A Study of Clinico-Pathological Concordance in Leprosy Patients in the Post-Elimination Era
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Abstract: Histopathological correlation of skin biopsies in 89 leprosy patients was done with clinical diagnosis using Ridley Jopling classification. There was clinico-pathological agreement in 65.16% of cases. The correlation was highest in LL (75%) followed by BL (70%), BT (63.4%), and TT (50%).
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1. Introduction

Leprosy is a chronic, infectious disease caused by Mycobacterium leprae, infectious in some cases, and affecting the peripheral nervous system, the skin, and certain other tissues. Leprosy presents in different clinico-pathological forms, depending on the immune status of the host. There are several classification systems in place for the clinical spectrum of leprosy and the most common used classification in clinical practice is the Ridley Jopling classification of leprosy.

The study of pathological changes in leprosy lesions has contributed to the understanding of the disease and clinico-pathological correlative studies have provided insights into the disease, its varied manifestations and complications. Pathological examination helps to confirm a presumptive clinical diagnosis and also helps for exact typing. The study was undertaken to correlate different types of leprosy histopathologically and clinically.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study design: Material and Methods

We did a retrospective analysis of 89 clinically suspected cases of leprosy, which were biopsied from June 2014 to May 2015 after obtaining approval from the ethical committee at our institution. Skin biopsies were taken from active lesions in all patients after obtaining informed consent and biopsies were stained by Hematoxylin & Eosin stain. The clinical and histopathological diagnoses were correlated.

Inclusion Criteria:
All patients with different clinical spectrum of leprosy, were included in the study and graded as per the Ridley-Jopling classification into TT, BT, BB, BL and LL.

Exclusion Criteria:
Patients already treated with anti-leprosy medications in any time earlier were excluded.

A detailed history regarding the age, sex, occupation, and residence, duration of the disease, age of onset, medical and family history was obtained. A thorough dermatological examination was made to note the extent, site and type of lesions. Clinical diagnosis was made using Ridley Jopling classification. Histopathologic examination of the haematoxylin and eosin stained slides was done by the dermatopathologists.

3. Results

A total of 89 patients were studied between June 2014 and May 2015. Out of the 89 patients, 68 were males (76.4%) and 21 were females (23.6%)[Figure 1].

The age of the patients varied from 6 to 70 years with mean age of 38.5 years. Majority of the patients were in the age group 21 – 30 years. Clinical diagnosis of the patients is as in Table 1. 4 patients presented in reactions- One patient with Type 1 reaction and three patients with Type 2 reaction. The overall clinico-pathological correlation was seen in 58 cases. The maximum correlation was noted in LL patients (75%) followed by BL (70%), BT(63%) and TT (50%).

Figure 1: Sex distribution of patients
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The disparity between clinical and histological observations was anticipated because the parameters used for the histopathologic classification are well-defined, precise and also take into account the immunologic response of the tissue, while the clinical classification gives recognition only to the gross appearances of the lesions which is due to the underlying pathological change. Moreover, a sizable proportion of leprosy cases (BT+BB+BL) are in a continuously changing immunological spectrum and histological classification gives a better indication for any recent shift of a case position in the spectrum.2

There is no independent gold standard for diagnosis of leprosy. Taking any of the clinical signs, clinical types, histopathological parameters or histopathological types as a gold standard is not ideal. The variation in different studies may be due to different criteria used to select the cases and difference in number of cases of each type. Various factors also influence the histopathological diagnosis such as differences in sample size, choosing the biopsy site, age of the lesion, immunological and treatment status of the patient at the time of biopsy. Clinical diagnosis of early leprosy lesions offer difficulties even to experienced dermatologists and leprologists. A definitive diagnosis may be possible by histopathological examination. The other important point to be considered is inter-observer variation, both clinically and histopathologically. As there can be some degree of overlap between different types of leprosy, both clinically and histopathologically, correlation of clinical and histopathological features along with bacteriological index appears to be more useful for accurate typing of leprosy than considering any one of the single parameters alone.(6)

4. Conclusion

With the clinical diagnosis of leprosy as per Ridley Jopling classification, skin biopsies were sent for histopathological examination for confirmation of diagnosis. Typing of leprosy is important in management, complications and prognosis of the patient. There can be some degree of overlap between different types of leprosy both clinically and histopathologically due to inter observer variation
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