# Activity Concentration Assessment of Natural Radionuclides in Borehole Water and it's Radiological Impact from Akure, Nigeria

# Ibikunle S.B.<sup>1</sup>, Ajayi O.S.<sup>2</sup>, Dada O.R<sup>3</sup>

Department of Physics, Federal University of Technology, P. M. B. 704, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.

Abstract: The systematic study of activity concentration and the distribution of radionuclides in borehole water fromAkure, Ondo State, Nigeria was carried out. A total of 7 samples from 7 different boreholes were collected and analyzed for activity concentrations of natural radionuclides of K-40, Ra-226 and Th-232using a well-calibrated sodium-iodide NaI(Tl) detector. Gamma spectrometry analysis was performed and the mean concentrations obtained for  $^{40}$ K,  $^{226}$ Ra and  $^{232}$ Th were 76.16 ± 18.97 Bq $\Gamma^1$ , 9.51 ± 3.62 Bq $\Gamma^1$  and 8.33 ± 3.79Bq $\Gamma^1$  respectively. The values of other radiological indices estimated also point that the health risk due to radioactivity form borehole wateris higher than the recommended limits by NCRP and the health risk is very high most especially in infants of age between 0 – 1 year. The result in this workcompared favorably with other result from similar studies all over the world.

Keywords: Activity Concentration, Boreholes, Gamma Spectrometry, Effective Dose, Health Risk

## 1. Introduction

Water is an indispensable material in human existence. Man, animals and plants use water for growth and development. Our body contain about 65 % of water, which also is the most abundant in the universe. We take in water through different ways, like drinking and preparation of foods. Many parts of the world get their source of water from either groundwater, deep well, rainwater or boreholes. The quality of water that we take during various ingestion processes determines our state of health, the reason for adequate analysis of water radioactivity level to ascertain its safety in consumption for different age group.

Virtually, all materials and environments on our planet are both radioactive and naturally exposed to ionizing radiation. Ionizing radiation is ambient in the atmosphere because of both primordial radioactive elements and their decay products in the earth (mainly <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>k), and extra-terrestrial cosmic radiation in the soil and rock give us an average dose of up to 60 mrads(UNSCEAR 1982).

Our living accommodations, which utilize these materials in construction, add measurable radioactive amounts to the atmosphere. The annual effective doses from natural and manmade sources for the world's population is currently about 2.8 mSv. Nearly 85% of this dose (2.4 mSv) comes from natural background radiation (UNSCEAR 2000).

In principle, environmental radioactivity involves the measurement of a sample in the laboratory or/and in-situ measurement. The purpose of an environmental measurement program is to characterize the total gamma radiation field within an overall uncertainty of 20%. (Gilmore and Hemingway, 1995)

This work was carried out in order to measure the natural radioactivity in typical borehole water. The data obtained from this work will be useful to estimate the level of exposure to radiation through ingestion of borehole water and risk to man

# 2. Methodology

A total of 7 samples of borehole water were collected from 7 different boreholes inAkure, Nigeria. The samples were collected manually from the taps and stored inside plastic water bottles. First, the faucet was turned on at full speed for several minutes to purge the plumbing system of any water which might have been there for some time. The faucet was then turned down to a low flow rate to reduce turbulence and, thus, reduce Radon loss during the collection directly from the faucet into seventy-five (75) centiliter (cl) plastic bottles.

The water samples were acidified with 11M of HCl at the rate of 10ml per liter of samples as soon as possible, to avoid absorption of radionuclide into the wall of container (IAEA, 1989). Marinelli beaker of 1L volume capacity previously washed, rinsed with a dilute sulphuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and dried to avoid contamination was filled with sample from the container used for sampling. This was later sealed for 30 days to ensure that there is no loss of radon and to achieve equilibrium between the daughter and parent nuclides. The samples were taken to Center for Energy Research and Development, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria, for gamma spectrometry analysis. The gamma analysis was performed on a 76mm X 76mm Sodium Iodide (Thallium doped) NaI(TI) scintillation detector. The output of the detector was connected to a Canberra series 10 plus portable multichannel analyzer (MCA) which recorded the gamma spectra of the water samples as well as background radiation. Calibration of the measuring systems had been carried out using certified reference standards for various radionuclides.

