Effect of *Allium sativum* on the Carbohydrate Metabolism of *Haemonchus contortus*
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Abstract: Gastrointestinal (GI) nematode infections in small ruminants are widely prevalent in Indian sub continent and leads to heavy economic losses to meat and wool industries worldwide, among which a nematode, Haemonchus contortus account for more losses in livestock. In the present investigation, the effect of Allium sativum ethanol extract (AsEE) on the enzymes of carbohydrate metabolism viz. pyruvate kinase (PK), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), malate dehydrogenase (MDH), fumarate reductase (FR) and succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) of Hamonchus contortus was studied in vitro. The parasites were incubated in five different sub-lethal concentrations of AsEE viz. 0.005, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1 and 0.5 mg/ml for 2, 4 and 8h. The activity of all the enzymes of carbohydrate metabolism was assayed using standard procedures. The enzyme activity was expressed in terms of protein. The data obtained were analyzed statistically. AsEE significantly inhibited the enzymes of carbohydrate metabolism and the percentage of inhibition was dose and time dependent. Impairment of carbohydrate metabolism in parasitic helminths may be disastrous since they depend almost entirely on it for their energy supply. Consequently, the energy deprived parasite unable to sustain them in situ may be expelled from the host, Hence, AsEE can be used as anthelmintic drug to control haemonchosis.
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1. Introduction

Parasitism is an important limiting factor responsible for deteriorating the health and productivity of livestock and it is considered as economically important diseases of livestock [1]. Parasitic infestations exert adverse effects on the animals [2]. These effects are varied and more pronounced in sheep and goats compared to those seen in other species of livestock [3]. Many species of parasites are seen in sheep and goats and usually include *Haemonchus*, Oesophagostomum, Ostertagia, Cheadertia, Nematodirus, Trichuris, Moniezia and Fasciola. The most important of these is *Haemonchus contortus* [4]. *H. contortus* is the most damaging gastrointestinal worms for livestock in tropical and subtropical regions, particularly for sheep and goats. Both the larvae and the adults feed on blood and cause a considerable damage to the stomach tissues. Haemonchosis caused by *H. contortus*, a common health hazard in small ruminants in India [5] and is responsible for under productivity of the animals [6]. Control of gastrointestinal helminths by use of synthetic anthelmintics has inherent challenges to the poor farmers of developing countries [7]. Furthermore, continuous usage of conventional anthelmintics leads to development of resistance, presence of residues in meat and milk with associated high environmental impact [8]. Resistance of *H. contortus* to ivermectin and benzimidazoles has been reported, the parasite the occurrence being significantly higher in sheep than in goats [9,10]. Anthelmintic resistance and other associated shortcomings of conventional drugs has necessitated search for alternative herbal remedies [11] such as medicinal plants. For centuries, medicinal plants have been used to combat parasitism and in many parts of the world are still used for this purpose. The use of medicinal plants for the prevention and treatment of gastro-intestinal parasitism has its origin in ethno veterinary medicine [12].

2. Background of the Study

Medicinal plants have played a key role in world health. They are distributed worldwide, but they are most abundant in tropical countries. It is estimated that about 25% of all modern medicines are directly or indirectly derived from higher plants [13]. Medicinal plants constitute a source of raw materials for both traditional systems of medicine and modern medicine. Nowadays, plant materials are employed throughout the industrialized and developing countries as home remedies, over the counter drugs, and ingredients for the pharmaceutical industry. A large number of plant products are being used to combat gastro-intestinal parasites of livestock and also humans [14-20]. *Allium sativum*, commonly known as garlic; is one of the species belonging to the family Alliaceae. The anthelmintic activity of *A. sativum* against common intestinal parasites, including *Ascaris lumbricoides* and hookworms was reported by Riggs and Lamm [21]. Various researchers have reported that oil of *A. sativum* has possessed anthelmintic activity [22-25]. The pharmacological properties of garlic are strictly associated with presence of such chemical compounds as aromatic sulphur-based compounds, phenolic compound (phenolic acids, flavonoids), polysaccharide and protein [26, 27]. In the present investigation effect of *Allium sativum* on the carbohydrate metabolism of *H. contortus* was studied. Carbohydrate metabolism is used to gauge the anthelmintic property of a plant product against the parasites in *in vitro* conditions. Carbohydrate is an essential energy source in all adult parasitic helminths. Glucose is very important for many helminths inhabiting the alimentary tract and glycogen is the most common polysaccharide reserve in helminths that exists in environments of low O2 tension [28-30]. Helminth parasites depend predominantly on anaerobic energy metabolism, whether or not they exist in nature in environments with low O2 tension [31,32]. Glucose degradation involves the formation of phosphoenolpyruvate
(PEP) by the classical Embden-Meyerhof scheme, but differs from the vertebrate pattern by the subsequent fixation of CO₂. PEP can either be carboxylated by phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) to oxaloacetate (OAA), or dephosphorylated by pyruvate kinase (PK) to pyruvate. Pyruvate so formed comes under the influence of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) resulting in the formation of lactate. OAA is rapidly converted to malate by malate dehydrogenase (MDH). The malate permeates into the mitochondrion; once inside, a redox dismutation occurs. Fumarase (FM) and malic enzyme (ME) compete for malate, the common substrate, and produce fumarate and pyruvate respectively. Fumarate is further catabolised to succinate via a fumarate reductase complex. Decarboxylation of both pyruvate and succinate results in the final end products of acetate and propionate respectively [33]. Energetically PEP-succinate pathway is considered more profitable than PEP-acetate pathway. Considering the importance of carbohydrates in helminths, the present investigation was carried out to assess the anthelmintic potential of *Allium sativum* ethanol extract based on its effect on PK, PEPCK, LDH, MDH, FR and SDH of *H. contortus*.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1 Collection and *in vitro* maintenance of *H. contortus*

