied. To measure system performance, three criteria were considered: make span, lead time and machine utilization. It was found that increasing routing flexibility does not always improve system performance.

Gupta (1986) et al [5] considered the FLP by obtaining the material flow matrices using simulation randomly to generate the flow between all pairs of departments. He used equal area, square-shaped departments and assumed that individual flow volumes are independent and normally distributed. In our simulation, the mean, variance and covariance of interdepartmental flows are estimated, and then these estimations are used in the design process. This approach is computationally efficient since the simulation is performed only once before starting the optimization process. As a solution technique in this paper, a tabu search-based heuristic for the stochastic FLP is presented. The methodology presented in this paper differs from previous research efforts because it proposes an efficient solution methodology for the FLP considering both routing flexibility and volume uncertainty. Moreover, the volume uncertainty can follow any general form and is not limited to certain classes of distributions.

3. Conclusion

The research only covers the issues on volume flexibility & mix flexibility as they are system level flexibility. Which mainly provides firm competitiveness? However the issues involving volume & mix flexibility can be sensitive & varied depending on different situations the planning & implementation of flexibility are focused in terms of contented. The investigation of successful flexibility implementation is mainly based on the resource based view. The framework & decision making tool will facilitate the manufacturing & operation strategy formulation process.
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