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Abstract: The main purpose of this research was to study of Emotional Intelligence and Occupational Self-Efficacy among doctors working in Government & Private Hospitals in Nagpur. The sample for this study consists of 300 Doctors out of which the Government Doctors were 150, (n= 75 males and n= 75 females). Similarly, the Private Doctors were 150, (n= 75 males and n= 75 females). For the measurement of Emotional Intelligence, Hyde’s Emotional Intelligence Scale was employed and for Occupational self-efficacy, Pethe’s Occupational self-efficacy Scale was used. The analysis of the data showed that there was no statistically significant difference found in scores of doctors working in Govt. and Private hospitals regarding emotional intelligence and occupational self-efficacy. Significant negative correlation was found between emotional intelligence and occupational self-efficacy. Result indicate that statistically significant difference found in scores of female and male doctors working in Govt. and Private hospitals regarding emotional intelligence and occupational self-efficacy. Females found higher with Emotional Intelligence and Occupational Self-efficacy than males. No significant difference found in doctors working in Govt. and Private hospitals it means that in present study, professional setting has not created any effect on Emotional Intelligence and Occupational Self-efficacy.

Keywords: Emotional Intelligence, Occupational Self-Efficacy, Government Hospital, Private Hospital.

1. Introduction

The construct of Emotional intelligence is the ability to identify, use, understand, and manage one’s emotions in positive and constructive ways. It's about recognizing one’s own emotional state and the emotional states of others. Emotional intelligence is also about engaging with others in ways that draw people to us. Most of us know that there is a world of difference between knowledge and behaviour and one must learn to apply that knowledge to make changes in one’s life. There are many things one may know and want to do, but he/she fails to implement when he/she is under pressure. This is especially true when it comes to emotional intelligence.

Emotional intelligence is not learned in a standard intellectual way; it must be learned and understood on an emotional level. Intellectual understanding is the first important step, but the development of emotional intelligence depends on sensory, nonverbal learning and real-life practice.

The construct of emotional intelligence was first introduced by Salovey and Mayer (1990). The surge in the scientific investigation of emotional intelligence began thereafter and has increasingly gained momentum. It gained its most momentum after becoming popularized by Daniel Goleman’s book, Emotional Intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ, published in (1995). Goleman’s book was widely popular, and when introduced became a number one best seller on ‘The New York Times’ list of bestselling books. In his writing, Goleman stresses the importance of EI in people’s general work’s success and achievement in life.

Goleman has claimed that EI does predict important occupational and educational variables (Fisher, &Ashkanasy, 2000; Fox, & Spector, 2000; Saarni, 1999). Since 1990, the work and writings of Daniel Goleman has popularized the use of emotional intelligence to identify the workers who can perform the best. Goleman (2002) explains why those who score high on IQ tests are not always successful. Emotional intelligence is the ability to handle oneself and one’s relationships. Unlike the way IQ has traditionally been seen as a fixed innate characteristic, Goleman has not defined EI as an innate characteristic. In an effort to understand the role of EI verses IQ in career success, through his company Emotional Intelligence Services, Goleman (2002) has closely analyzed five hundred competency models from various businesses. His conclusion was that IQ does play a role in a person’s professional success though EI also does. The relative influence on performance of EI and IQ, Goleman says, varies depending on the job. Goleman (2002) goes onto explain that for the most successful people at least eighty to ninety present of their success can be attributed to EI.

According to Goleman (1998) “Emotional Intelligence is the capacity for recognizing our own feelings and those of others, for motivating ourselves, and for managing emotions well in ourselves and in our relationships. Emotional intelligence describes abilities distinct from, but complementary to, academic intelligence.”