Adult female *H. contortus* were collected from the abomasum of sheep, slaughtered at Perambur slaughter house, Chennai. The worms were washed in physiological saline and maintained in Hedon-Fleig solution (pH 7.0) at 37°C, which is the best medium for *in vitro* maintenance [34].

3.2 Preparation of plant extract

The bulbs of *Allium sativum* was collected made into paste and soaked serially in hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate, ethanol and water in an aspirator bottle and extracted by cold percolation method after 48 h [35]. The filtrate was collected by passing the mixture through Whatman filter paper No.1 and concentrated by using Rotary Evaporator (EQUITRON). The concentrated extracts were dried to remove the solvents using Lyodel freeze Dryer (DELVAC, Chennai).

3.3 Sample preparation

Adult *H. contortus* were incubated in 0.005, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1 and 0.5 mg/ml concentrations of *A. sativum* for 2, 4 and 8h. Simultaneously, control was also maintained in Hedon-Fleig solution without the plant extract. After incubation, the parasites were rinsed in distilled water. The parasites were weighed wet and a 10% (W/V) homogenate was prepared by homogenizing the worms in ice-cold 0.25 M sucrose solution containing 0.15 M Tris-HCl (pH-7.5) using a tissue homogenizer in an ice-bath. This homogenate was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 min and the sediment containing the cellular particles viz. nucleus and other organelles were discarded. The supernatant was used as the enzyme source. The cytosolic and mitochondrial fractions of *H. contortus* were prepared following the method of Fry et al. [36].

3.4 Enzyme assay:

The enzyme PK and PEPCK activities in the cytosolic fraction were assayed following the method of McManus and Smyth [37]. The oxidation and reduction reactions of LDH activity was assayed following the procedure of Yoshida and Freese [38]. MDH catalysing the oxidation of malate and reduction of OAA was assayed in both the cytosolic and mitochondrial fractions following the method of Yoshida [39]. FR activity was assayed as detailed by Sanadi and Fluharty [40]. SDH activity was assayed according to the method of Singer [41]. The enzyme activity was expressed in terms of protein content. Protein in the sample was determined by the method of Lowry et al. [42].

3.5 Statistical analyses

The experimental results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Each value is expressed as mean of triplicate experiments. Statistical analyses were performed by ANOVA using SPSS version 20 for different concentration of ethanol extract of *A. sativum*.

4. Results and Discussion

*AsEE* inhibited the activity of the enzymes such as PK, PEPCK, LDH, MDH, FR and SDH involved in carbohydrate metabolism at different concentrations and period of exposure (Tables 1-5). Phophoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) and pyruvate kinase (PK) play key roles in helminth energy metabolism. PEPCK is the most active CO₂ fixing enzyme and this reaction serves as the link between the glycolytic pathway and the TCA cycle [43]. Therefore, PK and PEPCK are the main targets for therapeutic interference. *AsEE* significantly inhibited the PK and PEPCK activities of *H. contortus* (Table 1). The inhibition of PK activity results in reduced production of pyruvate and the inhibition of PEPCK arrests the PEP-lactate or acetate/PEP-succinate or propionate pathways [44]. This leads to the impairment of energy yielding process deprives the parasite of its ATP production. Reduced production of ATP proves fatal to the parasites. The inhibition of PK and PEPCK activities treated with anthelmintics in other helminths are on record [45-47]. Navaneetha Lakshmi and Veerakumari [48] reported the inhibitory effect on the PK and PEPCK activities in *Haemonchus contortus* treated with *Allium sativum*.

