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Abstract: This study aimed at evaluating the POV care model and its effects on the quality of care of HIV patients in Mulago HIV clinic. Its specific objectives were to; determine the effect of the pharmacy only visit care model on the level of patient satisfaction in Mulago HIV clinic; assess the effects of the pharmacy only visit care model on Adherence of patients; and assess the benefits of the pharmacy only visit care model to patients on this model in Mulago HIV clinic. Overall the clients were satisfied with the POV model and the level of patients’ adherence to treatment has greatly improved. The Model has also benefited the clients in terms of time, cost saved in seeking care. Increased autonomy, confidence, self management, and trust from doctors have been realized by the clients under the POV.
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1. Introduction

The Pharmacy Only Visit (POV) model is an innovative intervention that was developed to address the challenge of high patient numbers escalating in HIV/AIDS clinics specifically located in resource limited settings. It was enrolled within Mulago HIV clinic, one of the biggest HIV clinics run by Makerere University Joint AIDS program (MJAP), a PEPFAR funded program offering HIV care and treatment to over 62,000 HIV infected patients. The model was rolled out in 2009 (Maselle E. et al., 2012).

In this model, patients who are stable with ART adherence levels ≥ 95% and a Karnofsky score > 90, are assessed by the clinician or doctor once every 4 months, but visit the pharmacy every two months for ART refills for patients on ART; and for Non ART patients, reviewed every after 6 months but visit the pharmacy every after 3 months to reduce clinician visits thus clinic waiting time. At the time of the study 20% of patients on care were on POVs.

This study addressed the question as to whether the POV care model improves patient quality of care or not in the patients perspective.

2. Methods

Study Setting
Mulago HIV clinic is a specialized HIV treatment center within Mulago national referral hospital. Mulago Hospital is found in Kawempe division which is one of the five administrative divisions of Kampala city. It approximately covers an area of 30km² with composition of 18 parishes, each made up of 3 to 7 villages. Mulago HIV clinic is the referral HIV treatment center for Kawempe division, with an estimated daily attendance of 450 HIV patients. 12,400 of patients on care are on the POV care model.

Study Design
A cross-sectional study was conducted, using a mixed methods research approach, where both qualitative and quantitative data was collected (Onwuegbuzie & Johnson, 2006; Greene, 2006; Greene, Caracelli, and Graham, 1989). employing both quantitative and qualitative methods.

Target Population
The target population consisted of HIV patients on the POV model in all clinics operated by MJAP.

Study Population
The study population consisted of HIV patients on the POV model in Mulago HIV Clinic between 2009 and 2015.

Unit of analysis
The unit of analysis was a HIV patient on the POV care model of treatment.

Sample Size
The sample size of the number of patients who participated in the study regarding the effect of the POV care model on the Quality of care of HIV patients was calculated using the Yamane (1967) formula, and was 388 patients.

Data collection

Quantitative Data
Quantitative data was collected using a pre-tested, semi-structured questionnaire administered by the principal investigator and trained research assistants to the selected respondents. A retrospective data check was conducted on data of the respondents from 2009 to 2015.

Qualitative Data
Focus group discussions consisting of 8-12 participants on the POV care model were conducted by the principal investigator and trained researcher assistants. Three FGDs
were conducted, for each group there was a modulator to guide the discussions, a secretary and the principal investigator to record the proceedings. The FGDs were conducted in Luganda and English the language commonly used.

**Data Analysis**

**Quantitative data analysis**

Data was entered in data editor and analyzed using STATA statistical program version 12. Descriptive analysis was employed for the basic clinic characteristics, level of patient satisfaction and adherence to treatment

**Qualitative data analysis**

This was performed using theme and content qualitative methods. All transcripts were read several times to identify themes and categories. After discussion a coding frame was developed and transcripts coded by the author. This process was used to develop categories, which were then conceptualized into broad themes after further discussion. Representative quotes were selected to illustrate identified themes.

