Study of Zernike Polynomials Properties for Oblique Elliptical Aperture at an Angle (π / 4) with X-Axis
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Abstract: In this research, some of the optical properties have been studied for oblique elliptical aperture at an angle (π / 4) with x-axis, by using Zernike polynomials. Zernike polynomials for circular aperture and Gram Schmidt orthogonalization method were adopted to find Zernike polynomials for the new aperture. And in this case the equations used are very complex, therefore, new coordinates m and n were used, that they were oblique at (π/ 4) to both x and y axes respectively. The relationship between Zernike polynomials for the new aperture with first and third order aberrations was derived. And it found that aberrations of high orders were balanced with aberrations of lower orders, for example, third order coma aberration were balanced with first order tilt error, while the third order spherical and astigmatism aberrations were balanced with focus aberration of first order. The standard deviation is also found in this research for balanced and unbalanced aberrations for any value of aspect ratio.
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1. Introduction

Zernike polynomials considered as one of important topics in optics. So many studies and researches were done from its first study in 1934 by F. Zernike[1], which it bears his name, who used it in testing spherical mirrors, and then by many researchers who extended their studies to apertures other than circular[2-5 ]. This research is interesting in the elliptical aperture, where the circular aperture tilted at some angle becomes an elliptical aperture, and for human eye, which is an optical system of wide field of view. Another examples of elliptical pupil is in shearing interferometry and in imaging and testing fold mirrors[6]. In 2007, V. N. Mahajan studied the Zernike horizontal elliptical aperture, who found the first 15 elliptical Zernike polynomials in Cartizian and polar coordinates[7]. While in 2012 Sundus Y. and Ali S. studied these polynomials for elliptical annular aperture[8]. In 2014 also, Jose A. Diaz and R. Navarro studied Orthonormal polynomials for elliptical wavefronts with certain orientations[6 ]. In this research the interesting is on elliptical aperture inclined at an angle π/4, to x-axis. Point spread function for this aperture were studied by S. Y. Hasan and W. H. Tarchan in 2014[9].

2. Oblique Elliptical aperture at an angle (π / 4) with x-axis

The current study includes an elliptical aperture tilted at an angle (π/4) to the x-axis, and it is located inside a circle of an area equal π or circle of unit radius, (figure 1).

![Figure 1: oblique elliptical aperture at an angle (π/4) with the x-axis.](image-url)
Where a and b are the semi primary and secondary axes of ellipse. And thus it can be concluded that the limits of ellipse are:
\[-\sqrt{2} < m < \sqrt{2}\] and \[-b_1 \sqrt{2 - \frac{m^2}{2}} < n < b_1 \sqrt{2 - \frac{m^2}{2}}\] ....(6)

Where from fig.(1), (a) of eq.(5) equals to \(\sqrt{2}\), because \(m=1/\sqrt{2+1/\sqrt{2}}=\sqrt{2}\).

### 3. Zernike Polynomials for Oblique Elliptical aperture at an angle \((\pi/4)\) with x-axis

The Zernike Polynomials (ZP) were suggested to describe wave aberration functions over circular pupils of unit radius. Individual terms, of these polynomials are mutually orthogonal over the limits of unit circle and can be easily normalized to form an orthonormal basis. These polynomials were lost their important properties when the pupils are noncircular. To find ZP for the oblique aperture under interest, Gram Schmidt orthogonalization method was used on the circular Zernike Polynomials but on the limits of the new aperture mentioned in the last section, eq. (6). The sequence of circular Zernike polynomials used is those as in reference [10]. Gram Schmidt orthogonalization method can be illustrated as follows: To convert the two circular ZP Z1 and Z2 to two polynomials orthogonal in the limits of the new aperture [11], the following equation used:

\[ Z_2(n,m) = Z_2(n,m) - \frac{\int_{m}^{n} \int_{n}^{m} Z_1(n,m)Z_2(n,m)dn dm}{\int_{m}^{n} \int_{n}^{m} Z_2(n,m)Z_2(n,m)dn dm} * Z_1(n,m) \] .... (7)

