

Designing of Quick Switching Multiple Deferred Sampling System – 3 through Minimum Angle and Minimum Sum of Risk

V. Kaviyarasu¹, G. Sangameswaran²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Statistics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore-641046, Tamilnadu, India

²Research Scholar Department of Statistics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore-641046, Tamilnadu, India

Abstract: In this paper a new procedures has been developed for Quick Switching Multiple Deferred Sampling System (QSMDSS) – 3. The optimization techniques such as Minimum Angle Method and Minimum Sum of Risks are carried out for the readymade selection of the plan parameter. Further these techniques are illustrated through suitable numerical examples for selection of the system.

Keywords: Quick Switching System, Multiple Deferred Sampling plan, Minimum Angle Method, Minimum Sum of Risks.

1. Introduction

Acceptance sampling is the procedure of randomly inspecting a sample of goods and deciding whether to accept or reject the entire lot based on the sample results. Acceptance sampling method determines whether a batch of goods should be accepted or rejected. Statistical quality control is simply a statistical method for determining the extent to which quality goals are being met without necessarily checking every item produced and for indicating whether or not the variations which occur one exceeding normal expectations.

2. Quick Switching System

Romboski (1969) has presented extensively a system of immediate switching to tightened inspection when the rejection comes under normal inspection. Due to instantaneous switching between normal and tightened plans, this system is referred as Quick Switching System (QSS). Romboski (1969) has studied the QSS by taking single sampling plan as a reference plan. Using the OC function of Markov chain the composite OC function of QSS – 1 has been derived by Romboski (1969) as

$$P_a(P) = \frac{P_T}{(1 - P_N + P_T)}$$

Where, $P_a(p)$ = Probability of acceptance a lot when a system of sampling plans is under operation. P_N = Proportions of lots expected to be accepted using (n, c_N) and (n, c_0) plan. P_T = Proportions of lots expected to be accepted using (n, c_T) and (kn, c_0) plan. [Where (n, c_N) , (n, c_T) for QSS-1 $(n; c_N, c_T)$ and (n, c_0) , (kn, c_0) for QSS-1 (n, kn, c_0)].

3. The condition for application of Quick Switching System

a) The production is steady so that results on current and preceding lots are broadly indicative of a continuing

process and submitted lots are expected to be essentially of the same quality.

- b) Lots are submitted substantially in their order of production.
- c) Inspection by attributes is considered with quality defined as fraction nonconforming „p“.

4. Multiple Deferred Sampling Plan

The OC function of MDS – 1 (c_1, c_2) plan was given by RambertVaerst (1981a, 1981b). Later Soundararajan et al. (1983). have presented tables for the selection of an MDS – 1 $(0, 2)$ plan for given p_1, p_2, α and β under the conditions for application of Poisson for OC curve. Sampling plans indexed by p_1 and p_2 . Also, they have presented tables in which one can select an MDS – 1 $(0, 2)$ plan. They have made a comparison with conventional sampling plans (such as single and double sampling plans) and it is shown that the MDS-1 (c_1, c_2) type plans required a smaller sample size. Also a special feature of the MDS – 1 $(0, 1)$ plan is highlighted and its design procedure is then indicated. Wortham and Mogg (1970) have introduced a conditional sampling procedure called dependent stage sampling plan. Jayalaxmi (2009) have researched for the QSS – 1, 2 and 3 with Multiple Deferred Sampling (MDS) plan. The Multiple Deferred (dependent) Stage Sampling plans of type MDS – 1 (c_1, c_2) and MDS – 2 (c_1, c_2) are also belonging to the family of conditional sampling procedures.

5. Designing of Quick Switching Multiple Deferred Sampling System

In this section Quick Switching System with Multiple Deferred Sampling plan as reference plan is considered and a new plan is constructed as Quick Switching Multiple Deferred Sampling System – 1 (QSMDSS). Performance measures are indicated. Necessary tables and procedure are given for designing the system. QSMDSS – 1 $(n; u_1, u_2; v_1, v_2; i)$ refers to quick switching system with the normal MDS plan has a sample size „n“ and acceptance numbers u_1, u_2 ($u_1 < u_2$) and the tightened MDS sapling plan has a sample of

size 'n' and acceptance numbers v_1, v_2 ($v_1 < v_2, v_1 \leq u_1$ and $v_2 < u_2$).