**3. Results**

**Quantitative results**

Results from the study showed an overall satisfaction with POV care services and support. A majority of POV patients 381 (98.20%) reported complete satisfaction. Furthermore, the findings showed that with introduction of the POV care model, the waiting time at the pharmacy reduced to less than 15 minutes with 91.49% of the POV patients giving an utmost average of 10minutes per wait. Results from the study also found out under the dimension of humanity of care; A majority of respondents, 362 (93.30%) agreed that they were completely satisfied with the attitude of the POV attending staff towards serving them. Satisfaction with respect for privacy at the pharmacy was significantly high (94.85%). However, 255(66.49%) POVs had knowledge of the suggestion box and out of the 255 only 7 had ever used it. A total of 323 (83.25%) of the respondents revealed that they need to save approximately 60,000 shillings (18 USD) for transport to and from the clinic every after 4 or 6 months, because the POV care model they ideally see their doctor every after 4 or 6 months. For working patients, this enables them to settle at their jobs without any interruptions of frequent clinic visits to see their doctor.

**Waiting Time**

“POVs have a small waiting time at the pharmacy”

A number of patients reported that one of the main reasons they love being on the POV care model was because they do not have to wait so long at the pharmacy for drugs any more. This supports the earlier quantitative findings that found out that the mean waiting time at the pharmacy during the POV appointment is less than 10 minutes

**Time and work**

“POVs help me settle on my Job without worries of being absent because of frequent clinic visits”

This can be attributed to the fact that when a patient is on the POV care model they ideally see their doctor every after 4 or 6 months. For working patients, this enables them to settle at their jobs without any interruptions of frequent clinic visits to see their doctor.

“I have enough time to do my business”

A number of patients who are operating their own businesses were pleased at the amount of time the POV care model creates for them out of the clinic. With this created time, they are able to uninterruptedly operate their own businesses successfully.

**Time and family**

“POVs enable me spend more time with my family”

Patients with families especially the mothers professed with delight that being put on the POV care model treatment plan gave them enough time to settle at home to ably and satisfactorily look after their husbands and young children. They stressed that they practically only have to visit the clinic every after 4 or 6 months, because the POV appointment in between, doesn’t take them any significant time in the clinic.

**Cost**

“POVs are cost effective. We no longer spend a lot of money on transport because visits are done every after 2 or 3 months unlike the past where you were required to see the doctor often.”

Before introduction of the POV care model in the clinic, patients practically visited the clinic every month for their doctor review. It must appreciated that a number of patients in the Mulago HIV clinic reside upcountry or out of Kampala and transportation cost for these long journey commutations are so expensive to sustain. For example one of the respondents revealed that they need to save approximately 60,000 shillings (18 USD) for transport to and from, to commute from Mubende to Mulago HIV clinic. Such high transportation costs would be unsustainable if clinic visits were still being conducted monthly.

**Health**

“We no longer fall sick often”

One of the main POV topics in the education sessions given in the clinic to the patients is the significance of good adherence while on the POV medication. Therefore patient’s health stability while on the POV care model can be
attributed to the improved adherence to their medication. This can be further supported by earlier quantitative findings about the effect of the POV care model on adherence, that reveal very good adherence levels to medication by the biggest proportion of patients on the POV care model, 305 (78.61%) Patients were so delighted with convenience that comes with being on the POV care model. A number of them cited out scenarios where they were having travel commitments far away from Kampala but came to the pharmacy before the POV appointment date and explained to the pharmacy staff and they were able to pick their drugs a head of time.

“There is no longer any need for me to come to the hospital frequently.”
A number of patients revealed that because they generally feel their health status is good, they don’t have any ailments or disturbing health conditions any more, there is no longer any need for them to come to the hospital frequently, and hence they felt that the POV care model greatly suited them and that they were pleased.

