Tree Species Composition, Structure and Importance Value Index (IVI) of Okwangwo Division, Cross River National Park, Nigeria

Ajayi S.¹, Obi R. L.²

Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, Cross River University of Technology (CRUTECH) Obubra Campus), P.M.B 102, Obubra, Cross River State, Nigeria

Abstract: Assessment of tree species composition and structure was carried out in Okwangwo division of Cross River National Park. Random sampling using quadrats was employed for the study. Each quadrat was 10m x 20m. A total of 25 quadrats were used from the selected plot of one hectare. Tree species and families were identified. Tree height measurement was carried out and used to identify the different layers of tree species in Okwangwo division of the Park. Four distinct layers were identified which include the emergent, the upper canopy, the under storey and the forest floor. A total of 114 different tree species and 37 families were identified. The family Leguminosae had the highest number of species (17). Tree species with 10cm DBH and above were measured and the data were used to calculate relative density (R.D), relative dominance (R.D), and relative frequency (RF). Their results were used to derive the Important Value Index (IVI) which determines the dominant tree species of the entire area under study. Terminalia ivorensis was the most dominant (13.08). The Cross River National Park should design programmes that will create awareness to people around and within the Park to see the need of conserving the flora and fauna species. Selective removal of dominant trees at emergent and upper storey is recommended to introduce sunlight to the forest floor so as to encourage the growth of young and valuable trees species.

Keywords: Tree species, composition, structure, relative dominance growth, importance value index

1. Introduction

Cross River National park is located between latitudes 5^0 , 05^1 and 6^0 , 29' N and longitude 8^0 , 15^1 and 90^0 , 30^1 E in South-Eastern corner of Nigeria in Cross River State (Cross River National Park, 2016;). The park is the largest area of undisturbed rainforest in the country and has been described as Amazon of Nigeria. It covers an area of about 4,000km², most of which consist of primary moist tropical rainforest ecosystem in the south and central and montane mosaic in Obudu plateau. It lies in the guinea – Congolean rainforest region with closed canopy. The scattered emergent trees reach the height of about 40m to 70m (Nigeria National Park Service, 2016). The Cross River National Park has two distinct divisions; the Oban and Okwangwo.

The National Park, being a tropical rainforest ecosystem consist of broad leaved evergreen trees and many species of shrubs, herbs, climbers, lianas, and epiphytes naturally arranged in a multi-storey structures. The physiognomy of the Park is arranged naturally in four distinct strata (Adedire, 2002; Gower et al., 2003 and Olajide, 2004). Trees are important to all living things including man. One of the fundamental concepts in community forest management is that trees have value; provide benefits and are desired by humans. People found great psychological, momentary, aesthetic and utilitarian values in trees. The benefits of trees which people enjoy include: aesthetic, recreation, shades, heat dissipation, reduction of pollutant, production of oxygen, reduction of erosion, increase properties value, provision of wildlife habitat and increase economic stability. Trees are essentially used for timbers, fire wood, building materials, traditional medicine, food and fodder and many others. Trees are major carbon sink and aid in balancing climate change and global warming issues.

Apart from plant species, the National Park ecosystem also houses many species of animals including mammals (vulnerable chimpanzee, Western gorilla, the endangered Sclater's guenon, Preuss's monkey and drill, African forest Elephant and more common African buffalo), birds, reptiles, and insects. The number of insect species found in the canopy of the tropical rainforest was estimated in millions (Laura, 2003; Gower *et al.*, 2003; and Cunningham, 2004).

The Cross River National Park was established to protect a significant portion of the Okwangwo and Oban division forests respectively in Cross River State, Nigeria, which before now has suffered severe impacts for decades. This became crucial in view of the fact that the park is a hotspot characterized by species diversity and endemism (Conservation International, 2005; Edet, 2010). Thus, there is need to appraise the composition, families and structure of tree species to ascertain the present ecological status of trees which form an integral part of Wild animals' habitat of the park.

This research determined the composition, structure and Importance Value Index (IVI) of tree species in the Park. The results obtained from the research will aid research workers, scholars, Cross River State Forestry Commission, Cross River National Park and Nigeria as a whole in understanding the trees in Cross River National Park.

2. Materials and Methods

The Study Area

This study was carried out at the Cross River National Park (Okwangwo division) in Boki Local Government Area of Cross River State, Nigeria. The Okwangwo division is centered on coordinates 6°17'00" N, 9°14'00"E/ 6.28333°N, 9.23333°E (Cross River National Park, 2016) – See Figure

1. The division is made up of the former Boshi, Okwangwo, and Boshi Extension Forest Reserves. Okwangwo division gazetted in 1991 has an area of about 1000km² at the altitude of 150 -1,700m above sea level. It lies South-west of Obudu plateau and immediately to East of Afi River Forest, separated from this reserved by the Mbe Mountain community forest. The division is bordered with Takamanda National Park in the Republic of Cameroon to the East. The terrain is rugged with rocky ridges and outcrops. The annual rainfall is about 3,000 to 3800mm (Agbor, 2003). Rainy season starts around late March or early April to November with a break in August. The dry season starts from late October or November and end in March. The mean monthly maximum temperature ranges from 22.2°C to 27.4°C (Edet, 2010). It is drained by Oyi, Bemi and Okon River tributaries of the Cross River.

