

Fixed Coefficients for Certain Subclass of Univalent Functions using Hypergeometric Function

C. Selvaraj¹, T. R. K. Kumar², Thirupathi³

¹Department of Mathematics, Presidency College, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India

²Department of Mathematics, R.M.K. Engineering College, Tamilnadu, India

³Department of Mathematics, R.M.K. Engineering College, Tamilnadu, India

Abstract: When object of the present paper is to determine coefficient estimates, distortion bounds, closure theorems and extreme points for functions $f(z)$ belonging to a new subclass of uniformly starlike functions.

Keywords: Univalent, Uniformly starlike function, Hypergeometric function.

1. Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $Y = \{z \in X: |z| < 1\}$. Further, by Σ we shall denote the class of functions $f \in A$ which are univalent in Y .

For $f \in A$ given by (1) and $g(z)$ given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (2)$$

their convolution (or Hadamard product), denoted by $(f * g)$, is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n, \quad (z \in Y). \quad (3)$$

Note that $f * g \in A$.

A function $f \in A$ is said to be in $\beta-US(\alpha)$, the class of β -uniformly starlike functions of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, if satisfies the condition

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| + \alpha \quad (\beta \geq 0), \quad (4)$$

and a function $f \in A$ is said to be in $\beta-UC(\alpha)$, the class of β -uniformly convex functions of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, if satisfies the condition

$$Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| + \alpha \quad (\beta \geq 0). \quad (5)$$

Uniformly starlike and uniformly convex functions were first introduced by [1, 2] and then studied by various authors [3, 4]. It is known that $\beta-US(\alpha)$ or $\beta-UC(\alpha)$ if and only

if $1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}$ or $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$, respectively, takes all the values

in the conic domain $R_{\beta,\alpha}$ which is included in the right half plane given by

$$R_{\beta,\alpha} = \omega = u + iv \in C: u > \beta \sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2} + \alpha, \quad \beta \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \quad (6)$$

Denote by $P_{\beta,\alpha}$ ($\beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$) of functions p , such that $p \in P_{\beta,\alpha}$, where P denotes well known class of Caratheodory functions. The function $P_{\beta,\alpha}$ maps the unit disk conformally onto the domain $R_{\beta,\alpha}$ such that $1 \in R_{\beta,\alpha}$ and $\partial R_{\beta,\alpha}$ is a curve defined by the equality

$$\partial R_{\beta,\alpha} = \omega = u + iv \in C: u^2 = \left(\beta \sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2} + \alpha \right)^2, \quad \beta \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha < 1. \quad (7)$$

From elementary computations we see that (7) represents conic sections symmetric about the real axis. Thus $R_{\beta,\alpha}$ is an elliptic domain for $\beta > 1$, a parabolic domain for $\beta = 1$, a hyperbolic domain for $0 < \beta < 1$ and the right half plane $u > \alpha$, for $\beta = 0$.

In [5], Sakaguchi (1959) defined the class S_s of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points as follows:

Let $f \in A$. Then f is said to be starlike with respect to symmetric points in Y if and only if

$$Re \left\{ \frac{2zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in Y). \quad (8)$$

Recently, Owa et. al. (2007) [6] defined and studied the class $S_s(\alpha, t)$,

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1-t)zf'(z)}{f(z)-f(tz)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U),$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1, |t| \leq 1, t \neq 1$. (9)

In 2008, Selvaraj and Karthikeyan [7] defined the following operator $D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f : Y \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^0(\alpha_1; \beta_1)f(z) &= f(z) * G_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z), \\ D_\lambda^1(\alpha_1; \beta_1)f(z) &= (1-\lambda)(f(z) * G_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z)) \\ &\quad + \lambda z(f(z) * G_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z))', \\ D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1; \beta_1)f(z) &= D_\lambda^1(D_\lambda^{m-1}(\alpha_1; \beta_1)f(z)), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

If $f \in A$, then from (10) we may easily deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1; \beta_1)f(z) \\ = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+(n-1)\lambda]^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) a_n z^n \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\text{where } \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_q)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_s)_{n-1}} \frac{1}{(n-1)!}.$$

Special cases of the operator $D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1; \beta_1)f$ includes various other linear operators which were considered in many earlier work on the subject of analytic and univalent functions. If we let $m=0$ in $D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1; \beta_1)f$, we have

$$D_\lambda^0(\alpha_1; \beta_1)f(z) = H_q^1(\alpha_1; \beta_1)f(z)$$

where $H_{q,s}^1(\alpha_1; \beta_1)$ is Dziok-Srivastava operator for functions in A (see [8]) and for $q=2, s=1, \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \alpha_2 = 1$ and $\lambda=1$, we get the operator introduced by Salagean (1983)[9].

