# Seismic Analysis of RC Frame with the Variation of Position of Soft Storey

# Vikram Singh Kushwah<sup>1</sup>, Ravi Dwivedi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Student, R. G. P. V., Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup>Guide, R. G. P. V., Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

Abstract: Uncertainties involved and behavior studies are vital for all civil engineering structures. Many buildings in the present scenario have irregular configurations both in plan and elevation . The objective of the paper is to carry out Equivalent static analysis of vertically irregular RC building frames in which Stiffness irregularity was considered for different stories at a time. These irregularities are provided as per clause 7.1 of IS 1893 (part1)2002 code. According to our observation in case of displacement for all the position of soft storey excluded ground position, top 3 storey positions for soft storey should be safer as compare to middle storey position of soft storey. In case of maximum stresses in column for all positions of soft storey excluded ground storey give same result (approx.) except 3<sup>rd</sup> position of soft storey. So position soft storey at 3<sup>rd</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of structure are soft than beams are more stressed as compare to position at top and bottom storeys. In case of maximum shear force in beam for all positions of soft storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey drift. For all the cases displacement, stresses, shear force and storey drift we found that top 3 positions in the stiffness irregular structure are most safer position of the soft storey in the structure. Soft computing tool and commercial software staad.pro V8i (select ser

Keywords: Static, Seismic, RC Frame, Stiffness irregularity, IS 1893 (part1)2002, Soft storey

# 1. Introduction

Many urban multistory buildings in India today have open first storey as an unavoidable feature. This is primarily being adopted to accommodate parking or reception lobbies in the first storeys. Similarly in many multistory have demand of Intermediate soft story for the purpose of Auditorium, Cinema halls etc. As per clause 7.1 of IS 1893 (part1)2002 code, a building shall be considered stiffness irregular as following criteria

(a)Stiffness irregularity-Soft Storey: A soft storey is one in which the lateral stiffness is less than 70 % of that in the storey above or less than 80 % of the average lateral stiffness of three storeys above.

(b)Stiffness irregularity-Extreme Soft Storey: A extreme soft storey is one in which the lateral stiffness is less than 60 of that in the storey above or less than 70 percent of the average stiffness of the three storeys above. For example, buildings On STILTS will fall under this category.

#### 1.1 Seismic Analysis

Seismic analysis is a major tool in earthquake engineering which is used to understand the response of buildings due to seismic excitations in a simpler manner. In the past the buildings were designed just for gravity loads and seismic analysis is a recent development. There are different types of earthquake analysis methods like Equivalent Static analysis, Response Spectrum Analysis and Time History Analysis. Equivalent lateral force or static analysis is used in this research work.

#### 1.1.1Equivalent static analysis method

Seismic analysis of most of the structures is still carried out on the basis of lateral force assumed to be equivalent to the actual loading. The base shear which is the total horizontal force on the structure is calculated on the basis of structure mass and fundamental period of vibration and corresponding mode shape. The base shear is distributed along the height of structures in terms of lateral force according to the IS 1893 (part1)2002.

#### 2. Objective

The basic objective of this research was to evaluate the maximum displacement; maximum stresses, maximum shear force and storey drift variation with variation of the position of soft storey in the RC frame and found the suitable Intermediate position in the frame where the soft storey can be provided.

#### 3. Structural Modeling

Soft computing tool and commercial software staad.pro V8i (select series 5) is used for modeling and analysis. As per clause 7.8.1of code IS 1893 (part1)2002 in this research paper we considered a RC frame structure of height 92.75m for both regular and stiffness irregular RC frame structure.

The Regular frame is shown in frame 1 in figure 1.Soft storey is provided at first storey of regular structure as shown in figure 3 and analysis was done on this stiffness irregular structure. Similarly soft storey was provided on the second



storey of Regular structure as shown in figure 4 and rest of structure in frame is similar to the Regular structure. This type of framing is continuously done up to  $(G+25)^{th}$  storey.







Figure 2: Plan of regular structure



Figure 3:2D view of Irregular RC frame with soft storey at Ground storey



Figure 4:2D view of Irregular RC frame with soft storey at  $1^{st}$  storey







Figure 6:2D view of Irregular RC frame with soft storey at  $10^{\text{th}}$  storey



Figure 7:2D view of Irregular RC frame with soft storey at  $15^{\text{th}}$  storey





### Volume 5 Issue 10, October 2016 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2013): 6.14 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391



igure 9:2D view of Irregular RC frame with soft storey a  $25^{\text{th}}$  storey

Stiffness irregularity as a soft storey is shown in the figure 3 to figure 9. The position of soft storey is changed one by one from ground Storey to  $25^{\text{th}}$  storey and analysis of frames was done one by one from ground Storey to  $25^{\text{th}}$  storey.