Autonomy and Self Management
“The clinic puts trust in me to manage my own medication”
A majority of the patients on the POV care model expressed their delight at the level of trust the clinic has bestowed them by allotting them medication quantities of 2 or 3 months, and also giving them permission to monitor their own health out of the clinic for a long duration of time. However, patients on the POV care model are advised to return to the clinic and see a doctor in case they have any health problems that have emerged up during their stay out of the clinic.

“Being on POVs enables me negotiate on a convenient POV appointment date with my doctor.”
Self reports POV patients showed that a number of POV patients enjoyed the negotiating powers that have been granted to them by their doctors on issues pertaining allocation of the POV appointment date. A majority of patients disclosed that they were now able to negotiate on a convenient POV appointment date with their doctor by the virtue of being on the POV care model.

“POVs give me a sense of responsibility to make my schedules a head of time”
A number of patients on the POV care model revealed that now they are able to make plans for their treatment visits and taking of medication very well, given the sense of responsibility they feel is entrusted to them by the clinic. They confessed that this is the main reason why they do not miss their POV appointment dates.

Adherence
“Being on POVs motivates me to keep taking my medication well”
Patients on the POV care model divulged that because of the so many associated benefits they are enjoying from the being on the model, such as less waiting time, the long time out of the clinic, trust entrusted to them by their doctors, and others, it motivates them to keep taking their medication very well because they do not want to be withdrawn from the POV treatment plan because of poor adherence and then consequently lose all the associated benefits.

Convenience
“POVs enable you to come and pick your drugs ahead of time. In cases where you are going to travel away from home, you can come a day before.”
Furthermore, findings of this study show that most of the POV patients (97.42%) are fully satisfied with the timely availability of pharmacy staff to serve them POV medication. This is in line with a time motion study done in Uganda by Wanyenze et al., (2010), where they cited out that availability of health staff in HIV clinics is not the main problem, but rather the organization of services which results in inefficiencies and delays.

The study also found out that the greatest proportion of patients (93.56%) on the POV care model were completely satisfied with the availability of all drugs at Mulago HIV clinic pharmacy. Unlike similar studies done about patient satisfaction in public health facilities where lack of availability of drugs is a major cause of patient dissatisfaction, (Castelnuovo B. et al., 2015). However, the small proportion of POV patients confessed dissatisfaction with the issue of availability of pharmacy staff, provides an incite of the areas to improve in relation to organizations of the staff.

In terms of the dispensing practices at the Mulago HIV clinic pharmacy, the findings of the study show that a majority of POV patients are completely satisfied with the clear and understandable instructions about POV medications and services given to them by the pharmacy (98.71%). A report made by the Ministry of Health on the study of client satisfaction with health services in Uganda revealed that patient satisfaction was high with the level and skills of healthcare personnel (MOH Report, 2010), which is in line with this study

Furthermore, findings of this study show high patient satisfaction with the Promptness of care given to them at the pharmacy waiting area 97.94% (380); how their concerns are addressed 97.94% (380); talks about POV services made in education sessions 81.19% (315); Pharmacy support in reminding them to keep their appointments during dispensing 80.67% (313); and the initiative taken by the clinic staff to inform them of the changes in POV services time to time 91.75% (356). This compares favorably with results from other earlier studies conducted by (Jenkinson C. et al., 2011).

Results from this study also found out under satisfaction with humanity of care, satisfaction with attitude of POV attending staff (93.30%) was as high as satisfaction with Dignity and respect given by POV staff (93.81%) and attention given by POV staff (93.81%). This is in line with findings from a report by MOH [5] which reported high satisfaction among the above three indicators of satisfaction.

The findings further identified that satisfaction with respect to privacy at the pharmacy was significantly high (94.85%) and highly associated with formal education, age and economic status. This still is in line with the MOH report (2010) [5] for client satisfaction with Health services in Uganda.

The study found out an association between satisfaction and patient characteristics. Occupation (economic status), formal education and age were found to be important factors of satisfaction. This was still in line with studies conducted (Crane HM et al., 2007).