Definition 1.1 A function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be in the class $k - Y\Sigma_s(\lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$ if for all $z \in Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} Re \left[\frac{(1-t)z(D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(tz)} - \gamma \right] \\ \geq k \left| \frac{(1-t)z(D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(tz)} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for $\lambda \geq 0, k, m \geq 0, |t| \leq 1, t \neq 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$.

Furthermore, we say that a function $f(z) \in k - Y\Sigma_s(\lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$ is in the subclass $k - Y\Sigma T_s(\lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$ if $f(z)$ is of the following

$$\text{form: } f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (13)$$

The main objective of this paper is to study the coefficient estimates, extreme points, distortion bounds and closure properties for $f(z) \in k - Y\Sigma T_s(\lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$ by fixing second coefficients.

Similar other classes of univalent functions with fixed second coefficients have been extensively studied by Aouf (1997)[10, 11], S. M. Khairnar et. al., (2010), [12], Darwish (2008)[13], and others see [14].

2. Coefficient Estimate

Lemma 2.1 Let $\omega = u + iv$. Then $Re \omega \geq \alpha$ if and only if $|\omega - (1 + \alpha)| \leq |\omega + (1 + \alpha)|$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $\omega = u + iv$ and α, γ are real numbers. Then $Re \omega > \alpha|\omega - 1| + \gamma$ if and only if $Re\{\omega(1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) - \alpha e^{i\theta}\} > \gamma$.

Theorem 2.3 Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (13).

Then $f(z) \in k - Y\Sigma T_s(\lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$ if and only if $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma) a_n \leq (1 - \gamma)$, (14)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma) \\ = (1 + (n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) [n(k+1) - (k+\gamma)u_n], \\ \lambda \geq 0, \quad k, m \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \gamma < 1, \quad |t| \leq 1, \quad t \neq 1 \quad \text{and} \\ u_n = 1 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^n. \end{aligned}$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ is given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1-\gamma}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} z^n.$$

Proof. By definition $f(z) \in k - Y\Sigma T_s(\lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$ if and only if the condition (12) is satisfied.

Then by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Re \left[\frac{(1-t)z(D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(tz)} (1 + ke^{i\theta}) - ke^{i\theta} \right] \\ \geq \gamma, \quad -\pi < \theta \leq \pi. \end{aligned}$$

It is also written as

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1-t)z(D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))' (1 + ke^{i\theta})}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(tz)} \right\}$$

$$\frac{ke^{i\theta} D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(tz)}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(tz)} \geq \gamma. \quad (15)$$

$$\text{Let } A(z) = (1-t)z(D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))' (1 + ke^{i\theta}) - ke^{i\theta} (D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(tz))$$

$$\text{and } B(z) = D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(tz).$$

From Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2,

$$|A(z) + (1-\gamma)B(z)| \geq |A(z) - (1+\gamma)B(z)| \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \gamma < 1.$$

Using a simple computation,

$$|A(z) + (1-\gamma)B(z)| \geq$$

$$|1-t| \left\{ (2-\gamma)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+(n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) [n+(1-\gamma)u_n] a_n |z|^n - k \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+(n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) [n-u_n] a_n |z|^n \right\} \quad a_n \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)}{(1+(n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) [n(k+1)-(k+\gamma)u_n]} \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} \quad (n \geq 2). \quad (16)$$

Also, $|A(z) - (1+\gamma)B(z)| \leq$

$$|1-t| \left\{ \gamma|z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+(n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) [n-(1+\gamma)u_n] a_n |z|^n + k \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+(n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) [n-u_n] a_n |z|^n \right\}$$

Now $|A(z) + (1-\gamma)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1+\gamma)B(z)|$

$$\geq \left\{ 2(1-\gamma)|z| - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+(n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) [n(k+1)-(k+\gamma)u_n] a_n |z|^n \right\} \geq 0.$$

or

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+(n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) [n(k+1)-(k+\gamma)u_n] a_n \leq (1-\gamma).$$

which gives the desired estimation.

