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Abstract: Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of pancreas (SPN) is a rare entity, seen mostly in young females. We report 3 cases of SPN over 1 year in Yenepoya Medical College Hospital, Deralakatte, Mangalore. All cases presented in asymptomatic young females, underwent surgical resection with 100% survival. Almost all the cases of SPN have been reported only in the past decade. Surgery is the mainstay of treatment. All the three cases reported in under 1 year in a single institution is alarming.
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1. Introduction

Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of pancreas (SPN) is a rare entity, seen mostly in young females. Features include low grade malignancy, rare metastasis, full curative resection, and excellent prognosis.

More than two thirds of all reported cases were in the last ten years.

2. Patients and Methods

A retrospective analysis of all patients diagnosed and treated for Solid Pseudopapillary neoplasm of the pancreas (SPN) from November 2014 to November 2015 was carried out.

The clinical, radiological, operative and survival data were obtained and analysed.

In all three patients were identified.

Case 1
A healthy 22 yo woman, being evaluated for infertility, was found to have a growth in the pancreas during routine evaluation.

Asymptomatic.

Physical examination unremarkable.

Routine investigations including LFT and pancreatic enzymes normal.

Tumour markers namely CEA, CA19-9, CA125 normal.

USG abdomen revealed a hyperechoic lesion in the tail of the pancreas.

MRI revealed a growth in the tail end of the pancreas of about 5x4 cms with solid and cystic areas. No evidence of metastasis.
Gross Cut Section of the tumor

Follow up
It was confirmed it to be a Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm (SPN) of the pancreas histopathologically. She did not require post op chemotherapy. On regular follow up.

Case 2
25 year old woman, presented with upper abdominal pain. Physical examination unremarkable, except for tenderness. Routine blood investigations normal. USG abdomen revealed a hyperechoic mass in the body of the pancreas. Tumour markers were normal

CT abdomen
Heterogeneously enhancing well defined solid and cystic lesion in the neck and body.

CT guided FNAC confirmed the diagnosis of SPN

Follow up
Histopathological features suggestive of SPN with presence of perineural and vascular invasion, and local invasion into surrounding pancreatic tissue suggesting malignant behaviour. Neuron specific enolase and Vimentin strongly positive. She was referred to an oncologist and was started on Chemotherapy, Gemcitabine. She is on regular follow up.

Case 3
19 year old girl, was admitted for vague upper abdominal pain and fullness.

- No other symptoms.
- Physical examination unremarkable.
- Routine blood investigations normal.
- USG abdomen revealed a hyperechoic mass in the tail of the pancreas, requiring further evaluation.
- Tumour markers WNL.
- CT abdomen revealed a hypodense cystic lesion with solid components 5x4 cms seen arising from the tail of the pancreas, suggestive of SPN

She underwent distal pancreatectomy with splenectomy. This was reported as Solid Pseudopapillary neoplasm of pancreas (SPN) histopathologically. She was referred to an oncologist, who opined that she did not require adjuvant therapy. On regular follow up.

3. Results
- Three cases of SPN
- Female sex
- Mean age: 22 years
- Presentation: non specific
- Site: Tail of pancreas-2, body-1
- Mean size: 5 cms
- Local invasion- 1
- Metastasis- Nil
- Surgery: Distal pancreatectomy+splenectomy-2, Central pancreatectomy roux-en-y pancreatico-jejunostomy-1
- Follow up: adjuvant therapy-1
- Mortality: 0%
- Survival: 100% short follow up, 1 year for 1 patient, and 3 months for 2 patients.

4. Discussion
- Dr. V. K. Frantz first described SPN in 1959.
- Nomenclature: WHO in 1996 accepted the term Solid Pseudopapillary neoplasm of the pancreas.
- 0.13%–2.7% of all pancreatic tumours.
- Usually associated with a low potential for malignancy, indolent and better prognoses.
- Young women, 10:1 ratio and age < 35 years, rare instances above 50 years
- Few cases reported in males, and usually in older males and more aggressive tumours.
**Etiology**
- Definite etiology unknown
- Various attempts to correlate the immunoprofile of tumours have failed
- Origin from ‘primordial’ pancreatic cell lines, (pleuripotent cells)
- Most consistently positive markers (>90%)
  - Vimentin
  - NSE
  - α1-antitrypsin
  - α1-antichymotrypsin
  - Progesterone receptors

**Presenting complaints**
- Clinically, vague abdominal symptoms with fullness or discomfort
- Abdominal pain, nausea, fullness are mainly due to bulky tumour (mean size 11 cms) compressing local structures in the upper abdomen.
- Palpable abdominal mass.

**Examination**
- Mostly normal
- Upper abdominal mass
- Tenderness

**Investigations**
- Routine blood investigations WNL, LFT, Pancreatic enzymes WNL
- Tumour markers WNL
- Radiologic imaging essential part of the diagnosis of pancreatic SPN.
- USG abdomen.
- In CT scan
  - No enhancement of the cystic portions
  - Slight heterogeneous enhancement of the solid portions in the arterial phase and marked enhancement in the portal venous phase.
  - Intravenous contrast enhancement inside the mass suggests haemorrhagic necrosis
- MRI is better than CT for distinguishing certain tissue characteristics, such as
  - Haemorrhage
  - Cystic degeneration
- Presence of capsule (indicated by high signal intensity on T1-weighted imaging and slightly progressive heterogeneous peripheral contrast enhancement) seen after gadolinium.
- Angiography usually demonstrates an avascular or hypovascular pancreatic tumour
- Delineate the mass from other involved and adjacent structures.
- Guided FNAC : confirmatory test for diagnosing SPN, done if necessary.

**Management**
- Surgical resection mainstay of treatment, options are limited surgery: distal pancreatectomy (with or without splenectomy), central pancreatectomy, enucleation.
- Major resection if extensive local spread: total pancreatectomy, pancreaticoduodenectomy,liver resection, metastatectomy.
- Significant as prognosis is excellent after curative resection.
- Size of the lesion not a criteria for resectability.
- Metastasis to liver – not a contraindication to resection.
- Tumours with synchronous metastasis to liver have been resected and have shown good response.

**Histopathology**
- Grossly, well encapsulated, 8-10 cms
- Cut surface shows large spongy areas of haemorrhage alternating with solid and cystic degeneration
- Microscopically, pseudopapillae are microvascular stalks, covered by several layers of epithelial cells which are discohesive and appear to be falling off at the periphery.

**HPE**
Malignant potential

- Angioinvasion
- Perineural invasion
- Deep invasion of the surrounding pancreatic parenchyma.
- Venous invasion
- Degree of nuclear atypia
- Mitotic count
- Prominence of necrobiotic cell nests

Prognosis

- Classical SPN has a good prognosis with full curative resection.
- 5 year survival is 98.8%.
- 5 year disease free survival is 95.4%.
- Metastasis usually occurs to liver (15%) and/or peritoneum, usually synchronous, not metachronous.
- Metastasis may be a feature of advanced age of the patient or of the tumour cells itself.

Predictive factors for recurrence

- Tumour size > 8 cms
- Microscopic features of malignancy
- Metastatic disease
- Neoadjuvant therapy is by chemotherapy with gemcitabine, adriamycine.

5. Significance

THREE cases of SPN diagnosed at this hospital in <1 year.
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