



professionals working with children and their families to identify maltreatment and high-risk situations.<sup>5</sup>

## 2. Materials and Methods

A retrospective review was conducted among 50 hospitalized children less than 18 years of age, and were admitted to the Burns Centre of a tertiary hospital with burns. Basic demographic data, clinical details, mechanism of injury, and outcome were collected on preformed proforma a checklist for hospitalized children. The check list included two sections:

Section- A includes background data such as the ward, code, diagnosis, hospital number, age, weight, height, type of injury, both Burn (area, pattern, depth, size, associated illness or injury) and other injury. History of injury, any past history, family history and any other children in the family affected with similar injuries. Location of injuries, place where injury occurred, frequency of injury, the time at which injury occurred and admission, people who brought the child to hospital were also noted.

Section-B includes subcategories like Physical Abuse with Physical Indicators: Unexplained bruises, welts, bite marks, lacerations (cuts) or abrasions (scrapes), burns, fractures and other injuries. Behavioral / Emotional abuse: Frightened /unusual fearfulness/ no interest to answer questions /history of running away /unwilling for examination, reports injury by others (specify), alcohol or drug abuse, failure to thrive, habit disorder like thumb sucking or biting /sleeplessness/ speech disorder /learning disorder/ outbursts of rage / delinquency (e.g. thefts) and attempted suicide. Sexual abuse: Complain of pain, swelling, or itching in the genital area, bruises, bleeding or lacerations in external genitalia, or anal, oral areas, report of sexual assault –rape /sexually transmitted infection (s) in a child or young adolescent /Recurrent UTI, report of fondling /exhibitionism /showing dirty pictures / kissing etc. Parent related indicators: inconsistent explanation on occurrence of and incompatible with injury. Reported mechanism of injury inappropriate to the child’s motor development, unexplained delay in admitting /First aid not given immediately after the occurrence of injury,

constantly blaming the child for injuries/ not responding appropriately to child’s pain, child is taken to different physicians or hospital for each injury / child is frequently brought into medical care with symptoms suggestive of parentally induced or fabricated illness, trying to isolate the child from others, injury attributed to siblings, possibility of non-accidental injury as per history/examination. Scoring was given arbitrarily as mild, moderate and severe form of abuse. Administrative permission and institutional ethical committee clearance was obtained prior to the conduction of the study. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistical method which includes frequency and percentage calculation.

## 3. Results

Records reviewed and analyzed show the following information. Twenty five children (50 %) were below 5 years and 25 (50%) of children were females. Of the 50 children, 47 (94%) had thermal burns, 3 (6%) came with electrical burns (table 1).Under thermal injury the cause was mainly scald injury, hot liquid / oil poured on the child in 20 cases, in that most of the cases i.e. 18 occurred among children below 5 years of age and injury due to flame was found in children above ten years of age (16 cases).Parental neglect leading to accident was the common form of abuse observed in 30 (60 %) and most of this (23) occurred among children below 5 years of age. Accidental injury was found in 14that is in 28% of the cases. Most of the accidental injury occurred (11) among children between 10 to 18 years of age. Maximum number (84%) of the injuries occurred at home. Burn extent ranged between 1 and 94 % T BSA. Burn extent in children between 10 to 18 years mean, 30.44%; range 2 to 94%, was higher than children below 5 years (mean,24.88%; range, 2 to 85 %) and children between age children 5 -10 years (mean, 15.5%; range, 1 to 32% )(Table 3).With regard to the outcome of injury 37 (74%) of children were improved after treatment, in that most of them that is 17 were below 5 years of age and 10 children died due to complications and severity of burn injuries. Four percent children were referred to clinical psychology for counseling (Table 2).