The action of PK on PEP results in the production of pyruvate. It is evident from the present investigation that *AsEE* inhibited the LDH catalysing both the lactate oxidation and pyruvate reduction (Table 2). The inhibitory effect of PZQ and LEV on LDH activity of *C. cotyllophorum* has been reported by Veerakumari and Munuswamy [49]. Similar inhibitory effect of *A. sativum* on the LDH activity catalysing both the oxidation and the reduction reactions in *H. contortus* has been reported by Veerakumari and Navaneetha Lakshmi [34].

MDH is a complex regulator of energy metabolism [50,51] and is involved in both anaerobic and aerobic respiration [52]. *AsEE* significantly inhibited the cytoplasmic MDH
In the present study, AsEE inhibited the FR and SDH activity of H. contortus (Table 5). Inhibition of FR is vital, because it plays a key role in the energy metabolism of most parasitic helminths. Similar with the present studies, Satoshi Fairweather’s [47, 54] reported selective toxicity and inhibitory effect of nafuredin, a novel compound isolated from Aspergillus nigers. The FR activity of H. contortus was also inhibited by other drugs such as tetramisole, thiabendazole, cambendazole, mebendazole, morantel tartrate and disophenol [58, 59, 30].

Succinate is the major fermentation product in a number of intestinal helminths [60, 61]. On investigating the activity of SDH in H. contortus, a significant decrease in the enzyme activity of AsEE-treated worms was noted. Skuce and Fairweather’s [62] findings also explain that SDH inhibition by anthelmintics could prevent the utilization of the chemical energy derived from electron transport for the net phosphorylation of ADP to ATP and deprive the parasite of its normal source of energy. The inhibition of SDH activity in Heterakis, Trichuris, Ascaridia, Chabertia, Bunostomum and Nematodierus by tetramisole has been reported by Van den Bossche and Janssen [63]. Inhibition of SDH activity induced by synthetic drugs has been reported in H. contortus [59,64], Aspicularis tetraptera and A. summ [65].

The inhibition of the enzymes of metabolism of H. contortus by Allium sativum was reported by Navaneetha lakshmi and Veerakumari [66]. Inhibition of the enzymes, PK, PEPCK, LDH, MDH, FR and SDH activity by AsEE impairs the energy metabolism of the parasites resulting in less production of ATP. Consequently, the energy deprived parasite unable to sustain themselves in situ may be expelled from the host. The results of the present investigation revealed that AsEE could be used as a potential phytotherapeutic drug to control H. contortus infection in livestock.

5. Conclusion

The present study revealed that A. sativum ethanol extract have anthelmintic activity against Haemonchus contortus. From these studies, it could be concluded that the bulbs of A. sativum are the good traditional medicines for helminthic infections.
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Table 1: Effect of AsEE on PK and PEPCK activity of H. contortus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. mg/ml*</th>
<th>% inhibition (mean ± SD of n=5) at various periods of incubation**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>18.85 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>23.74 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>33.49 ± 0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>39.60 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>42.17 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Inhibitory effects of the extracts among the different concentrations of the respective plants are duration of incubation (P < 0.05) using Duncan principle comparisons.
** Inhibitory effects of the extracts among the different hours of incubation is significantly different for each concentration of the respective plants (P < 0.01) Duncan principle comparisons.

