



cells were identified as squamous epithelial cells with bacilli adherent to surface obscuring the cell margin.

**Gram stain**

One of the swabs was smeared over a clean dry microscopic slide and was stained by Gram staining technique. The smear was examined for presence of clue cells, inflammatory cells, Gram negative or Gram variable organisms and budding yeast cells. Clue cells were identified as squamous epithelial cells covered with Gram negative to Gram variable pleomorphic coccobacilli obscuring the cell margins. Trichomoniasis was diagnosed by observing characteristic twitching motility of trophozoites in wet mount along with inflammatory cells.

Vaginal Candidiasis was diagnosed by observing Gram positive budding yeast like cells with or without pseudohyphae along with inflammatory cells in Gram stain done directly from vaginal swab and also by culture. On SDA cream coloured, smooth, pasty colonies were observed. After that colonies were identified by Gram stain. Differentiation of *Candida albicans* from non-*Candida albicans* was done by Germ tube test, Chlamyospore production and growth at 42-45°C. Clinico microbiological diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis was made on basis of presence of any three of the following four criteria described by Amsel et al. 1984 and also by Nugent's scoring system.

**Amsel's criteria<sup>[3]</sup>**

A thin greyish, homogenous, fishy smelling vaginal discharge.

**Vaginal P<sup>H</sup> greater than 4.5.**

The presence of 'clue cells' in the Gram stain of vaginal discharge. The positive amine test in which fishy odour released after adding 10% KOH to vaginal fluid. Nugent's scoring system<sup>[4]</sup>

Organism morphotype	Number per oil immersion field	Score
Gram positive bacilli (Lactobacilli)	>30	0
	5-30	1
	1-4	2
	<4	3
	0	4
Gardenerella vaginalis and Bacterioides (Small Gram negative to variable bacilli)	>30	4
	5-30	3
	1-4	2
	<1	1
	0	0
Mobiluncus (curved Gram variable bacilli)	>5	2
	<1-4	1
	0	0

Scoring was performed for individual organism morphotype and scores were added to get the total score which was interpreted as follows

Score	Interpretation
0-3	Normal
4-6	Intermediate
7-10	Bacterial vaginosis

**3. Results**

A total 100 patients with symptomatic vaginal discharge were studied. More number of patients were in the age group of 26-30 years.

**Table 1: Age wise distribution of cases**

Age	No. with symptomatic discharge
15-20	11
21-25	14
26-30	35
31-35	26
36-40	9
40-45	5

Out of 100 cases studied 27% were having bacterial vaginosis, 19% have vaginal candidiasis and 6% were having Trichomoniasis. In 48% of cases no significant pathogen was isolated.

Most common age group affected by bacterial vaginosis was 26-30 years, by vaginal candidiasis was 26-35 years and by trichomoniasis was 21-30 years.

**Table 2: Age and type of vaginal infection**

Age group	Bacterial vaginosis	Vaginal candidiasis	Trichomoniasis	No specific organism found
15-20	2(7.40%)	1(5.26%)	0(0%)	8(16.66%)
21-25	6(22.22%)	3(15.78%)	2(33.33%)	3(6.25%)
26-30	9(33.33%)	8(42.10%)	3(50%)	15(31.25%)
31-35	7(25.92%)	4(21.05%)	1(16.66%)	14(29.16%)
36-40	2(7.40%)	2(10.52%)	0(0%)	5(10.41%)
40-45	1(3.70%)	1(5.26%)	0(0%)	3(6.25%)

Among the bacterial vaginosis cases, mucoid discharge (85.18%) with pruritus (44.44%) were predominant symptoms and in vaginal candidiasis pruritus and vulvo vaginal soreness (78.94%) and curdy white discharge (68.42%) were predominant symptoms. In Trichomoniasis greenish frothy odorous discharge (66.66%) was the predominant symptom.