The Takamanda Forest Reserve in the Republic of Cameroon shares a border with Okwangwo division to the east. The Park was created through a joint project with Wildlife Conservation Society and the Government of Cameroon, with the protection of the endanger Cross River gorilla, as a major objective. The Park also helps in conserving forest elephants, chimpanzees and drills (John, 2002). The ground is rugged with rocky ridges and outcrops. The highest point is the Sankwala Mountains in the north (1.700m) and in the Mbe Mountains in the southwest (1.000m). The annual rainfall may be as much as 3.000mm-3,800mm, mostly falling in the wet season between March and November. The division is drained by Oyi, Bemi and Okon rivers tributaries of Cross River. The soils in the highland and lowland areas are vulnerable to erosion and leaching when stripped of their plant cover.



Figure 1: Cross River National Park (Okwangwo division)

Sampling technique

Random sampling using Quadrats as described by Bryant *et*, *al* (2005) was employed to assess tree species composition in Cross River National Park (Okwangwo division) in May 2015. An area of one hectare $(10,000m^2)$ was sub-divided into ten (10) plots $10m \times 100m$ each using machetes, ranging poles, compass, and red flagging tape. Five plots were selected at random and five quadrats of $10m \times 20m$ were laid

in each sample plot, giving a total of 25 plots for the entire area of study. From each plot, tree species were counted, recorded and separated into different families. Tree heights were measured using Nikon Forestry 550 Laser Rangefinder and classified according to range of height for different storeys. Generally, individual tree species belonging to the height measurements of 40m to 70m appear to have the highest height and are classified as the emergent layer. Tree

Volume 5 Issue 12, December 2016 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY species with heights of 20m to 39m are classified as the upper canopy layer. While the trees with heights between 3m or 5m to 19m are classified as lower layer or understorey. The fourth layer that is the forest floor, which in this research no measurements were taken and is made up of tree species with less than one meter (Newman, 2002).

Data collection and Analysis

Data collection included identification of tree species and classification into families. A botanist with the Park assisted in tree identification. Diameters at breast height (\geq 10cm dbh), and tree total height were also measured for all trees in each quadrat.

Trees identified were listed and classified. Diameters at breast height and other data generated from this study were used to calculate the Basal Area, Frequency, Relative Frequency, Relative Density, Relative Dominance and Importance Value Index (IVI) using:

Frequency

No. of quadrats in which species occurred X 100

Total no. of quadrats studied

 $\frac{\text{Relative Density (R. Den)} = No.of individuals of the species X 100}{\text{Total no.of individuals in all species}}$

Relative Dominance (R. D) = $\frac{\text{Total basal area of a species X 100}}{\text{Total basal area of all species}}$

Basal area $= \frac{\pi D^2}{4}$ where D = dbh Important Value Index (IVI) = R. D + R. F + R. D (Panwar and Bhardwaj,2012)

3. Results and Discussion

Tree species composition and forest structure

Table 1 shows the list of tree species encountered by families and height under different storeys. The Cross River National Park being typical high forests, a wide range of different tree species were encountered. Species composition showed a total of two hundred and fifty (250) individual trees distributed among one hundred and fourteen (114) different tree species and thirty seven (37) families (Table 1).

The families had different tree species. Family Leguminosae show dominance over others with high number of tree species encountered (17).

The families that followed the Leguminosae are the Euphorbiaceae, Meliceae, and Sterculiaceae which had eight tree species in each family. The next families to these are the Apocynaceae and Rubiaceae with six tree species in each family. Closely to these families are the Annacardiaceae, and Moraceae having five tree species each. Other families such as Guttiferae, Mimosoidae, Ebenaceae, Bombacaceae, Buseraceae, Combretaceae, Irvingiaceae, Loganiaceae, Mimosaceae, Ochnaceae, Pandaceae, Polygalaceae, Palmae, Papilionoidae, Rosaceae, Rutaceae, Tiliaceae, Ulmaceae and the Verbenaceae family which had tree species ranging from one to four, as a result of low species diversity had poor species composition distribution in the study area. The result of this research is similar to the findings of Oguntala (1981) which states that although there are usually several tree species in the tropical rainforest ecosystem, some may have only one representative per hectare. Also, Ojo et.al, (1999), noted that in a tropical rainforest the smaller trees dominate the larger size trees.

The height measurements indicated different tree heights which were used to classify the various layers in the ecosystem. There exist four (4) different layers in Cross River National Park (Okwangwo division). The first (1^{st}) layer is the emergent with 16 species and heights ranging from 40m to 70m. Some of the species in this category are *Baillonella toxiosperma* 50m, *Anthocleista vogelii* 60m, *Terminalia superb* 60m, *and Ceiba pentandra* 70m. The second (2^{nd}) layer is the upper canopy with range of trees height from 20m to 39m. There are 60 species identified in this storey. The third (3^{rd}) layer is the under-storey with height of 3m to 19m.