#### **Information and Geology of Location**

Akure is a medium city, averagely populated and the capital city of Ondo State which is located at the South-Western part of Nigeria. Akure is a temperate region with high relative humidity of about 62 % mean (Eludoyin et al, 2014)

## International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2013): 6.14 | Impact Factor (2013): 4.438

and high rainfall of about 1,100 mm in the northern part to over 4,000 mm in the south coastal region annually. Akure is characterized by the presence of underground rocks, with annual temperature ranging from about  $18^{\circ}$  C to over  $40^{\circ}$  C through the year. Nigeria is located at the equatorial region between latitude 4<sup>°</sup> 05' and 13<sup>°</sup> 50' N and longitude 2<sup>°</sup> 44' and 14<sup>0</sup> 45' E Nigeria is a coastal nation with a multigeological condition and different climate/vegetation between the southern and northern part of the country. The climate/vegetation ranges from coastal to green forest in the south and semi-arid to arid in the north. Nigerian has varying land structure, partly hilly, partly rocky, partly riverine and partly plain. Nigeria is the most populous nation in Africa and 7<sup>th</sup> largest population in the World with population of 140,431,790 (NPC 2006) and projected population of 183,390,767 (Worldometers 2015). Nigeria is characterized by different language and culture with more than 50 % of its population living in the rural areas where there is no access to good water. The major sources of drinking water in the country both in the urban and rural area are the boreholes and deep wells.

#### **Radiological Indices for Water Samples**

Total Annual Effective Dose  $E_d$  (Sv/y)

The total annual effective dose  $E_d$  (Sv/y) estimation to an individual due to the ingestion of the natural radionuclides in borehole water samples was done using the following relation by Alam et *al.* (1999).

$$E_d = \sum A_c A_i C_f 1$$

Where  $E_d$ = the total annual effective dose,  $A_c$  is the activity concentration in Bq/L,  $A_i$  is the annual consumption rate of water in l/y, and  $C_f$  is the ingested dose conversion factor in (Sv/Bq).

#### Committed Effective Dose

Committed effective dose to an individual (evaluated here for different age group) over an average life span of 50yrs was estimated by

 $C_d = 50 \times E_d 2$ Collective Effective Dose Equivalent

 $S_E = \sum N_i H_{Ei} 3$ 

Where  $S_E$  = collective effective dose equivalent (person – Sv),  $N_i$  = the numbers of individual exposed to radiation and  $H_{Ei}$  is the mean outdoor effective dose equivalent ( $\mu$ Svy<sup>-1</sup>). Collective Health Detriment

The collective health detriment "G" (person), due to exposure to gamma radiation in an envionment, can be calculated using the relation

$$G = R_T S_E 4$$

Where  $R_T$  = Total risk factor the body organs are exposed to and according to ICRP (1991), it has a value of  $16.50 \times 10^{-3} \text{Sv}^{-1}$ ,  $S_E$  = Collective effective dose equivalent (person – Sv) presented inTable 5.