The operating procedure for this plan is given as follow:

- 1) From each submitted lot, select a random sample of 'n' units and observe the number of non-conforming units, d.
- 2) If $d < c_1$ accept the lot, if $d > c_2$, reject the lot.
- 3) If $c_1 < d < c_2$, accept the lot if the future „m“ lots in succession are all accepted.

(Past m lots of Multiple Dependent State Sampling Plan).
 The OC function of MDS - (c_1, c_2) plan is given as

$$P_a(p) = p_a(p, n, c_1) + [p_a(p, n, c_2) - p_a(p, n, c_1)] [p_a(p, n, c_1)]$$

Govindaraju (1984) has constructed tables for selection of the MDS - (0, 1) plan using under the conditions for application of Poisson model for the OC curve. These tables can be used as follows.

- 1) Given sample size and one point on the OC curve like ($p_1, 1-\alpha$)
- 2) Given p_1, p_2, α and β
- 3) Given $p_1 (\alpha = 0.05)$ and AOQL.

6. Minimum Angle Method

Norman bush et. al. (1953) has studied different techniques to describe the direction of the OC curve. The methods involve comparison of some portion of OC curve to be evaluated with the corresponding portion of the OC curve. They have taken chord length that is the line joining the AQL and P_a of 0.05 as

$$CL = \sqrt{2025 + (P_1 - P_2)^2}$$

The smaller the chord length, the more nearly the curve approaches ideal one. But in this method the approximation length is poor, so another method is suggested which considers the cosine of chord length.

$$\cos \theta = \frac{P_2 - P_1}{\sqrt{2025 + (P_1 - P_2)^2}}$$

Here the small value of cosine θ implies the curve approaches to the ideal OC curve.

Further they have considered two points on the OC curve as (AQL, $1-\alpha$) and (IQL, .50) for minimizing the consumer's risk. But Peach and Littauer (1946), have taken two points on the OC curve as ($p_1, 1-\alpha$) and (p_2, β) for ideal condition to minimize the consumer's risk. Here another approach of minimization of angle between the lines joining the points (AQL, β), (AQL, $1-\alpha$), (LQL, β) is given due to Singaravelu (1993) using this method one can get a better plan which has an OC curve approaching to the ideal one. The formula for then θ is given as

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\text{Oppositeside}}{\text{Adjacentside}}$$

$$= \frac{(p_2 - p_1) / (1 - \alpha - \beta)}{(p_2 - p_1) / [p_a(p_1) - p_a(p_2)]}$$

Hence for given two points on the OC curve the values of minimum $\tan \theta$ is obtained.

7. Minimum Sum of Risk

Golub (1953) has introduced a method and tables for finding acceptance number c for single sampling plan involving minimum sum of producer's and consumer's risk for specified p_1 and p_2 when sample size is fixed. The Golub's approach for single sampling plan has been extended by Soundararajan (1981) under model Govindaraju (1984) Poisson have given the tables for the selection of SSP which minimize sum of producer's and consumer's risk without specifying sample size under Poisson model. Govindaraju and Subramanian (1990) have studied the selection of single sampling attribute plan involving the minimum sum of risks without fixing the sample size assuming Poisson model.

In acceptance sampling, the producer and the consumer play a dominant role and hence one allows certain levels of risks for producer and consumer, namely $\alpha = 0.05$ and $\beta = 0.10$.

Subramani (1991) has studied the attributes sampling plans involving minimum sum of procedure's and consumer's risk. And their selection and construction of tables based on the Poisson model given p_1, p_2, α , and β without assuming that the sample size „n“ is known.

