Quality of Life in Patients with Thalassemia Major

Mohit Gupta¹, Ritika Jindal²

¹Senior resident, Pediatrics, Kalpna Chawla Government Meical College, Karnal, Haryana, India

²Senior resident, Anasthesia, Kalpna Chawla Government Meical College, Karnal, Haryana, India

Abstract: We conducted a case control study to compare the quality of life (QOL) in 50 children with thalassemia and 50 demographically matched healthy controls at a referral hospital in Northern India. Quality of life among children with thalassemia was significantly worse in emotional (P=0.025) and school (P=0.011) functioning in self report and also in psychosocial (P=0.001), emotional (P=0.00), physical (P=0.03), social (P=0.00) functioning in parent proxy report. Factors [except age (P=0.000)] such as gender (P=0.951, socioeconomic status (P=0.593) and parent living status (P=0.478) did not significantly influence QOL scores.

Keywords: thalassemia, quality of life, social, physical, demography

1. Introduction

Beta-thalassemia major (β -TM), also known as Cooley's anemia, is a hemoglobinopathy leading to chronic hemolytic anemia. If patients with β -TM are treated inadequately and inappropriately, it will be a fatal disease [1]. It is a common disease in Mediterranean countries, Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent and the Middle East [2,3].

The life expectancy and survival of these patients have increased dramatically over last decades through introduction of regular blood transfusion therapy and ironchelating therapies [4]. Because of increased patients' survival, their quality of life (QoL) is believed to be lower than that of the normal population because of a variety of issues that these patients encounter during their lives, including the presence of co-morbid conditions, regular transfusions, infertility, disease complications, uncertainties about the future, psychiatric disorders, and difficulties in employment [5]. Because of these factors, patients with thalassemia experience many physical, psychological and social problems that lead to decreased QoL. The World Health Organization (WHO) defined the quality of life as: "An individual perception of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns" [6]. The present study was designed to assess the quality of life (QOL) among patients of thalassemia major.

2. Methods

A case control study was conducted from September 2014 to March 2016. Fifty thalassemic children aged 2-18 years attending Thalassemia clinic were included. Quality of life was assessed using Pediatric quality of life inventory (PedsQLTM 4.0) [7]. The instrument was translated by professional translators in Hindi and was piloted on 10 parents prior to initiation of the study. It has two components: child self report (children> 5 years) and parent proxy report. The tool assesses the quality of life in five domains: physical functioning (8 items), psychosocial functioning (sum of emotional, social and school functioning), emotional functioning (5 items), social functioning (5 items) and school (5 items) functioning. The PedsQL scores range from 0 to 100 points with higher scores predicting better quality of life. Baseline demographic factors including age, gender and kuppuswamy's socioeconomic status of the family were collected [8]. The Mean QoL scores were compared among the cases and controls and also among various demographic variables using a unpaired t test and analysis of variance (ANOVA). All tests were two tailed and *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

A total of 50 patients of thalassemia major and 50 healthy controls participated in the study. Quality of life was lower in thalassemic group when compared with controls in all the domains although it was insignificant in physical, social and psychosocial domains of self report functioning and in school functioning domain of parent proxy report functioning.

Demographic factors including gender of children, parental socioeconomic status and parent living status did not significantly influence the total QOL scores among children with thalassemia whereas age significantly influence (p= 0.000) the quality of life.

4. Discussion

The present study highlights a lower quality of life in thalassemic children as compared to healthy controls. In addition, it was observed that demographic factors apart from age did not significantly affect the overall quality of life. We believe the study provides a further insight into this aspect of care of thalassemia affected children in the context of developing country. Our results for both univariate and multivariate analyses showed that age significantly influence the quality of life. Similar finding was observed by Ansari et al [9]. However, Shaligram [10] and Messina et al [11] didn't found any effect of age on QoL.

Thalassemia is one of chronic illness of childhood which not only affects physical well-being but also compromise emotional and social well-being. In addition, school dropouts and school absenteeism are perceived as a major handicap to care of chronic childhood illness like thalassemia in India. In the present study, performance on emotional, social and psychosocial functioning as per parent proxy-report was significantly worse than those of healthy controls [Table 1].

Poor QoL in patients with thalassemia major is probably due to a complex combination of living with a chronic disease and new challenges related to improved life expectancy in thalassemia. It is important to bear in mind that QoL is a social construct influenced by cultural and contextual variables [12].

Although male gender and lower socioeconomic status contributed to almost two third of our enrolled cases, interestingly, our study did not find any significant influence of these factors on quality of life. In addition, parental living status also did not influence the overall quality of life in thalassemia in children. The lack of effect could be the result of small sample size, acknowledged as a limitation of the study.