# 3. Result

**Table 1:** Activity Concentration in Collected Borehole Water Samples

| Borehole Water    | Radioactivity Content (Bq/L)        |                                  |                                 |  |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Samples Collected | K-40                                | Ra-226                           | Th-232                          |  |
| Borehole 1        | $89.41 \pm 23.84$                   | $9.12 \pm 5.13$                  | $7.10 \pm 2.53$                 |  |
| Borehole 2        | $78.24 \pm 18.52$                   | $11.75 \pm 4.56$                 | $6.56 \pm 3.15$                 |  |
| Borehole 3        | $93.90 \pm 16.87$                   | $9.57 \pm 2.61$                  | $8.33 \pm 3.79$                 |  |
| Borehole 4        | $54.04 \pm 14.78$                   | $8.34 \pm 3.59$                  | $6.43 \pm 2.94$                 |  |
| Borehole 5        | $67.98 \pm 21.09$                   | $10.97 \pm 4.02$                 | $9.09 \pm 3.43$                 |  |
| Borehole 6        | $87.42 \pm 19.38$                   | $7.84 \pm 1.65$                  | $7.36 \pm 2.45$                 |  |
| Borehole 7        | $62.13 \pm 18.31$                   | $8.96 \pm 3.81$                  | $6.83 \pm 3.48$                 |  |
| Range             | $54.05 \pm 14,78 - 93.90 \pm 16.87$ | $7.84 \pm 1.65 - 11.75 \pm 4.56$ | $6.43 \pm 2.94 - 9.09 \pm 3.43$ |  |
| MEAN              | $76.16 \pm 18.97$                   | $9.51 \pm 3.62$                  | $7.38 \pm 3.11$                 |  |







**Figure 1:** (a) Mean Activity Concentration of Natural Radionuclides. (b) Corellation of Th-232 and Ra-226 Activities.

#### **Radioactivity Content in Water**

The specific activities of natural radionuclides measured in the borehole water samples are reported in Table 1 and illustrated with figure 1a. Figure 1b shows a weak correlation of <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>226</sup>Ra activities. The concentrations obtained for K-40 ranged from  $54.05\pm14.78$  to  $93.90\pm16.87$  BqL<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of  $76.16\pm18.97$  BqL<sup>-1</sup>. Ra-226 ranged from  $7.84\pm1.65$  to  $11.75\pm4.56$  BqL<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of  $9.51\pm3.62$  BqL<sup>-1</sup>. Th-232 ranged from  $6.43\pm2.94$  to  $9.09\pm3.43$  BqL<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of  $7.38\pm3.11$  BqL<sup>-1</sup>.

 $^{40}$ K being with the highest activity is no surprise because it is a naturally occurring radionuclide which abounds in the earth crust. The specific activity due to  $^{232}$ Th is also relatively low in the borehole water samples investigated compared to  $^{226}$ Ra because  $^{226}$ Ra is more mobile than  $^{232}$ Th (NCRP, 1987).

High activity concentration of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>226</sup>Ra, and <sup>232</sup>Th was observed in all the borehole water samples collected. This might be due to the fact that water from boreholes are coming directly from the earth crust and would have received its activity concentration from the rock phosphate.

The variation of the different age groups with the Ingested dose conversion factor was provided by IAEA (1996) and shown in Table 2 while the annual consumption rate of water in a year by different age group was supplied by WHO (2006) and shown in Table 3. From the result in Table 5 and illustrated in figure 2, it was discovered that the total annual effective dose ranges from  $2.96 \pm 1.12 \text{ mSvy}^{-1}$ (in 2-7y age group)  $16.33 \pm 6.50 \text{ mSvy}^{-1}$ (in 0-1y age group) with a mean values of  $6.72 \pm 2.61 \text{ mSvy}^{-1}$ . These values are extremely higher than the 1 mSvy<sup>-1</sup> recommended by the NCRP 1993.

Result ofborehole water samples analyzedshows that the collective effective dose varied with the different age groups as expected because of variation in total annual effective dose for different age groups due to difference in their radiosencitivty as reflected in their conversion factor and because of the population of different age group as presented in Table 4. The collective effective dose equivalent is presented in Table 5. The infants in the age of 0 - 1 year are the most susceptible and this was reflected in their high value of total annual effective dose and committed effective dose.

