Distribution & Preference of Entamopathogenic Bacteria across Different Soils of Karnataka
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Abstract: *Photorhabdus* is an effective biological weapon against insect pest of crop plants which act as syringe like mechanism and triggered death of pests with in 48 h. *Photorhabdus luminescens* is a symbiont of nematodes and a broad spectrum insect pathogen. This study aims to collect Entomopathogenic nematode (EPN) from different agro climatic zones of Karnataka and isolate Photorhabdus luminescens from them as it act as effective biocontrol agent against numerous insect pests. Entomopathogenic nematodes are collected from cultivated and noncultivated areas of different agro climatic zones of Karnataka using *G. mellonella*, a host susceptible to EPN by baiting method. Percent infection of *G. mellonella* larvae by EPN in soil across different locations was recorded. *Photorhabdus* bacteria were isolated and identified using standard synapic keys according to Bergary's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. It was further confirmed using 16S rDNA primer. The population of endosymbiont *Photorhabdus* found less in non cultivated land as compared to cultivated soils of Karnataka.
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1. Introduction

Soil is the natural habitat for EPNs where they are associated with various insects. They can be extracted from soil by baiting with susceptible insects. The nematode population in soil varies according to the environmental conditions and is favored by factors such as high soil moisture, temperature and abundance of insects in the area. The larval mortality was observed daily or in about a week's time (Hara et al., 1993). Dead larvae were washed in distilled water and put on White's trap for extraction or dissected out to see the presence of nematodes. The coloration of dead larvae gives some indication about the presence of EPNs (Hominick and Briscoe, 1990). Annual medium temperature and rainfall had little association with the presence of nematode, *Heterorhabditis indicus* isolated from soils in Tamil Nadu (Poinar et al., 1992). Some entomopathogenic nematodes have been isolated from insects naturally infected in the field, but they are most commonly recovered from soil by baiting with susceptible insects. (Bedding and Akhurst (1974).

*Photorhabdus luminescens* is an insect pathogenic bacterium that is symbiotic with entomopathogenic nematodes mainly *Heterorhabditis* species and act as a potential biocontrol agent that infects important crop pests (Poinar, 1979). The nematode worm burrows into insect prey and regurgitates *Photorhabdus*, which goes on to kill the insect. The released nematodes.

2. Methodology

1) Collection of samples: Soils from different locations of cultivated and noncultivated areas of Karnataka mainly from Devanahalli, Doddaballapur, Hoskote, Whitefield, Sarjapur, University campus, Nelamangala, Nagarahole, Virajapet, Madikeri, Suliya, Puttur, Nelvadi and Sankleshpura are collected and brought to the laboratory of University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore-65. Fields of tomato, Fieldbean, French bean, chilli, marigold, capsicum, cowpea, chickpea, ground nut, lady finger, ragi, pigeon pea, cabbage and maize were explored for collection of soil samples across different cultivated areas. Moisture content of soil is maintained as nematode need it for survival.

2) Isolation of EPNs from soil: Isolation of EPNs is carried out using *Galleria mellonella*, a host susceptible to EPNs, by baiting method described by Bedding and Akhurst (1975). *G. mellonella* was reared in lab using artificial diet containing Maize, Wheat, Honey, glycerol, Milk powder, Yeast, Protein and Vitamin. Small plastic vials with last instar larvae of *G. mellonella* were placed in the polythene bag containing soil at a depth of 10 cm. The nematode traps are harvested at 4th, 7th and 12th day interval to know the percent infectivity of *G. mellonella* larvae to EPNs. The infected larvae were washed with distilled water and kept on white’s trap to collect released nematodes.

