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Abstract: This study entitled “Efficiency of Local Government Units in Northwestern, Philippines as to the Attainment of the Millennium Development Goals” determined the performance of the four provinces and eight cities in Region I, Philippines, vis-à-vis their efficiency along the eight goals and 21 targets of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) for 2012-2015. Furthermore, it determined the peer groups and weights of the DMUs (Decision Making Units – the different provinces and cities), the virtual inputs/outputs or potential improvements of the DMUs to be in the efficient frontier, the input and output slacks (input excesses and output shortfalls) needed in the different indicators and the best practices to be considered by the inefficient and weak efficient DMUs. The “best practice” in the frontier is the basis to calculate the adjustments necessary for the DMUs. Different indicators showed varied performance levels in the different years but there are best practices from the “efficient” DMUs which could be adapted by the “weak efficient” and “inefficient” ones.
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1. Introduction

Building on the United Nations global conferences of the 1990s, the Millennium Declaration of 2000 marked a strong commitment to the right to development, peace and security, gender equality, eradication of the many dimensions of poverty and to sustainable human development. Embedded in that Declaration, which was adopted by 147 heads of state and 189 countries, were what have become known as Millennium Development Goals, including the 18 time-bound targets.

To monitor progress towards these goals and targets, the United Nation system, including the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, as well as the Development Assistance Committee of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, came together under the Office of the Secretary General and agreed on 48 quantitative indicators. The indicators built upon an inter-governmental process to identify relevant indicators in response to global conferences. The UN Secretary General presented the goals, targets and indicators to the General Assembly in September 2001 in the “Road Map towards the Implementation of the United Nations Millennium Declaration”. (Brown, 2003)

The goals and targets are interrelated and represent a partnership between the developed and developing countries as the Declaration states, “to create an environment – at the national and global levels alike – which is conducive to development and the elimination of poverty”. The member nations represented by the heads of the states committed to help achieve the following Millennium Development Goals by 2015. The eight goals are: (1) to eradicate extreme poverty and hunger; (2) to achieve universal primary education; (3) to promote gender equality and empower women; (4) to reduce child mortality; (5) to improve maternal health; (6) to combat HIV/AIDS, malaria, and other diseases; (7) to ensure environmental sustainability; and (8) to develop a global partnership for development.

Figure 1: Formulation of MDGs

The goals, targets and indicators were developed in 2002
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The Millennium Development Goals consist of eight (8) goals, 21 targets, whose general focus and scope is on social aspect. It targeted the developing countries particularly the poorest ones. Furthermore, it was formulated by a group of experts.

MDG monitoring is taking place globally through annual reports of the UN Secretary General and through periodic country reporting. For global reporting, use is made of indicators compiled by international organizations. Internationally compiled indicators, based on standard concepts, definitions and methodologies more readily facilitate cross-country comparisons. For country reporting, use is generally made of indicators compiled from national sources, generally by national statistical system.

### Table 1: Status of MDG indicators as of September 2015 (Source: PSA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poverty Gap Ratio</td>
<td>Income poverty</td>
<td>Proportion of household with per capita&lt;100% adequacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Poverty</td>
<td>Underweight children</td>
<td>Cohort survival rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of literate females to males 15-24 y/o</td>
<td>Proportion of 1 y/o children immunized against measles</td>
<td>Primary completion rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant and under-5 mortality</td>
<td>Proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel</td>
<td>Literacy rate (15-24 y/o)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of girls to boys in elementary participation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio of girls to boys in primary education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of girls to boys in secondary education and participation rates</td>
<td></td>
<td>Share of women in wage employment in non-agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of girls to boys in tertiary education</td>
<td></td>
<td>Proportion of seats held by women in national government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence and death rate associated with malaria</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prevalence and death rate associated with tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of tuberculosis cases detected and cured under DOTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Contraceptive prevalence rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to safe water</td>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal mortality ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to sanitary toilet facility</td>
<td></td>
<td>Adolescent birth rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 reflects the MDG indicators categorized as high, medium and low where indicators under high category need to be addressed immediately, followed by those in the medium and low category. Since findings presented were at the national level, the researcher needs to look into the problems in the regional level in relation to the efficiency of local government units.

