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Abstract: A field experiment was conducted at Gazala Farm to study the effect of some biofertilizer; power max, citreen and Ectavol on the yield and some biochemical constituents in wheat grains. These results can be summarized as following; All treatments increased the grain yield of both varieties; Gimmaz-11 and sides-12; but using Ectavol treatment is more effective compared with control. The foliar application of power max treatments gave the highest value of total nitrogen in wheat grains. The highest increase of disaccharides (maltose and sucrose) resulted by citreen and Ectavol treatments. All treatment has a little decreasing effect on water adsorption dough development and stability time of flour wheat grains. Phosphorus, potassium, calcium and magnesium were increased by foliar application of some biofertilizers. The highest increase of these elements resulted by Ectavol treatment.
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1. Introduction

Wheat is the most important cereal crop in Egypt, because of increasing human demand food attempts are made to cultivate more area with high yielding varieties in addition to using the recommended cultural practices to maximize the wheat productivity to meet the national needs. Biofertilizer are needed small quantities for normal plant growth and development and to proceed biological processes such as photosynthesis, respiration, synthesis of chlorophyll and stimulation of many enzymes. Inoculation wheat seeds with biofertilizer significantly increased the grain yield, 1000 grain weight rather than non-biofertilizer treatment [1]. Inoculation with any biofertilizer leads to considerable improvement in wheat grain and straw yield as compared with their respective control [2]. However response differed according to the type of biofertilizer. Its found that the complete foliar biofertilizer compound, Dogoplus caused significant stimulatory effect on growth parameters, in addition foliar spraying with 0.9% Dogoplus concentration gave the highest values chemical contents in yield and its components when compared with control [3]. Biofertilization treatments with and without four mineral significantly increased yield, protein content and Npk uptake of wheat grains. Also, bacteria inoculation significantly increased 1000 grain weight, grain yield, protein and Npk uptake compared with control [4]. On the other hand, It found that a combination of organic and biofertilizer treatments increased chlorophyll, growth, carbohydrates and protein content compared with control[5]. The combinations of farmyard manure, vermicomposting and biofertilizer resulted in the highest increased growth yield of wheat over the control [6]. The presence of organic compost and biofertilizer positively affect wheat yield and its component [7]. Biofertilizer gave the highest values of biological yield, 1000 grain weight as well as grain and straw yield. Mineral nitrogen fertilization and biofertilizer inoculation on grain yield and protein content of wheat, who found that the yield and protein content of grains had a strong association with nitrogen fertilization and biofertilizer [8]. It is found that the wheat grains content from total sugars, total free amino acids, protein and total indols were increased due to the biofertilization treatments in both wheat cultivars [9]. In the present work an attempt is made to study the effect of foliar biofertilizer (power max, citrine and Ectavol) on yield, chemical contents of wheat grains and physical properties of the flour.

2. Materials and Methods

The field Experiment was conducted in the Agronomy farm of Ghazala, Zagazig, sharkia Governorate 2014. A complete randomized block design was applied. Each treatment was replicated three times. The area of each plot was 3x2.5 m (11600feddan). Three varieties of wheat were used in this investigation; Gimmaza-10, Gimmaza-11 and sides-12, three foliar biofertilizers besides control were applied as follow: 1) control 2) power max; containing (2% amino acids, 3% riboflavin, 0.3% cytokine, 0.001% Gibberellic acids, 4.5% potassium citrate and 3.5% microelements and inner- ingredient (67.699%) 3) citreen; containing (15% organic acid, 2% iron grapple, 2% zinc grapple, 2% manganese grapple and publisher materials. 4) Ectavol; containing (20% nitrogen, 20% phosphorus, 20% potassium, 140 ppm zine700 ppm ferrous, 420 ppm Manganese, 16 ppm cupper, 140 ppm Molybdenum, 220 ppm Borne. 
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All foliar biofertilizer under investigation were applied at rate one litter in 100 litter water per Fadden. The foliar biofertilizer sprayed after 25 days from sowing data. At full maturity, the yield was determined and samples and stored until chemical analysis

1) Total nitrogen was determined following the kjeldahl methods as recorded in [10].
2) Soluble nitrogen was determined in the same samples according to the method described in [11].
3) Protein was fractionated into albumin, globulins, prolamins and glutelins according to the method described [12].
4) Amino acids composition of seed protein was analyzed after hydrolysis with HCl (6N) according the method in [13].
5) Carbohydrate fractions were determined according to the method in [14].
6) Soluble sugars were determined according to the method in [15].
7) Physical properties of wheat flour were studied by using farinograph dough testing instrument. Farinograph recordings indicated, dough development, dough stability, dough consistency and the breaking down of the gluten, the methods used were those given by [16].
8) Phosphorus was determined in the acid digestion according to the method of Agize et al. (1960) [17].
9) Potassium, calcium and magnesium contents of wheat grains were determined according to the method applied by [18].