Where C is normalization constant
d\(dy\) turned into a \(dm\) using Jacoppin method[12]:

\[ \frac{dy}{dm} = \frac{1}{C} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2(m-n)^2}} \]

This means that \(dx\) \(dy\) \(=\frac{1}{2}dm\) \(dn\)

Thus, the equations (7) and (8) can be written as:

\[ 1 = C^2 \frac{\int_{m}^{n} \int_{n}^{m} (Z_1(n,m))^2 dn dm}{\int_{m}^{n} \int_{n}^{m} (Z_2(n,m))^2 dn dm} \] .............(10)

The last two equations were programmed using MATLAB language to find ZP’s for the new aperture in \(m\) and \(n\) coordinates then they transformed again to \(x\) and \(y\) coordinates using equations (1) and (2).

Table (1) represents first fifteen orthogonal polynomials for the new aperture in Cartesian coordinates, while table (2) represents the normalization constants for these polynomials. Table (3) represents these polynomials in polar coordinates.

The MATLAB program, written in this work to get these polynomials, were checked by putting the value of \(b=\sqrt{2}\) (where \(\sqrt{2}\) is in \(m-n\) coordinates which equal to 1 in \(x\) \(y\) coordinates) then the circular polynomials is the result. Then these polynomials were drawn in figures (2) in 2-D and 3-D, and the gradient of colors from red to blue shows the amount of convexity and concavity, where the red color refers to the top, while the blue color refers to the bottom, and so on.

| \(1\) | \(\frac{1}{2}\) |
| \(2\) | \(x\) |
| \(3\) | \(y + x \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} - 2}{b + 2}\right)\) |
| \(4\) | \(2^2 - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{3^2}{2})\) |
| \(5\) | \(x^2 - y^2\) |
| \(6\) | \(3p^2(b^2 - 4) + 2xy(3b^2 - 4b^2 + 12) + 2(2b^2 - b^4)\) |
| \(7\) | \(3p^2x(\frac{p}{b} + 1) + \frac{1}{2}y \left(\frac{p}{b} - 1\right)\) |
| \(8\) | \(3y^2(9b^2 - 2b^2 - 4b^2 + 72) + 3x^2(9b^2 - 22b^4 + 72) + 3xy(9b^2 - 4b^2 + 72) + 3xy(9b^2 - 22b^4 + 72) + 3x^2 \left(\frac{3}{2}b^2 - b^2 - 4b^2 + 12\right) + 3y \left(\frac{3}{2}b^2 - 6b^2 + 4b^4 + 24b^2 + 12\right)\) |
\[
6p^4 - \frac{1}{2} p^2 b^2 \left( \frac{3}{2} + 1 \right) + 3xy \left( \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \right) + \frac{3}{32} b^4 + \frac{b^2}{2} + \frac{3}{8}
\]
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\[
\left\{[-48b^4 + 48b^4 - 192b^4 + 90b^4 - 168b^2 - 672b^2 - 1440]x^2y + [-180b^4 + 624b^4 - 1824b^4 + 2496b^2 - 2880]xy + [24b^4 + 488b^4 + 192b^4 + 144b^4 + 312b^4 + 624b^4 + 672b^2 + 1440]x + [45b^4 + 168b^4 + 568b^4 + 672b^2] \right\}
\]
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\[
\left\{[10b^4 + 36b^4 + 72b^2 + 80]x + [30b^4 - 84b^4 + 168b^2 - 240]xy + [10b^4 + 36b^4 - 72b^2 - 80]y^2 + [30b^4 - 12b^4 - 24b^2 - 240]y^2 x + [-12b^4 + 488b^4 + 488b^4]y + [5b^4 + 6b^4 + 12b^4 - 40] \right\}
\]
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\[-4(y^4 - x^4) + \frac{1}{3} \rho(x - \frac{b}{2}) \]
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\[
\left\{\frac{1}{14} \left\{[-3840b^4 + 1230b^4 - 13560b^4 + 23040]x^4y + (1140b^8 + 3840b^8 + 12032b^4 - 13560b^4 + 23040)xy^2 + (1140b^8 + 1920b^8 + 7680b^8 - 23040)y^2 x^2 + 720b^8 - 96b^8 + 3840b^8 - 11520 (y^4 + x^4)x + (-45b^10 - 75b^10 + 232b^8 + 6060b^6b^2 + 1140)p^5 + (-90b^10 - 120b^8 - 336b^6 - 672b^4 - 336b^2 - 2880)xy + 30b^5/4b^4 + 3b^4/6b^6 - 96b^4 + 120b^6 - 312b^6 + 376b^6 + 480b^8 + 720] \right\}
\]
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\[
y^4 b^8 - \frac{2841b^4 b^8 - 15360b^8 b^2 - 15360b^8 b^2 - 15360b^8 b^2}{32} + \frac{y(48b^4 b^8 + 6b^8 b^2 + 24b^8 b^2 + 76.50b^2 + 45)}{32} + x \left( \frac{-b^2}{3} + 2x^2 \right)
\]
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\[
y^4 \left( \frac{272}{32} \right) b^8 - \frac{493}{32} b^8 - \frac{9}{32} b^8 - \frac{32}{32} b^8 + \frac{76.50b^2 + 45}{32} + x \left( \frac{-b^2}{3} + 2x^2 \right)
\]