| Tuble 2. Rudiondendes und them ingested Dose Conversion Fuetor |   |          |          |           |            |         |
|--|---|----------|----------|-----------|------------|---------|
| Radionuclide   | Ingested Dose Conversion Factor Per Age Group |          |          |           |            |         |
|  | (0-1)yr                                       | (1-2)yrs | (2-7)yrs | (7-12)yrs | (12-17)yrs | >17yrs  |
|  | Sv/Bq   | Sv/Bq    | Sv/Bq    | Sv/Bq     | Sv/Bq      | Sv/Bq   |
| <sup>40</sup> K  | 6.2E-8  | 4.2E-8   | 2.1E-8   | 1.3E-8    | 7.6E-9     | 6.2E-9  |
| <sup>214</sup> Bi  | 1.4E-9  | 7.4E-10  | 3.6E-10  | 2.1E-10   | 1.4E-10    | 1.0E-10 |
| <sup>214</sup> Pb  | 2.7E-9  | 1.0E-9   | 5.2E-10  | 3.1E-10   | 2.0E-10    | 1.4E-10 |
| <sup>224</sup> Ra  | 2.7E-6  | 6.6E-7   | 3.5E-7   | 2.6E-7    | 2.0E-7     | 6.5E-8  |
| <sup>226</sup> Ra  | 4.7E-6  | 9.6E-7   | 6.2E-7   | 8.0E-7    | 1.5E-6     | 2.8E-7  |
| <sup>228</sup> Ac  | 7.4E-9  | 2.8E-9   | 1.4E-9   | 8.7E-10   | 5.3E-10    | 4.3E-10 |
| <sup>232</sup> Th  | 4.6E-6  | 4.5E-7   | 3.5E-7   | 2.9E-7    | 2.5E-7     | 2.3E-7  |

 Table 2: Radionuclides and their Ingested Dose Conversion Factor

Source: IAEA 1996

| Table 3: Annual V | Water Consumption | per Age Group |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
|                   |                   |               |

| 0-1         2.0E2           1-2         2.6E2           2-7         3.0E2           7-12         3.5E2           12-17         6.0E2           >17         7.3E2 | Age Group (y) | Annual Water Consumption (ly <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|--|---------------|--|
| 1-2         2.6E2           2-7         3.0E2           7-12         3.5E2           12-17         6.0E2           >17         7.3E2                             | 0-1           | 2.0E2  |
| 2-7         3.0E2           7-12         3.5E2           12-17         6.0E2           >17         7.3E2   | 1-2           | 2.6E2  |
| 7-12         3.5E2           12-17         6.0E2           >17         7.3E2   | 2-7           | 3.0E2  |
| 12-17         6.0E2           >17         7.3E2  | 7-12          | 3.5E2  |
| >17 7.3E2  | 12-17         | 6.0E2  |
|  | >17           | 7.3E2  |

Source: WHO, 2006

**Table 4:** Nigeria Population in Different Age Groups

| Age Range | Population $(N_i)$ Population $(N_i)$ (2015) |                           |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|
| (Years)   | (2006)                                       | Projection)(Worldometers, |
|           | Source: NPC (2006)                           | June 19,2015)             |
| 0-1       | 3,004,421                                    | 3,923,493                 |
| 1-2       | 4,766,927                                    | 6,225,160                 |
| 2-7       | 23,641,824                                   | 30,874,008                |
| 7-12      | 18,073,170                                   | 23,601,868                |
| 12-17     | 18,284,692                                   | 23,878,096                |
| >17       | 72,660,755                                   | 94,888,142                |
| Total     | 140,431,790                                  | 183,390,767               |

| Table 5: Total Annual Effective Dose, Committed Effective Dose and Collective Effective Dose Equivalent for Different A | ١ge |
|---|-----|
| Groups  |     |