Emotional intelligence can lead to a pervasive sense to excel in life irrespective of age, caste, gender, creed or profession. It is found that people who are emotionally adapted, who know and manage their own feelings well and who read and
deals effectively with other people’s feelings are at an advantage in any domain of life. People with well-developed emotional skills are also more likely to be content and effective in their lives, mastering that habits of mind that foster their own productivity whereas people who cannot marshal some control over their emotional life, fight inner battles that sabotage their ability for focused work and clear thought (Mayer, Salovey, and Caruso, 2000). But having a high emotional intelligence doesn’t mean that the person never panics or loses his / her temper. Rather it means that he / she brings own feelings under control and channels them into productive behaviors. The ability to bring out-of-control emotions back into line results in what earlier generations called emotional maturity.

**Occupational Self-Efficacy**

Another variable studied in the present research is occupational self-efficacy. Bandura (1986) observed that there are a number of conditions under which self-efficacy beliefs do not perform their influential, predictive or mediational role in human functioning. Bandura suggested that when social constraints and inadequate resources impede academic performances, self-efficacy may exceed actual performance because it is not so much a matter that students do not know what to do but rather that they are unable to do what they know. Results of various studies have demonstrated the mediational role of self-efficacy beliefs in the selection of career choice (Hackett 1981, Lent and Hackett, 1987). In general, findings indicate that self-efficacy beliefs influence the choice of specialisation and career decisions of college students. Zimmerman et al. (1992) have been instrumental in tracing the relationships among self-efficacy perceptions, self-efficacy for self-regulation, academic self-regulatory processes, and academic achievement. Physiological states such as anxiety, stress, arousal, fatigue and mood also provide information about efficacy beliefs. Because individuals have the capability to alter their own thinking, self-efficacy beliefs in turn also powerfully influence the self-beliefs.

The work of Bandura and his colleagues focused primarily on the role of self-efficacy, expectations of genesis and treatment of clinical syndromes (Bandura, 1977). The concept was extended to the domain of career related behaviours (Hackett, 1981) and has been found to have a considerable utility for the understanding and treatment of career development problems. Betz and Hackette (1981) developed a measure of general occupational self-efficacy, originally intended to help explain the continued under representation of women in traditionally male dominated careers. They also developed a measure of mathematics self-efficacy in 1983. Taylor and Betz (1983) developed a career decision making self-efficacy scale. Other researchers have further expanded the applications of career self-efficacy theory, for example, to studies of students in science and engineering (Lent, Brown and Larkin, 1984, 1986) and to students representing racial ethnic minority groups (Hackette et al. 1992).

2. **Objectives**

- To know the distribution of Emotional Intelligence in Male and female doctors working in Govt. and Private Hospitals.
- To know and analyze Occupational Self-Efficacy as revealed in Male and female doctors working in Govt. and Private Hospitals.
- To understand and analyze the Relationship between Emotional Intelligence and occupational self-efficacy.
- To understand and analyze the effect of professional settings on Emotional Intelligence and occupational self-efficacy in doctors.
- To study the effect of gender on Emotional Intelligence and occupational self-efficacy among doctors.

3. **Hypotheses**

1) There will be significant differences in scores of doctors working in Govt. and Private Hospitals regarding Emotional Intelligence. Doctors working in Private Hospitals will show high Emotional Intelligence than the Doctors working in Govt. Hospitals.
2) There will be significant differences in scores of doctors working in Govt. and Private Hospitals regarding Occupational Self-Efficacy. Doctors working in Private Hospitals will be higher on Occupational Self-Efficacy scores than the Doctors working in Govt. Hospitals.
3) There will be significant positive Relation between Emotional Intelligence and Occupational Self-Efficacy in scores of doctors working in Govt. and Private Hospitals.
4) There will be significant differences in scores of Female and male doctors’ working in Govt. and Private Hospitals regarding Emotional Intelligence. Female doctors’ will have higher Emotional Intelligence than the male doctors.
5) There will be significant differences in scores of Female and male doctors’ working in Govt. and Private Hospitals regarding Occupational Self-Efficacy. Female doctors’ will have higher Occupational Self-Efficacy than the male doctors.
4. Method

Research Design
The present study is a comparative study, between two groups (Type of category) government and private doctors consisting of (Type of gender) both the male and female sexes. Therefore, the study yielded a 2 (Type: male and female) x 2 (Type of category: government and private doctors) between group design.