**Table 2:** The normalization constants for polynomials of oblique aperture

| C_1 | 1 |
| C_2 | \frac{4}{\sqrt{b^2 + 2}} |
| C_3 | \frac{\sqrt{12\b}}{b} |
| C_4 | \frac{(48)}{\sqrt{3b^4 - 4b^2 + 12}} |
| C_5 | \frac{32}{(9b^4 - 12b^2 + 36)} |
| C_6 | \sqrt{\frac{1152b^6 - 256b^6 - 512b^6 + 9216}{45b^10 - 168b^8 + 568b^6 - 672b^4 + 720b^2}} |
| C_7 | \sqrt{\frac{5120}{45b^8 - 120b^8 + 376b^8 - 480b^8 + 720}} |
| C_8 | \sqrt{\frac{48b^8 - 120b^8 + 376b^8 - 480b^8 + 720}{\frac{5b^{10} + 3b^8 + 3b^6 + 10b^4}{2b^8}}}} |
| C_9 | \sqrt{\frac{5b^6 + 6b^4 + 12b^2 + 40}{2b^6}} |
| C_10 | \sqrt{\frac{49152}{75b^{10} - 165b^8 - 4b^6 + 732b^4 - 1040b^2 + 2400}} |
| C_11 | \sqrt{\frac{180b^6 - 480b^6 + 15040b^4 - 1920b^2 + 2880}{35b^{12} - 120b^{10} + 456b^8 - 480b^6 + 560b^4}} |
| C_12 | \sqrt{\frac{49152}{75b^{10} - 165b^8 - 4b^6 + 732b^4 - 1040b^2 + 2400}} |

**Table 3:** Orthogonal Zernike polynomials for oblique aperture at an angle (\pi/4) with x-axis in polar coordinates, b is the aspect ratio.

| C_15 | \frac{5b^6 + 11b^4 + 12b^2 + 40}{0.0859b^4 + 0.569b^2 + 2.272b^2 + 5.234b^4 + 0.909b^6} |
| C_16 | \frac{911b^4 + 5.469b^2}{\sqrt{\rho^2}} |

| C_1 | 1 |
| C_2 | \frac{3}{16} |
| C_3 | \frac{1}{6} |
| C_4 | \frac{11}{482} |
| C_5 | \frac{1}{12} |
| C_6 | \frac{1}{14}[\rho \cos(a)] |
| C_7 | \frac{1}{4}[\rho \sin(a)] |
| C_8 | \frac{1}{4} |
| C_9 | \frac{3}{2} |
| C_10 | \frac{3}{2} |
| C_11 | \frac{3}{2} |
| C_12 | \frac{3}{2} |
| C_13 | \frac{3}{2} |
| C_14 | \frac{3}{2} |
The expansion of wavefront aberration in terms of field independent wavefront can be written as
\[ W(x, y) = W_{11} \rho \cos\theta + W_{20} \rho^2 + W_{40} \rho^4 + W_{31} \rho^3 \cos\theta + \ldots \] (11)