3. Results and Discussions

The values representing yield of straw, grains and weight of 1000 grains of wheat are shown in Table(1). The date indicated a significant increase in grain yield of both varieties; Gimmaza-11 or sids-12 due to the applied of Ectavol treatment as compared with other treatments. In addition, it is worthy to mention that the highest grain yield was obtained in sides-12 variety by spraying all treatments. The least value of grain yield was observed in Gimmaza-10 varieties. In this connection, Hussin and Radwan (2001) [19] demonstrated the inoculated wheat seeds with biofertilizer significantly increased grain yield.

The obtained results indicated in Table (1) , show that, the straw yield followed nearly the same trend of grains. The highest straw yield was obtained in Gimmaza-11 varieties by foliar spraying of Ectavol treatments. These results are in full agreement with those obtained by [20-21]. Taking the weight of 1000 grains as parameter of quality, the treatment of Ectavol resulted the highest weight of 1000 grains in Gimmuza-11 variety as compared with control. These results are in agreement with those obtained by [22-23].

Table 1: Effect of biofertilizer on the yield of wheat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varieties</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Yield (k./plot)</th>
<th>Starch Gr/st ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gimmaza 10</td>
<td>1-Control</td>
<td>4.250</td>
<td>4.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>4.688</td>
<td>4.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-Citrine</td>
<td>3.848</td>
<td>3.906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-Ectavol</td>
<td>3.807</td>
<td>3.250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data presented in Table (2) indicated that the foliar application of power max treatments raised the values of total nitrogen in wheat grain of all varieties; Gimmaza-10,Gimmaza-11 and sides-12 as compared with control. Also, it can be observed from the same table, spraying citreenu and Ectavol treatment showed a gradual increase in the soluble nitrogen fractions accompanied by a parallel decrease in the insoluble nitrogen fractions. This change was reflected on the sol. N / insol. N ratio which spraying the same treatments. This trend was observed with all varieties cultivars. Similar results were previously obtained by [24]. Also, from Table (2) indicates that the foliar application of power max treatments decreased the ratio between sol. N/insol. N in wheat grains when compared with other treatments. This mean that the moderate rates of studied biofertilizers initiated nitrogen metabolism such results agree with those obtained by [25-26].

Table 2: Effect of some biofertilizer on the nitrogen fractions on wheat grains (gm/ 100gm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varieties</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Soluble nitrogen</th>
<th>Insoluble nitrogen</th>
<th>Total nitrogen</th>
<th>Sol./ insol ration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gimmaza10</td>
<td>1-control</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>1.624</td>
<td>1.988</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>0.0319</td>
<td>1.745</td>
<td>2.064</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citirne</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>1.604</td>
<td>1.979</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-Ectavol</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>1.616</td>
<td>2.002</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimmaza11</td>
<td>1-control</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>1.806</td>
<td>2.142</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>1.885</td>
<td>2.206</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citirne</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>1.738</td>
<td>2.102</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-Ectavol</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>1.738</td>
<td>2.124</td>
<td>0.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sides 12</td>
<td>1-control</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>1.844</td>
<td>2.191</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>1.895</td>
<td>2.221</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citirne</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>1.831</td>
<td>2.191</td>
<td>0.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-Ectavol</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>1.836</td>
<td>2.208</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data in Table(3) show the results of foliar biofertilizer on the contents of protein fractions; albumins globulins, prolamins and glutelins. The variations in the albumins and globulins did not show clear trends especially in case of Gimmaza-10 varieties. For Gimmaza-11 and sides-12 there were slight increases in albumin accompanied by decreases in globulins fractions. prolamin fractions has shown pronounced increases caused by power max and citrine treatments regardless of the cultivar. The effect of all treatments on glutelins content was clear and common for their studied cultivars. All biofertilizers had caused considerable reductions in the glutelins level. Hence, it can be concluded that, biofertilizer treatments might inhibit some steps in the biosynthesis of gluten fraction.
It can be observed in table(5) that there was a decreasing effect of biofertilizer treatments on the reducing sugars a decrease in the reducing sugars content, because of oligosaccharides. The total soluble sugar content was also compared to the highest amount. In acidic amino acids group, it can be noticed that, aspartic acid and glutamic amino acids were decreased by spraying of Ectavol treatments. Also, from the same Table, the results showed that all treatments decreased the Sulphur amino acids. In addition, the difference in the amino acids in wheat grains as affected by biofertilizer treatments seems to be trivial within each cultivar. Generally, it can be noticed that all treatments improved the contents of some amino acids which are important in some bioprocess. This increase may be due to the increase in amino acyl transfer RNA synthesis and to the increase in content and activity of enzymes. These results are in agreement with those stated by [27], who found that, wheat grains content from total amino acids, protein and total indoles were increased due to the biofertilizer treatments in all wheat cultivar.

