Preparation and Adsorption Properties of Mesoporous Silica for Removal of Nonylphenolethoxylates Surfactant from Aqueous Solution
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Abstract: Mesoporous Silica (MPS) with different ratio silica/surfactant were prepared by sol-gel method based on the hydrolysis and condensation of sodium silicate in the presence of cationic or amphoteric surfactant as template. The silica prepared and their ordered mesostructures were characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), atomic force microscopy (AFM), and nitrogen sorption analysis. The result indicate that the preparation of mesoporous silica were successful. The sample of higher surface area was used as adsorbent for the removal of nonylphenol ethoxylates (NPE) surfactant. The adsorption experiments were carried out to measure the adsorption as a function of contact time, adsorbent dose, and temperature in the range (298 - 328 K). The adsorption isotherm was constructed and fitted with the Langmuir and Freundlich models. The adsorption kinetic of NPE on MPS matched well with Pseudo-second-order and the values of thermodynamic parameters indicate that the adsorption process was spontaneous and endothermic in nature.
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1. Introduction

Mesoporous silica has been adopted by IUPAC to define porous materials with pore sizes between 2 and 50 nm[1]. Ordered mesoporous silica has unique properties, including good monodispersity, with extremely high surface areas, large pore volume, controlled pore size, high mechanical and thermal stability [2]. Therefore, the mesoporous silica have received much attention for their practical applications such as: Drug delivery systems [3], Catalysis [4], Diagnostics [5], Chromatography [6], and Adsorbents [7].

Porous silica was synthesized via the sol-gel method using clay obtained from Nigeria and compared with silica synthesized under similar conditions from sodium metasilicate (Na₂SiO₃) which was obtained commercially [8]. The analytical results showed that the vibrational modes and diffraction patterns of the silica derived from commercial Na₂SiO₃ and that prepared from clay were similar containing pure amorphous SiO₂. Hatem M.A. [9] study the effect of a number of previously untested conditions: acid type (HCl, HNO₃, and H₂SO₄), silica precursor type (Tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS) and Tetraethyldisilicate (TEDOS), and surfactant type (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide CTAB, Tween 20, and Tween 80) on the shape and structure of products formed. TBOS-CTAB-HCl was the typical combination to produce fibers with high order in the interfacial region. The use of other acids (HNO₃ and H₂SO₄), a less hydrophobic silica source (TEOS), and/or a neutral surfactant (Tweens) facilitate diffusion and homogenous supply of silica source into the bulk phase and give spheres and gyroids with low mesoporous order.

Characterization of synthesized and functionalized ordered mesoporous silica was performed and the silica with a large surface area was used to synthesize cobalt nanoparticles [10]. Investigation by SEM and TEM showed hexagonal particles, with a pore size about 10 nm. The functionalization of the silica was studied by FTIR and TGDTA techniques and the obtained nanoparticles were characterized by XRD, TEM and EDX analysis. A new dual soft-template system [11] comprising the asymmetric triblock copolymer poly (styrene-b-2-vinyl pyridine-b-ethylene oxide) (PS-b-P2VP-b-PEO) and the cationic surfactant cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) is used to synthesize mesoporous silica nanoparticles with a center void of around 17 nm.

Mesoporous SBA-15 bifunctionalized with cetyl and amino groups (cetyl/amino-SBA-15) was successfully prepared by a post-synthesis grafting method [12]. The adsorption capacity of cetyl/amino-SBA-15 was much higher than the arithmetic sum of those of cetyl-SBA-15 and amino-SBA-15 due to the cooperative effect of hydrogen bonding/electrostatic interaction. CH₃-functionalized mesoporous silica with nearly spherical morphology was synthesized by co-condensation of two different silica precursors in the presence of triblock copolymer P123 as template [13]. XRD, N₂ adsorption–desorption, SEM and ²⁹Si MAS NMR were used to identify its highly-ordered mesopore array structure. It showed the higher adsorption performance when they were used as adsorbents to remove organic pollutant nonylphenol at a very low concentration from aqueous solution. Porous resins as adsorbents for removal of three compounds, phenol, bisphenol A and nonylphenol ethoxylates from aqueous solutions have been evaluated [14]. The adsorption isotherms were well fitted by the Langmuir equation. Adsorption of NPEO₁₀ to polymeric adsorbents was dominated by pore size and molecular morphology, even on the aminated polymeric adsorbents (MN-100, MN-150). The results from thermodynamic analysis show that the adsorption of BPA and NPEO₁₀ by
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polymeric adsorbents was thermodynamically favorable and generally endothermic whereas the adsorption process of phenol was exothermic.