Because there is no field dependence in these terms, they are not true Seidel aberrations. Wavefront measurement using an interferometer provides only data at a single field point. This causes field curvature to look like focus, and distortion to look like tilt. Therefore, a number of field points must be measured to determine the Seidel aberrations [13]. By using the first nine oblique elliptical Zernike polynomials, the relationship between Zernike polynomials and third-order aberrations can be obtained, as follows:
\[ W(x, y) = E_0 + E_{1x} \rho + E_{2y} (y + \alpha_x x) + E_3 (2\rho^2 - \alpha_x^2) + E_4 (x^2 - y^2) + E_5 (2xy + 3\rho^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{\alpha_5}{a_8}) + E_6 (3(x^2 + y^2)x - x\alpha_6 + \frac{\alpha_7}{a_8}) + E_7 (3(y^3 + xy^2) + 3(x^3 + xy^2) \alpha_6 + 3x \alpha_{10} a_8 - 3y \alpha_{11} a_8) + E_8 (6\rho^4 + \rho^2 \alpha_{13} + 3y\alpha_7 \alpha_{12}) \ldots \] (12)

Here E0 to E8 represent weighted constants of linear sum, and
\[ a_1 = \frac{b^2 - 2}{b^2 + 2} \]
\[ a_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{b}{2}) \]
\[ a_3 = (b^4 - 4) \]
\[ a_4 = 3(b^4 - 4b^2 + 12) \]
\[ a_5 = 2(b^6 - b^4) \]
\[ a_6 = \frac{b^2}{2} + 1 \]
\[ a_7 = \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \]
\[ a_8 = 9b^6 - 2b^4 - 4b^2 + 72 \]
\[ a_9 = 9b^6 - 22b^4 + 72 \]
\[ a_{10} = \frac{3}{4} b^8 - 6b^6 + 4b^2 + 12 \]
\[ a_{11} = \frac{3}{4} b^8 - 6b^6 - 12 \]
\[ a_{12} = \frac{3}{32} b^4 + b^2 + 3 \]
\[ a_{13} = \frac{3}{32} b^4 - b^2 - 3 \]

\[ \text{Figure} \]

### 4. Relationship between Zernike Polynomials and Third-Order Aberrations

The expansion of wavefront aberration in terms of field independent wavefront can be written as
\[ W(x, y) = W_{11} \rho \cos\theta + W_{20} \rho^2 + W_{40} \rho^4 + W_{31} \rho^3 \cos\theta + \ldots \] (11)

Because there is no field dependence in these terms, they are not true Seidel aberrations. Wavefront measurement using an interferometer provides only data at a single field point. This causes field curvature to look like focus, and distortion to look like tilt. Therefore, a number of field points must be measured to determine the Seidel aberrations [13]. By using the first nine oblique elliptical Zernike polynomials, the relationship between Zernike polynomials and third-order aberrations can be obtained, as follows:
\[ W(x, y) = E_0 + E_{1x} \rho + E_{2y} (y + \alpha_x x) + E_3 (2\rho^2 - \alpha_x^2) + E_4 (x^2 - y^2) + E_5 (2xy + 3\rho^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{\alpha_5}{a_8}) + E_6 (3(x^2 + y^2)x - x\alpha_6 + \frac{\alpha_7}{a_8}) + E_7 (3(y^3 + xy^2) + 3(x^3 + xy^2) \alpha_6 + 3x \alpha_{10} a_8 - 3y \alpha_{11} a_8) + E_8 (6\rho^4 + \rho^2 \alpha_{13} + 3y\alpha_7 \alpha_{12}) \ldots \] (12)