### 2. Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study is to determine the efficiency of the local government units of the four provinces and eight cities of North Western Philippines along the Millennium Development Goals. Specifically, based on the different indicators, this study will seek answers to the following questions:

1) What is the efficiency of the local government units based on the DEA analysis?
2) What are the peer groups and weights of the DMUs?
3) What are the virtual inputs/outputs or improvements of the provinces/cities to be in the efficient frontier?
4) What are the input and output slacks needed in the different indicators?
5) Based on the findings, what are the best practices to be considered by the efficient DMUs?

3. Methodology

This study utilized the descriptive evaluative research design. It is also a documentary analysis as it analyzed the efficiency of the four (4) provinces and eight (8) cities of Northwestern Philippines in terms of the different MDG indicators.

The researcher considered several entities for evaluation using a non-parametric approach called Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) in Decision Making Units (DMUs) to evaluate and compare the efficiency of the local government units.

It employed the Input Oriented Multi-Stage Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) Constant Returns-to-Scale (CRS) Model to find out the most favorable weight and the efficiency of a DMU equivalent to improving the efficiency of this DMU by minimizing its inputs while producing at least the observed output levels.

Furthermore, this study also utilized Time Series Analysis in terms of their efficiency scores for the calendar years 2012-2015.

4. Summary of Findings

The following are the findings of the study: (Please see appendices)

1. The efficiency of the provinces/cities is as follows:
   a. As to the eradication of extreme poverty and hunger, only Ilocos Sur was found to be inefficient.
   b. Under achieving universal primary education, only San Fernando City was found to be fully efficient.
   c. As to the promotion of gender equality and empowerment of women, only five (5) cities namely Alaminos City, Laoag City, San Fernando City, Urdaneta City and Vigan City were found to be fully efficient.
   d. In terms of reduction of child mortality, only Ilocos Sur was inefficient.
   e. As to the improvement of maternal health, only the province of Ilocos Sur was found to be fully efficient.
   f. Under the goal - to combat HIV/AIDS, malaria, and other diseases, all the DMUs are fully efficient.
   g. In terms of ensuring environmental sustainability, Ilocos Sur and Pangasinan serve as efficient peers and weights of the other two (2) provinces.
   h. As to Goal #8 – To develop global partnership for development: Ilocos Norte and La Union serve as efficient peers and weights of Ilocos Sur and Pangasinan.

3. The virtual inputs/outputs or improvements of the provinces/cities to be in the efficient frontier (Potential Improvement of the DMUs) are the following:

   a. As to Goal #1- To eradicate extreme poverty and hunger: Ilocos Norte, La Union and Pangasinan.
   b. Under Goal #2 - To achieve universal primary education: only San Fernando City was found to be fully efficient.
   c. As to Goal #3 – To promote gender equality and empower women: five (5) cities namely Alaminos City, Laoag City, San Fernando City, Urdaneta City and Vigan City are the efficient peers and weights.
   d. In terms of Goal #4 – To reduce child mortality: Ilocos Norte, La Union and Pangasinan are the efficient peers and weights of Ilocos Sur.
   e. As to Goal #5 – To improve maternal health: only the province of Ilocos Sur is the efficient peer and weight of the other three (3) provinces.
   f. Under Goal #6 – To combat HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases: all the DMUs are fully efficient so they don’t need an efficient peer and weight.
   g. In terms of Goal #7 – To ensure environmental sustainability: Ilocos Sur and Pangasinan serve as efficient peers and weights of the other two (2) provinces.
   h. As to Goal #8 – To develop global partnership for development: Ilocos Norte and La Union serve as efficient peers and weights of Ilocos Sur and Pangasinan.
improvements in the number of botika sa barangay to have corresponding improvements in the outputs.

4. The input/output slacks are the input excesses and output shortfalls.
   a. No slacks are needed in Goal #1.
   b. Input slacks are needed under Goal #2 except for San Fernando City.
   c. Input slacks are needed by Candon, Dagupan and San Carlos Cities while output slacks are needed by Ilocos Sur and La Union as to Goal #3.
   d. In terms of Goal #4, only Ilocos Sur needs to decrease its input slacks.
   e. As to Goal #5, there is no need to have input/output slacks.
   f. There is no need to have input/output slacks in Goal #6.
   g. In terms of Goal #7, La Union needs to have input slacks while Ilocos Norte needs input/output slacks.
   h. As to Goal #8, Ilocos Sur needs output slacks while Pangasinan needs input and output slacks.

5. Based on the findings, all the best practices of fully efficient DMUs should be adopted by weak efficient and inefficient DMUs for them to be in the efficient frontier and have an efficiency score of 1.00.
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