In this work sol-gel method was used to prepare mesoporous silica by using inorganic source of silica sodium silicate and cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) and Cocamidopropyl betaine as templates. The mesoporous silica can be used in the adsorption of surfactant due to porous structure and textual properties which allow easier diffusion of a large molecule into active sites, so that, the present work include also the adsorptive properties of the prepared mesoporous silica for removal of nonionic surfactants Nonylphenol ethoxylates (NPE).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Sodium silicate was used as a silica source purchased from local market (14% NaOH, 27% SiO₂). The surfactant cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) (99% SCRC-china), Cocamidopropyl betaine (CAPB) (32% state company of plant oils - ministry of industry and minerals) were used as template. The acid used is nitric acid (69% ACS, Reag). The adsorbate used is Nonylphenol ethoxylates (NPE) as nonionic surfactants and is a category of alkylphenol ethoxylates (99.7%, molar mass 660 g mol⁻¹).

2.2. Instruments

There are many techniques have been used in the characterization of the prepared silica and adsorption study; these are as following:

- The IR spectra of silica samples were recorded over the range of 4000-400 cm⁻¹ by means of Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) , using FTIR spectrometer SHIMADZU.
- The surface area, pore size, and pore volume were determined from nitrogen adsorption - desorption isotherms which were measured at 77 K using a Micromeritics ASAP2020 V3.04G analyzer (micrometrics, Inc, USA).
- The particle size and particle size distributions were analyzed using Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) SPM-AA 3000, Advanced Angstrem Inc., USA.
- X-ray diffraction (XRD): SHIMADZU (XRD-6000).
- UV-Visible spectrophotometer (SHIMADZU (uv-18000)).

2.3 Synthesis

Three samples of mesoporous silica were prepared; the first (Z) was synthesized using sodium silicate as silica precursor and CTAB surfactant as template in the ratio 1:0.5. The procedure used is [15]: 3.75 g of cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) was dissolve in 150 ml of distilled water, and put in around bottom flask with 34 ml (1M) HNO₃ with stirring for 1 hour. In 250 ml beaker 7.5 g of sodium silicate was dissolve in 150 ml of distilled water and was added to the mixture drop by drop from burette for 3 hours. The white precipitate formed spontaneously and then it was recovered by filtration, washed, after aging for one day at 35°C. The surfactant was removed by calcination at 600°C for 4 hours.

The second and third (X and Y) was synthesized using sodium silicate as silica precursor and CAPB surfactant as template in the ratio 1:1 and 1:0.5 respectively. The procedure used is modified from that initially developed by Kosuge and other [16]: 7.5g of cocamidopropyl betaine was dissolve in 150 ml of distilled water. In another 250 ml beaker 7.5 g of sodium silicate was dissolve in 150 ml of distilled water and was added to the cocamidopropyl betaine in around bottom flask with stirring for 1 hour. Finally 35 ml of (1M) HNO₃ was added from burette to the mixture drop wise for 3 hours until the pH reach to (7). The white precipitate formed spontaneously and then it was recovered by filtration, washed, after aging for one day at 35°C. The surfactant was removed by calcination at 600°C for 4 hours.

2.4 Adsorption Study

The sample choices as adsorbent for adsorption study is sample Z and the adsorbate is NPE surfactant. Adsorption experiments were carried out in 100ml conical flasks immersed in a thermostatic shaker bath. 0.2g of mesoporous silica sample was mixed with 50ml of the aqueous solutions of various initial concentrations (2-50ppm) of Nonylphenol ethoxylates (NPEs). The flasks with their contents were shaken for different adsorption times at the temperatures 298, 308, 318, and 328. At the end of adsorption interval; the supernatant was centrifuged for 10 min. The concentration of NPE in the supernatant solution before and after the adsorption was measured by means of Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) using FTIR spectrometer SHIMADZU.

The band at 472 cm⁻¹ is assigned to bending vibration of adsorbed water. The strong band at 1635 cm⁻¹ corresponds to Si-OH stretching vibrations of the surface silanols. The band at 472 cm⁻¹ is assigned to Si-O-Si vibrations (SiO₂tetrahedron vibration). The band at 1365 cm⁻¹ is assigned to bending vibration of adsorbed water. The occurrence of absorption bands from the vibrations of the Si–O–Si, Si–O bond, and O–H bond in the infrared spectrum indicates that the sample must be SiO₂. The bands at 2924-
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2854 cm\(^{-1}\) can be assigned to a stretching vibration of the C-H group. In Figure (2) there is no evidence of bands related to vibration of C-H, which shows that the organic template in mesoporous silica is eliminated by calcination. Therefore, the composition of the synthesized materials must be SiO\(_2\) according to the above-mentioned analysis of the infrared spectrum [17]-[18].

\[ \text{infrared spectrum} \]