Thirty eight (38) species were identify in this storey and some of them include *Sorindela mildbraedii* 12m, *Funtumia Africana* 12m, *Canarium schweinfurthii* 18m, *Grosseria vignei* 20m, *Maesobotrya staudtia* 12m, *Rhicinodendron heudelotii* 15m, *Compostylus ovalis* 12m, *Garcinia mannii* 18m, *Daniela ogea* 14m, *Pterocarpus erinaceous* 18m, *Pterocarpus mildbraedii* 18m, *Tetrapleura tetraptera* 18m, *Anthocleista djlonensis* 15m, *Myriathus arboreus* 18m, *Treculia obvoidae* 12m, *Tectea afzeli* 18m, *Chrysophyllum albidum* 12m, *Cola accumulata* 15m, *Cola pachycarpa* 18m, *Leptobychia pallid* 15m, and *Glypheae breviea* 12m. No species was found in the fourth layer (Bourgeron, 1983 and King, 2011).

Table 1: Tree species composition and height measurements in Okwangwo division of Cross River National Park

S/N	Family	Species present	No of species by family	Tree height(m)			
				Emergent	Upper	Lower	Forest
				40-70m	20-39m	5-19m	flour < 1m
1	ANNACARDIACAE	Antrocaryon klaineanum	5		25m		
		Antrocaryon micraster		45m			
		Pseudospondias microcarpa			20m		
		Sorindela mildbraedii				12m	
		Spondias mombin			20		
2	ANISOPHHYLIACEAE	Poga oleosa	1	40m			
3	ANNONACEAE	Monodora myristica	4		35m		
		Enantia calorantha				15	

		Vulonia Africana			20.00		
		Aylopia Ajricana			20111	10	
		Xylopia staudtia				10m	
4	APOCYNACEAE	Alstonia boonei	6	45m			
		Funtumia elastic				10m	
		Funtumia Africana				12m	
		Rauvolfia yomitoria				8m	
		Rauvolfia manni			24m		
		Pleiocarpa tolbotii			21m		
5	BIGNONIACEAE	Newbouldia laevis	1		20m		
6	BOMBACACEAE	Bombax buonopozense	2	40m			
-		Ceiha pentandra		70m			
7	BUSERACEAE	Canacium schweinfurthii	2	, 0111		18m	
,	DODERTICENTE	Dacrodes edulis			30m	TOHI	
8	CONNARACEAE	Chatis farrugiunga	1		5011	6m	
0	COMPRETACEAE	Tormin alia sum orb	2	60.00		UIII	
9	COMDRETACEAE		2	45			
10			2	45m	20		
10	EBENACEAE	Diospyras neuaelotti	3		20m		
		Diospyras melocarpa			30m		
		Diospyras zenkerii	-		20m		
11	EUHORBIACEAE	Alchornia laxiflora	8			6m	
		Anthonota frangrans			35m		
		Cyrtogonne argentia			30m		
		Grosseria vignei				20m	
		Maesobotrya dusenii		47m			
		Maesobotrya staudtia				12m	
		Rhicinodendron heudelotii				15m	
		Uapaca accuminata				10m	
12	FABACEAE	Amphimas pterocarpoides	4		30m		
		Hvmenostegia afzelii			21		
		Hylodendron gabonensis			25m		
3	FLACOURTIACEAE	Cylicodiscus gabonensis			34m		
2		Compostvlus ovalis	2		0.111	12m	
		Ophiobostyrs zenkerii			30m	12111	
14	GUTTIFERAE	Garcina kola	1		30m		
11	GOTTHEIGHE	Garcina manni			5011	18m	
		Hammaana madagaseariansis			25m	10111	
		Mammaa africamum			2,5111	6m	
15		Sacadatia aghananaia	1		25.00	om	
15			2	40	23111		
10	IKVINGIACEAE	Irvingia gabonensis	2	40	20		
1.6	LECID (DIOCAE	Irvingia wombulu	15		28m	0	
16	LEGUMINOSAE	Afzelia bipidensis	1/		2.5	8m	
		Albizia ferruginea			35m		
		Albizia lebbeck			30m		
L		Albizia zygia			29m		
		Angylocalyx oligophyllus			30m		
		Brachystegia eurycoma			32m		
		Daniela ogea				14m	
		Dialum guineense			21m		
		Pentaclethra macrophylla			20m		
		Piptadeniestrum africanum		40m			
		Pterocarpus erinaceous				16m	
		Pterocarpus mildbraedii				18m	
		Pterocarpus osun			20m		
		Pterocarpus sovauxii			25m	1	
		Parkia bicolour			20m		
		Tetrapleura tetraptera				12m	
<u> </u>	1	Zenkerella citran			23m		
17	LOGANIACEAE	Anthocleista dilonensis	1			15m	
18	MELIACEAE	Entandronhragma evlindricum	<u> </u>		30m	1.7111	
10		Entandrophragma angolanse	0		30m	+	
		Guaraa alomarulata			5011	6m	
		Khava grandifolia			26m	0111	
		Khaya iyorarais			2011		
┣───				40	2/m		
		Lovea tricniloides		40m	1	L	