Table 1: Specification of structure		
Specification	Values/Type	
Live Load	3kN/m2	
Density of RCC considered	25kN/m3	
Thickness of slab	150mm	
Depth of beam	300mm	
Width of beam	300mm	
Dimension of Column	800x800mm	
Density of infill	20kN/m3	
Thickness of outside wall	200mm	
Thickness of inner partition	150mm	
Height of each floor	3.5m	
Earthquake Zone	IV	
Type of Soil	Rocky	
Type of structure	SMRF	
Type of support	Fixed support	
Height of soft storey	5.25m	
No of beams	2184	
No of columns	1274	
Total number of members	3458	

# 4. Result and Discussion

Equivalent static analysis was performed on regular and various irregular RC structure using Staad.pro V8i (select series 5). The variation of displacement, stresses, shear force and storey drift with the variation of soft storey at different position in the structure. To find the displacement variation we considered a single node of a structure where the probability of displacement is maximum as shown in figure 10 on which displacement was found with the variation of position of soft storey in the structure.



Figure 10: Position of Node 1345 in 3D view of Structure



Figure 11: Graph between N and Displacement at node 1345

 Table 2: Displacement at Node 1345

Storey wise position of Soft storey(N)	Displacement (mm)
Ground Storey	761.2634
1	989.9396
2	994.9434
3	998.9312
4	1001.9792
5	1004.2144
6	1005.8146
7	1006.9068
8	1007.5926
9	1007.8974
10	1007.872
11	1007.5164
12	1006.856
13	1005.8654
14	1004.5446
15	1002.8428
16	1000.76
17	998.2962
18	995.426
19	995.426
20	988.441
21	984.377
22	980.0082
23	975.4108
24	970.7626
25	975.4108



From the Result as shown in table 2 of analysis graph was plotted as shown in figure 11 between Storey wise position of soft storey (N) and displacement. Another graph was plotted in between height of structure (H) and Displacement at the storey nodes (shown in Figure 12) for different position of soft storey as shown in figure 13. In figure 13 storey (G+4)<sup>th</sup> represent that 5<sup>th</sup> storey is a soft storey and rest of structure was as regular structure.



Figure 13: Graph between Height (H) and Displacement

To find the compressive Stress variation in column we considered a column in the structure where the probability of compressive stress is maximum whose position is shown in plan and elevation in figure 14 and 15 respectively. Table 3 Shows values of maximum compressive stress at column 1174 at the different position of soft storey in the RC frame structure.

**Table 3:** Maximum compressive stress at column 1174

Storey no (N)	Compressive stresses (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )
Ground Storey	27.58
1	31.179
2	31.106
3	44.91
4	30.85
5	30.915
6	30.899
7	30.902
8	30.889
9	30.895
10	30.979
11	30.967
12	31.009
13	31.104
14	31.15

1			
6			
Figure 14: Position of Frame in plan of the structure			
I IS STIFFNESS 01 - Whole Structure			
Load			

Storey wise position of soft storey (N Figure 16: Variation of Compressive stress with N in column 1174

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Figure 16 shows that the variation of Compressive stress in Column 1174 With increase the position of soft storey From ground Storey to storey 25<sup>th</sup>.

To find the Tensile Stress variation in column we considered a Column in the structure where the probability of tensile stress is maximum whose position is shown in plan and elevation in figure 17 and 18 respectively. Table 4 Shows values of maximum tensile stress at column 2191 at the different position of soft storey in the RC frame structure.

Volume 5 Issue 10, October 2016 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY



Figure 17: Position of Frame in plan of the structure

Table 4: Maximum tensile stress at column 2191	L
--	---

Storey no (N)	Tensile Stresses (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	
Ground Storey	27.58	
1	31.179	
2	31.106	
3	44.91	
4	30.85	
5	30.915	
6	30.899	
7	30.902	
8	30.889	
9	30.895	
10	30.979	
11	30.967	
12	31.009	
13	31.104	
14	31.15	
15	31.197	
16	31.245	
17	31.293	
18	31.342	
19	31.342	
20	31.44	
21	31.44	
22	31.489	
23	31.712	
24	31.569	
25	21 712	



Figure 18: Position of Column in frame

Figure 19 shows that the variation of Compressive stress in Column 1174 With increase the position of soft storey From ground Storey to storey 25<sup>th</sup>.