| Age Group (Years) | Total Annual Effective Dose (mSvy <sup>-1</sup> ) | Committed Effective Dose (mSvy <sup>-1</sup> ) | Collective Effective Dose<br>Equivalent (Person-Sv) |
|-------------------|---|--|---|
| 0 - 1             | $16.33 \pm 6.50$                                  | $816.75 \pm 324.96$                            | 64,090.20   |
| 1 – 2             | $3.98 \pm 1.47$                                   | $198.95 \pm 73.73$                             | 24,769.71   |
| 2-7               | $2.96 \pm 1.12$                                   | $147.83 \pm 55.97$                             | 91,282.34   |
| 7 - 12            | $3.66 \pm 1.42$                                   | $182.88 \pm 70.78$                             | 86,326.15   |
| 12 - 17           | $9.69 \pm 3.81$                                   | $484.46 \pm 190.55$                            | 231,361.80  |
| > 17              | $3.45 \pm 1.35$                                   | $172.70 \pm 67.40$                             | 327,749.50  |
| Range             | $2.96 \pm 1.12 - 16.33 \pm 6.50$                  | $147.83 \pm 55.97 - 816.75 \pm 324.96$         | Not Applicable                                      |
| Mean              | $6.72\pm2.61$                                     | 333.93 ±130.57                                 | Not Applicable                                      |



Groups

The result obtained in this study compared favorably with other result from similar studies all over the world. All though, the value of the activity concentration in the borehole water that was obtained is a bit higher that the value obtained by Ajayi and Achuka in deep well water samples from Ogun State, Nigeria, with a rather narrower margin. The result of <sup>226</sup>Ra concentration when compared with other result from different countries, shows an enhanced activity than many other countries except Finland which has a maximum concentration of <sup>226</sup>Ra to be 49 BqL<sup>-1</sup> as shown in Table 6 and figure 3.

**Table 6:** Activity Concentration Comparison of 226Ra (Bq l-1) inBoreholeWaters from Nigeria with Other Countries

| U                    |  |
|----------------------|--|
| <sup>226</sup> Ra    |  |
| Min                  | Max  |
| 4 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> | 1.8 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| 2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> | 0.12   |
| 1 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> | 49   |
| 7                    |  |
| 7 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> | 0.7  |
| 1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> | 1.8  |
| 2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> | 1.2  |
| 7 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> | 0.021  |
| 0                    | 1.5  |
| 2 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> | 4  |
| 0                    | 0.18   |
| 2.89                 | 7.79   |
|                      |  |
| 7.84                 | 11.75  |
|                      |  |
|                      | $\begin{array}{r} & 2^{226} \text{Ra} \\ \hline \text{Min} \\ & 4 \times 10^{-4} \\ & 2 \times 10^{-4} \\ & 1 \times 10^{-2} \\ & 7 \times 10^{-3} \\ & 1 \times 10^{-3} \\ & 2 \times 10^{-4} \\ & 7 \times 10^{-4} \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \times 10^{-2} \\ & 0 \\ & 2.89 \\ \hline & 7.84 \end{array}$ |

Data for other countries was obtained from UNSCEAR 2000 report.



Figure 3: Illustration of Ra-226 Activity concentration in Different Countries

#### **Collective Health Detriment**

The estimated collective health detriment as a result of radionuclides present in borehole water is presented in Table 7. It could be observed that a large population of individual is susceptible to a radiation induced diseases. The value ranges from 409 persons in the age group 1 - 2 years to 5,408 persons in the age group >17 years with a total no of 13,621. The indication of this result calls for a serious attention to safe water availability in the area and all over the world.

| Gloups            |   |  |  |
|-------------------|---|--|--|
| Age Group (Years) | Collective Health Detriment in (Person) |  |  |
| 0 - 1             | 1,057                                   |  |  |
| 1 - 2             | 409                                     |  |  |
| 2-7               | 1,506                                   |  |  |
| 7 – 12            | 1,424                                   |  |  |
| 12 - 17           | 3,817                                   |  |  |
| >17               | 5,408                                   |  |  |
| Total             | 13,621                                  |  |  |

 Table 7: Collective Health Detriment in the Different Age

 Groups

# 4. Conclusion

Activity concentration determination of natural radionuclides in borehole water samples has been carried out from some boreholes in Akure, South-Western Nigeria. The result shows an enhanced radioactivity in borehole waters. The mean activity concentration of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>232</sup>Th were found to be 76.16 ± 18.97 Bq/L, 9.51 ± 3.62 Bq/L, and 7.38 ± 3.11 Bq/L respectively.