Volume 5 Issue 12, December 2016

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

		Malicaa arcals			23m		
		Melicea zvaja			2.5m		
10	MIMOSACEAE	Newtonia duparaguatiang	1		20m		
20	MORACEAE	Anthodaista vogalij	6	60m	20111	ł – – –	
20	MORACEAE	Myriathus arboraus	0	00111		1/m	
		Bosqueia angolansis			-	14m	
		Traculia Africana			20m	10111	
		Treculta Africana Treculta obovoidea			30111	12m	
		Treculia obovolaea			25.00	12111	
22		Stream having survey differences	1		25m		
22	OLACACEAE	Lenhing alsta	1	40	20111		
23		Danda olooga	1	40111	20.00		
24	PANDACEAE	Panaa oleosa	1		38m	10	
25	POLYGALACEAE	Antiaris Africana	1		20	18m	
26	PALMAE	Elaeis guineensis	1		30m	10	
27	PAPILIONOIDEAE	Angylocalyx oligophyllus	l		-	18m	
28	RUBIACEAE	Nauelea diderrichi	6		22	12m	
		Euelina longiflora		10	33m		
		Didymosalphinx parvoflora		42m			
		Hymenodictyon biafranum			25m		
		Massularia accuminata			20m		
		Mystragyna stipulosa			25m		
29	ROSACEAE	Parinari chrysphylla	1		30m		
30	RUTACEAE	Tectea afzeli	1			18m	
31	SAPINDACEAE	Blighia sapida	2			8m	
		Placodiscus tubiniatus			21m		
32	SAPOTACEAE	Bailonella taxisperma	4	50m			
		Chrysophyllum albidum				12m	
		Cola accumulate				15m	
		Omphalocarpum procera			32m		
33	STERCULIACEAE	Pterygota macrocarpa	8		25m		
		Cola lepidota			37m		
		Cola millenii			21m		
		Cola pachycarpa				18m	
		Cola rostrata				8m	
		Leptobychia pallid				15m	
		Sterculia tragacantha				10m	
		Triplochiton scleroxylon		45m			
34	STYRACEAE	Afrostyras lepidophyllus	2		20m		
		Glypheae breviea				12m	
35	TILIACEAE	Deplatsia dewevrei	1		35m	1	
36	ULMACEAE	Trema guineensis	1		20m		
37	VERBENACEAE	Vitex doniana	1		39m		
	Total	114		16	60	38	0

The results confirm that several tree species are in Cross River National Park ecosystem and some of the species have only one representative (table 1). Furthermore, trees with smaller sizes dominate more than those with larger sizes in the Park. Greater numbers of trees were encountered in the upper and lower layer than the emergent layer.

These findings also agree with Burgeron (1983) and King (2011) that the forest floors are usually made of seedlings, shrubs and herbs. Therefore, it will be appropriate if selective removal of trees at the emergent and upper storey is allowed to enable sunlight to reach the forest floor so as to encourage growth of tree seedlings at that level.

Species dominance (Importance value Index)

Table 2 shows the DBH results of the tree species. The values were used to estimate the Importance Value Index (IVI) - Species dominance

Species relative density: The table indicates the density of each tree species encountered in the study site. Irvingia gabonensis, had relative density of (4.8%) and was the most abundant. It was closely followed by Antrocaryon klaineanum, Dacryodes edulis, and Funtumia africana with relative density of (4.0%), Terminalia ivorensis (3.6%), Uapaca accuminata (3.2%), Hylodendron gabonensis, Brachvstegia eurvcoma. Pentaclethra macrophylla, Piptadeniestrum africanum, and Lophira alata had density of (2.8%), Bombax buonopozense, Dialum guineense and Pycnanthus angolensis had relative density of (2.4%). such Species as Funtumia elastica, Canarium schweinfurthii, Grosseria vignei, Maesobotrya staudtia, Pterocarpus osun, Tetrapleura tetraptera, Bailonella toxisperma, Chrysophyllum albidum and Deplatsia dewevrei all had relative density of (2.0%). Antrocaryon miscraster, *Xylopia africana, Terminalia superb,* Cyclicodiscus gabonensis, Melicia excelsa, Khaya ivorensis,

DOI: 10.21275/ART20162455

Treculia ovoidae, Elaeis guinensis, and sterculia stragacantha all had relative density of (1.6%). Other species with relative density ranging from one percent (1%)

and below had low relative density. The total relative density of the study area is 136.6.