Further this approach results in the rounded valued of p_2/p_1 . The expression for the sum of producer's and consumer's

$$\alpha + \beta = [1 - P_a(p_1)] + P_a(p_2)$$

If the operating ratio p_2/p_1 and np_2 can be calculated as

$$np_2 = (p_2/p_1)(np_1).$$

8. Selection procedure of QSMDS - 3 plan through Minimum Angle method

Norman Bush et. al. (1953) has stated the approach which involves comparison of some portion of the OC curves. The chord line AB coincides with that of B'B and the operating characteristic curve. That is the ideal OC curve passes through ($p_1, 1-\alpha$) and (p_2, β). Singaravelu (1993) has further designed plans involving minimum angle for single and double sampling plans. Here another approach for minimization of angle between the lines joining the points (AQL, β), (AQL, $1-\alpha$), and (AQL, $1-\alpha$), (LQL, β) is given. Applying this method one can get a better plan by minimizing the above mentioned points, through which one can get an ideal OC curve. The expression for ($\tan \theta$) is given as

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\text{Oppositeside}}{\text{Adjacentside}}$$

This can written as

$$n \tan \theta = \frac{np_2 - np_1}{p_a(p_1) - p_a(p_2)} \dots\dots\dots 3.1$$

With the help of the stated expression, the angle θ is minimized for the given np_1 and np_2 values.

Table 3.1 is used to select plans for given AQL (p_1) and LQL (p_2), α and β involving the minimum tangent angle ($n \tan \theta$) between the lines formed by the points (p_1, β) ($p_1, 1-\alpha$) and ($p_1, 1-\alpha$), (p_2, β). Tables 3.1 given various parameters

minimum $n \tan \theta$ against the product of sample size and acceptable quality level (np_1) and p_2/p_1 with the given values of p_1, p_2, α and β . One can find the required sampling plan from Table 3.1. minimum tangent angle ($n \tan \theta$) by adopting the following procedure:

- 1) Compute the operating ratio p_2/p_1 .
- 2) For the computed value of p_2/p_1 , enter the corresponding table in the row headed by p_2/p_1 which is equal to or just greater than the computer ratio and obtain $u_1, u_2; v_1, v_2; i, np_1$ and np_2 .
- 3) The sample size is obtained by $n = np_1/p_1$ or $n = np_2/p_2$.
- 4) Minimum angle can be found as $\theta = \tan^{-1}\{(n \tan \theta)/n\}$.

Example: For a given $p_1 = 0.07, p_2 = 0.6, \alpha = 0.05$ and $\beta = 0.10$, one can obtain QSMDS – 3 as follows:

- 1) $P_2/p_1 = 0.6/0.07 = 8.57$, thus the nearest tabulated operating ratio is 8.37.
- 2) Corresponding to obtain operating ratio the parametric values are $u_1 = 0, u_2 = 2, v_1 = 0, v_2 = 1, i = 6, np_1 = 0.275$.
- 3) $n = np_1/p_1 = 3.9 \cong 4$.
- 4) $\theta = \tan^{-1}\{(n \tan \theta)/n\} = \tan^{-1}(2.3847/4) = \tan^{-1}(0.5961) = 30.80$

Thus the optimum plan is (4, 0, 2, 0, 1, 6,) with the minimum angle $\theta = 30.80$.

9. Selection procedure of QSMDS – 3 plan through minimum sum of risks

Table 3.2 is used to select a minimum risks QSMDS – 3 system as reference plan for given p_1 and p_2 . For the system of table, the procedure's risk and the consumer's risks will be almost 10% each against the fixed value of the operating ratio p_2/p_1 . Tables give the parameter $u_1, u_2; v_1, v_2$ and i , the associated producer's and consumer's risks in the body of the table against the product of the sample size and the acceptable quality level (np_1).

The following procedure is used for selecting plan for given p_1, p_2, α and β .

- 1) Compute the operating ratio p_2/p_1 .
- 2) With the computed value of p_2/p_1 enter Table 3.2 in the row headed by p_2/p_1 . Which is equal to or just smaller than the computed ratio.
- 3) For determining the parameter $u_1, u_2; v_1, v_2$ and i , one proceeds from left to right in the row identified in step 2 such that the tabulated producer's and the consumer's risks are equal to or just less than the desired values.
- 4) The sample size n is obtained as $n = np_1/p_1$ values are given in the column heading to the parameter $u_1, u_2; v_1, v_2$ and i , identified in step 3.