Here E0 to E8 represent weighted constants of linear sum, and
\[ a_1 = \frac{b^2 - 2}{b^2 + 2} \]
\[ a_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{b}{2}) \]
\[ a_3 = (b^4 - 4) \]
\[ a_4 = 3(b^4 - 4b^2 + 12) \]
\[ a_5 = 2(b^6 - b^4) \]
\[ a_6 = \frac{b^2}{2} + 1 \]
\[ a_7 = \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \]
\[ a_8 = 9b^6 - 2b^4 - 4b^2 + 72 \]
\[ a_9 = 9b^6 - 22b^4 + 72 \]
\[ a_{10} = \frac{3}{4} b^8 - 6b^6 + 4b^2 + 12 \]
\[ a_{11} = \frac{3}{4} b^8 - 6b^6 - 12 \]
\[ a_{12} = \frac{3}{32} b^4 + b^2 + 3 \]
\[ a_{13} = \frac{3}{32} b^4 - b^2 - 3 \]

\[ \text{Figure} \]
Figure 2: 2D and 3-D figures for ZP (E₁-E₈) of oblique elliptical aperture at an angle π/4 with x-axis

Re-arranging the last equation gives:

\[
W(x, y) = E_0 - E_3 \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_4} + E_6 \alpha_{12}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Piston</th>
<th>Tilt</th>
<th>Focus and astigmatism</th>
<th>Coma</th>
<th>Spherical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(+(E_1 + \alpha_1 E_2 - \alpha_6 E_6 + 3E_7 \frac{\alpha_1 10}{\alpha_8})x + (E_2 + \frac{1}{2} E_6 \alpha_7 + 3 \frac{\alpha_1 11}{\alpha_8} E_7)y)</td>
<td>(+(2E_3 + E_4 + 3E_5 \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_4} - \alpha_1 \alpha_6 E_6) x^2)</td>
<td>(+(2E_3 - E_4 + 3E_5 \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_4} - \alpha_1 \alpha_6 E_6) y^2 + (2C_5 + 3C_6 \alpha_7) xy)</td>
<td>(+(3C_6 + 3C_7 \frac{\alpha_9}{\alpha_8}) x^3 + (3C_7) y^3 + 3x^2 y^2 C_7 + 3xy^2(C_6 + \frac{\alpha_9}{\alpha_8} C_7))</td>
<td>(+6\rho^4 C_B)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the identity
\[
A \cos \alpha + B \sin \alpha = (A^2 + B^2)^{1/2} \cos(\alpha - \tan^{-1}(B/A))
\]

\[
\alpha = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right), \cos(\alpha) = \frac{x}{(x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}}, \sin(\alpha) = \frac{y}{(x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}}, \rho^2 = x^2 + y^2.
\]

The term of tilt becomes \((E_1 + \alpha_1 E_2 - \alpha_6 E_6 + 3E_7 \frac{\alpha_1 10}{\alpha_8}) \rho \cos \alpha + (E_2 + \frac{1}{2} E_6 \alpha_7 + 3 \frac{\alpha_1 11}{\alpha_8} E_7) \rho \sin \alpha =\)
\[\rho^2\left[\left(\alpha_4 E_2 - \alpha_6 E_6 + 3 E_5 \frac{a_1}{a_8}\right)^2 + \left(E_2 + \frac{1}{2} E_6 \alpha_7 + E_9 \right)^2\right]^{1/2}\]
\[\times \cos[\alpha - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{E_2 + \frac{1}{2} E_6 \alpha_7 + 3 \frac{a_1}{a_8}}{\alpha_4 E_2 - \alpha_6 E_6 + 3 E_5 \frac{a_1}{a_8}}\right)]\]
\[\text{As well as, the term of focus and astigmatism becomes:}\]
\[\left(2E_3 + E_4 + 3E_5 \frac{a_1}{a_4} - \alpha_{13} E_9\right)x^2 + \left(2E_3 - E_4 + 3E_5 \frac{a_1}{a_4} - \alpha_{13} E_9\right)y^2 + (2E_5 + 3E_6 \alpha_7)xy \text{ ... (15)}\]

Written as follows:
\[\left(2E_3 + 3E_5 \frac{a_3}{a_4} - \alpha_{13} E_9\right)\rho^2 + E_4(x^2 - y^2) + (2E_5 + 3E_6 \alpha_7)xy \text{ ... (16)}\]