Tabl	e 2: Basal area, relative density	y, relative	dominance,	, relative fre	quency an	d Importa	ince value i	ndex (Spe	cies Dominance	;)
	Turner	λ/-	Mana DDH	D	F	Dalation	Dalation	Dalation	Terror a set serve a a	

Tree species	NO.	Mean DBH	Basal area	Frequency	Relative	Relative	Relative	Importance
	of stems	(cm)	(m^2)		density	dominance	frequency	Value Index
								(IVI)
Antrocaryon klaineanum	10	19.0	0.2834	12	4.0	1.767	1.4778	7.2448
Antrocaryon miscraster	4	16.9	0.0897	4	1.6	0.5591	0.4926	2.6517
Spondias mombin	1	10.5	0.0086	4	0.4	0.0536	0.4926	0.9462
Pseudospondias microcarpa	2	11.4	0.0204	4	0.8	0.1272	0.4926	1.4198
Poga oleosa	3	28.4	0.1899	12	1.2	1.1837	1.4778	3.8615
Monodora myristica	1	12.5	0.0122	4	0.4	0.0760	0.4926	0.9686
Sorindela mildbraedii	3	14.0	0.0462	8	1.2	0.2879	0.9852	2.4731
Enantia calorantha	1	11.5	0.0104	4	0.4	0.0648	0.4926	0.9574
Xvlopia Africana	4	12.5	0.0490	8	1.6	0.3054	0.9852	2.8906
Alstonia boonei	2	32.5	0.1658	8	1.8	1 0335	0.9852	3 8187
Funtumia elastic	5	16.6	0.1082	8	2.0	0.6745	0.9852	3 6597
Rawolfia manni	3	13:6	0.0436	8	1.2	0.0719	0.9852	2 457
Pteiocarna tolhotii	1	25.0	0.0430	4	0.4	0.2/10	0.7032	1 1087
Naukouldia laguia	2	23.0	0.0491	4	1.2	0.3001	0.4920	2 2929
Newbouldid idevis	5	52.0	0.0317	0	1.2	0.1970	0.9652	2.3020
Drama das adulis	10	32.9	0.1405	20	2.4	7.0937	2.4051	(0022
	10	15.8	0.1495	10	4.0	0.9319	1.9704	6.9023
Canarium schweinjurthii	5	24.5	0.2356	12	2.0	1.4686	1.4//8	4.9464
Cnetis ferruginea	2	13.8	0.0299	4	0.8	0.1864	0.4926	1.479
Ceiba pentandra	3	50.0	0.5888	4	1.2	3.6703	0.4926	5.3629
Terminalia superb	4	36.7	0.4229	8	1.6	2.6361	0.9852	5.2213
Diospyros heudeloti	1	20.5	0.0329	4	0.4	0.2051	0.4926	1.0977
Alchornia laxiflora	3	10.3	0.0249	8	1.2	0.1552	0.9852	2.3404
Terminalia ivorensis	9	39.8	1.1191	20	3.6	6.9758	2.4631	13.0389
Cyrtogonne argentia	3	11.9	0.0333	4	0.4	0.1957	0.4926	1.0883
Diospyros melocarpa	2	21.9	0.7529	8	0.8	4.6932	0.9852	6.4784
Diospyros zenkerii	1	20.0	0.0314	4	0.4	0.1957	0.4926	1.0883
Groseria vignei	5	12.5	0.0613	8	2.0	0.3821	0.9852	3.3673
Uapaca accuminata	8	17.4	0.1901	12	3.2	1.1849	1.4778	5.8627
Alstonia congensis	1	30.0	0.0707	4	0.4	0.4407	0.4926	1.3333
Funtumia Africana	10	14.14	0.1569	16	4.0	0.9780	1.9704	6.9484
Rauvolfia vomitoria	2	11.2	0.0197	8	0.8	0.1228	0.9852	1.908
Xylopia staudtia	2	13.3	0.0278	4	0.8	0.1733	0.4926	1.4659
Maesobotrva dusenii	2	11.3	0.0200	8	0.8	0.1247	0.9852	1.9099
Maesobotrva staudtia	5	17.8	0.1244	8	2.0	0.7754	0.9852	3.7606
Rhicinodendron heudelotii	1	40.0	0.1256	4	0.4	0.7754	0.4926	1.668
Pterocarpus Osun	5	21.5	0.1814	16	2.0	1 1307	1 9704	5 1011
Hymenostegia afzelii	2	17.7	0.0492	10 	0.8	0.3060	0.4926	1 5986
Hylodandron gabonansis	7	1/./	0.1219	12	2.8	0.3000	1 4778	5.0376
Diallum quinansa	6	11.9	0.0656	12	2.0	0.7578	1.4778	1 2867
Cualizadigang gabanansis	4	22.2	0.0050	12	1.6	2 1574	1.4770	4.2807
	4	55.2	0.3401	10	1.0	2.13/4	1.9/04	3.7278
Ampnimas pierocarpoiaes	1	45.4	0.1018	4	0.4	1.0080	0.4920	1.9012
Garcinia cola	1	35.0	0.0962	4	0.4	0.5977	0.4926	1.4903
Garcinia manni	2	12.9	0.0261	8	0.8	0.1627	0.9852	1.94/9
Harungana madagascariensis	1	20.0	0.0314	4	0.4	0.1957	0.4926	1.0883
Mammea africanum	2	10.0	0.0157	4	0.8	0.0979	0.4926	1.3905
Sacoglitis gabonensis	2	16.3	0.0417	4	0.8	0.2599		1.0599
Irvingia gabonensis	12	26.6	0.6665	24	4.8	4.1546	2.9557	11.9103
Anglylocalyx oligophyllus	2	17.8	0.0497	4	0.8	0.3098	0.4926	1.6024
Afzelia bipidensis	3	13.5	0.0429	8	1.2	0.2674	0.9852	2.4526
Irvingia wombulu	2	17.8	0.0497	4	0.8	0.3098	0.4926	1.6024
Albizia zygia	1	12.0	0.0113	4	0.4	0.0704	0.4926	0.963
Brachystegia eurycoma	7	33.6	0.6204	8	2.8	3.8672	0.9852	7.6524
Pentaclethra macrophylla	7	18.3	0.1840	12	2.8	1.1469	1.4778	5.4247
Daniellia ogea	1	11.5	0.0104	4	0.4	0.0648	0.4926	0.9574
Parkia bicolour	8	18.7	0.2196	12	3.2	1.3689	1.4778	6.0467
Albizia lebbeck	2	11.3	0.0200	4	0.8	0.1247	0.4926	1.4173
Pintadeniestrum africanum	7	51.8	1.4744	8	2.8	9,1906	0.9852	12.9758
Pterocarnus erinaceous	1	20.0	0.0314	4	0.4	0.1957	0.4926	1.0883
Tetraneura tetrantera	5	13.9	0.0758	12	2.0	0 4725	1 4778	3 9503
i cu apeni a icu apici a		1.5.7	0.0750	1 14	2.0	0.1/40	1.1//0	5.7505