**Table 1:** Background Characteristics age, gender, type of burn injury& place of occurrence of injury among children (n=50)

Age	Frequency (%)	Gender		Type of burn injury		Cause of injury			Place of occurrence	
		Male	Female	Thermal	Electrical	Scald, hot liquid, oil	Flame	Electrical	Home	outside
<5	25 (50%)	13	12	25	-	18	7	-	23	2
5-10	7 (14%)	5	2	6	1	2	4	1	7	-
10-18	18(36%)	7	11	16	2	-	16	2	12	6
Total	50	25	25	47	3	20	27	3	42	8

**Table 2:** Form of abuse and outcome with age of children

Age	Frequency (%)	Form of abuse			Outcome			Counseling done
		Parental neglect leading to accident	Behavioral	Accidental injury	Improved	Discharged on request	Expired	
<5	25 (57.1%)	23	-	2	17	3	5	-
5-10	7 (8.57%)	5	1	1	7	-	-	-
10-18	18(34.28%)	2	5	11	13	-	5	2
Total	50	30	6	14	37	3	10	2

**Table 3:** Percentage of body surface area burned, age and outcome of children hospitalized in burns unit. (n=50)

Percentage of body surface area burned	Age in years		
	<5	5-10	10-18
	Frequency (%BSA burned)	Frequency (%BSA burned)	Frequency (%BSA burned)
<10	3 (7%, 2%, 3%)	2 (1%, 5%)	3 (2%, 7.5%, 9%)
10-20	10(15%, 19%, 19%, 10.5%, 13%, 13%, 14%, 15%, 18%, 12%)	2 (13%, 14%)	6(16%,19%,18%,15%,18.5%,13%,)
20-30	5 (25%,29%, 28%, 25%, 22.5%,)	2 (21.5%, 22%)	3 (23%,29%, 27% )
30-40	4 (39%,31%, 34%, 37% )	1 (32% )	1 (32% )
40-50	-	-	1 (42% )
50-60	2 (52%, 54% )	-	1 (54% )
60-70	-	-	2 (66%, 63% )
70-80	-	-	
80-90	1 (85% )	-	
90-100	-	-	1 (94% )
Mean percentage of BSA	25(Mean,24.88%; Range, 2 to 85 %)	7(Mean, 15.5% ; Range, 1 to 32% )	18 (Mean, 30.44% ; Range, 2 to 94%)

#### 4. Discussion

The retrospective survey indicated that, most of the children 25(50%) were below 5 years of age and 18 (36%) of children were 10-18 years of age. Fifty percent of children were females. Of the 50 children, 47 (94%) had thermal burns, 3 (6%) had electrical burns. The findings are supported by a retrospective study done by Kumar P et al. on incidence, severity, extent, causes, risk factors and overall mortality, it shows that that 76.1% of the patients were <5 years of age whereas 23.9% of patients were between 6 to 10 years of age females were affected more than males (74.1 vs. 25.9%)<sup>13</sup>.

The present study findings are in par with the study findings by Hansbrough JF, Hansbrough W. 1999, that shows that the incidence of burns in various age groups has a bimodal distribution with children 0-4 years accounting for approximately half the number of burn accidents and the number rising again as adolescents sustain activity and work-related injuries<sup>14</sup>.

The most common cause of thermal injury in children was scalding. It accounted for 42% of the total number of children treated. In children under 4 years old scalds caused 75% of all burn injuries, most in the kitchen<sup>1, 15, 16</sup>.

Scald (72.5%) followed by fame (22.7%) and electrical burn (3.2%) were most common cause of burn injuries found by another literature.<sup>13</sup>

Scald injury, injury due to hot liquid / oil poured on the child was found in 20 cases, in that most of the cases ie 18 occurred among children below 5 years of age and injury due to flame was found in children above ten years of age (16 cases). As literature shows scald (72.5%) followed by fame (22.7%) and electrical burn (3.2%) were most common cause of burn injuries<sup>13</sup>. Burns and scalds are amongst the commonest causes of fatal child abuse and are one of the most painful injuries a child can sustain. They can cause long-term scarring, as well as physical and psychological disabilities<sup>17, 18</sup>.