**Table 3: Presentingsymptoms and signs**

Signs and symptoms	Bacterial vaginosis	Vaginal candidiasis	Trichomoniasis
Dysuria	8(29.62%)	5(26.31%)	1(16.66%)
Pruritus	12(44.44%)	15(78.94%)	3(50%)
Lower abdominal pain	4(14.81%)	3(15.78%)	1(16.66%)
Vulvo vaginal soariness	2(7.40%)	15(78.94%)	1(16.66%)
Nature of the discharge:			
Watery/mucoid	23(85.18%)	2(10.52%)	1(16.66%)
Thick white/curdy white	2(7.40%)	13(68.42%)	1(16.66%)
Greenish/frothy/odorous	2(7.40%)	2(10.52%)	4(66.66%)

In the present study by using Amsel's criteria 20(20%) and by Nugent's criteria 27(27%) were diagnosed as having bacterial vaginosis.

**Table 4:** Comparison of diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis by Amsel's and Nugent's criteria

Methods of diagnosis		Diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis by Nugent's criteria			p value < 0.001
		Nugent's score >7 n =27	Nugent's score <7 (0-6), n =73	total n=100	
Amsel's criteria	Bacterial vaginosis	18	2	20	
	Normal	9	71	80	

Among 100 cases of vaginal discharge studied the prevalence of vaginal infections diagnosed clinically was 65% and diagnosed in laboratory was 52%.

**Table 5:** Clinical Vs laboratory diagnosis of abnormal vaginal discharge

Total patients with abnormal vaginal discharge	Clinical diagnosis	Laboratory diagnosis
100	65%(65%)	52(52%)

Among 100 cases of abnormal vaginal discharge studied, 34 cases were diagnosed clinically as having bacterial vaginosis, but only 27 were diagnosed in laboratory. Vaginal candidiasis was diagnosed clinically in 22 cases but in laboratory 19 cases were diagnosed. 9 and 6 cases of Trichomoniasis were diagnosed clinically and in laboratory respectively.

**Table 6:** Clinical and laboratory diagnosis in bacterial vaginosis and vaginitis

	Clinical diagnosis	Laboratory diagnosis
Bacterial vaginosis	34	27
Vaginal candidiasis	22	19
Trichomoniasis	9	6
Normal	35	48

#### 4. Discussion

In the present study out of 100 cases of symptomatic vaginal discharge studied, microbiological or etiological diagnosis was done in 52% of cases. In 48% of cases diagnosis could not be reached by using any of the approaches under consideration. This group of patients probably might have normal physiological discharge or less frequently other causes like mycoplasma, ureaplasma infections or infective and noninfective causes affecting cervix.

In our study more number of abnormal vaginal discharge cases were observed between the age group of 26-30 years (35%). This was in correlation with Rekha et al (40%).<sup>[1]</sup>

In the present study bacterial vaginosis (27%) was the predominant cause of symptomatic vaginal discharge followed by vaginal candidiasis (19%) and trichomoniasis (6%). This result is in correlation with the study of Rekha et al (33%)<sup>[1]</sup>.

Bacterial vaginosis (33.33%) was more common between age group of 26-30 years. This is in correlation with the study of P.Madhavan et al<sup>[2]</sup>.

Trichomoniasis was more common between age group of 21-30 (83.33%). This is in correlation with the studies of

S.Rekha et al and Md.Abdullah Yusuf et al<sup>[5]</sup> who also showed predominance of trichomoniasis in the age group of 15-35 years (92%) and 15-25 years (52%) respectively. Candidiasis was more common in the age group 26-35 years (63.15%). This is in correlation with the study of Md.Abdullah Yusuf et al (64.9%)<sup>[5]</sup>

Among the 19 strains of Candida species isolated, Candida albicans was predominant species 12(63.15%) compared to non-Candida albicans species (36.84%). This was in correlation with the study of S.I.Nwadioha (85%).<sup>[6]</sup>

Among the bacterial vaginosis cases mucoid discharge (85.18%) and pruritus (44.44%) were the predominant symptoms in the present study, but S.Rekha et al showed the pruritus was the predominant symptom compared to abnormal discharge.