Volume 5 Issue 12, December 2016 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Zenkerella citran	1	20.0	0.0314	4	0.4	0.1957	0.4926	1.0883
Anthocleista djlonensis	1	14.5	0.0165	4	0.4	0.1029	0.4926	0.9955
Entandrophragma cylindricum	2	27.5	0.1187	4	0.8	0.7399	0.4926	2.0325
Guarea glumerula	1	10.5	0.0087	4	0.4	0.0542	0.4926	0.9468
Khaya grandifolia	1	25.0	0.0491	4	0.4	0.3061	0.4926	1.1987
Lovoa trichiloides	3	23.5	0.1301	4	1.2	0.8109	0.4926	2.5035
Pterocarpus mildbraedii	1	11.5	0.0104	4	0.4	0.0648	0.4926	0.9574
Melicia excels	4	25.9	0.2106	12	1.6	1.3127	1.4778	4.3905
Newbouldia duparaquetiana	1	20.5	0.0329	4	0.4	0.2051	0.4926	1.0977
Anthocleista vogelii	3	11.0	0.0285	8	1.2	0.1776	0.9852	2.3628
Pterocarpus Soyauxii	1	30	0.0707	4	0.4	0.4407	0.4926	1.3333
Entandrophragma angolensis	1	20.0	0.0314	4	0.4	0.1957	0.4926	1.0883
Khaya ivorensis	4	36.1	0.4092	8	1.6	2.5507	0.9852	5.1359
Melicia zvgia	1	40.5	0.1288	4	0.4	0.8029	0.4926	1.6955
Mvrianthus arboreus	2	12.3	0.0237	8	0.8	0.1477	0.9852	1.9329
Bosaucia angolensis	1	11.5	0.0104	4	0.4	0.0648	0.4926	0.9574
Treculia Africana	5	17.0	0.1134	12	2.0	0.7069	1.4778	4.1847
Pvcnanthus angolensis	6	23.5	0.2601	12	2.4	1.6213	1.4778	5.4991
Lophira alata	7	26.3	0.3801	12	2.8	2.3693	1.4778	6.6471
Treculia obovoidea	4	12.4	0.0483	4	1.6	0.3011	0.4926	2.3937
Treculia microcephalus	1	20.0	0.0314	4	0.4	0.1957	0.4926	1.0883
Strombosia grandifolia	1	35.5	0.0989	4	0.4	0.6165	0.4926	1.5091
Pvcnanthus microcephalus	1	40.0	0.1256	4	0.4	0.7289	0.4926	1.6215
Panda oleosa	8	25.9	0.2106	16	3.2	1.3128	1.9704	6.4832
Antiaris Africana	6	13.4	0.0846	8	2.4	0.5273	0.9852	3.9125
Elaeis guinensis	4	19.3	0.1169	8	1.6	0.5720	0.9852	3 1572
Nauclea diderichi	1	10.5	0.0087	4	0.4	0.0542	0.4926	0.9468
Didymosalphinx paryoflora	1	35.0	0.0962	4	0.1	0.5997	0.4926	1 4923
Hymenodictyon biafranum	1	25.0	0.0491	4	0.4	0.3061	0.4926	0.7061
Masularia accuminata	1	21.5	0.0363	4	0.4	0.2263	0.4926	1.1189
Mustragyna stipulusa	1	18.5	0.0269	4	0.1	0.1677	0.4926	1.0603
Cola lenidota	1	10.0	0.0078	4	0.4	0.0486	0.4926	0.9412
Parinari chrysophylla	1	12.5	0.0123	4	0.1	0.0767	0.4926	0.9693
Tectea afzeli	1	10.0	0.0123	4	0.1	0.0486	0.4926	0.5812
Cola gigantean	3	10.3	0.0249	8	1.2	0.1552	0.9852	2 3404
Cola pachycarpa	3	10.9	0.0279	8	1.2	0.1739	0.9852	2.3404
Zanthorylum zanthoryloides	1	10.9	0.0279	4	0.4	0.0486	0.4926	0.9412
Rlighia sanida	2	10.3	0.0078	4	0.4	0.1041	0.4926	0.9967
Placodiscus tubiniatus	1	25.7	0.0518	4	0.1	0.3229	0.4926	1 2155
Raillonella torisperma	5	37.2	0.5432	8	2.0	3 3861	0.9852	6 3713
Chrysonhyllum albidum	5	20.4	0.1633	8	2.0	1 0179	0.9852	4 0031
Omphalocarmum procera	2	11.0	0.1035	8	0.8	0.1178	0.9852	1 903
Cola millenii	3	10.2	0.0245	8	1.2	0.1527	0.9852	2 3379
Cola rostrata	2	10.2	0.0249	8	0.8	0.1527	0.9852	1 9404
Lentobychia pallid	1	10.0	0.0249	4	0.0	0.0486	0.7052	0.9412
Triplochiton sclarozylon	1	65.8	0.3399		0.4	2 1187	0.4926	3 0113
Afrostyrar lenydonhyllus	2	163	0.3333	-+	0.4	0.2500	0.9252	2 0451
Gynhae broyae	<u> </u>	10.5	0.0417	0 /	0.0	0.2399	0.7032	0.072
Danlatsia dawawai	5	17.0	0.0129	12	2.0	0.0004	1 /778	4 2084
Vitar doniana	1	30.8	0.11/2	12	0.4	0.7500	0.4026	1 357
Trama cuinansis	1 2	21.1	0.0745	•	0.4	0.4044	0.4920	2 2502
Ptomaota machoaduna	<u> </u>	16.5	0.0740	0	0.8	0.4030	0.9032	1.026
Storeulia tragacantha	1 /	10.3	0.0214	4	1.4	0.1334	0.4920	2 6802
	750	10.3	16 0425	0	1266	0.1041	0.9032	2.0093
114	230		10.0423	012	130.0	71./422	1	