Example: For given $p_1 = 0.008, p_2 = 0.18011$ with $\alpha = 0.05$ and $\beta = 0.10$, from the Table 3.2, one find the QSMDS – 3 plan involving minimum sum of risk using Acceptance Quality Level.

- 1) $p_2/p_1 = 22.5138$
 - 2) tabulated $p_2/p_1 = 22.5138, np_1 = 0.110$
 - 3) Corresponding to $u_1 = 2, u_2 = 3, v_1 = 1, v_2 = 2$ and $i = 2$ given the body of the table 3.2 one obtains $\alpha = 0.006$ and $\beta = 0.008$ against the desired $\alpha = 0.05$ and $\beta = 0.10$.
 - 4) $n = np_1/p_1 = 0.110/0.008 = 13.75 \cong 14$.
- Thus optimum plan is (14, 2, 3, 1, 2, 2)

10. Construction of Tables

The probability of accepting a lot given the proportion non-conforming under QSMDS – 3 as reference plan is given as

$$P_a(P) = \frac{P_N P_T^3 + P_T (1 - P_N)(P_T^2 + P_T + 1)}{P_T^3 + (1 - P_N)(P_T^2 + P_T + 1)}$$

Here P_a is the probability of acceptance for Multiple Deferred Sampling plan. It is well known that for a series of lots from a process, the binomial model for the OC curve will be exact in the case of fraction non-conforming. It can be satisfactorily approximated with the Poisson model where p is small, n is large, and $np < 5$ when the quality is measured in terms of non-conformities, the Poisson model is the appropriate one. Under Poisson assumption, the expression for P_a under MDS plan

$$P_a(p) = p_a(p, n, c_1) + [p_a(p, n, c_2) + p_a(p, n, c_1) + p_a(p, n, c_1)]$$

For fixed np_1 the value of np_2 is calculated from QSMDS – 3. The parameter i, u_1, u_2, v_1 and v_2 corresponding to the minimum $[1 - P_a(p_1)] + P_a(p_2)$ are obtained. The following Tables 3.2 gives the producer and consumer's risks are obtained corresponding $u_1, u_2; v_1, v_2$ and i , values for which the sum of risks is minimum.

11. Conclusion

Acceptance sampling plan have been widely used in industry to determine whether the manufactured item satisfy the pre-specified quality levels or not. At this point, an enterprise must have to take a decision for accepting or rejecting the lots in accordance with randomly chosen units. Quick Switching System plays a dual role with normal and tightened level for the sample size and acceptance number. Here pair of sampling plans was chosen and switching between normal and tightened so this system named as Quick Switching System. Using Minimum Angle Method some portion of OC curve can be easily evaluated with corresponding person of the ideal OC curve which may protect both the producer as well as consumer which plays a dominant role. Hence one may allowed certain level of risks involved in producer as well as consumer there risk should be minimized at maximum level of using α and β . For practical utility of the plan, Poisson unity values have been tabulated for a wider range of plan parameters. The present development would be a valuable addition to the literature and useful device to the quality control partitions. Future research can be carried out by selecting Quick Switching System with for various other reference plans for Minimum Angle Method and Minimum Sum of Risks can be carried out. These plans consist of normal and tightened case of two situation of sample may be used for the sample selection.

References

- [1] DEVARAJ ARUMAINAYAGAM S.D. (1991): "Contribution to the study of Quick Switching System and its Applications", Ph.D Thesis, Department of

Statistics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu.

[2] DODGE H.F (1969): "Evaluation of a Sampling Inspection System having Rules for Switching between Normal and Tightened Inspection", Technical Report No.14, The Statistics Center, Rutgers – The State University, New Brunswick, New Jersey.

[3] GOVINDARAJU K. (1984): "Contribution to the Study of Certain Special Purpose Plans", Ph.D Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu India

[4] GOVINDARAJU K. and SUBRAMANIAN K. (1990): "Selection of Single Sampling Quick Switching System for given Acceptable Quality Level and Limiting Quality Level", International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management, Vol.8, No.2, pp.45-51

[5] GOLUB A. (1953): "Designing Single Sampling Inspection Plans when the Sample Size is fixed", J. Amer Statist Assn, Vol.48, pp.278-288.