Conversely, suppose that (14) holds. Then we must show that

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1-t)z \left(D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z) \right)' (1+ke^{i\theta}) - ke^{i\theta} \left[D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z) - D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(tz) \right]}{D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z) - D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(tz)} \right\} \geq \gamma.$$

Choosing the values of z on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z = r < 1$, the above inequality reduces to

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+(n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) (n(1+ke^{i\theta}) - u_n(\gamma+ke^{i\theta})) a_n r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+(n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) u_n a_n r^{n-1}} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Since $Re(-e^{i\theta}) \geq -|e^{i\theta}| = -1$, the above inequality reduces to

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+(n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) (n(1+k) - (\gamma+k)u_n) a_n r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+(n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) u_n a_n r^{n-1}} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$, we have desired conclusion.

Corollary 2.4 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (13) be in the class $k - \text{Y}\Sigma T_s(\lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$, then

where

$$C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma) = (1+(n-1)\lambda)^m \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) [n(k+1)-(k+\gamma)u_n], \quad \lambda \geq 0, k, m \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \gamma < 1.$$

Setting $n = 2$ in (16), we have

$$a_2 \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{(1+\lambda)^m [(2+k-\gamma)-t(\gamma+k)](\alpha_1)} \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)}. \quad (17)$$

where $C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma) = (1+\lambda)^m [(2+k-\gamma)-t(\gamma+k)]$.

Let $k - \text{Y}\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ in $k - \text{Y}\Sigma T_s(\lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z - \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} z^2 - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0), 0 \leq c \leq 1. \quad (18)$$

Remark 2.1 If $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 1$, then the result is reduced to the class $k - \tilde{\text{Y}}\Sigma_s(\lambda, \mu, \gamma, t)$ studied by Murat Caglar and Orhan [15]. Take $t = 0$, $\beta = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, this result is reduced into the class $T(n, \alpha)$ studied by Aouf [10]. If $t = 0$, $\beta = 0$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$, then the result was reduced into the class $UCT(\alpha, \beta)$ by Khairanar (2010)[12].

Theorem 2.5 Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (18). Then $f(z)$ in $k - \text{Y}\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma) a_n \leq (1-\gamma)(1-c). \quad (19)$$

Proof.

Substituting

$$a_2 = \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)}, \quad 0 \leq c \leq 1, \text{ in (14) and}$$

simplifying we get the result.

Corollary 2.6 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (18) be in the class $k - \text{Y}\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$, then

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} \quad (n \geq 3) \quad 0 \leq c \leq 1. \quad (20)$$

3. Extreme Points

Theorem 3.1 Let

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(c, m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} z^2 \quad (21)$$

and

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(c, m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} z^2 - \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} z^n \quad (22)$$

for $n = 3, 4, \dots$. Then $f(z)$ is in the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$ if and only if it can be

expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z)$, (23)

where $\mu_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1$.

Proof. We suppose that $f(z)$ can be expressed in the form (23). Then we have

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(c, m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} z^2 - \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)\mu_n}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} z^n \quad (24)$$

Since $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)\mu_n}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} \frac{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}{(1-\gamma)} \leq (1-c)(1-\mu_2) \leq (1-\gamma)$ (25)

it follows from (14) that $f(z)$ is in the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma)$. Conversely, suppose that $f(z)$ defined by (18) is in the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma)$. Then, by using (20), we get

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1-c)(1-\gamma)}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} \quad (n \geq 3). \quad (26)$$

Setting

$$\mu_n = \frac{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}{(1-c)(1-\gamma)} a_n \quad (n \geq 3) \quad (27)$$

and $\mu_2 = 1 - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \mu_n$, (28)

we have (23). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 3.2 The extreme points of the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$ are functions $f_n(z)$, $n \geq 2$ given by (3.1).

4. Growth and Distortion Theorem

The following lemmas are required in our investigation of growth and distortion properties of the general class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$.

Lemma 4.1 Let the function $f_3(z)$ be defined by

$$f_3(z) = z - \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} z^2 - \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)}{C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} z^3, \quad (29)$$