Sample and Sampling Technique:
The sample for this study consists of 300 Doctors out of which the Government Doctors were 150, (n= 75 males and n= 75 females). Similarly, the Private Doctors were 150, (n= 75 males and n= 75 females). Purposive sampling technique was used for the selection of sample of the study.

Instrumentation
The Emotional Intelligence questionnaire developed by Anukool Hyde, Sanjyot Pethe and Upinder Dhar (2002), It contains 34 items for measuring ten factors self-awareness, empathy, self-motivation, emotional stability, managing relation, integrity, self-development, value orientation, commitment and altruistic behavior. The Occupational self-efficacy questionnaire developed by Sanjyot Pethe, Sushama Chaudhari and Upinder Dhar (1999), it contains 19 items, for measuring six factors confidence, command, adaptability, personal effectiveness, positive attitude and individuality.

Procedure:
The researcher personally administered the Emotional Intelligence and Occupational self-efficacy scale. The respondents were given enough time to complete the scales. The instruments were collected from the respondent at their own convenience.

Delimitation of the:
The study was delimited to Government and Private hospital at Nagpur division selected for the study.

Data analysis:
The data of E.I.S. and O.S.E. were treated by two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). F statistics was used to test significance of differences. Multiple comparisons test is used to compare findings for gender and professional setting. Correlation analysis is also used to see the correlation between scores of two scales.

5. Results

Hypothesis 1: The first hypothesis states that there will be significant differences in scores of doctors working in Govt. and Private Hospitals regarding Emotional Intelligence. Doctors working in Private Hospitals will show high Emotional Intelligence than the Doctors working in Govt. Hospitals. The result obtained from the data analysis regarding Emotional Intelligence scores of doctors working in Govt. and Private Hospitals are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Score Level of Emotional Intelligence in Male and Female Doctors working in Government and Private Hospitals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Professional Setting</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Score Level of EI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Government Doctors</td>
<td>127.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private Doctors</td>
<td>129.88</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Government Doctors</td>
<td>132.67</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private Doctors</td>
<td>134.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scores of all (n=300) male and female Doctors working in Government and Private hospital showed high level of emotional intelligence. The hypothesis was rejected because above findings do not support the hypothesis no. 1.

Hypothesis 2: In the second hypothesis states that there will be significant differences in scores of doctors working in Govt. and Private Hospitals regarding Occupational Self-Efficacy. Doctors working in Private Hospitals will be higher on Occupational Self-Efficacy scores than the Doctors working in Govt. Hospitals. The results obtained are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Score Level Occupational Self-Efficacy in Male and Female Doctors working in Government and Private Hospitals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Professional Setting</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Score Level of OSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Government Doctors</td>
<td>73.30</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private Doctors</td>
<td>75.29</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Government Doctors</td>
<td>76.38</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private Doctors</td>
<td>75.80</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above table shows occupational self-efficacy for all four groups. All the male and female doctors working in government hospitals and private hospitals are having normal level of occupational self-efficacy. As it has not been supported by the obtained results. The hypothesis no. 2 is rejected.

Hypothesis 3: There will be significant positive Relation between Emotional Intelligence and Occupational Self-Efficacy in scores of doctors working in Govt. and Private Hospitals. The results are shown in Table 3.

For the verification of hypothesis and to analyze the effect of work settings on Emotional Intelligence and occupational self-efficacy correlation test is used and obtained results are presented in tables.

Table 3: Correlations between Emotional Intelligence and Occupational Self-Efficacy Male and Female doctors working in government and Private Hospitals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptive Statistics</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Intelligence</td>
<td>130.96</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.038 p &lt;0.05 * S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Self-Efficacy</td>
<td>75.19</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.038 p &lt;0.05 * S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

r at 0.01 level= 0.149, (n=300) at 0.05 level= 0.113
From the above table it is clear that for male and female doctors working in government hospitals and private hospitals, mean for emotional intelligence is 130.96 ± 10.04 and occupational self-efficacy is 75.19 ± 6.99, and negative correlation is found between emotional intelligence and occupational self-efficacy (r = -0.12, P = 0.038). It means that as emotional intelligence increases occupational self-efficacy will decrease. Hence hypothesis no. 3 has been rejected.

