Effective Dose to Patients during Cardiac Interventional Procedures (Khartoum-Sudan)
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Abstract: The aim of this study was to assess effective dose to a patient during cardiac procedures, such as coronary angiography (CA), percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI), percutaneous Trans venous mitral Commissurotomy (PTMC) and pacemaker. Measurements were performed on 206 patients in hospital in Khartoum using the Dose Area Product (DAP). Calculations of surface and effective dose were performed with Monte-Carlo-based program PCXMC. The mean DAP value per procedure determined in all workplaces ranged between 1.0 and 167.0 Gycm² for CA, 1.0-194.0 Gycm² for PCI, 1.0-69 Gycm² for PTMC and 1.0-56 Gycm² for pacemaker. The effective dose was estimated in the study by using the PCXMC software, the higher and lower values were found to be (11.95, 3.32) for PCI and PTMC respectively. The results presented are comparable with those published by other authors.
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1. Introduction

The number of interventional cardiology procedures has increased rapidly in recent years. Coronary angiography (CA) and percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) are now performed widely as a matter of routine in many general hospitals [1].

The growing use of these procedures together with the fact that these procedures generally require prolonged fluoroscopy time and multiple x ray exposures contribute to high population doses and their associated risks.

These interventional procedures are highly justified, and the number of instances is growing all over the world. Nevertheless, due to prolonged fluoroscopy time and multiple cine imaging, population dose and associated health risks are also increasing. The potential occurrence of the deterministic effects, especially to the skin, has been the subject of great concern. Skin dose above a value of 2 Gy, considered as a level at which radiation dermatitis may be observed, was described [2], [3]. Entrance skin dose can be directly measured with thermoluminescence dosemeters (TLDs) [4], [5] but due to varying orientation of the X-ray tube vis-a-vis the patient during the procedure, a large number of TLDs are necessary. Most studies investigating patient doses from interventional procedures were conducted using measurements with dose area product (DAP) meter. The values of dose-area product and effective dose for interventional radiology (IR) are typically larger than those used in common diagnostic X-ray examinations. According to UNSCEAR [6] from 1992 to 1995 in the USA, there were 26 reports to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) of radiation- induced skin injuries from fluoroscopy. In 1999, the FDA documented some 50 cases of radiation-induced burns, many patient are underwent IC procedures. Reports from the FDA’s voluntary registry and other worldwide studies are continuing to detect more incidents of skin burn following IC [7], [8].

The DAP is the initial quantity not only for estimating the entrance skin dose, but for first establishing of patients' stochastic risk, characterized by effective dose (E). Knowledge of radiation doses to various organs and tissues is essential, but they cannot be measured directly in patients undergoing the examination. Monte-Carlo-based computer programs are available for estimation of organ doses with reasonable accuracy, and for the calculation of effective dose when applying tissue-weighting factors [9]. For practical applications, conversion coefficients, defined as a ratio of DAP and E, were calculated for a wide range of X-ray spectra parameters, projection angles and patient size [10].

Only a few investigations dealing with exposure during cardiac intervention procedures have been performed in the Sudan.

The aim of present study was to estimate effective dose to patients undergoing cardiac interventional procedures.

2. Material and Method

The study was performed in hospital in Khartoum. The X-ray system that used to perform this types of interventional was conducted using C-arm ((Philips- Integris (Philips Medical System Cooperation, Hamburg, Germany)) serial number is 236781, normal voltage 125 KV and permanent filtration 2.5 AL/75, the system was Manufacturing and installed in 2012. The presented study included 206 patients, 145 to coronary angiography (CA), 39 to percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI), 4 to, percutaneous transvenous mitral comissurotomy (PTMC) and 18 to pacemaker. Procedures were performed by resident cardiologists, occasionally by trainees. At the hospital technicians assisted the cardiologist during the procedures.
The most common performed cardiac procedures in the hospital were selected, namely CA, for the examination of blood vessels or chambers of the heart, PCI, for treating the stenotic coronary arteries of the heart, Percutaneous Transvenous Mitral Commissurotomy (PTMC) which is carried out when a mitral valve becomes narrowed. It is a long-term complication of rheumatic fever. While rheumatic fever is becoming rare in the developed western world, in developing countries it is still a major health issue.

The last procedure was the implantation of an artificial pacemaker by physicians to correct a slow heart.

The effective and the organs doses were estimated in the study by using the PCXMC software which is based on Monte Carlo simulation. The effective dose was calculated by using the present tissue weighting factors of ICRP Publication 103 (ICRP 103, 2007). The datum includes the mean of the patient’s weight, height, KAP and the beam width and height (the lateral and vertical dimensions of the beam at the skin of the patient) for each procedure, which was used for the calculation, is summarize in Table 1 the standard height and weight (178.6 cm and 73.3 Kg, respectively) for adult patient which was provided by the software was used.