For some n . Then for $0 \leq r < 1$ and $0 \leq c \leq 1$,

$$|f_3(re^{i\theta})| \geq r - \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} r^2 - \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)}{C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} r^3 \quad (30)$$

with equality for $\theta = 0$. For either $0 \leq c < c_0$ and $0 \leq r \leq r_0$ or $c_0 \leq c \leq 1$,

$$|f_3(re^{i\theta})| \leq r + \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} r^2 - \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)}{C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} r^3 \quad (31)$$

with equality for $\theta = \pi$. Further, for $0 \leq c \leq c_0$ and $r_0 \leq r < 1$,

$$|f_3(re^{i\theta})| \leq r \left\{ 1 + \frac{c^2(1-\gamma)\beta_1^2 C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}{2(1-c)(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))^2 \alpha_1^2} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{2c^2(1-\gamma)^2 \beta_1^2}{(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))^2 \alpha_1^2} + \frac{2(1-c)(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{(C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))^2} \right\} r^2 + \left\{ \frac{(1-c)^2(1-\gamma)^2}{(C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))^2} + \frac{c^2(1-c)(1-\gamma)^3(\beta_1^2)}{2(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))^2(C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)\alpha_1^2)} \right\} r^4 \quad (32)$$

with equality for

$$\theta = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{c(1-c)(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)r^2 - c(C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))(\beta_1)}{4(1-c)(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))(\alpha_1)r} \right) \quad (33)$$

where

$$c_0 = \frac{[(1-\gamma)(\beta_1) - 4(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))(\alpha_1) - C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\beta_1)] + c_0^*}{2(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}, \quad (34)$$

$$c_0^* = \left\{ [(1-\gamma)(\beta_1) - 4(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))(\alpha_1) - C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\beta_1)]^2 + 16C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(1-\gamma)(\alpha_1)(\beta_1) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and $r_0 = \frac{-2(1-c)(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)) + r_0^*}{c(1-c)(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}, \quad (35)$

$$r_0^* = \left\{ 4(1-c)^2(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))^2(\alpha_1^2) + c^2(1-c)(1-\gamma)C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\beta_1^2) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. We employ the same technique as used by Silverman and Silvia (1981)([16]), since

$$\frac{\partial |f_3(re^{i\theta})|^2}{\partial \theta} = 2(1-\gamma)r^3 \sin \theta \times$$

$$\left| \frac{c(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} - \frac{c(1-c)(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} r^2 + \frac{4(1-c)}{C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} r \cos \theta \right| \quad (36)$$

we can see that

$$\frac{\partial |f_3(re^{i\theta})|^2}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

for $\theta_1 = 0$, $\theta_2 = \pi$ and

$$\theta_3 = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{c(1-c)(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)r^2 - c(\beta_1)C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}{4(1-c)C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)r} \right) \quad (37)$$

Since θ_3 is a valid root only when $-1 \leq \cos \theta_3 \leq 1$, we have a third root if and only if $r_0 \leq r < 1$ and $0 \leq c < c_0$. Thus the results of Lemma 4.1 follow upon comparing the extremal values $|f_3(re^{i\theta_k})|$, ($k=1,2,3$) on the appropriate intervals.

Lemma 4.2 Let the function $f_n(z)$ ($n \geq 4$) be defined by (22). Then

$$|f_n(re^{i\theta})| \leq |f_4(-r)| \quad (n \geq 4). \quad (38)$$

Proof. Since

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(c, m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} z^2 - \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} z^n$$

and $\frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} r^n$ is a decreasing function of n , we

$$\text{have } |f_n(re^{i\theta})| \leq r + \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(c, m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} r^2 + \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} r^4 = |f_4(-r)|,$$

which proves (38).

Theorem 4.3 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (18) be in the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$. Then, for $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$|f(re^{i\theta})| \geq r - \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} r^2 - \frac{(1-c)(1-\gamma)}{C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} r^3 \quad (39)$$

with equality for $f_3(z)$ at $z=r$ and

$$|f(re^{i\theta})| \leq \max \left\{ \max_{\theta} |f_3(re^{i\theta})|, |f_4(-r)| \right\} \quad (40)$$

where $\max_{\theta} |f_3(re^{i\theta})|$ is given by Lemma 4.1.

The proof of this theorem is obtained by comparing the bounds given by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. Putting $c=1$ in theorem 4.3, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (13) be in the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$. Then, for $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$r - \frac{(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} r^2. \quad (41)$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} z^2. \quad (42)$$

Putting $c=1$ and $k=0$ in theorem 4.3, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (13) be in the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$. Then, for $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$r - \frac{(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, 0, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, 0, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} r^2. \quad (43)$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} z^2. \quad (44)$$

Remark 4.1 If $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 1$, $m=1$ and $t=-1$ then the above result (corollary 4.4) is reduced to the class $S_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$ studied by C.Selvaraj et.al. (2009)[17],

$$r - \frac{(1-\gamma)}{2(1+k)(1+\lambda)} r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{2(1+k)(1+\lambda)} r^2. \quad (45)$$