And also:
\[E_4(x^2 - y^2) + (2E_5 + 3E_6 \alpha_7)xy = E_4(\rho^2 \cos^2(\alpha) - \rho^2 \sin^2(\alpha)) + (2E_5 + 3E_6 \alpha_7)\rho^2 \cos(\alpha) \sin(\alpha)\]
\[= E_4\rho^2 \cos(2\alpha) + \left[(2E_5 + 3E_6 \alpha_7)\frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \sin(2\alpha)\right]^{+\rho^2\left[\left(2E_3 + 3E_5 \frac{a_3}{a_4} - \alpha_{13} E_9\right)\right] - 1}\]

\[\text{Focus}\]

\[= (E_4)^4 + \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}(2E_5 + 3E_6 \alpha_7)\right)^\frac{1}{2} \cos[\frac{\alpha}{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2E_5 + 3E_6 \alpha_7}{2E_4}\right)}]\right) \text{ ... (17)}\]

Since:
\[\cos(2\alpha) = \cos^2(\alpha) - \sin^2(\alpha)\]
\[\rho^2 \cos[2(\alpha - \tan^{-1}\frac{2E_5 + 3E_6 \alpha_7}{2E_4})] = \cos^2(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2}\sin^2(\alpha - 12\tan^{-1}(\frac{2E_5a_3 + 3E_6a_7\frac{a_3}{a_4})}\right) \text{ ... (18)}\]

Using matched:
\[\cos^2(\theta) - \sin^2(\theta) = \cos^2(\theta) - (1 - \cos^2(\theta)) = (1-2\cos^2(\theta))\]

Be the result of the previous equation is:
\[\left[-1 + 2\cos^2(\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2E_5 + 3E_6 \alpha_7}{2E_4}\right)\right]^{1/2}\]

Since equation (16) form:
\[\rho^2\left[\left(2E_3 + 3E_5 \frac{a_3}{a_4} - \alpha_{13} E_9\right) - \left[(E_4)^2 + \left(E_5 \alpha_7\right)^2\right] +\right] + 2\rho^2 \cos[\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2E_5 + 3E_6 \alpha_7}{2E_4}\right) \text{ ... (19)}\]

And also, the term of coma becomes:
\[3\rho^3 \cos^3(\alpha) \left(E_6 + E_7 \frac{a_9}{a_8}\right) + \left(3E_7 \rho^3 \sin^2(\alpha)\right)\]
\[+ 3E_7 \rho^3 \sin(\alpha) \cos^2(\alpha)\]
\[= \left(E_6 + E_7 \frac{a_9}{a_8}\right) \rho^3 \cos(\alpha) + 3E_7 \rho^3 \sin(\alpha) ... (20)\]

As \((\sin^2(\alpha) + \cos^2(\alpha)) = 1\)

We get:
\[3\rho^3 \cos(\alpha) \left(E_6 + E_7 \frac{a_9}{a_8}\right) + 3\rho^3 \sin^2(\alpha) (\sin^2(\alpha) + \cos^2(\alpha))\]
\[= 3\rho^3 \cos(\alpha) \left(E_6 + E_7 \frac{a_9}{a_8}\right) + (E_7)^2 \cos[\alpha - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{E_7}{E_6 + E_7 \frac{a_9}{a_8}}\right) \text{ ... (21)}\]

Finally, the terms of wavefront aberration, can be written as:
\[W(x, y) = E_0 - E_2 \alpha_2 + 2E_5 \alpha_5 + E_9 \alpha_{12}\]

\[\rho^2 \left[\left(\alpha_4 E_2 - \alpha_6 E_6 + 3 E_5 \frac{a_1}{a_8}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} E_6 \alpha_7 + E_9 \right)^2\right]^{1/2}\]

Tilt
\[\times \cos[\alpha - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{E_2 + \frac{1}{2} E_6 \alpha_7 + 3 \frac{a_1}{a_8}}{\alpha_4 E_2 - \alpha_6 E_6 + 3 E_5 \frac{a_1}{a_8}}\right)]\]

Focus
\[\times \cos[\alpha - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2E_5 + 3E_6 \alpha_7}{2E_4}\right)]\]

Coma
\[\times \cos[\alpha - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{E_7}{E_6 + E_7 \frac{a_9}{a_8}}\right) \text{ ... (22)}\]

Spherical
\[+ 6\rho^4 E_8\]

The magnitude, sign, and angle of these field-independent aberration terms are listed in Table (4). Notice focus and astigmatism take a sign opposite to that of focus. Table (4): First and third order aberration in terms of oblique ellipse Zernike coefficients at angle \(\pi/4\) with x-axis.

5. Standard Deviation of Balanced and Unbalanced primary Aberration

The variation to aberration function given by the following equation:
\[(\alpha^2) = \langle W^2(n, m) \rangle = \langle W^2(n, m) \rangle \text{ ... (23)}\]

Where the average value of the square of aberration given by (mean square value)
\[\langle W^2(n, m) \rangle = \int_{-b}^{b} \int_{-a}^{a} W^2(n, m) dndm \text{ ... (24)}\]
Because orthogonality property, the results of above two equations are:
Then the variance would be:
\[
\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i^2 \quad \text{(26)}
\]
That’s mean, that expressing the variance in terms of ZP’s makes each coefficient \(C_i\) represents the standard deviation of the corresponding aberration.

If the aberration function is the value of:
\[
W = C_0E_0 + C_1E_1 + C_2E_2 + \cdots
\]
Then the Variance is
\[
\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i^2 \quad \text{(27)}
\]

Thus, it can be conclude that the variation of aberration is given simply by summing the squares of the normalization functions except the first term or piston \(C_0\). The square root of the variance \(\sigma^2\) represents the standard deviation \(\sigma\) of aberration.

Now, the standard deviation of balanced and unbalanced aberrations will found:

1) The tilt error on the x-axis is the second term of ZP’s
If the aberration function is the value of:
\[
W = C_0E_0 + C_1E_1 + C_2E_2 + \cdots
\]
Then the Variance is
\[
\sigma_{\text{tilt}} = \frac{\sqrt{b^2 + 2}}{4}
\]

2) Focus error is found in the fourth term of P’s

4) Coma aberration is found in the seventh polynomial:
\[
E_7 = \frac{32}{\sqrt{9b^6 - 2b^4 - 4b^2 + 72}} \left[ 3\rho^2x + x \left( \frac{b^2}{2} + 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2}y \left( \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \right) \right]
\]

Thus, the balanced standard deviation of the aberration:
\[
\sigma_0 = \frac{\sqrt{9b^6 - 2b^4 - 4b^2 + 72}}{96}
\]

So, coma aberration is balanced with tilt error in x and y coordinates, and to find \(\sigma\) Seidel aberration of coma, it should be written as:
\[
\sigma_0 = \frac{\sqrt{9b^6 - 2b^4 - 4b^2 + 72}}{96}
\]

Where \(x = \frac{\sqrt{b^2+2}}{4}E_2\) and \(y = \frac{b}{\sqrt{2b^4+4}}E_3 + \frac{\sqrt{b^2+2}}{4}E_4 \left( \frac{b^3-2}{b^2+2} \right)\).
\[ \rho^3 \cos(\alpha) = \frac{\sqrt{9b^6 - 2b^4 - 4b^2 + 72}}{96} E_7 + \frac{\sqrt{b^2 + 2}}{12} \left( \frac{b^2}{2} + 1 \right) E_2 - \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \right)^2 \frac{b}{\sqrt{2b^2 + 4}} E_3 - \frac{\sqrt{b^2 + 2}}{4} E_2 \left( \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \right) \]

By applying the equation of standard deviation:

\[ \sigma_{\text{sph}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{36} \left( \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \right)^2 \left( \frac{b^2 + 2}{2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{6}{16} \left( \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \right)^2 \left( \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \right)^2 \frac{b}{b^2 + 2} \left( \frac{b^2}{2} + 1 \right) \}} \]