3. Result

Table1: Number of patients with their means of the weight, height, KAP and beam width and height for the cardiac procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure (no of patients)</th>
<th>Weight (Kg)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>KAP (Gy.cm²)</th>
<th>Beam width and height(cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA (145)</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>11.7×11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI (39)</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>167.3</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>12.0×12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacemaker (18)</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>161.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>13.0×13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTMC (4)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>167.5</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>14.5×14.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kvp = 80, FSD = 90, Aluminum filter = 3mm thickness

Table2: Mean Organs and Effective Dose for cardiac procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Dose (mSv)</th>
<th>(Error %)</th>
<th>PTMC</th>
<th>Pacemaker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>0.01-(1.10)</td>
<td>0.02-(1.06)</td>
<td>0.01-(1.05)</td>
<td>0.01-(1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>5.29-(1.80)</td>
<td>14.75-(1.82)</td>
<td>5.85-(1.80)</td>
<td>4.35-(1.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>0.25-(9.00)</td>
<td>0.70-(9.03)</td>
<td>0.28-(9.10)</td>
<td>0.21-(9.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>9.43-(1.10)</td>
<td>26.64-(1.11)</td>
<td>10.56-(1.13)</td>
<td>7.85-(1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>2.47-(1.11)</td>
<td>6.97-(1.10)</td>
<td>2.76-(1.12)</td>
<td>2.06-(1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid</td>
<td>0.37-(17.70)</td>
<td>1.04-(17.69)</td>
<td>0.41-(17.74)</td>
<td>0.31-(17.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesophagus</td>
<td>7.81-(4.30)</td>
<td>22.9-(4.23)</td>
<td>8.76-(4.13)</td>
<td>6.51-(4.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Dose (mSv)</td>
<td>4.29-(0.70)</td>
<td>11.95-(0.69)</td>
<td>3.52-(0.71)</td>
<td>4.74-(0.70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: DAP to effective dose conversion factors (mSv/Gycm²) in this study and previous studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>PCI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Study</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hart and Wall.</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nada et al.</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobotessi et al.</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betsou et al.</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadhead et al.</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leung and Martin et al.</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: showed linear relationship between the Gy.cm² and mSv with R²= 0.9989 during CA procedures.

Figure 2: showed linear relationship between the Gy.cm² and mSv with R²= 0.9995 during PCI procedures

4. Discussion

The number of patients with their means of demographic data for (height and weight) and DAP and beam width and height listed in Table (1) for each procedure.

The outcome of this study was compared with some published surveys for CA and PCI as demonstrated in Table 3. The highest effective dose related to PCI possibly due to longer time associated with this procedure. The lowest effective dose related to Pacemaker because in this procedure used the fluoroscopy more than cine graphic.

Effective dose values for CA and PTMC procedures were found to be (4.23, 4.74) respectively.
The calculated effective doses of this research are compared with the values presented by other workers [11] – [19]. On the basis of the results of this study, the effective dose of patients who undergo (IC) procedures are much higher than the corresponding doses arising from conventional diagnostic radiology and cardiologists should take every precautions to avoid unnecessary exposure of patients.

The outcome of this study was compared with published survey for CA, PCI and pacemaker our mean effective dose results for CA was lower than all other presented studies [9]–[12]. It was found to be only higher than the value presented for Nada A. Ahmed et al [20] and for PCI it was found greater than Karpinen et al [18] and Nada A. Ahmed et al [20].

Table2 shows that highest mean dose values were found for the lung (9.43, 26.64 mGy) for CA and PCI respectively, an organ which is directly impacted by the primary x-ray beam during the procedure. The oesophagus’s mean dose value was found to be (7.81, 22.9), while the breast mean dose of (5.29, 14.75) and breast (0.01, 0.02) for CA and PCI respectively. Does were much lower, these organs being less frequently exposed to high x-ray intensity due to their anatomical position and the x-ray detector side.

The study provided that absorbed doses received during heart such as lungs, oesophagus and breasts could be relatively with a wide range of does. The relation between the obtained mean E values and mean DAP values in this study lead to conversion factors in mSv/Gycm² for the cardiac procedure equal to 0.14, 0.19, 0.18 and 0.19 for CA, PCI, PTMC and pacemaker respectively. The outcome of this study was compared with some published surveys [13], [17], [20] – [23].Table3 shows values for conversion factors from literature for CA and PCI. The obtained conversion factors in this study were close to the values reported by Hart and Wall [21], Nada A. Ahmed et al [20] and Betsou et al [13].

Figure: 1 showed the linear correlation between the DAP and effective dose in direct relationship noted with significant association which increased by 0.186 mGy/cm² for every one mSv increment in tube voltage when the mean value of DAP where equal to 29.5 with R2=0.998, and the linear regression equation that can describe this correlation was y=0.186x+0.015 during CA procedures. This was compared with the relation in PCI procedure when the mean value of DAP and Effective dose were 63.7 and 11.9 respectively. In more strong correlation that PCI where the R2= 0.999 (figure2).

To optimize radiation protection, every effort should be made to reduce the DAP of a procedure and thus the effective dose to the patients. This goal can be primarily achieved in this hospital by: (1) intensive training of the operators, nurses and technologists (2) position to the region of interest only and shift to lower cine-graphic modes and use less cine-graphic runs, (3) Use low-level fluoroscopy mode whenever possible and reduce the fluoroscopy time as possible (4) Avoid unnecessary magnification, (5) Apply the “as low as reasonably achievable” (ALARA) principle in emergency cases after gaining sufficient reperfusion.

5. Conclusion

The study presents results for 206 patients undergoing cardiac interventional procedures at hospital in Khartoum during 10 months. This study has shown that the doses received by patients during IC procedures could be high, especially since these patients could be exposed several times according to the chronic evolution of the coronary disease. A careful radiation protection approach is needed for those patients in order to reduce, their exposure to ionizing radiation. Health monitoring as well as long-term epidemiological studies of the most exposed patients undergoing IC procedures should help to assess the radiation-induced cancer risk of the radiosensitive organs around the heart, but need to take into account the clinical specificities of the target population. The results of this work correspond to other published data and confirm the fact that cardiology procedures may result in an increased risk compared with most radiological examinations.
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