Lemma 4.6 Let the function $f_3(z)$ be defined by (29). Then, for $0 \leq r < 1$ and $0 \leq c \leq 1$,

$$|f_3'(re^{i\theta})| \geq 1 - \frac{2c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} r - \frac{3(1-\gamma)(1-c)}{C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} r^2 \quad (46)$$

with equality for $\theta=0$. For either $0 \leq c < c_1$ and $r_1 \leq r \leq 1$,

$$|f_3'(re^{i\theta})| \leq 1 + \frac{2c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} r - \frac{3(1-\gamma)(1-c)}{C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} r^3 \quad (47)$$

with equality for $\theta=\pi$. Furthermore, for $0 \leq c \leq c_1$ and $r_1 \leq r < 1$,

$$|f_3'(re^{i\theta})| \leq \left\{ \left[1 + \frac{c^2(1-\gamma)\beta_1^2 C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}{3(1-c)(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))^2 \alpha_1^2} \right] + \left[\frac{4c^2(1-\gamma)^2 \beta_1^2}{(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))^2 \alpha_1^2} + \frac{6(1-c)(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{(C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))^2} \right] r^2 + \left[\frac{9(1-c)^2(1-\gamma)^2}{(C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))^2} + \frac{6c^2(1-c)(1-\gamma)^3(\beta_1^2)}{2(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))^2(C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)\alpha_1^2)} \right] r^4 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (48)$$

with equality for

$$\theta = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{3c(1-c)(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)r^2 - c(C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))(\beta_1)}{6(1-c)(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))(\alpha_1)r} \right) \quad (49)$$

where

$$c_1 = \frac{3[(1-\gamma)(\beta_1) - 6(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma))(\alpha_1) - C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\beta_1)] + c_1^*}{6(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}, \quad (50)$$

$$c_1^* = \left\{ 3[(1-\gamma)(\beta_1) - 6C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1) - C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\beta_1)]^2 + 72C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(1-\gamma)(\alpha_1)(\beta_1) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and $r_1 = \frac{-6(1-c)(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)) + r_1^*}{6c(1-c)(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}, \quad (51)$

$$r_1^* = \left\{ 6(1-c)^2(C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1))^2 + 12c^2(1-c)(1-\gamma)C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\beta_1) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The proof is omitted.

Theorem 4.7 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (18) be in the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$. Then, for $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$\left| f_3'(re^{i\theta}) \right| \geq 1 - \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)}r - \frac{(1-c)(1-\gamma)}{C_3(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}r^2 \quad (52)$$

with equality for $f_3'(z)$ at $z = r$ and

$$\left| f_3'(re^{i\theta}) \right| \leq \max \left\{ \max_{\theta} \left| f_3'(re^{i\theta}) \right|, -f_4'(-r) \right\} \quad (53)$$

where $\max_{\theta} \left| f_3'(re^{i\theta}) \right|$ is given by Lemma 4.6.

5. Radii of Starlikeness and Convexity

Theorem 5.1 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (18) be in the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$. Then $f(z)$ is starlike of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) in the disc $|z| = r_1(c, \rho, m, \lambda, k, \gamma)$, where $r_1(c, \rho, m, \lambda, k, \gamma)$ is the largest value for which

$$\frac{c(1-\gamma)(2-\rho)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)}r + \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)(n-\rho)}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}r^{n-1} \leq 1 - \rho \quad (54)$$

The result is sharp with the extremal function

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)}z^2 - \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}z^n, \quad (55)$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < 1)$$

for $|z| = r_1(c, \rho, m, \lambda, k, \gamma)$. We note that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)}r + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (n-1)a_n r^{n-1}}{1 - \frac{c(1-\gamma)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)}r - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} a_n r^{n-1}} \leq 1 - \rho, \quad (56)$$

$|z| \leq r$ if and only if

$$\frac{c(1-\gamma)(2-\rho)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)}r + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (n-\rho)a_n r^{n-1} \leq 1 - \rho \quad (57)$$

Since $f(z)$ is in the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma)$, from (14) we may take

$$a_n = \frac{(1-c)(1-\gamma)}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}\mu_n \quad (n = 3, 4, 5, \dots) \quad (58)$$

where $\mu_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \mu_n \leq 1$.