The total annual effective dose for different age groups ranges from  $2.96 \pm 1.12 \text{ mSvy}^{-1}$  to  $16.33 \pm 6.50 \text{ mSvy}^{-1}$  with a mean of  $6.72 \pm 2.61 \text{ mSvy}^{-1}$ . This value is higher than the limits of 1 mSvy<sup>-1</sup> and 0.1 mSvy<sup>-1</sup> recommended by ICRP & WHO respectively (ICRP 1990, WHO 2006). The research work has shown that the natural radioactivity in borehole water is high. This could be as a result of the remote source of the water which is deep down inside rocks. Akure is

characterized by underground rocks which makes well water a little difficult to come by and in many cases rocks has to be blasted before water can be obtained. Considering the Phosphate composition of rocks hence a reason for an enhanced radioactivity of the borehole water in the area. The health risk through the ingestion of borehole water is very high in the area and this is likely to be the situation in any part of the world where there are underground rocks. Effort should be made therefore to provide alternative source of safe drinking water in such environment so as to reduce the level of health risk of radiation induced diseases to human.

# References

- Ajayi,O. S., Achuka,J. A. (2009). Measurement of Natural Radioactivity in Drilled and Dug Well Waters of Ogun State, Southwestern Nigeria. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, **130**, 1–10
- [2] Alam, M. N., Chowdhury, M. I., Kamal, M., Ghose, S., Islam, M. N., and Anwaruddin, M. (1999): Radiological assessment of drinking water of the Chittagong Region of Bangladesh. Radiat. Prot. Dosimetry 82, 207-214.
- [3] Eludoyin O.M., Adelekan I.O., Webster R. and A. O. Eludoyin A.O. (2014) Air temperature, relative humidity, climate regionalization and thermal comfort of NigeriaINTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CLIMATOLOGY( DOI: 10.1002/joc.3817)Int. J. Climatol.34: 2000 – 2018
- [4] Gilmore, G., and Hemingway, J.D. (1995): *Practical Gamma Ray Spectrometry* John Wiley & Son, Chichester. (1995)
- [5] International Atomic Energy Agency, Measurement of Radionuclides in food and the Environment Guide book, Technical Report series No 295, IAEA, Vienna, 1989.
- [6] International Atomic Energy Agency (1996)Basic safety Standards for Protection against ionizing Radiation and for the safety of radiation Sources, (Safety Series Number 115).
- [7] International Commission on Radiological Protection (1990), Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection Publication 60, Vol. 21,No. 1-3.
- [8] ICRP (1991). Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. Annals of the ICRP-60. Pergamon Press.Oxford. 200pp.
- [9] Nigeria Population Commission, NPC, (2006). Population Distribution by Age and Sex. Federal Republic of Nigeria 2006 Population and Housing Census. Priority Table. Volume IV.
- [10] National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, NCRP (1987). 'Exposure of the Population in the United States and Canada from Natural Background Radiation'. NCRP Report No. 94, Bethseda MD 20814.
- [11] National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP). 1993. Limitation of Exposure to Ionizing Radiation. Report No. 116, NCRP Publications, Bethesda, Maryland. 6.
- [12] UNSCEAR, 1982. United Nations Scientific Committee on Effects of Atomic Radiation, 1982. Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. United Nations, New York
- [13] United National Scientific Committee on Effects of

Atomic Radiation, (UNSCEAR), 2000: Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation. Report to General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes, United Nations, New York.

- [14] United Nations Scientific Committee on Effects of Atomic Radiation, (2000): Exposures from NaturalRadiation Sources, UNSCEAR.
- [15] WHO (2006): World Health Organization, Guidelines for drinking water quality vol1, Recommendations; Radiological aspects (197 - 209).
- [16] WHO (2003): Guidelines for drinking- water quality Draft, Vol. 3, Chapter 9 (Geneva: WHO).
- [17] Worldometers (2015). (www.worldometers.info/worldpopulation/nigeria-population/) retrieved 19-06-2015, by 15:36.