Figure 1: The infrared spectrum of sample (z) before calcinations

Figure 2: The infrared spectrum of sample (Z) after calcinations

XRD is commonly used to identify the crystallographic symmetry of material phases at both the nano- and meso-scale. X-ray diffraction patterns in the range of 1-10 of synthesized samples X, Y, Z are shown in Figures (3-5) respectively. The products show a single, well-resolved, strong diffraction peak at \( 2\theta = 1.9005 \) for X, Y samples and \( 2\theta = 1.9340 \) for Z sample which shows a high degree of ordering of the porous structure. This is attributed to the typical diffraction peak of mesoporous SiO\(_2\) [11]. Using the related data and Scherer equation (\( D = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{B \cos \theta} \)), where \( \lambda \) is wavelength of x-ray (Å), \( B \) is FWHM (radian) and \( \theta \) is position (radian), the average size of silica particles were calculated and the results show that the size of particles of three samples prepared X, Y, and Z are 69, 57, and 33.59 nm respectively.

Figures (6-8) show the roughness of the surface for the samples investigated using atomic force microscopy (AFM). We show that the diameter of the particles are in the ranges 65-110 nm, 45-100nm, 60-110 nm, while the average diameter are 82.18 nm, 76.48 nm, and 77.75 nm for the X, Y, and Z samples respectively. It is reasonable to assume that a high regularity of the surface objects reflects real surface organization characteristic of MPS particles [19].
The N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherm for Z sample (Fig. 9) shows that it can be considered type IV according to the common classification of adsorption isotherms. The hysteresis loops observed are typical for mesoporous materials and resemble the loops of type H1 according to the IUPAC
classification which mean that the adsorbent has a regular cylindrical pore without intersecting channels [20]. In addition, the PZD result (Fig. 10) confirm the presence of mesoporosity in the samples prepared and have a narrow pore size distribution (from 2 to 50 nm).

3.2. Adsorption behavior

3.2.1. Effect of adsorbent dose
The experiment was conducted for 40 mg/L concentration of solution with different amounts (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 g) of MPS adsorbents for 50 ml of surfactant NPE, the maximum surfactant removal was achieved within 90 minute. Figure (12) shows the variation of percentage removal (R %) with the amount of adsorbent. The result of Figure (12) show that the 0.2 g dose give the best result with R% equal to 72.25, so all the experiments performed with 0.2 gm amount of MPS adsorbent.

![Figure 12: Effect of adsorbent dose on removal of NPE](image)

3.2.2. The effect of equilibrium time

The effect of contact time on the adsorption of NPE on MPS is illustrated in Figure (13) which shows the gradual increase in adsorption with increasing contact time up to 90 minutes in which a maximum value of adsorption is attained, so all the experiments of adsorption were done at this equilibrium time.

![Figure 13: Effect of contact time on removal of NPE](image)

3.2.3. Adsorption Isotherm
The adsorption isotherm was constructed using 50 ml of NPE at ten different surfactant concentrations (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50 mg/l) with 0.2 g of MPS at 298, 308, 318, and 328 K. The amount of surfactant adsorbed was determined by the equation (1), and the results obtained were listed in Table (1) and given in Figure (14).

![Figure 14: Adsorption isotherm of NPE on MPS at 298, 308, 318, and 328 K.](image)

### Table 1: The values of $C_e$ and $Q_e$ for the adsorption of NPE at different temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$C_0$ (mg/L)</th>
<th>$C_e$ (mg/L) 298 K</th>
<th>$Q_e$ (mg/g) 298 K</th>
<th>$C_e$ (mg/L) 308 K</th>
<th>$Q_e$ (mg/g) 308 K</th>
<th>$C_e$ (mg/L) 318 K</th>
<th>$Q_e$ (mg/g) 318 K</th>
<th>$C_e$ (mg/L) 328 K</th>
<th>$Q_e$ (mg/g) 328 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>11.26</td>
<td>0.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>19.11</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>18.01</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>21.83</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>23.01</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>28.32</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown in Figure (14) the adsorption isotherm of NPE on MPS was nonlinear and typical S-shape curves. In order to investigate the adsorption isotherm, two isotherms were analyzed: Langmuir and Freundlich. Langmuir represented the following equation [21]:

\[
\frac{1}{q_c} = \frac{1}{Q_o} + \frac{1}{Q_o K_L C_c}
\]

(3)

Where, \( K_L \) is Langmuir isotherm constant (L/mg), and \( Q_o \) is maximum monolayer coverage capacity (mg/g). The linear plots of Langmuir isotherm are shown in Figure (15).

The empirical equation proposed by Freundlich [22] is:

\[
\log q_e = \log K_f + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e
\]

(4)

Where, \( K_f \) is Freundlich isotherm constant (mg/g), and \( 1/n \) is dimensionless constant related to the intensity of adsorption, or the heterogeneity factor describes reversible adsorption and is not restricted to the formation of the monolayer. The linear plots of Freundlich isotherm are shown in Figure (16). The parameters obtained from the two models are listed in Table (2).