In the present study among the vaginal candidiasis cases pruritus (78.94%) and vulvo vaginal soariness (78.94%) were predominant symptoms observed. But S.I.Nwadioha et al observed pruritus as predominant symptom in 60% of cases.<sup>[6]</sup> In the present study among trichomoniasis cases greenish frothy odorous discharge (66.66%) was the predominant symptom observed. This is in correlation with some studies.<sup>[7]</sup>

In present study by using Amsel's criteria 20%, and by using Nugent's criteria 27% were diagnosed as having bacterial vaginosis. Even though Nugent's criteria is more preferable, Amsel's criteria also can be used for diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis. p value <0.001. This was in correlation with some studies.<sup>[8]</sup>

Among 100 symptomatic vaginal discharge cases studied 65% were diagnosed clinically as having vaginal infection by syndromic approach, but only 52% were diagnosed in laboratory microbiologically by using various methods. This is in correlation with the study of S.I.Nwadioha et al (54.3%). A high prevalence rate of diagnosis in laboratory microbiologically was shown by Rekha S et al 72% and a low prevalence of 33.14% was shown in the study of Prabha M et al<sup>[9]</sup>. There is wide variation in syndromic approach and laboratory approach for diagnosis of vaginal infections. This variation may be because sometimes physiological vaginal discharge will be misinterpreted by gynecologists as vaginitis or vaginosis.

#### 5. Conclusion

In conclusion bacterial vaginosis was the predominant cause for abnormal discharge compared to vaginal candidiasis and trichomoniasis in the reproductive age group women. Abnormal vaginal discharge due to various infections was more common between age group of 26-30 years. Mucoid discharge and pruritus were predominant symptoms in bacterial vaginosis. Pruritus, vulvovaginal soariness in vaginal candidiasis and greenish frothy odorous discharge were predominant symptoms in trichomoniasis. Even though Nugent's criteria is preferable for diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis, Amsel's criteria can also be used. In the management of symptomatic vaginal discharge there is a variation in clinical diagnosis (65%) and laboratory

diagnosis (52%). Etiological diagnosis is much useful for better management of vaginal infections and also to avoid unnecessary use of antimicrobials and development of microbial drug resistance.

## References

- [1] Rekha S, Jyothi S. Comparison of visual clinical and microbiological diagnosis of symptomatic vaginal discharge in reproductive age group. *Int J Pharm Biomed Res* 2010;1: 144-8.
- [2] Madhivanan, P., Krupp, K., Chandrasekaran, V., Karat, C., Arun, A., Cohen, C.R. et al., *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2008, 26, 132-7
- [3] Amsel R, Totten PA, Spiegel CA, Chen KC, Eschenbach D, Holmes KK. Nonspecific vaginitis. Diagnostic criteria and microbial and epidemiologic associations. *Am J Med* 1983; 74:14-22
- [4] Nugent RP, Krohn MA, Hillier SL. Reliability of diagnosing bacterial vaginosis is improved by a standardized method of gram stain interpretation. *J Clin Microbiol* 1991;29:297-301
- [5] Md. Abdullah Yusuf, Mahmuda Chowdhury, KM Shahidul Islam, Eliza Omar Eva, Ahmad Raihan Sharif, Md. Khalilur Rahman. Common microbial etiology of abnormal vaginal discharge among sexually active women in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *South East Asia Journal of Public Health* 2011;1:35-3.
- [6] Nwadioha SI, Egah DZ, Banwat, Alao OO. Microbial agents of abnormal vaginal discharge in pregnant mothers attending Primary Health Care Centers of Jos, Nigeria. *Journal of Clinical Medicine and Research* 2010; 2:7-11.
- [7] Fernando SD, Herath S, Rodrigo C, Rajapakse L. Clinical features and sociodemographic factors affecting *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection in women attending a central sexually transmitted diseases clinic in Sri Lanka. *Indian J Sex Transm Dis* 2012; 33:25-31.
- [8] Renu Mathew, Sudhakshina R, M. Kalyani, S. Jayakumar, Binesh Lal, Shameem Banu. *Journal of Clinical And Diagnostic Research*, 2011; 5:1548-51
- [9] Prabha M, Sasikala G, Bala S. Comparison of syndromic diagnosis of reproductive tract infections with laboratory diagnosis among rural married women in Medak district, Andhra Pradesh. *Indian J Sex Transm Dis* 2012; 33:112-5

## Author profile

**P. Lakshmi Vasantha** received MBBS degree from Guntur Medical College, Guntur in 1995 and MD (Microbiology) from Andhra medical college, Visakhapatnam, in 2007. She joined as Assistant professor in Dept. of Microbiology in Kamineni Institute of Medical Sciences, Narketpally, Nalgonda Dt. Telangana state in 2007. Now she is working as Associate professor in the same college.