Table 2: Effect of AsEE on LDH activity of H. contortus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. mg/ml*</th>
<th>% inhibition (mean ± SD of n=5) at various periods of incubation**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>16.35 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>21.15 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>27.76 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>31.88 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>32.69 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Inhibitory effects of the extracts among the different concentrations of the respective plants are duration of incubation (P < 0.05) using Duncan principle comparisons.
** Inhibitory effects of the extracts among the different hours of incubation is significantly different for each concentration of the respective plants (P < 0.01) Duncan principle comparisons.
Table 3: Effect of AsEE on cMDH activity of H. contortus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. mg/ml*</th>
<th>2h</th>
<th>4h</th>
<th>8h</th>
<th>2h</th>
<th>4h</th>
<th>8h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>19.66 ± 0.002</td>
<td>41.15 ± 0.011</td>
<td>65.95 ± 0.008</td>
<td>17.75 ± 0.004</td>
<td>40.79 ± 0.010</td>
<td>56.27 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>23.96 ± 0.003</td>
<td>46.66 ± 0.003</td>
<td>70.29 ± 0.009</td>
<td>19.03 ± 0.003</td>
<td>41.46 ± 0.012</td>
<td>62.51 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>25.99 ± 0.002</td>
<td>49.47 ± 0.002</td>
<td>71.11 ± 0.005</td>
<td>28.03 ± 0.012</td>
<td>50.72 ± 0.007</td>
<td>63.91 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>28.96 ± 0.003</td>
<td>52.94 ± 0.003</td>
<td>72.14 ± 0.004</td>
<td>30.14 ± 0.012</td>
<td>54.07 ± 0.008</td>
<td>71.89 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>35.48 ± 0.002</td>
<td>64.44 ± 0.002</td>
<td>79.74 ± 0.002</td>
<td>37.42 ± 0.016</td>
<td>63.58 ± 0.003</td>
<td>80.00 ± 0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Inhibitory effects of the extracts among the different concentrations of the respective plants are duration of incubation (P < 0.05) using Duncan principle comparisons.

**Inhibitory effects of the extracts among the different hours of incubation is significantly different for each concentration of the respective plants (P < 0.01) Duncan principle comparisons.

Table 4: Effect of AsEE on mMDH activity of H. contortus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. mg/ml*</th>
<th>2h</th>
<th>4h</th>
<th>8h</th>
<th>2h</th>
<th>4h</th>
<th>8h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>20.43 ± 0.003</td>
<td>36.81 ± 0.004</td>
<td>66.15 ± 0.002</td>
<td>19.23 ± 0.002</td>
<td>35.80 ± 0.004</td>
<td>56.67 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>23.30 ± 0.003</td>
<td>45.83 ± 0.002</td>
<td>67.13 ± 0.002</td>
<td>21.25 ± 0.002</td>
<td>37.39 ± 0.003</td>
<td>59.30 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>30.82 ± 0.002</td>
<td>51.85 ± 0.002</td>
<td>69.78 ± 0.002</td>
<td>25.00 ± 0.002</td>
<td>41.15 ± 0.002</td>
<td>61.00 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>35.13 ± 0.002</td>
<td>54.49 ± 0.001</td>
<td>74.62 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>28.75 ± 0.002</td>
<td>48.64 ± 0.001</td>
<td>66.87 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>42.29 ± 0.003</td>
<td>56.90 ± 0.002</td>
<td>78.85 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>35.71 ± 0.001</td>
<td>51.85 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>70.83 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Inhibitory effects of the extracts among the different concentrations of the respective plants are duration of incubation (P < 0.05) using Duncan principle comparisons.

**Inhibitory effects of the extracts among the different hours of incubation is significantly different for each concentration of the respective plants (P < 0.01) Duncan principle comparisons.

Table 5: Effect of AsEE on FR and SDH activity of H. contortus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. mg/ml*</th>
<th>2h</th>
<th>4h</th>
<th>8h</th>
<th>2h</th>
<th>4h</th>
<th>8h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR</td>
<td>SDH</td>
<td>FR</td>
<td>SDH</td>
<td>FR</td>
<td>SDH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>21.32 ± 0.014</td>
<td>50.76 ± 0.002</td>
<td>66.29 ± 0.003</td>
<td>18.27 ± 0.002</td>
<td>51.45 ± 0.002</td>
<td>62.60 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>26.14 ± 0.002</td>
<td>55.68 ± 0.004</td>
<td>72.40 ± 0.002</td>
<td>27.08 ± 0.004</td>
<td>52.00 ± 0.006</td>
<td>64.56 ± 0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>31.82 ± 0.002</td>
<td>57.32 ± 0.003</td>
<td>74.65 ± 0.003</td>
<td>37.50 ± 0.002</td>
<td>58.10 ± 0.002</td>
<td>70.14 ± 0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>40.34 ± 0.002</td>
<td>60.61 ± 0.002</td>
<td>78.71 ± 0.002</td>
<td>45.31 ± 0.003</td>
<td>60.00 ± 0.002</td>
<td>78.00 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>41.56 ± 0.002</td>
<td>64.55 ± 0.002</td>
<td>86.61 ± 0.002</td>
<td>46.95 ± 0.002</td>
<td>65.71 ± 0.002</td>
<td>86.25 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Inhibitory effects of the extracts among the different concentrations of the respective plants are duration of incubation (P < 0.05) using Duncan principle comparisons.

**Inhibitory effects of the extracts among the different hours of incubation is significantly different for each concentration of the respective plants (P < 0.01) Duncan principle comparisons.
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