5) Spherical aberration is found in the ninth polynomial

\[ E_9 = \sqrt{\frac{4 \times 1280}{45b^6 - 120b^4 + 376b^2 - 480b^2 + 720}} \left( 6 \rho^4 \right) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4 \times 1280} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2b^2}}{2} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\sqrt{2b^2}}{2} + 1 \right) \frac{b^2}{6} \left( \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \right) + 3x \left( \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \right) \]

\[ + \frac{3}{32} b^4 + \frac{b^4}{2} + \frac{b^4}{3} \]

Therefore, the standard deviation of the balanced spherical aberration is:

\[ E_{\sigma_s} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{184320} \left( 45b^8 - 120b^6 + 376b^4 - 480b^2 + 720 \right) \left( \rho^4 \right) \left( \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{b^2}{2} + 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \left( \frac{b^2}{2} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{64} b^4 + \frac{b^4}{12} + \frac{b^4}{48} \]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sigma</th>
<th>circle</th>
<th>Elliptical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_d$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{4}{\sqrt{b^2 + 2^x}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_a$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{4}$</td>
<td>$\frac{b^2 (3b^4 - 4b^2 + 12)}{48 + \frac{768}{768}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{ba}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{b}{2\sqrt{12}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_c$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\sqrt{9b^6 - 2b^4 - 4b^2 + 72}}{96}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{bc}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\sqrt{9b^6 - 2b^4 - 4b^2 + 72}}{96}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_s$</td>
<td>$\frac{2}{3\sqrt{5}}$</td>
<td>$\rho^4 = \sqrt{\frac{45b^6 - 120b^6 + 376b^4 - 480b^2 + 720}{184320}} E_9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{bs}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{6\sqrt{5}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{45b^6 - 120b^6 + 376b^4 - 480b^2 + 720}{30720}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4: The standard deviation for balanced and un-balanced aberrations for focus, astigmatism, coma, and spherical as a function of b.

From above two figures, it’s obvious that s of tilt error is decreased as aspect ratio b decreased till it reach the value of spherical aperture when b = \sqrt{2}, while the rest are decreased with increasing b.

6. Conclusions

There are many conclusions reached in this research:
1. Zernike polynomials limits appropriate only for circular slot and are not appropriate for any other slot, because they lose orthogonal property. So it was treated in a manner Cram - Schmidt orthogonality with the borders of the new slot to be orthogonal to get the property back on the borders of the new slot.
2. Zernike polynomials limits oval oblique angle (\pi /4) with the x-axis has no axial symmetry as is the case of circular slot because it cannot be written in terms of one kind of trigonometric functions (cos or sin). Which, as is evident from the table (3-7), the border 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 12, 13 and 16 can only be written in terms of a pocket or pocket only fully.
3. Zernike polynomials limits oval oblique angle (\pi /4) with the x-axis be appropriate to describe the function of the slot aberration oval oblique.
4. This Almtadeddat be non-symmetrical circular, as is the case of circular aperture, and this is evident from the lack of written polar coordinates separately.
5. Zernike polynomials limits oval oblique angle (\pi /4) with the x-axis return to the borders of Zernike polynomials circular when b = \sqrt{2}.
6. Squared values rate is equal to the total transactions Zernike boxes while covariance be equal to the total transactions Zernike boxes, except for the first term.
7. As in the Zernike polynomials limits circular, the rate of the value of the function of each aberration Zernike polynomials oval at an angle (\pi /4) with the x-axis is equal to zero, except for the first term, in other words, the rate of value be equal to Zernike first coefficient (or piston met).
8. Astigmatism aberration balance with defect length deviation and aberration caudatus balance with tilt and this resembles the corresponding limits in Zernike circular.
9. In oval aperture oblique angle (\pi /4) with the x-axis spherical aberration balance with focal length deviation and aberration Astigmatism, and this is different from the circular aperture in the balance as the spherical aberration with focal length aberration deviation only.
10. The standard deviation of aberrations depends on the b-value and devolve these values to the values of the circular slot when b = \sqrt{2}.
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