3) Isolation of Symbiotic bacteria from EPNs: The infective juveniles are surface sterilized with 0.1percent hyamine and rinsed 2-3 times with distilled water in order to remove traces of hyamine. Three to five instar larvae of greater wax moth are released into petriplate with moist filter paper disc with infective juvenile’s suspension and it is incubated at 28°C for 2 days. Dead larvae are surface sterilized with 70 percent ethyl alcohol and rinsed 3-4 times with sterile water. Later, the larvae passed over the flame using sterile forceps for few seconds. Then they are dissected and a drop of haemocoel from insect cadaver is streaked on NBTA medium and incubated at 28°C in the dark for 24-48 h.
4) **Identification of symbiotic bacterial isolates:** Bacteria were identified with the help of standard synthetic key of Bergey’s manual of systematic Bacteriology. Numerous tests like Catalase, Urease, Lactose fermentation, Gram reaction and motility tests were performed and observations was recorded. The cultures are further identified on molecular basis with the help of 16s rRNA primer and sequenced. DNA was isolated according to the method of Sambrooke et al., (1989).

3. **Result and Discussion**

Soil samples were collected from selected agro climatic zones of cultivated and noncultivated locations of Karnataka. Percent infection of G. mellonella larvae by EPN was recorded across different locations of Karnataka. Entomopathogenic nematodes are known to be compatible with other bio-control agents, fertilizers, herbicides and plant protection chemicals. Thus, encouraging their use in integrated pest and disease management programmes (Dutky et al., 1964). Tóth (2006) isolated *Heterorhabditis* and *Steinernema* by using last instar larvae of *Galleria mellonella* and *Tenebrio molitor* as bait. He collected 89 soil samples in 2003 and 200 soil samples in 2005 from different parts of Hungary. Application of urea, ammonium sulphate, muriate of potash (MOP) and super-phosphate as basal or top dressing to rice crop did not adversely affect the survival of nemate (Rao et al., 1975). From those EPNs, *P. luminescens* was isolated and identified. Growth of bacteria was checked on different media like NBTA (Nutrient Agar with Bromothymol blue (Akhurst, 1980), and Mac Conkey). Bacterial colonies show blue colour with NBTA while pink colour with Mac conkey media. It was further sub cultured on Nutrient Agar showing slimy whitish colonies. The bacterial isolates are found Gram negative rods showing positive tests towards Catalase test (appearance of gas bubble), Urease test (appearance of pink colour), Lactose fermentation test and motility test. Further DNA was isolated from selected isolates and amplified with 16s rRNA primer (Forward: 5’AGAGTTTGATCCTGCGTGACG 3’, Reverse: 5’ACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT 3’) and sequenced. The 16S rRNA sequences showed 98% similarity with that of *P. luminescens* subsp. *akhursti* strain EG2. In soil samples of cultivated areas, *P. luminescens* was found highest in tomato fields of Doddaballapur i.e., 20% while least was recorded with soil samples of Cowpea fields of Whitefield. While in case of selected noncultivated areas, *P. luminescens* was recorded maximum with 7.5% from soil samples of Suliya. The above results interpreted that Distribution of *P. luminescens* in nature is very less, hardly 20% and it was more distributed over cultivated lands in comparison to non cultivated areas may be due to that they may get their feed easily for multiplication.

5. **Future Scope**

This piece of work would provide an idea about the distribution and preference of Entomopathogenic bacteria across different areas of Karnataka.
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Table 1: Percent infection found across cultivated areas of Karnataka

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>No. of traps</th>
<th>4th day</th>
<th>7th day</th>
<th>12th day</th>
<th>Total no sampled</th>
<th>Total no. infected</th>
<th>Total percent infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Devanahalli</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doddaballapur</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoskote</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitefield</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarapuri</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelahangala</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Percent infection found across noncultivated areas of Karnataka

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>No. of traps</th>
<th>4th day</th>
<th>7th day</th>
<th>12th day</th>
<th>Total no sampled</th>
<th>Total no. infected</th>
<th>Total percent infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nagarapole</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virajapet</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madikeri</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulliya</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puttur</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelahangala</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakaleshpur</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph: Percent infection across selected locations
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