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Abstract: The concrete is very commonly used for the structures, roads, monuments and modern buildings despite weak in tension having negligible ductility and less resistance to cracking. As the concrete structures most of the times are exposed to variant weather / atmospheric conditions may develop surface deterioration, even invisible cracks may also develop on the surface with passage of time. The microbes in concretes may help mineralization to introduce calcium carbonate precipitation is called microbiologically induced calcite precipitation (MICP). This MICP is highly impermeable in nature and used to remediate the cracks in building materials. The precipitated calcite layer improves the concrete performance, do heal the surface and is corrosion resistance too besides being used as water purifier. In this paper the various species of microbes which affect the behaviour of mortar specimens and performance of concrete in terms of compressive / flexural strength, efficiency, self healing characteristics of specific bacteria when introduced with concrete, called microbial concrete is studied and reviewed. The literature review reveals that when Bacillus sp. CT-5 as microbe is used, the cement mortar compressive strength is increased by 36% [14, 22, 37, 44].
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1. Introduction
Concrete is most used mansion materials. In spite of its remarkable properties it has drawbacks of cracking. There are distinctive factors causes crack in concrete. Weathering induces multiplied porosity to weaken the structure and the surface appearance is insipid. The exposure to intense weathering picks up porosity, diminishing the mechanical features of the concrete. The permeability of the concrete depends on the porosity. As the more open pores in concrete structures enhances permeability to involve more ingress / penetration of substances like brutal gases and liquids from the surrounding atmosphere, the concrete structures lead to deterioration. In recent days the deterioration on the surface of concrete due to aging and harsh exposure to variant weather and atmosphere is treated through microbial precipitation in concrete called microbial concrete. It is an amalgamation of biology and technology. The microbial concrete utilizes bacteria to enhance the compressive and flexural strength and durability of concrete. The outcome of microbial activities from microorganism is generally calcium carbonate – the precipitates. The microbial activities are natural and free from pollution. The calcium carbonate called calcite fills the pores and voids to make concrete denser. The literature survey says both naturally and in laboratory conditions the bacteria from natural habitats precipitates and the self healing agent remain in hibernated conditions inside the concrete for even up to two hundred years and starts activating the soluble nutrients when water seeps in through cracks to convert to insoluble calcium carbonate.

The calcium carbonate in the form of precipitation seals the cracked surface on the concrete as bone fractures in the human body healed naturally by osteoblast cells. The steel used in RCC or CC gets corroded when it comes in contact with oxygen available in air or water ingresses or seeps in through cracks is consumed during the metabolic biochemical reactions to form calcium carbonate helps in arresting corrosion of steel being eaten away to increase the life of steel. The abundant bacteria species are diverse in nature and precipitate to form mineral carbonates in natural environments. The pH of surrounding is enhanced by carbon oxide and ammonia converted from urea that is hydrolyzed from bacteria and fungi; and urease a nickel dependent enzyme found in plants. The calcite precipitation is promoted by the bacteria primarily in alkaline pH conditions. It is found that the calcium ions bond to the cell walls when the negative charge or potential of the bacterial cell surface is resulted. The literature also reveals that calcium carbonate crystals’ precipitation will occur on bacterial cell walls provided high concentrations of calcium ions are close to the bacteria and carbonate ions in super saturation levels.

MICC was initially introduced by Ramakrishna, Jonkers, H.M. & Schlangen, E. (2007), Jonkers, H. (2008), and Mayur Shantilal Vekariya, & Prof. Jayeshkumar Pitroda (2012) to remediate cracks and fissures through self healing characteristics of microbial concrete. The tremendous improvement in physical properties of concrete and its behaviour with introduction of specific microorganism in concrete mortar has been attracting the interest of researchers in India. The previous studies have shown an improvement of 18% in compressive strength of cement mortar with Bacillus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa [14, 18, 22]. As mentioned earlier some of the bacterial species are used to produce urease acting as catalyst to produce CO2 and NH3 which increases pH in the surrounding to precipitate ions Ca2+ and CO32− as CaCO3. The possible biochemical reactions to precipitate calcium carbonate (CaCO3) at the cell surface providing a nucleation site is summarized as below:

\[ \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{cell} \rightarrow \text{cell-Ca}^{2+} \quad (1) \]
\[ \text{Cl}^- + \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{NH}_3 \rightarrow \text{NH}_4\text{Cl} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \quad (2) \]
\[ \text{Cell-Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{cell-CaCO}_3 \downarrow \quad (3) \]
The figure 1 depicts the events occurring during microbially induced carbonate precipitation processes. In the process (A) calcium ions are attracted towards the bacterial cell wall being negatively charged. It is reported that in the microenvironment of the bacteria the dissolved inorganic carbon and ammonium are released when urea is added to the bacteria. The process (B) where the presence of calcium ions results in a phenomenon of local supersaturation state leading to heterogeneous precipitation of calcium carbonate seen on bacterial cell wall and after some times the whole cell is seen encapsulated as depicted in fig 1(c). The image (D) is depicted the involvement of bacterial cell being in dead state after restricted nutrient transfer in calcium carbonate precipitation.