In this study most of the injuries, 84% occurred at home which was supported by finding from AgranPF, et al 2003<sup>19</sup>. Parental neglect leading to accident was the common form of abuse seen (68.57%) and accidental injury was found in 7 cases (20%) as reported<sup>11</sup>.

The main cause of the burn trauma among children less than 5 years were the parents negligence, mainly that of the mothers, who is with children most of the time than fathers. In this study parental neglect leading to accident

was 30 (60%) and accidental injury was 14 (28%). Definitions for neglect have been used such as, 'an omission on the part of the parent(s) or designated caretaker to take minimal precautions for the proper supervision of the child's health or welfare'<sup>20</sup>, or "failure of the caretaker to protect the child from injury, representing an act of omission" as opposed to abuse which is defined as "burn injury inflicted as an act of commission"<sup>11</sup>. Parents' education programmes should be considered as very important measure to prevent burn injury and its consequences. Importance of proper care must be strongly emphasized to them in order to prevent it further.

A study by Chester DL, on non-accidental burns revealed that of 440 hospitalised paediatric burns patients 41 cases of neglect (9.3%) and 395 cases of accidental burning (89.8%).<sup>2</sup> A study on frequency of suspected abuse/neglect in pediatric patients with burns presenting to an emergency department revealed that during a 12-month period, 431 patients were evaluated. Eighty-four (19.5%) were suspected of being abused or neglected. Fifty-eight (69%) of the suspected abused/neglected patients were diagnosed based on the history and/or physical examination<sup>21</sup>. Most of the children received burn injuries in the range of 0 to 20% TBSA (63.1%). Overall paediatric burn mortality was 7.4%.<sup>10</sup> In this study Burn extent ranged between 1 and 94 % TBSA. Burn extent in children between 10 to 18 years mean, 30.44%; range 2 to 94%, was higher than children below 5 years (mean, 24.88%; range, 2 to 85 %) and children between age children 5 -10 years (mean, 15.5%; range, 1 to 32% ). Overall paediatric burn mortality was 20 %.

Child abuse is a complex problem that requires immediate intervention to protect the child from further harm<sup>22</sup>. A support-group structure is needed to reinforce parenting skills and closely monitor the child's well-being and evaluate the progress of the child and his/her caretaking situation. Healthcare personnel's support, monitoring, and counselling are useful ways to help families take adequate care of their children. At times, referrals to other professionals and agencies are necessary; helping a family obtain appropriate services is another valuable role that health care professional's play<sup>23</sup>. In the present study, 4% were referred to clinical psychology for counselling.

Burns in all age groups and of all etiologies are preventable traumas. When burn trauma occurs in children, it not only the child and family that is hurt but also society, owing to the increased need of resources to pay for hospital admission and to the high mortality rate.

## 5. Conclusion

Among children who were victim of neglect and abuse, majority (50%) were below 5 year age. Parental neglect leading to accident was commonest cause, 30 (60%) of burns in children followed by accidental injury, 14 (28%). Most of the injuries, 84% occurred at home. Four percent of children were referred to clinical psychology for counselling. Looking at the increasing incidence, it is

necessary to develop a protocol/method to report about abuses and also to maintain one's safety tactics in life management as a child and also as an individual to live without physical, psychological and emotional trauma. Lack of empirical evidence and qualitative information on the dimensions of child abuse and neglect makes it difficult to address the issue in a comprehensive manner, so the investigators feel it is an important area to be explored further.