To find the compressive Stress and tensile stress variation in Beam we considered a Beam in the structure where the probability of compressive stress and tensile stress is maximum whose position is shown in plan and elevation in figure 20 and 21 respectively. Table 5 Shows values of maximum compressive stress and tensile stress in Beam 1015 at the different position of soft storey in the RC frame structure.



Figure 20: Position of Frame in plan of the structure



Figure 21: Position of Beam in frame





Figure 22 and 23 shows that the variation of Compressive stress and tensile stress in Beam 1015 respectively With increase the position of soft storey From ground storey to  $25^{\text{th}}$  storey.



Figure 23: Variation of tensile stress with N in Beam 1015

Table 5: Maximum Compressive and tensile stress in beam
1015

1015			
Storey no (NI)	Compressive stresses	Tensile Stresses	
Storey IIO (IV)	$(N/mm^2)$	$((N/mm^2))$	
Ground Storey	32.867	27.58	
1	63.714	31.179	
2	69.276	31.106	
3	67.253	44.91	
4	66.355	30.85	
5	76.59	30.915	
6	77.195	30.899	
7	77.236	30.902	
8	76.977	30.889	
9	76.712	30.895	
10	74.846	30.979	
11	74.99	30.967	
12	73.609	31.009	
13	69.447	31.104	
14	67.031	31.15	
15	64.31	31.197	
16	61.291	31.245	
17	57.993	31.293	
18	54.447	31.342	
19	54.447	31.342	
20	46.876	31.44	
21	47.858	31.44	
22	44.017	31.489	
23	38.158	31.712	
24	38.004	31.569	
25	38.158	31.712	

For the selected beams as shown in figure 24, graph was plotted in between height of structure (H) and maximum Compressive stresses for the selected beam for different position when ground storey, 5,10,15,20 and 25 are soft as shown in figure 25.







To find the Maximum Shear force variation in structure we considered a node of a beam in the structure where the probability of Shear force is maximum whose position is shown in plan and elevation in figure 26 and 27 respectively. Table 6 Shows values of maximum shear force at node 575 at the different position of soft storey in the RC frame structure.



Figure 27: Position of node 575 in 2D frame of Structure



Figure 28: Variation of maximum shear force at node 575 with N

Storey wise position of Soft storey ( N)	Maximum shear force(kN)
Ground storey	111.283
1	127.089
2	126.742
3	126.377
4	126.061
5	125.822
6	125.641
7	125.418
8	125.355
9	125.314
10	125.283
11	125.263
12	125.253
13	125.245
14	125.241
15	125.239
16	125.238
17	125.238
18	125.238
19	125.238
20	125.241
21	125.24
22	125.242
23	125.247
24	124.926
25	125.446

Table 6.	Maximum	Shear	force	at Node	575
1 4010 0.	IVIUMIIIUIII	oncar	10100	atitude	515

To find the maximum storey drift variation in structure we considered a node at height of 3.5m in the structure. Table 7 shows the values of storey drift at the height 3.5m at the different position of soft storey in the structure and the variation of storey drift with N is shown in figure 29



Figure 29: Variation of maximum storey drift at height 3.5m

Table 7: Maximum storey drift at height 3.5m 568		
Storey wise position of	Maximum Storey drift at	
Soft storey (N)	height 3.5 m	
0	0.7413	
1	0.9583	
2	0.9492	
3	0.9398	
4	0.9318	
5	0.9247	
6	0.9211	
7	0.9177	
8	0.9154	
9	0.9138	
10	0.9126	
11	0.9111	
12	0.9113	
13	0.9109	
14	0.9106	
15	0.9104	
16	0.9103	
17	0.9102	
18	0.9101	
19	0.91	
20	0.91	
21	0.91	
22	0.91	
23	0.91	
24	0.9	
25	0.9	

# 5. Conclusion

According to Equivalent Static analysis results for the displacement at a node in the stiffness irregular structure, it was found that when ground storey was soft storey displacement was minimum, on changing the position of soft storey from  $1^{st}$  to  $25^{th}$  Storey displacement at node was change suddenly at position  $2^{nd}$  and displacement was maximum at position  $10^{th}$ . Displacement of top node was found maximum in all the position of soft storey. Nodes displacements with respect to height of the structure for the different position of soft storey found not remarkable change.

In case of compressive stresses in Ground storey central column we found that maximum stress was developed when  $3^{rd}$  storey was soft. Compressive stress was suddenly increased in column when position of soft storey change from ground to  $3^{rd}$  and suddenly decreases when position of soft storey was changed from  $3^{rd}$  to  $4^{th}$  storey. After  $4^{th}$  storey changing the position of soft storey compressive stress was found not remarkable change.