Figure 15: The Linear plots of Langmuir models for adsorption of NPE on MPS at different temperatures.

Figure 16: The Linear plot of Freundlich models for adsorption of NPE on MPS at different temperatures.
Table 2: Langmuir and Freundlich parameters for adsorption on MPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>model</th>
<th>Temp.K</th>
<th>298</th>
<th>308</th>
<th>318</th>
<th>328</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freundlich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>1.0009</td>
<td>1.3058</td>
<td>1.3058</td>
<td>1.1188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.9463</td>
<td>0.9476</td>
<td>0.9128</td>
<td>0.8894</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir Parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qₑ</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>17.035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.4196</td>
<td>0.3219</td>
<td>0.0307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen from Table (2), that the correlation coefficient (R²) values of Freundlich model are higher than those of Langmuir model, which indicate that the isotherm of the adsorption of NPE surfactants on sample (Z) are best fitted by Freundlich equation and this model may be more appropriate for description of adsorption process. The values of the Freundlich constant (1<n<10) is an indication that MPS has a high affinity for NPE molecules, and high adsorption intensity.

3.2.4. Kinetics Study

The most widely used kinetic models, Lagergren-first-order equation can be expressed as follows [23]:

\[
\ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln(q_e) - (k1/2.303) t
\]

(5)

Where k₁ is the rate constant of the pseudo-first-order kinetics (min⁻¹). qₑ and qₜ are the amounts of NPE adsorbed on the surface of the adsorbent at equilibrium and at any time (mg g⁻¹) respectively. The qₑ and k₁ are calculated from the intercept and the slope of plots of log (qₑ - qₜ) vs t [Fig (17)], respectively, and the result obtained are given in Table (4).

Pseudo-second-order equation model is given as follows [24]:

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2q_e^2} + \left(\frac{1}{q_e}\right) t
\]

(6)

Where k₂ is the rate constant of the pseudo-second order kinetics (g mg⁻¹ min⁻¹). k₂ and qₑ are calculated from the intercept and the slope of plots of t/qₜ against t, Fig (14), respectively.

Intra-particle diffusion model of Weber and Morris [25] can be expressed as follow:

\[
q_t = k_d t^{1/2} + C
\]

(7)

Where, kₙ is intra-particle diffusion rate constant (mg g⁻¹ min⁻¹/2), and C is intercept. This model reflects that pore diffusion occurs due to the porous nature of adsorbent. The rate constant of the intra-particle diffusion (kₙ) can be estimated from the slope of the linear plot of the amount of solute adsorbed (qₑ) against square root of time (t⁻¹/2), Fig (18). These models have been used to research the adsorption kinetic behavior of NPE (40 mg/L) onto MPS. The best fit model was selected based on the linear regression correlation coefficient values (R²). The results of such plots are tabulated in Table (3).

Table 3: Kinetic parameters for adsorption of NPE on MPS at 298 K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>model</th>
<th>parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo first order</td>
<td>qₑ</td>
<td>0.8138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K₁</td>
<td>0.0585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.9534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo second order</td>
<td>qₑ</td>
<td>7.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K₂</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion model</td>
<td>kₙ</td>
<td>0.0453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From results in Table (3) the correlation coefficient (R²) values obtained from pseudo-second order kinetic model was higher than that of pseudo first-order, these indicate that the adsorption perfectly complies with pseudo-second order model. Also, it can be seen from the plot of intra-particle
diffusion model, the adsorption was controlled by two stages. The first linear portion was a gradual adsorption where intraparticle diffusion was the rate determining factor. The second linear portion was the equilibrium stage due to low concentration of surfactant in the solution phase. As can be seen in the plot, the intraparticle diffusion was not the rate determining factor as the first linear portion of the plot did not pass through the origin [26]–[27].

4. Conclusions

From the foregoing results, the following conclusions can be indicating:

1) MPS were prepared by sol-gel process and characterized by FT-IR, AFM, RDX and N2 adsorption-desorption analysis. The analysis of N2 sorption shows that the maximum surface area (54.0398 m2/g) is obtained for Z sample.

2) The results of AFM, XRD, and PZD analysis confirm the presence of mesoporosity in the samples prepared and have a narrow pore size distribution (from 2to 50 nm).

3) The adsorption isotherm for NPE adsorption on NPE are well fitted with Freundlich model and shows favorable adsorption.

4) The adsorption kinetics of adsorption process is well described by a pseudo-second order kinetic model and the intraparticle diffusion model suggests that the adsorption process proceeds by surface sorption and intraparticle diffusion.
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