[6] GOVINDARAJU K. and SUBRAMANIAN (1990): "Selection of Single Sampling Quick Switching System for given Acceptable Quality Level and Limiting Quality Level", International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management, Vol.8, No.2, pp.45-51.

[7] JAYALAKSHMI S. (2009): "Contribution to the Selection of Quick Switching System and Related Sampling Plans", Ph.D Thesis, Department of Statistics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

[8] NORMAN BUSH, LEONAR E. J. MARVIN Q.M. and MARCHANT Jr. (1953): "A Method of Discrimination for Single and Double Sampling OC curves Utilizing the Tangent of the point of Inflection", ENASR No.PR-7, US Army Chemical Crops.

[9] PEACH P. and LITTAUER S.B. (1946): "A Note on Sampling Inspection", Annals of Mathematical Statistics, Vol. 17, pp. 81-84.

[10] ROMBOSKI L.D. (1969): "An Investigation of Quick Switching Acceptance Sampling Systems", Ph.D Thesis, Rutgers- The State University, New Brunswick, New Jersey.

[11] SINGARAVELU N. (1993): "Contribution to the Study of Certain Acceptance Sampling Plans", Ph.D Thesis, Department of Statistics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

[12] SUBARAMANIAN C. (1990): "Switching Procedures in Sampling Inspection", M.Phil Thesis, Department of Statistics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

[13] SUBRAMANI K. (1991): "Studies on Designing Attribute Acceptance Sampling Plans with Emphasis on Chain Sampling Plans", Ph.D Thesis, Department of Statistics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

[14] SOUNDARARAJAN V. (1981): "Single Sampling Attributes Plan Indexed by AQL and AOQL", Journal of Quality Technology, Vol.13, pp.195-200.

[15] VAERST, REMBERT (1981): "A Procedure to Construct Multiple Deferred State Sampling Plan", Methods of Operation Research, Vol.37, pp.477-485.

[16] WORTHAM A. and MOGG J.M. (1970): "Dependent Stage Sampling Inspection", The Journal of Production Research, Vol.8, No.4, pp.385-395.

Author Profile

Dr. V. Kaviyarasu is Assistant Professor, Department of Statistics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

G. Sangameshwaran is M. Phil Research Scholar, Department of Statistics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

Table 3.1: Parametric values of QSMDS-3 plan through Minimum angle method

u_1	u_2	v_1	v_2	i	np_1	np_2	$ntan\theta$	OR
0	2	0	1	2	0.514	2.356	2.1671	4.5837
0	2	0	1	4	0.350	2.303	2.2976	6.5800
0	2	0	1	6	0.275	2.302	2.3847	8.3709
0	4	0	3	6	0.276	2.302	2.3835	8.3406
0	4	0	3	8	0.231	2.302	2.4365	9.9654
0	4	0	3	10	0.202	2.302	2.4706	11.396
1	2	0	1	2	1.260	2.359	1.2929	1.8722
1	2	0	1	4	1.009	2.306	1.5259	2.2854
1	2	0	1	6	0.875	2.305	1.6824	2.6343
1	4	0	3	6	0.888	2.305	1.6671	2.5957
1	4	0	3	8	0.797	2.305	1.7741	2.8921
1	4	0	3	10	0.732	2.305	1.8506	3.1489
2	3	1	2	2	2.116	3.944	2.1506	1.8639
2	3	1	2	4	1.788	3.892	2.4753	2.1767
2	3	1	2	6	1.607	3.892	2.6882	2.4219
2	4	1	3	6	1.625	3.892	2.6671	2.3951
2	4	1	3	8	1.498	3.895	2.8200	2.6001
2	4	1	3	10	1.407	3.892	2.9235	2.7662
2	5	1	4	2	2.211	3.891	1.9765	1.7598
2	5	1	4	4	1.829	3.893	2.4282	2.1285
2	5	1	4	6	1.630	3.892	2.6612	2.3877
2	6	1	5	6	1.632	3.892	2.6588	2.3848
2	6	1	5	8	1.503	3.892	2.8106	2.5895
2	6	1	5	10	1.410	3.892	2.9200	2.7603