2. Application, Advantages and Disadvantages

The usage of microbial concrete is becoming popular. The use of microorganisms in concrete provided more life to concrete structures. The self-sealing of cracks, natural surface treatment, improvement in physical properties, long lasting of river banks, durable roads and erosion prevention of loose sand with capability to arrest corrosion in steel used in RCC have made microbial concrete significantly more popular in bio civil engineering. The availability of microorganisms is no issues as this is abundantly available in nature and produced under laboratory conditions also. The microbes viz Bacillus Subtilis, Bacillus Thuringiensis and Bacillus Sphaericus used in concrete basin provide excellent purification results to clean the stream of rivers by eliminating smell of water. This technique could effectively be applied for tank walls and floor linings, lake water, sewerage channels and for stagnant water. With this technique the BOD of 1400 could be reduced to 1.4. The microbial concrete being eco-friendly has numerous advantages and disadvantages too, as given below:

Advantages
- Enhancement in compressive strength and stiffness values
- Remediation/sealing of cracks in concrete
- Due to bacterial chemical process better resistant towards freeze-thaw attack reduction
- Due to surface treatments reduction in gas permeability
- Arrest in corrosion due to consumption of oxygen ingress through substances
- By sealing the paths of ingress reduction in corrosion of reinforced concrete
- Better life of concrete structures/surfaces
- Reduction in water and chloride ion permeability
- Resistance to acid at pH greater than 1.5

Disadvantages
- Eco friendly, antifungal, natural water purifier
- Restoration material for stone building/monuments

3. Types of Microbial Concrete and Various Microbes

The widely used microbial concrete for healing the cracks, surface treatment and as a water purifier along with microbes and a group of microbes with specific species for a particular operation used in constructional materials for calcium carbonate precipitation in addition to crystal, metabolism, nutrients and applications are tabulated in Table 1, 2, 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the microbes</th>
<th>Types of microbial concrete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>i. Bacillus pseudofirmus [41] ii. Bacillus cohnii</td>
<td>Self Healing microbial concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>i. Bacillus sphaericus ii. E. coli. [42]</td>
<td>Microbial concrete for surface treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Bacillus phaeicrus, Bacillus Subtilis, Thiothrix, Bacillus thuringiensis</td>
<td>Microbial concrete as water purifier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Various construction Materials using MICP [20, 42, 60]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>Metabolism</th>
<th>Nutrients</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>Hydrolysis of urea</td>
<td>Nutrient broth, area, CaCl2.2H2O, NH4Cl, NaHCO3</td>
<td>Crack in concrete remediation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus Cereus</td>
<td>Oxidative deamination of amino acids</td>
<td>Growth media (peptone, extract yeast, KNO3, NaCl) + yeast, CaCl2.2H2O, Actical, Natamycin</td>
<td>Biological Mortar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>Hydrolysis of urea</td>
<td>Nutrient broth, area, CaCl2.2H2O, NH4Cl,NaHCO3</td>
<td>Bacterial concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus sphaericus</td>
<td>Hydrolysis of urea</td>
<td>Extract yeast, area, CaCl2.2H2O</td>
<td>Crack in concrete remediation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>Oxidative deamination of amino acid</td>
<td>Peptone: 5g/ lit, NaCl: 5g/ lit, yeast extract: 3 g/ lit</td>
<td>Bacterial concrete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Microorganisms for Calcite Precipitation [13,15,19,30,60]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>Types of Crystal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photosynthetic organism: Synechococcus GL 24</td>
<td>Merosmictic lake</td>
<td>Calcite (CaCO3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen cycle Bacillus cereus</td>
<td>Ammonification and nitrate reduction</td>
<td>Calcite (CaCO3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphate Reducing Bacteria: Isolate SRB LV form 6</td>
<td>Anoxic hypersaline lagoon</td>
<td>Dolomite (Ca(Mg)CO3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen cycle Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>Urea degradation in synthetic medium</td>
<td>Calcite (CaCO3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photosynthetic organism: Chlorella</td>
<td>Lurecne Lake</td>
<td>Calcite (CaCO3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen cycle Bacillus subtilis JC3</td>
<td>Ammonification (Ammo acid degradation)</td>
<td>Calcite (CaCO3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Influence of Microbes on Various Properties of Concrete

A. Compressive strength

With the inclusion of microbial biomass viz Bacillus pasteurii [22], the compressive strength of concrete is enhanced from 7 days to 28 days as shown in Table 4. It can also be seen that average mortar compressive strength with the addition of anaerobic microorganism increases to a extent of 25.29% relative to control in 28 days but starts reducing relatively to 11.06% when cell consumption per ml of water is increased further from 105 to 107 as shown in Table 5.