## References

- [1] Toon MH, Maybauer DM, Arceneaux LL, Fraser JF, Meyer W, Runge A, Maybauer MO. Children with burn injuries--assessment of trauma, neglect, violence and abuse. *J Inj Violence Res.* 2011 Jul;3(2):98-110. doi: 10.5249/jivr.v3i2.91.
- [2] Chester DL, Jose RM, Aldlyami E, King H, Moimen NS. Non accidental burns in children—are we neglecting neglect? *Burns* 2006;32(2):222–8.
- [3] Deitch, E. A. MD; Staats, M. RN, MS Child Abuse Through Burning *Journal of Burn Care & Rehabilitation: March/April 1982.*
- [4] World Health Organization 2002. [http://www.who.int/violence\\_injury\\_prevention](http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention)
- [5] Child Abuse: India 2007. Ministry of Women and Child Development. Government of India. 2007 Available from <http://wcd.nic.in/childabuse.pdf>.
- [6] Renz BM, Sherman R. Child abuse by scalding. *J Med Assoc Ga.* 1992 Oct;81(10):574-8.
- [7] National Scientific Council on the Developing Child. Excessive stress disrupts the architecture of the developing brain, Working Paper No. 3 [online]. 2005 [cited 2008 Feb 20]. Available from [www.developingchild.net](http://www.developingchild.net)
- [8] Ruth G.D., Smith S., Bronson M., Davis A.T., Wilcox R.M. Outcomes related to burn-related child abuse: A case series. *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 2003;24:318–321.
- [9] Peck MD, Priolo-Kapel D. Child abuse by burning: a review of the literature and an algorithm for medical investigations. *J Trauma.* 2002 Nov;53(5):1013-22.
- [10] Maguire S, Moynihan S, Mann M, Potokar T, Kemp AM. A systematic review of the features that indicate intentional scalds in children. *Burns.* 2008 Dec;34(8):1072-81.
- [11] Hultman CS, Priolo D, Cairns BA, Grant EJ, Peterson HD, Meyer AA. Return to jeopardy: the fate of pediatric burn patients who are victims of abuse and neglect. *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 1998;19:367–76
- [12] Child Abuse. [www.onhealth.com/child\\_abuse/page2.htm](http://www.onhealth.com/child_abuse/page2.htm). by Dr. John Mersch - Dec 17, 2013.
- [13] Pramod Kumar, Paul Thomas Chirayil, Ravi Chittoria. Ten years epidemiological study of paediatric burns in Manipal, India. *Burns* 26 (2000) 261±264.
- [14] Hansbrough JF, Hansbrough W. Pediatric burns. *Pediatr Rev.* 1999 Apr;20(4):117-23
- [15] Jay KM, Bartlett RH, Danet R, Allyn PA. Burn epidemiology: a basis for burn prevention. *J Trauma.* 1977 Dec;17(12):943-7.
- [16] Spinks A1, Wasiak J, Cleland H, Beben N, Macpherson akten-year epidemiological study of

- pediatric burns in Canada. *J Burn Care Res.* 2008 May-Jun;29(3):482-8.
- [17] Zaloga WF, Collins KA. Pediatric homicides related to burn injury: a retrospective review at the Medical University of South Carolina. *J Forensic Sci* 2006;51(2):396-9.
- [18] Ayoub C, Pfeifer D. Burns as a manifestation of child abuse and neglect. *Am J Dis Child* 1979;133:910-4.
- [19] Agran PF, Anderson C, Winn D, Trent R, Walton-Haynes L, Thayer S. Rates of pediatric injuries by 3-month intervals for children 0 to 3 years of age. *Pediatrics.* 2003 Jun;111(6):683-92.
- [20] Friedman SB, Morse CW. Child abuse: a 5-year follow-up of early case finding in the emergency department. *Pediatrics* 1974;54:404-10.
- [21] Rosenberg, Norman M. DO; Marino, Debbie RN. Frequency of suspected abuse/neglect in burn patients Pediatric Emergency Care. December 1989. Volume 5 - Issue 4
- [22] Gothard Tw, Runyan Dk, Hadler JL. The Diagnosis and evaluation of child maltreatment. *J Emerg Med.* 1985; 3:181-194.
- [23] Block RW, Krebs NF. Pediatrics. Failure to thrive as a manifestation of child neglect. 2005 Nov;116(5):1234-7.