3	4	2	3	2	2.998	5.376	2.7976	1.7932
3	4	2	3	4	2.608	5.336	3.2094	2.0460
3	4	2	3	6	2.387	5.324	3.4553	2.2304
3	8	2	7	6	2.424	5.324	3.4118	2.1964
4	6	3	5	6	3.223	6.682	4.0694	2.0732
4	6	3	5	8	3.042	6.682	4.2824	2.1966
4	6	3	5	10	2.908	6.682	4.4400	2.2978
4	7	3	6	2	4.035	6.775	3.2235	1.6791
4	7	3	6	4	3.516	6.683	3.7259	1.9007
4	7	3	6	6	3.236	6.682	4.0541	2.0649
4	8	3	7	6	3.241	6.682	4.0482	2.0617
4	8	3	7	8	3.053	6.682	4.2694	2.1887
4	8	3	7	10	2.916	6.682	4.4306	2.2915
5	6	4	5	2	4.804	8.006	3.7671	1.6665
5	6	4	5	4	4.310	7.995	4.3353	1.8550

Table 3.2: Parameters of QSMDDSS - 3 plan using Minimum Sum of Risks

$\frac{np_1}{OR}$	0.040	0.045	0.050	0.055	0.060	0.065	0.070	0.075	0.080	0.085
94	0,2,0,1 0.01,0.01	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.004	0,2,0,1 0.003,0.007	0,2,0,1 0.004,0.008	0,2,0,1 0.004,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.003,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.005	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.007
92	0,2,0,1 0.01,0.01	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.009	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.008	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.005	0,2,0,1 0.003,0.007	0,2,0,1 0.004,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.002,0.008	0,2,0,1 0.003,0.008	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.007	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.008
90	0,2,0,1 0.01,0.02	0,2,0,1 0.01,0.01	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.009	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.007	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.007	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.005	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.005	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.007
88	0,2,0,1 0.01,0.02	0,2,0,1 0.01,0.01	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.008	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.007	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.003,0.008	0,2,0,1 0.004,0.008	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.007
86	0,2,0,1 0.02,0.01	0,2,0,1 0.01,0.01	0,2,0,1 0.04,0.06	0,2,0,1 0.007,0.007	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.005	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.005	0,2,0,1 0.004,0.007	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.007	0,2,0,1 0.004,0.009
84	0,2,0,1 0.01,0.02	0,2,0,1 0.01,0.01	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.009	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.008	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.007	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.007	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.006,0.006	0,2,0,1 0.005,0.008	0,2,0,1 0.003,0.009
82	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.03	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.008,0.008	0,4,0,3 0.006,0.007	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.007	0,4,0,3 0.004,0.008	0,4,0,3 0.003,0.009	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.007	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.008
80	0,4,0,3 0.02,0.02	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.02	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.005	0,4,0,3 0.006,0.008	0,4,0,3 0.006,0.007	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.007	0,4,0,3 0.006,0.006	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.008	0,4,0,3 0.006,0.007
78	0,4,0,3 0.03,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.02,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.008,0.009	0,4,0,3 0.006,0.009	0,4,0,3 0.007,0.006	0,4,0,3 0.006,0.007	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.007	0,4,0,3 0.006,0.007	0,4,0,3 0.008,0.006
76	0,4,0,3 0.02,0.03	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.012	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.006,0.005	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.007	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.008	0,4,0,3 0.006,0.007	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.008	0,4,0,3 0.007,0.007
74	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.04	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.03	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.009,0.009	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.004,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.003,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.004,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.004,0.01
72	0,4,0,3 0.02,0.04	0,4,0,3 0.02,0.02	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.02	0,4,0,3 0.01,0.01	0,4,0,3 0.009,0.008	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.005	0,4,0,3 0.007,0.008	0,4,0,3 0.006,0.007	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.009	0,4,0,3 0.005,0.009

Key: u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2
 α, β