For each fixed r , we choose the positive integer

$n_0 = n_0(r)$ for which $\frac{(n_0 - \rho)}{C_{n_0}(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}$ is maximal. Then it follows that

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (n-\rho)a_n r^{n-1} \leq \frac{(1-c)(1-\gamma)(n_0 - \rho)}{C_{n_0}(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}r^{n_0-1}. \quad (59)$$

Hence $f(z)$ is starlike of order ρ in $|z| = r_1(c, \rho, m, \lambda, k, \gamma)$ provided that

$$\frac{c(1-\gamma)(2-\rho)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)}r + \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)(n_0 - \rho)}{C_{n_0}(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}r^{n_0-1} \leq 1 - \rho. \quad (60)$$

We find the value $r_1 = r_1(c, \rho, m, \lambda, k, \gamma)$ and the corresponding integer $n_0(r_0)$ so that

$$\frac{c(1-\gamma)(2-\rho)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)}r_0 + \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)(n_0 - \rho)}{C_{n_0}(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)}r_0^{n_0-1} = 1 - \rho. \quad (61)$$

Then this value r_0 is the radius of starlikeness of order ρ for functions $f(z)$ belonging to the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$.

In similar manner, we can prove the following theorem concerning the radius of convexity of ρ for functions in the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$.

Theorem 5.2 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (18) be in the class $k - Y\Sigma T_s(c, \lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, t)$. Then $f(z)$ is convex of order ρ , ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) in the disc

$|z| = r_2(c, \rho, m, \lambda, k, \gamma)$, where $r_2(c, \rho, m, \lambda, k, \gamma)$ is the largest value for which

$$\frac{2c(1-\gamma)(2-\rho)(\beta_1)}{C_2(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)(\alpha_1)} r + \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-c)n(n-\rho)}{C_n(m, \lambda, k, \gamma)} r^{n-1} \leq 1-\rho \quad (62)$$

$(n \geq 3)$.

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (55).

[16] H. Silverman, E.M. Silvia, Fixed coefficients for subclasses of starlike functions, *Houston J. Math.* 7, no. 1, 129–136, 1981.

[17] C. Selvaraj, K. A. Selvakumaran, New classes of k -uniformly convex and starlike functions with respect to other points, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.)* 78, no. 1, 103–114, 2009.

References

[1] A. W. Goodman, On uniformly starlike functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 155, no. 2, 64–370, 1991.

[2] A. W. Goodman, On uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 56, no. 1, 87–92, 1991.

[3] Ma, Wan Cang; Minda, David., Uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 57, no. 2, 165–175, 1992.

[4] F. Ronning, On starlike functions associated with parabolic regions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie- Skodowska Sect., A* 45, 117–122, 1992.

[5] K. Sakaguchi, On a certain univalent mapping, *J. Math. Soc., Japan*, 11, 72–75, 1959.

[6] Owa, Shigeyoshi; Sekine, Tadayuki; Yamakawa, Rikuo., On Sakaguchi type functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 187, no. 1, 356–361, 2007.

[7] C. Selvaraj and K. R. Karthikeyan, Differential sandwich theorems for certain subclasses of analytic functions, *Math. Commun.*, 13, no. 2, 311–319, 2008.

[8] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 103, no. 1, 1–13, 1999.

[9] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Complex analysis fifth Romanian- Finnish seminar, Part 1* (Bucharest, 1981), 362–372, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1013, Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[10] M. K. Aouf, H. E. Darwish, Fixed coefficients for certain class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat.* 45, no. 1-2, 37–44, 1997.

[11] M. K. Aouf, A. A. Al-Dohiman, Fixed coefficients for certain subclass of univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Punjab Univ. J. Math. (Lahore)* 37, 129–147, 2005.

[12] S. M. Khairnar, N. H. More, A subclass of uniformly convex functions and a corresponding subclass of starlike functions with fixed second coefficient defined by Carlson and Shaffer operator, *Int. Math. Forum* 5, no. 37-40, 1839–1848, 2010.

[13] H. E. Darwish, On a subclass of uniformly convex functions with fixed second coefficient, *Demonstratio Math.* 41, no. 4, 791–803, 2008.

[14] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, N. Magesh, A new subclass of uniformly convex functions and a corresponding subclass of starlike functions with fixed second coefficient, *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 5, no. 4, Article 85, 10 pp, 2004.

[15] Murat Caglar and Halit Orhan, On Coefficient Estimates and Neighborhood Problem for Generalized Sakaguchi type Functions, 2012, arxiv: 1204.4546v1.