**Adherence to medication and POV appointment**

This section provides discussion of results from a number of indicators allocated to tracking patient’s adherence towards medication and POV appointment while on the POV care model. These indicators were: Compliance to recommended official time for picking POV medication in the clinic; adherence to POV appointment; adherence to POV medication; and carrying of pill balances to the pharmacy when picking POV medication.

The findings show that the greatest proportion of the POV patients 84.44% (316) were compliant to the recommended official time of picking POV medication at the clinic of 7am to 12pm in the morning. However it must be noted that results showed a significant association between time of picking POV medication at the pharmacy and waiting time (OR=10.43, 95% CI (4.84 – 22.50), P=0.000). This can be explained that patients that picked their medication in the morning hours had less waiting time at the pharmacy i.e. < 15minutes, than patients who picked drugs in the afternoon (these had a longer waiting time at the pharmacy >15minutes). This compares favorably to the study done by Wanyenze et al., (2010), on the evaluation of the efficiency of patient flow at 3 HIV clinics in Uganda. They found out that earlier hour visitation times improve the clinic flow consequently resulting into a reduction in waiting time. Furthermore the findings showed that the greatest proportion of POV patients 285(73.45%) had never missed their POV appointment.

The study findings further showed that a majority of POV patients 78.61% are adherent to their medication. This is in line with the study done by (Ammassari et al., 2004).

Furthermore, the study found out significant associations between poor adherence and having too many pills at home (P=0.000), Forgetfulness (P=0.000), Being away from home (P=0.001), Being given a wrong appointment date (P=0.000), Being given less pre-packed pills at the pharmacy (P=0.000). These finding are in line with findings from study conducted by Forgarty et al., (2007), on the factors associated to Adherence.

**Benefits of the Pharmacy only visit care model to the patient**

Findings of this study show that an overwhelming majority of the respondents (97.94%) love the POV care model. This correlates with results from a study done by Castelnuovo et al., (2009), in an HIV clinic in Uganda. This study found out that there was reduction in the waiting time despite an increase in the number of patients after starting the nurse visits and pharmacy only visits.

Still under this section the study used a number of statements that related to the ideas about the POV care model to explore the perceived benefits enjoyed by patients on this model. The study revealed that approximately an average of 95% of the POV patients agreed that they were enjoying the following benefits: POVs are very convenient, decrease feelings of stress and tension for lining up in the
clinic, improve available time, give a sense of personal trust from the doctor, and give a sense of personal responsibility and autonomy.

5. Recommendations

Overall the POV model should be rolled out to all HIV clinics, however, the short falls in the POV service delivery can be resolved through implementation of the following recommendations.

Level of patient satisfaction

Although results from the study showed overall satisfactions with the POV care services and support. A proportion of patients expressed their dissatisfaction with some areas of the POV care model. This provides a critical platform for enhancing the POV care model to attain complete patient satisfaction, and hence a number of recommendations have been suggested:

1) Simplify the process or bureaucracy for POV patients to see a clinician in case one comes back with an opportunistic infection e.g. malaria, cough etc.
2) First come first serve criterion should be effectively implemented at the pharmacy and opening of pharmacy on time.

Adherence to medication and POV appointment

To improve adherence further of this category of patients, the following recommendations are suggested:

1) More effort to provide education sessions to patients on medication adherence and its importance, at all levels; counseling, clinician, nurse, peer educator, and pharmacy level.
2) Education sessions on proper storage practices of medication at home should be provided to POV patients since they take large quantities of medicines and stay out of the clinic for a long period of time, which might compromise the quality of drugs in their custody if proper storage conditions are not adhered to.

Benefits of the pharmacy only visit care model to patients

More education sessions on the Pharmacy only visit model should be made.

The research recommends further research to;
1) Evaluation of clinical outcomes of HIV patients as a result of the POV care model
2) Assessment of the effect of the POV care model on the quality of life of HIV patients
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