It can be observed in table(5) that there was a decreasing effect of biofertilizer treatments on the reducing sugars a decreasing wheat grains met by a parallel increasing effect on the non-reducing sugars. This may lead to a conclusion that biofertilizer might have affected the synthesis of the carbohydrates.

### Table 3: Effect of some biofertilizer on the relative/percentage of protein fractions of wheat grains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varieties</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Albumins</th>
<th>Globulins</th>
<th>Prolamins</th>
<th>Glutelins</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gimmaza10</td>
<td>1-control</td>
<td>15.02</td>
<td>18.66</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>18.40</td>
<td>53.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>17.28</td>
<td>22.44</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>52.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citreen</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>15.78</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>43.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-Ectavol</td>
<td>15.28</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>38.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimma11</td>
<td>1-control</td>
<td>13.46</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>17.52</td>
<td>49.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>16.24</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>10.65</td>
<td>45.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citreen</td>
<td>16.85</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>42.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-Ectavol</td>
<td>17.16</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>36.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sides12</td>
<td>1-control</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>16.45</td>
<td>49.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>16.16</td>
<td>20.85</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>13.82</td>
<td>54.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citreen</td>
<td>16.85</td>
<td>16.82</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>50.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-Ectavol</td>
<td>17.88</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>11.84</td>
<td>45.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Effect of some biofertilizer on the content of amino acids in wheat grains (gm/100gm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varieties</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Alanine</th>
<th>Glutamic Acid</th>
<th>Aspartic Acid</th>
<th>Arginine</th>
<th>Asparagine</th>
<th>Proline</th>
<th>Glycine</th>
<th>Lysine</th>
<th>Tyrosine</th>
<th>Phenylalanine</th>
<th>Histidine</th>
<th>Threonine</th>
<th>Methionine</th>
<th>Cysteine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gimmaza10</td>
<td>1-control</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citreen</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-Ectavol</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citreen</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-Ectavol</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sides12</td>
<td>1-control</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citreen</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-Ectavol</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Effect of some biofertilizer on the content of carbohydrate fractions of wheat grains (gm/100gm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varieties</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>R.S</th>
<th>N.R.S</th>
<th>F.S</th>
<th>Insoluble</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gimmaza10</td>
<td>1-Control</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>58.65</td>
<td>61.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-control</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>57.28</td>
<td>60.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-control</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>55.94</td>
<td>59.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-control</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>54.65</td>
<td>58.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 6: Effect of some biofertilizer on the percentage of free sugars in wheat grains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varieties</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Monosaccharides Glucose</th>
<th>Fructose</th>
<th>Disaccharides Maltose</th>
<th>Sucrose</th>
<th>Total Mon / Di Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gimmaza10</td>
<td>1-Contrl</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>1.110</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>1.140</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citrine</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>1.160</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ectavol</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>1.215</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimmaza11</td>
<td>1-Contrl</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>1.120</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>1.235</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citrine</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ectavol</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sides 12</td>
<td>1-Contrl</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citrine</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>1.325</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ectavol</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ferinograph data presented in Table (7) show some Physical
properties namely, the adsorption percentage of dough
consistency, dough development, dough stability and
weaking of the gluten. It can is clear that all treatments have
a little decreasing effect on the water adsorption percentage,
the dough development and the stability time of dough as
compared with control. Also, it can be noticed the power

### Table 7: Effect of some biofertilizer on some physical properties of wheat flour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varieties</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Adsorption%</th>
<th>Time of dough development</th>
<th>Dough of stability time(Min)</th>
<th>Weaking of gluten(B.u)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gimmaza10</td>
<td>1-Contrl</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citrine</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ectavol</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimmaza11</td>
<td>1-Contrl</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citrine</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ectavol</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sides 12</td>
<td>1-Contrl</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citrine</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ectavol</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data in Table (8) show the percentage of P, K, Ca, and Mg in wheat grains. The all minerals percentage of wheat grains were increased by all treatments and the increase was greater in Ectavol treatment than other treatments. These results are in agreement with those obtained by [30].

Table 8: Effect of some biofertilizer on the content of P, K, Ca and Mg of wheat grains.(gm/100gm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varieties</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>phosphorus</th>
<th>potassium</th>
<th>calcium</th>
<th>Magnesium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gimmaza10</td>
<td>1-control</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citrienn</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ectavol</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimmaza11</td>
<td>1-control</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citrienn</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ectavol</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sides 12</td>
<td>1-control</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-power max</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-citrienn</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ectavol</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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