Table 4: Variation of compressive strength with biomass Vs days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacillus pasteurii, cells/cm3</th>
<th>Compressive Strength, MPa After 7-days</th>
<th>Compressive Strength, MPa After 28-days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live</td>
<td>3.8 X 10⁷</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killed</td>
<td>3.8 X 10⁷</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live</td>
<td>3.8 X 10⁷</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killed</td>
<td>3.8 X 10⁷</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live</td>
<td>3.8 X 10⁷</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killed</td>
<td>3.8 X 10⁷</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live</td>
<td>7.6 X 10⁷</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killed</td>
<td>7.6 X 10⁷</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live</td>
<td>7.6 X 10⁷</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killed</td>
<td>7.6 X 10⁷</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6 X 10⁷</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Effect of the anaerobic microorganism’s addition on mortar strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell conc/ ml of water</th>
<th>Strength ±S.D</th>
<th>% Increase relative to control</th>
<th>Strength ±S.D</th>
<th>% Increase relative to control</th>
<th>Strength ±S.D</th>
<th>% Increase relative to control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>Average Mortar Compressive Strength in MPa</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>12.60±0.47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.00±0.81</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23.13±0.23</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.74±0.89</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>16.21±0.22</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>24.21±0.43</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>12.87 ±0.46</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>16.44±0.38</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>25.00±0.88</td>
<td>8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>12.98±0.81</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>16.87±0.64</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>25.40±0.84</td>
<td>9.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>13.4±0.53</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>17.10±0.37</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>25.44±0.97</td>
<td>9.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>14.70±0.74</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>19.50±0.42</td>
<td>21.87</td>
<td>28.98±0.86</td>
<td>25.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>13.80±0.58</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>17.50±0.81</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>26.52±0.27</td>
<td>14.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>13.00±0.23</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>17.00±0.45</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>25.69±0.74</td>
<td>11.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The comparative compressive strength (Mpa) of mortar cube test specimen for control, bacterial biomass and biomass with calcium lactate at different dose concentrations (0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00 gm) using Bacillus cohnii and Bacillus megaterium is shown at figures 2 and 3. The compressive strength of mortar cube test specimens with Bacillus cohnii as microorganism increases in case of biomass with calcium lactate with a dose concentration of 0.5 gm to approx 17Mpa amongst all four doses of concentration for control, bacterial biomass and biomass with calcium lactate whereas except for bacterial biomass dose of 0.25gm compressive strength of mortar cube decreases for rest of dose concentrations.

Similarly the compressive strength of mortar cube test specimens with Bacillus megaterium as microorganism increases in case of biomass with calcium lactate with a dose concentration of 0.5 gm to approx 19Mpa amongst all four doses of concentration for control, bacterial biomass and biomass with calcium lactate whereas except for bacterial biomass dose of 0.50gm compressive strength of mortar cube decreases for rest of dose concentrations. This trend of an increment in compressive strength up to 28 days could be due to the behavior of bacterial cells within the mortar matrix.

B. Compression and Flexural Strength

The compressive strength test and flexural strength test comparison on concrete cubes and beams for different number of days for normal and bacterial concrete is shown at figure 4 & 5 and Table 6 where it can be visualized that both compressive and flexural strengths are linearly increasing with number of days of cementation process with the reason that the precipitation of calcite heals the pores to increase the density of bacterial concrete over the normal concrete by reducing the porosity. The compressive strength and flexural strength values for specimen concrete test cubes and beams of bacterial concrete has an edge over normal concrete throughout the test period.
D. Influence of nickel ion concentration

It was found that the incorporation of nickel ion served the purpose of increasing the urease activity as well as increased calcium carbonate precipitation as urease is nickel ion dependent enzyme. The Effect of nickel ion concentration on calcite precipitation using Bacillus cohnii was found to be higher in nickel ion containing culture medium in comparison to control sample. More specifically, to serve the purpose of increased calcite precipitation, nickel ion concentration of 5μm was found appropriate.

5. Conclusions

The microbial concrete is becoming popular in Civil Engineering as this technology is proving better than any available conventional technology because of its being eco-friendly and self-healing. It improves the physical properties, increases durability of various building materials, improves compressive strength, reduces permeability & water absorption, arrest the corrosion in steel and very convenient for usage. The B.cohnii and Bacillus megaterium were found urease positive and able to precipitate calcite within mortar specimen. Nickel as a promoter of urease activity has a profound effect on bacterial growth when incorporated in urea based broth prior to inoculation. In addition to this, a dose of 0.5 gm of the dry bacterial biomass can be used as a standard dose to fulfill the aim of crack filling as response of 0.5gm of dose was found to be better than all other doses used.
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