**Hypothesis 4:** The fourth hypothesis states that there will be significant differences in scores of Female and male doctors’ working in Govt. and Private Hospitals regarding Emotional Intelligence. Female doctors’ will have higher Emotional Intelligence than the male doctors. The result obtained from the data analysis shown in Table 1.

As shown in Table 1, female doctors working in Government and private hospitals are having higher level of EI than the male doctors working in Government and private hospitals. Significant difference has been found in EI scores which extend support to hypothesis no. 4 has been accepted.

**Hypothesis 5:** The fifth hypothesis states that there will be significant differences in scores of Female and male doctors’ working in Govt. and Private Hospitals regarding Occupational Self-Efficacy. Female doctors’ will have higher Occupational Self-Efficacy than the male doctors. The result obtained from the data analysis shown in Table 2.

As shown in Table 2, female doctors working in Government and private hospitals are found higher than male doctors working in Government and private hospitals regarding occupational self-efficacy. As far as gender difference concerns the findings support the hypothesis hence hypothesis no. 5 has been accepted.

The finding of this study revealed the comparison between male and female doctors working in government and private hospitals has been made; it was found that female doctors working in government and private Hospitals are having significantly high Emotional Intelligence than male doctors working in government and private hospitals. This has again strengthened previous findings. A study of Jadhav and Havalappanavar (2009) found that women police constable (WPC) trainees have scored significantly high on emotional intelligence than their counterparts. Similar kind of finding was observed by Austin, Evans, Gold water and Potter (2006) which showed that females scored significantly higher than males on EI in the study among a group of 156 first year medical students. It may be because of the fact that men spend most of their time with peers and home, whereas, women spend most of their time from the childhood in the home, with family members and even in their later life at house. Hence they learn how to behave with others and how to control their emotions. Women are keener in every aspect and they utilize opportunities properly etc. Thingujam and Ram (2000) in their attempt of Indian adaptation of Emotional Intelligence Scale (Schutte et al., 1998) had developed Indian norms (N=811) for males and females separately and found that women were significantly scored higher than men.

In a study of Ciarrochi, Chan and Baiggar (2001) it has been found that EI was reliable measured in adolescents and was higher for females than males. Nasar and Nasar (2008) reported that the presence of higher emotional intelligence in the adolescent girls students in comparison to the boys. Brackett, Mayer and Warner (2004) have also reported in their study among 330 college students that women scored significantly higher on emotional intelligence than men. Visweshvaran(2005) also examined gender differences on emotional intelligence by administrating a common measure of emotional intelligence on 275 participants (216 female). Results indicated that females scored slightly higher than males.

It was also found that female doctors working in government and private Hospitals are having significantly high Occupational self-efficacy than male doctors working in government and private hospitals. The previous findings strengthened the studies.Ekici and Berkant (2007) reported that level of self-efficacy belief of female students is higher than male students.

**6. Conclusion**

It was found that the females as a group were high Emotional Intelligence than males as a group.

The females’ private doctors were found to be high on self-awareness, emotional stability, self-development, value orientation, commitment, and altruistic behaviour as compared to rest of the other three groups. Even the females’ government doctors also showed high on empathy, self-motivation, managing relation, integrity as compared to rest of the other three groups.

The government doctors were found low on personal effectiveness and positive attitude as compared to private doctors.

It was also found that the females as a group were high Occupational self-efficacy than males as a group.

The female doctors working in government Hospitals doctors were found rely high on confidence, command, adaptability, individuality than private doctors.

As far as personal effectiveness and positive attitude are concerned, the female doctors working in private hospitals made significantly higher than the rest of the other three groups.

There is no significant or noticeable difference between gender for government private males and females on confidence and personal effectiveness.

So the results did support the assumption that females doctors having high Emotional Intelligence and Occupational self-efficacy than the male doctors.
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