







**Table 2:** Mean-parity of the entire population of Koplik with that of the locals of Mehaj neighborhood

Age groups	Kopliku	Locals
25-29	1.98	1.9
30-34	2.36	2.3
35-39	2.66	2.63
40-44	2.77	2.68
45-49	2.8	2.7
50+	3.77	3.77

Let's analyze by Anova, the differences between the average number of children, born by 5 years old age group, from 25-49 years old.

**Table 3**

ANOVA						
Source of Variation	SS	Df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	0.016133	1	0.016133	0.043173	0.839571	4.964603
Within Groups	3.736933	10	0.373693			
Total	3.753067	11				

The result of Anova, shows that the difference between mean-parity for the entire population and the mean-parity for the locals has no significant statistical difference, which means that there is no difference in the number of the children born by the women of the locals. That makes us think that the population of Koplik and also that of its surroundings may have come in the same time in Malesi e Madhe, from Albanian lands outside the border, mainly Kosovo and Montenegro, they have almost the same customs and traditions and in general the population of this land is an endogamous population, that means closed and its population represents continuously scientific interest.

We reach in the same conclusion, if compare the fertility of main tribes of Kopliku by Anova.

**Table 4:** The fertility according to the age groups, for the main tribes of Koplik

Mosha	Mehi	Bajramaj	Hoti	Ramçaj
25-29	1.7	2	1.78	2
30-34	2.49	2.6	2.64	2.33
35-39	2.52	2.33	2.82	2.25
40-44	2.68	2.69	2.63	2.86
45-49	2.7	2.58	2.64	2.8
50+	3.77	3.65	3.3	3.24

Even from the comparison of the number of children ever borned by women over 50 years old of the entire population of Koplik and locals it's observed that there is not any difference, so also the fertility of the past years represented by CEB 50+ has not any difference between the entire population and the locals of Koplik.

## References

[1] (B.R.Mitchell.European historical statistics, 1750-19751),  
 [2] Jump up ^ National Association for Public Health Statistics and Information Systems (NAPHSIS), "Statistical Measures and Definitions" [retrieved 16 June 2010].  
 [3] ^ Jump up to: <sup>a</sup> <sup>b</sup> Espenshade TJ, Guzman JC, and Westoff CF (2003). "The surprising global variation in replacement fertility". *Population Research and Policy Review* **22** (5/6): 575. doi:10.1023/B:POPU.0000020882.29684.8e., Introduction and Table 1, p. 580

[4] United Nations, *Manual X: Indirect Techniques for Demographic Estimation*. (United Nations publication, Sales No.E.83.XIII.2, New York, 1983).  
**(b) Internet site:**  
<http://www.un.org/esa/population/unpop.htm>  
 [5] **(Jump up ^ Income as a determinant of declining Russian fertility; Trevitt, Jamie; Public Policy; 18-Apr-2006))))) Jump up ^ The Relation of Economic Status to Fertility; Deborah S. Freedman; The American Economic Review, Vol. 53, No. 3 (Jun., 1963), pp. 414–426-**  
 [6] (Jump up ^ UN, *Completing the Fertility Transition* PDF (Jump up ^ UN, *Fertility, Contraception and Population Policies*  
 [7] Jump up ^ **Dyson, T. (2001a). "A partial theory of world development: the neglected role of the demographic transition in the shaping of modern society". *International Journal of Population Geography* 7 (2): 67–90. doi:10.1002/ijpg.215. Jump up ^ Dyson, *On the future of human fertility in India* PDF (Jump up ^ UN, *Various Mongolia – European Community Strategy Paper 2007–2013*)**  
 [8] George W. Barclay, "Rates and Ratios," **Techniques of Population Analysis** (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1958) 16–55. Peter A. Morrison, **Demographic Information for Cities: A Manual for Estimating and Projecting Local Population Characteristics** (Santa Monica, CA: Rand, 1971)  
 [9] Pallant,J.(2007).SPSS survival manual manual,New York.McGraw-Hill Education.