In case of tensile stresses in bottom storey corner column we found that maximum stress was developed when  $3^{rd}$  storey was soft. Tensile stress was suddenly increased in column when position of soft storey change from ground to  $3^{rd}$  and suddenly decreases when position of soft storey was changed from  $3^{rd}$  to  $4^{th}$  storey. After  $4^{th}$  storey changing the position of soft storey tensile stress was found not remarkable change.

In case of compressive stresses in bottom storey corner beam, we found that maximum stress was developed when 5<sup>th</sup> storey was soft. Compressive stress was decreased but not suddenly

Volume 5 Issue 10, October 2016 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY in beam when position of soft storey change from  $5^{th}$  storey to  $25^{th}$  storey. It was found that compressive stress was minimum when ground and top storey were soft.

In case of tensile stresses in bottom storey corner beam, we found that maximum stress was developed when 5<sup>th</sup> storey was soft. Tensile stress was decreased but not suddenly in beam when position of soft storey change from 5<sup>th</sup> storey to 25<sup>th</sup> storey. It was found that tensile stress was minimum when ground and top storey were soft.

From variation of Compressive stress in bottom storey corner beam with respect to height for the position of soft storey at storey 0, 5,10,15,20 and  $25^{th}$  it was found that the pattern of variation was approximately same.

In case of shear force in bottom storey middle frame corner beam node it was found that shear force was maximum when 1st storey was soft and minimum when ground storey was soft. After changing the position of soft storey from  $2^{nd}$ storey to  $25^{th}$  storey there is no remarkable change and variation of shear force was negligible.

In case of storey drift it was found that storey drift was maximum when 1st storey was soft and minimum when ground storey was soft. After changing the position of soft storey from  $2^{nd}$  storey to  $25^{th}$  storey there is no remarkable change and variation of storey drift was negligible.

It was concluded that in case of displacement for all the position of soft storey excluded ground position, top 3 storey position for soft storey should be safer as compare to middle storey position of soft storey. In case of maximum stresses in column for all positions of soft storey excluded ground storey Give same result (approx.) except 3<sup>rd</sup> position of soft storey. So position soft storey at 3<sup>rd</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of stresses in column. In case of maximum stresses in beam for all positions of soft storey excluded ground storey if middle storeys of structure are soft than beams are more stressed as compare to position at top and bottom storeys. In case of maximum shear force in beam for all positions of soft storey excluded ground storey give same result (approx.) except 1<sup>st</sup> position of soft storey. So position of soft storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of shear force in beam. In case of storey drift for all positions of soft storey excluded ground storey give same result (approx.) except 1<sup>st</sup> position of soft storey. So position of soft storey at 1<sup>st</sup> is most unsafe for structure in case of storey drift. For all the cases displacement, stresses, shear force and storey drift we found that top 3 positions in the stiffness irregular structure are most safer position of the soft storey in the structure.

# References

- Jack P. Moehle, A. M. ASCE (1984), "Seismic Response Of Vertically Irregular Structures", ASCE Journal of Structural Engineering, Vol.110, No. 9.
- [2] Valmundsson and Nau. (1997), "Seismic response of buildings frames with vertical structural Irregularities", ASCE Journal of Structural Engineering, Vol. 123, No. 1, 30-41.

- [3] C.V.R.Murty, "Earth quake tips", Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, India.
- [4] IS 1893-2002(Part-1), "Criteria for Earthquake resistant design of structures, General provisions and buildings", Bu-reau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- [5] Hall,J.F., (Editor), 1994, "Northridge Earthquake January 17, 1994 – Preliminary Reconnaissance Report," Report No.94-01, Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, Oakland, USA.
- [6] Vinod K. Sadashiva, Gregory A. MacRae & Bruce L. Deam (2009), "Determination Of Structural Irregularity Limits – Mass Irregularity Example "Bulletin Of The New Zealand Society For Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 42, No.4
- [7] Chintanapakdee and Chopra. (2004), "Seismic response of vertically irregular frames: response history and modal pushover analyses", ASCE Journal of Structural Engineering, Vol. 130, No. 8, 1177-1185.
- [8] Ravi Kumar C M, Babu Narayan K S, Sujith B V, Venkat Reddy D (2012), "Effect of Irregular Configurations on Seismic Vulnerability of RC Buildings "Architecture Research 2012, 2(3): 20-26, Surathkal, India.