Frequency distribution: The frequency distribution of all species encountered for this study differs and depends on the tree species; some had high frequency while other had low frequency. The total frequency for all the tree species encountered in the study site is 812. *Irvingia gabonensis* had (24%) frequency distribution and is the most abundant. It was closely followed by *Bombax buonopozense and Terminalia ivorensis* with frequency distribution of (20%). *Dacryodes edulis, Funtumia Africana, Cyclicodiscus gabonensis, Pterocarpus osun* and *Panda oleosa* had frequency distribution of (16%). Tree species such as

Antrocaryon klaineanum, Poga oleosa, Canarium schweinfurthii, Uapaca accuminata, Hylodendron gabonensis Dialum guineensis, Pentaclethra macrophylla, Parkia bicolour, Tetrapleura tetraptera, and Melicia excelsa all had frequency distribution of (12%). Other species listed had low frequency distribution between (4%) and (8%) respectively.

Basal area: The total study area for the plot was $16.0425m^2$ for the trees with 10cm DBH and above, which covers relative small portion of the land area under study. Out of

Volume 5 Issue 12, December 2016 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

the entire tree species encountered *Piptadeniestrum africanum* dominates with basal area of 1.474m². Closely to this is *Bombax buonopozense* with basal area of 1.1380m² and *Terminalia ivorensis* had basal area of 1.1191m². Other species list had low basal area.

Species dominance encountered in the study area was determined using Important Value Index (IVI). From table 2, Terminalia ivorensis had Important Value Index (IVI) of 13.0839 and is the most dominant for all the tree species encountered for this research. The next species is Piptadeniestrum africanum, with Important Value Index (IVI) of 12.9758. Closely to this is Bombax buonopozense with dominant value of 11.9568 and Terminalia ivorensis with dominant value of 11.9103. Species such as 7.6524, **Brachystegia** eurycoma had Antrocaryon klaineanum 7.2448, Dacryodes edulis 6.9023, Diospyros melocarpa 6.4784, Lophira alata 6.6471, Parkia bicolor 6.0467, Funtumia africana 6.9484, Bailonella toxisperma 6.3713, Panda oleosa and Ceiba pentandra 5.3629, Terminalia superb 5.2213, Uapaca accuminata 5.8627, Pentaclethra macrophylla 5.4247, Khaya ivorensis 5.1359 and Pycnanthus angolensis had 5.4991 Important Value Index (IVI). Other listed species had low Importance Value Index (IVI).

The presence of highly desired timber species for construction purposes such as; Milicia excelsa, Entandrophragma species. **Brachystegia** eurvcoma, Triplochiton scleroxvlon, Lovoa trichiloides. Piptadeniestrum africanum. Daniellia ogea and Lophira alata etc indicate adequate protection of flora in the Park.

4. Conclusion and Recommendations

Tree assessment is the process of collecting information about the extent and conditions of the vegetation within a specific area. Quantitative assessment of the tree species was carried out using sampling of plot with Quadrats. Measurements of tree height were used to classify tree species into different structures. Families and tree species were identified in Okwangwo division of Cross River Natural Park.