To conclude, the present study shows overall quality of life in thalassemic children was worse when compared to healthy children and demographic factors except age did not affect the quality of life. However, studies with larger sample size could provide a further insight into factors affecting quality of life in children with thalassemia in India.

References

- [1] Ismail A, Campbell MJ, Ibrahim HM, Jones GL. Health Related Quality of Life in Malaysian children with thalassaemia. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2006;4:39.
- [2] Angastiniotis M, Modell B. Global epidemiology of hemoglobin disorders. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1998;850:251-69.
- [3] Weatherall D. 2003 William Allan Award address. The Thalassemias: the role of molecular genetics in an evolving global health problem. Am J Hum Genet. 2004;74:385-92.
- [4] Telfer P, Coen PG, Christou S, et al. Survival of medically treated thalassemia patients in Cyprus. Trends and risk factors over the period 1980-2004. Haematologica. 2006;91:1187-92.
- [5] Pakbaz Z, Treadwell M, Yamashita R, et al. Quality of life in patients with thalassemia intermedia compared to thalassemia major. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2005;1054:457-61.
- [6] World Health Organization.2005.Measuring Quality of Life. Available at :// www .who.int/evidence/assessment/QOL/index.htm.
- [7] Varni JW, Seid M, Rode C. The PedsQL: Measurement model for the pediatric quality of life inventory. Medical Care. 1999;37:126-39.
- [8] Patro BK, Jeyashree K, Gupta PK. Kuppuswamy's Socioeconomic Status Scale 2010- The Need for Periodic Revision. Indian J Pediatr. 2012;79:395–6.
- [9] Ansari SH, Baghersalimi A, Azarkeivan A, Nojomi M, Hassanzadeh R. Quality of life in patients with thalassemia major. Iranian Journal of Pediatric Hematology Oncology 2014;4:57-63.
- [10] Shaligram D1, Girimaji SC, Chaturvedi SK. Pychological problems and quality of life in Children with thalassemia .Indian J Pediatr. 2007;74(8):727-30

- [11] Messina G1, Colombo E, Cassinerio E, Ferri F, Curti R, Altamura C,et all. Psychiatric aspects and Psychiatric disordersinyoung adult with thalassemia major. Intern Emerg Med. 2008;3(4):339-43.
- [12] Gollo G, Savioli G, Balocco M, Venturino C, Boeri E, Costantini M, Forni GL. Changes in the quality of life of people with thalassemia major between 2001 and 2009. Patient Preference and Adherence 2013;7: 231– 36.

Table 1• (Duality o	f Life	Scores in	n Cases	and Controls
	Juanty O		SCOLCS II	n Cases	and Condois

		Cases	(Controls	P value
	Ν	Mean(SD	Ν	Mean(SD	
		QOL)		QOL)	
		Self Report Fu	inctio	oning	
Physical		71.4 (33.5)		84 (32.3)	0.063
Emotional		66.5 (33.9)		81.5 (31.7)	0.025
Social		75.5 (36.9)		78.7 (31.4)	0.642
School		60.4 (35.4)		76.2 (24)	0.011
Psychosocial		67.8 (32.9)		78.8 (27.9)	0.067
	P	roxy Report F	unct	ioning	
Physical	1	84.5 (19.6)		94.2 (9.8)	0.03
Emotional		73.9 (15.4)		98.8 (3.6)	0.00
Social	×	76.3 (6.4)		86.5 (11.8)	0.00
School		67.6 (27.4)		74.8 (24.9)	0.167
Psychosocial		79.3 (11.2)		86.7 (10.9)	0.001
Total Score		75.2 (2.7)		84.9 (2.5)	0.009

Table 2: Factors Affecting Quality Of Life Among Cases

-7 66.8 (6.2) 0.000 -12 77.1 (18.8) 3.18 83.3 (7.8) 3-18 83.3 (7.8) 0.951 Sex Male 75.2 (21) 0.951 Female 74.9 (13.8) 0.951 Socioeconomic status 0.951 Ipper 75.2 (35.1) 0.951 Ipper lower 70.8 (11.8) 0.593 Ipper lower 71.6 (19.2) 0.593 Ipper lower 71.6 (19.2) 0.593 Parents living status 0.478		Patients (N=50)	P value		
-7 66.8 (6.2) 0.000 -12 77.1 (18.8) 3.18 83.3 (7.8) 3-18 83.3 (7.8) 0.951 Sex Male 75.2 (21) 0.951 Female 74.9 (13.8) 0.951 Socioeconomic status 0.951 Ipper 75.2 (35.1) 0.951 Ipper lower 70.8 (11.8) 0.593 Ipper lower 71.6 (19.2) 0.593 Ipper lower 71.6 (19.2) 0.593 Parents living status 0.478		Age (in years)			
Social (sta) -12 77.1 (18.8) 3-18 83.3 (7.8) Sex Sex Male 75.2 (21) 0.951 Female 74.9 (13.8) 0.951 Socioeconomic status Socioeconomic status 0.951 Ipper 75.2 (35.1) 0.951 Upper lower 70.8 (11.8) 0.593 Upper lower 71.6 (19.2) 0.593 Upper lower 71.6 (19.2) 0.593 Upper 64.7 (33.3) 0.593 Parents living status oth alive 75.3 (19.6) 0.478	2-4	84.3 (27.6)			
3-18 83.3 (7.8) Sex Sex Male 75.2 (21) 0.951 Female 74.9 (13.8) 0.951 Socioeconomic status Socioeconomic status 0.951 Ipper 75.2 (35.1) 0.951 Ipper lower 70.8 (11.8) 0.593 Ipper lower 71.6 (19.2) 0.593 Parents living status 0.593 oth alive 75.3 (19.6) 0.478	5-7	66.8 (6.2)	0.000		
Sex Male 75.2 (21) 0.951 Female 74.9 (13.8) 0.951 Socioeconomic status 0.951 Ipper 75.2 (35.1) 0.951 Ipper 70.8 (11.8) 0.593 Ipper 77.9 (16.4) 0.593 Ipper 64.7 (33.3) 0.593 Parents living status ooth alive 75.3 (19.6) 0.478	8-12	77.1 (18.8)			
Male 75.2 (21) 0.951 Female 74.9 (13.8) 0.951 Socioeconomic status 0.991 Ipper 75.2 (35.1) 0.991 Ipper lower 70.8 (11.8) 0.593 Ipper lower 71.6 (19.2) 0.593 Ipper lower 71.6 (19.2) 0.593 Ipper lower 75.3 (19.6) 0.478	13-18	83.3 (7.8)			
Female 74.9 (13.8) Socioeconomic status Ipper 75.2 (35.1) Ipper lower 70.8 (11.8) Ipper 77.9 (16.4) Ipper lower 71.6 (19.2) Ipper 64.7 (33.3) Parents living status oth alive 75.3 (19.6) one expired 81.8 (4.7) 0.478		Sex			
Socioeconomic status Ipper 75.2 (35.1) Ipper lower 70.8 (11.8) Ipper 77.9 (16.4) Ipper lower 71.6 (19.2) Ipper 64.7 (33.3) Parents living status oth alive 75.3 (19.6) one expired 81.8 (4.7) 0.478	Male	75.2 (21)	0.951		
Vepper 75.2 (35.1) Vepper lower 70.8 (11.8) Vepper 77.9 (16.4) Vepper 64.7 (33.3) Parents living status oth alive 75.3 (19.6) one expired 81.8 (4.7)	Female	74.9 (13.8)			
Image: Particular system 70.8 (11.8) 0.593 Ipper 77.9 (16.4) 0.593 Ipper 64.7 (33.3) 0.593 Parents living status oth alive 75.3 (19.6) 0.478		Socioeconomic status			
Pipper 77.9 (16.4) 0.593 Ipper lower 71.6 (19.2) 0.593 Ipper 64.7 (33.3) 0.593 Parents living status oth alive 75.3 (19.6) 0.478 one expired 81.8 (4.7) 0.478	Upper	75.2 (35.1)			
Piper 71.6 (19.2) Upper 64.7 (33.3) Parents living status toth alive 75.3 (19.6) One expired 81.8 (4.7)	Upper lower	70.8 (11.8)			
Ppper 64.7 (33.3) Parents living status ooth alive 75.3 (19.6) one expired 81.8 (4.7) 0.478	Upper	77.9 (16.4)	0.593		
Parents living status oth alive 75.3 (19.6) one expired 81.8 (4.7) 0.478	Upper lower	71.6 (19.2)			
oth alive 75.3 (19.6) One expired 81.8 (4.7) 0.478	Upper	64.7 (33.3)			
One expired 81.8 (4.7) 0.478		Parents living status			
	Both alive 75.3 (19.6)				
oth expired 54.3 (-)	One expired	81.8 (4.7)	0.478		
	Both expired	54.3 (-)			