A total of 250 tree species in 37 families were encountered in this study and tree measurements gave four different layers; emergent, the upper canopy, the under storey and the forest floor. The data collected from the measurements were use to calculate the relative density (R.D), relative dominance (R.D), relative frequency (R.F), Basal area and frequency. Results from relative density(RD), relative dominant (RD) and relative frequency (RF) were used to determine the dominant species using Important value Index (IVI), which show that *Terminalia ivorensis was* the most dominant with Important Value Index of 13.0839.

The diversity of tree species is unevenly distributed in the study area. Different tree species with different families were distributed heterogeneously with diverse height and sizes forming different storeys. The tree species composition and structure in this study will serve as management tool to managers of the Park in terms of determining appropriate silvicultural treatments such as selective harvesting of certain storeys (emergent and upper storey) to allow growth of seedlings at forest floor level. It will also help the operators of the park to identify possible uses to which the trees can be put now or in the future.

Reliable information on the status and trends of Forest resources helps give decision makers the prospect necessary for orientation of forest policies and programs. Thus, tree assessment and structure in Cross River National Park serves as a valuable tool that will enable conservators and managers of National park to quantify tree species composition as well as providing information on structure which are essential for forest management and tree utilization.

Cross River National Park which is saddled with responsibilities of managing the resources of the park should design programmes that will create more awareness on the Park for the people to see the need to protect the flora and fauna species from being threatened.

Domestication of indigenous tree species should be encouraged for the reduction of poverty and for balance to be maintained in the ecosystem

There is problem of encroachment by people living in the buffer zone, support zone, and enclave Communities for harvesting of Non-timber forest products (NTFPs). Therefore, the government should encourage cultivation of edible and medicinal trees species around homes (home garden). This will reduce encroachment into the Park for tree species exploitation for economics and medicinal reasons.

References

- [1] Adedire, M.O (2002). Environment of tropical deforestation. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology*, 9:33-40.
- [2] Agbor C.O, (2003). An ecological basis for management of Afi Mount Wildlife Sancstuary, Cross River State. Unpublished Ph.D thesis, Department of Wildlife and Fisheries management, University of Ibadan. Pp 119 -210.
- [3] Bourgeron, Patrick S. (1983). Spatial aspects of vegetation structure and function. *Ecosystems of the World* (4acd). Elsevier scientific.Pp.29-47.
- [4] Bryant, D.M; Ducey, M.J; and Innes J.C. et'al (2005). Forest Community Analysis and Quadrates Method. *Plant Ecology* 175(2): 193-203.
- [5] Cross River National Park (2016). Biodiversity conservation. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cross_River_National_Par
- [6] Conservation international (2005). Biodiversity hotspots. CI fats. Internet communication available from URL http://www.Fao.org/docreb/X0451E/cl /htm.
- [7] Cunningham, W. P and M. A. Cunningham (2004). Principle of environmental science: enquiries and applications. 2nd Ed: New York, London: McGraw-Hill companies Inc.424.p.
- [8] Edet D. I. (2010). Biodiversity utilization pattern in Afi Mountain Wildlife Sanctuary, Cross River State, Nigeria. *Ph.D. Thesis*, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria. 307 pp

Volume 5 Issue 12, December 2016 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

- [9] Gower, S.T., J.J. Landberg and K.E. Bisbee (2003). Forest biomes of the World. In: Young R.A and Gies,R.L (Eds): introduction to ecosystem science and and management. 3rd edition, John Wiley and Sons Inc, U.S.A.pp57-745.
- [10] John Terborgh (2002). Making Park work: Strategies for preserving tropical nature. Island press. Pp 65.
- [11]King, David a. and Clerk, Deborah A. (2011). "Algometry of emergent tree species from sapling to above canopy adults in Coast Rican Rainforest." *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 27 (6): 573-79.
- [12] Laura K. Marsh (2003). Primate fragments: Ecology and Conservation. Springer. Pp 350.
- [13] Newman, Arnold (2002). Tropical Rainforest: our most valuable and endangered habitat with blue print for survival into the third millennium (2 Ed).
- [14] Nigeria National Park Service (2016). Evolution of Parks in Nigeria. http://nigeriaparkservices.org/? p=140
- [15] Olajide, O. (2004). Growth performance of trees in Akure Forest Reserve, Ondo State Nigeria. *Phd Thesis*. University of Ibadan, Nigeria. 108p.
- [16] Oguntala, A.B. (1981). The dynamic of tree population in Cambari Forests Reserve, Nigeria. Nigerian journal of Forestry 11 (1): 5-9.
- [17] Ojo L.O; Adeola, A.O; and Okajie, J.A; eta'al (1999). Status of a Strict Nature Reserve in Akure Forest Reserve. Journal of tropical forest resources 15 (1): 1-9.
- [18] Panwar, P. and Bhardwaj S.D (2012). Handbook of Practical Forestry. Agrobios India 191p.

Volume 5 Issue 12, December 2016 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY