

Congenital Talipes Equino Varus in Infants: Management By Ponseti Method and Outcome

Dr A.Thirupathi Reddy, Dr K. Kishore Kumar², Dr B. Joseph kartheek³

¹Associate Professor of Pediatrics, Guntur medical college, Guntur, AP, India

²Assistant Prof of Orthopedics, Guntur Medical College, Guntur, AP, India

³Resident, Dept of Orthopedics, Guntur Medical College, Guntur, AP, India

Abstract: *Objective:* CTEV in infants is a commonest Orthopedic problems for which there are many methods to treat. Our study is a descriptive analysis of Ponseti method and management of CTEV and its outcome. *Setting:* Orthopedic ward of tertiary care teaching hospital in South India. *Design:* A 2 year prospective longitudinal hospital based observational study and its outcome. *Participants:* 30 infants with 43 idiopathic clubfoot from 1st week of life to 1 year after birth excluding those infants with associated congenital malformations. *Results:* Out of 30(21 males & 9 females) infants, 17 had unilateral clubfoot & 13 had bilateral clubfoot (total 43 club foot). The mean age of the presentation to treatment was 3 weeks. Depending upon the response to Ponseti method of management, the number of castings required prior to tenotomy varied with each patient (7 castings in 7 patients, 8 castings in 18 & 9 in 5 infants). Out of 43 clubfoot, 41(95.35%) had achieved normal corrections, 2(4.65%) required post operative soft tissue release, 38(88.37%) had undergone percutaneous tenotomy & 3(6.97%) got corrected without tenotomy. All the feet were applied with Dennis Brown splint and after 2 year period of follow up, out of 43 clubfoot, 6(13.95%) relapses occurred ; 4(9.30%) were equinus, 2(4.65%) were equino-cavovarus and subsequently the relapses were corrected surgically. *Conclusions:* Ponseti method is a safe, effective technique to treat CTEV which radically reduced the need for extensive surgery. This method enables us to correct most idiopathic clubfoot with gentle manipulation, casting and percutaneous tenotomy. Bracing is the key to long term success of the Ponseti method and also to prevent relapses requiring major surgical interventions.

Keywords: congenital talipes equino varus, clubfoot, Ponseti method, Infant, Outcome

1. Introduction

Congenital talipes equino varus (CTEV) or Clubfoot is one of the commonest orthopedic problems seen in infants. CTEV is the term used to describe as a deformity involving in utero malalignment of the calcaneo-talar-navicular complex of the foot¹. The incidence is 1 in 1000 live births with male to female ratio is 3:1, and is bilateral in 50% of the infants^{2,3}.

The etiology of clubfoot is classified into 2 categories : idiopathic clubfoot, where there is only foot deformity & the rest of the musculoskeletal system is normal and non idiopathic clubfoot where the foot deformity is a local manifestation of associated systemic skeletal deformities^{4,5}.

The clinical features of CTEV are characteristic. The foot points plantar with small heel drawn up. There is a forefoot cavus, adducts & hindfoot varus, equinus [CAVE]. The skin creases are deeply furrowed on the concave medial & plantar aspect and the skin on lateral dorsum of the foot is thinned, stretched & its creases disappear. The degree of flexibility varies and patients exhibit calf atrophy. If CTEV remains neglected, the deformity progressively increase, ambulation will be difficulty & there is limb length discrepancy leading to gait abnormality in a subset of untreated cases^{1,2}.

Radiological assessment of CTEV before and after correction is more reliable than clinical evaluation alone and also for future comparison. Anteroposterior & lateral radiographs are recommended with the foot held in maximally corrected position. A common radiographic

finding is “parallelism” between lines drawn through the axis of talus & calcaneus on the lateral radiograph, indicating hindfoot varus. Clinicians believe that radiographs are not required in evaluation & treatment of CTEV in infants & reserved for older children with persistent or recurrent deformities^{1,6,7,8}.

The treatment of clubfoot should be started immediately following birth so that the child achieves mobile foot with normal function. There are basically 2 methods of management: conservative management & surgical correction^{1,2,9}.

The first visit of infant or neglected child should be treated by conservative management. Techniques included are taping, strapping, manipulation & serial casting^{1,10,11,12,13,14}. Ponseti , who was a professor of orthopedics at university of Iowa, USA had developed a special technique of manipulation & serial casting of correction following the pneumonic CAVE [cavus, adductus, varus, equinus], had been supported by many studies which showed >95% good result, better than any method leading to decreased need for extensive surgery^{15,16,17,18}.

Surgical realignment has a definitive role in the management of CTEV in minority of clubfoot that have failed with conservative management. The specific surgical procedure is tailored to the unique characteristics of each deformity. In older children with residual deformities, bony procedures may be required in addition to soft tissue surgery^{19,20}.

We report our experience of 30 infants with 43 clubfoot managed successfully using Ponseti method.

Volume 4 Issue 6, June 2015

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

2. Methods

This is a prospective, longitudinal hospital based observational study which included 30 infants with 43 idiopathic clubfoot, aged from 1st week to 1st year after birth who attended Government General Hospital, Guntur, South India from September 2012 to September 2014, over a period of 2 years. The study was approved by institutional ethical committee and the informed consent was taken from the parents.

All the infants data was recorded in a predesigned proforma containing name, age, sex, parent details, address, family history, pregnancy & delivery details of mother, any prior treatment taken for clubfoot and examination details of spine, hips, upper & lower limbs with both feet and also other systems for associated clinical problems. Infants with other congenital malformations were excluded from the study. All infants were managed with Ponseti method after counseling parents about this method for long term management.

The steps of Ponseti method of management are (see also the table below) :

- A specific method of manipulation
- A specific method of castings
- A percutaneous method of tenotomy
- A specific method of bracing with Denis Brown splint for 2-3 year period
- Follow-up for recurrence
- A specific method of treating recurrence

| Clinical Feature | Pathology | Corrective Manipulation | Cast Number |
|------------------|--|---|-------------|
| Cavus | Plantar flexed 1 st metatarsal | Dorsi flex 1 st metatarsal | 1 |
| Adductus | Medial subluxation of talo-navicular joint | Abduct foot | 2, 3, 4 |
| Varus | Calcaneal inversion | Adduct calcaneus | 2, 3, 4 |
| Equinus | Calcaneal flexion | Abduct calcaneus | 2, 3, 4 |
| | Tibio-talar flexion | Percutaneous tenotomy & cast in maximal abduction & 10 -20 degree extension | 5 |

Table showing the steps involved in Ponseti method of manipulative correction of clubfoot



Photograph showing steps involved in Ponseti method of management of clubfoot

All 30 infants treated with Ponseti method were followed over a 2 year period & assessed for any deformities which

were subsequently managed surgically. All the data was documented, statistically analyzed using suitable statistical methods.

3. Results

Out of 30 infants, 21 were male & 9 were female. Out of 30 infants, 17 had one clubfoot & 13 had bilateral clubfoot (total 43 clubfoot in 30 infants). The mean age of initial presentation to treatment was 3 weeks, 6 out of 30 infants came on 1st wk of life.

Depending upon the response to Ponseti method of management, the number of castings required prior to tenotomy varied with each patient. Out of 30 infants, 7 castings were required in 7 infants, 8 castings in 18 infants & up to 9 castings in 5 infants.

All cases were followed and the average duration of follow-up was 12.5 months. Out of 30 infants, 4 infants were followed up to 6 mo period; 13 infants up to 7-12 mo period; 8 infants up to 13-18 mo; the remaining 5 infants were followed up to a period of 19-24 months.

| Duration of months of follow up | No. of infants |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 0-6 months | 4 |
| 7-12 months | 13 |
| 13-18 months | 8 |
| 19-24 months | 5 |

Out of 43 clubfoot; 41(95.35%) had achieved near normal correction, 2 clubfoot(4.65%) required posteromedial soft tissue release, 38 foot(88.37%) had undergone percutaneous tenotomy & 3 foot(6.99%) got corrected without tenotomy.

All the foot were applied with Denis Brown splint for 2 years & followed-up for 2 year period for any relapses. Out of 43 clubfoot, 6(13.95%) had relapses; 4(9.30%) relapses of equinus & 2(4.65%) relapses of equino-cavo-varus was observed and were corrected with repeat tenotomy & serial POP castings.

4. Discussion

In our study, we performed the Ponseti method on 43 idiopathic clubfoot in 30 infants; 21 male & 9 female. There were 17 unilateral & 13 bilateral clubfoot. Out 43 clubfoot at the end of treatment, 41(95.35%) had achieved maximum correction & only 2(4.65%) clubfoot required major surgical procedures. This study demonstrated that the use of Ponseti method of managing idiopathic clubfoot was the most successful when started early which had reduced the need for further extensive corrective surgery. So, the results of our study are comparable with many studies using Ponseti method (see the table)

| S. No | Name of the Author | Year of Study | Success Rate (%) |
|-------|--|---------------|------------------|
| 1 | Jowett CR, Morcuende, Ramchandran ²¹ | 2011 | 90 |
| 2 | Eberhardt, Peterlein, Fernandez, Wirth ²² | 2013 | 89 |
| 3 | Bor, Coplan, Herzenderg ²³ | 2009 | 89 |
| 4 | Colburn M, Williams et al ¹⁰ | 2003 | 95 |
| 5 | Morcunde JA, Dolan LA, Ponseti IV ¹⁸ | 2004 | 98 |

| | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|------|-----|
| 6 | Seger E, Keret D et al ²⁴ | 2005 | 94 |
| 7 | Goksen SD, Bursali A et al | 2006 | 97 |
| 8 | Radker C, Sudeet et al | 2006 | 93 |
| 9 | Mathew D, Dobbs MD, JR Rubbie | 2004 | 100 |
| 10 | Laaveg SJ, Ponseti et al | 1980 | 90 |

Compared to some of the above studies, success rate in our study is better as we started treating early after birth (6 out of 30 infants on 1st week of life to treatment) and the treatment is free as our hospital is run by the government and also effective counseling regarding the long term management. But few other studies showed >95% success rate is due to better compliance compared to our study which is due to non-compliance with the abduction brace by the care takers at home in spite of counseling during each visit to the hospital.

The relapses in our study could be due to poor socio-economic status, illiteracy, rural background of the parents. In our study we had 6(13.95%) relapses out 43 clubfoot; 4(9.30%) equinus relapse & 2(4.65%) equino-cavo-varus relapse for which appropriate surgical correction was done which is comparable with other studies as given below.

| S No | Name of the Authors | Year of Study | Relapse Rate(%) |
|------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Morcuende JA ,Dolan LA, Ponseti IV et al ¹⁸ | 2004 | 11 |
| 2 | Milind M, Deepak S, Hirlal R Chavda | 2011 | 28 |
| 3 | Mathew B, Dobbs ,JR Rubbie et al | 2004 | 18 |

ONE OF THE CASE STUDIES WITH PONSETI METHOD OF MANAGEMENT AND OUTCOME



References

- [1] Nelson text book of pediatrics,18th edition, chapter 673.3; 2007,vol 2,2777-2778
- [2] Campbell : operative orthopedics, 12th edition, 2012, vol 2, 994-1012
- [3] Mercer : orthopedic surgery, 10th edition, 2012, 688-691
- [4] Wainwright AM, Auld T, Benson MK, Theologis TN et al, The classification Of CTEV, J of bone & joint surgery Br, 2002, 84(7), 1020-1024
- [5] Browne B et al, The pathology & classification of talipes, Aust NZ J surg., 1959,29, 85-91
- [6] Herbstrofer B, Eckardt A, Rompe JD, Kullmer K, Significance of radiographic angle measurements in evaluation of congenital clubfoot, Arch orthop trauma surg , 1998,117(6-7), 324-330
- [7] Ponseti IV, EL Khoury GY, Ippolito E, Weinstein SL, A radiographic study of skeletal deformities in treated clubfoot, clinical orthopedics, 1981,160, 30-42
- [8] Raddler C, Manner HM, Suda R, Burghardt R ., Radiographic evaluation of idiopathic clubfoot undergoing Ponseti treatment, J Bone Joint surg Am, 2007, 89(6), 1177-1183
- [9] Aronson J, Puskarich CL, Deformity and disability from treated clubfoot, J Pediatric orthop, 1990,10, 109-112
- [10] Colburn M, Williams M, Evaluation of treatment of idiopathic clubfoot by using Ponseti method, J Foot Ankle Surg ; 2003, 42, 259-267
- [11] Dobbs MB, Mocuende JA, Gurnett CA, Ponseti IV, Treatment of idiopathic clubfoot ; a historical review , IOWA Orthopedic J ; 2000, 20 , 59-64
- [12] Dobbs MB, Rudzki JR,Purcell DB, Walton T et al; Factors predictive of outcome after the use of Ponseti

- method for the treatment of idiopathic clubfoot, J Bone Joint surg Am, 2004, 86, 22-27
- [13] Harrold AT, Walker , Treatment & prognosis in congenital clubfoot, J Bone surg Br,1983, 65, 8-11
- [14] Cerullij G, Delia Torre P, Results of manipulative treatment of congenital clubfoot, Ital J Orthop Traumatol, 1977, 3(2), 179-189
- [15] Tindall AJ, Steinlechner CW, Mannion S et al., Results of manipulation of idiopathic clubfoot deformity in malawi by orthopedic clinical officers using ponseti method ; a realistic alternative for developing world ?, J Pediatric orthop,2005, 25, 627-629
- [16] Morcuende JA, Dolon LA, Dietz FR, Ponseti method, Pediatrics, 2004,113, 376-800
- [17] Gibbons PJ, Gray K, Update on clubfoot, J Pediatric child health, 2013, 49(9), 434-437
- [18] Halanski MA, Davison JE, Huang et al , Ponseti method compared with surgical treatment of clubfoot; A prospective comparision , J Bone joint surg Am, 2010, 92, 270-278
- [19] Manes E, Costa CM, Innao V, The treatment of congenital clubfoot during 1st year of life, Chir organi Mov, 1975, 62, 301-314
- [20] Blackey NJ, Smith MGH., The treatment of congenital clubfoot, J Bone Joint surg Br, 1966, 48-B, 660-665
- [21] Jowett CR, Morcuende JA, Ramachandran M, Management of CTEV using Ponseti method ; A systematic review , J Bone & joint surg Br, 2011, 93(9), 1160-1164
- [22] Eberherdt, Peterlein, Fernandaz, Wirth T, Midterm results of idiopathic clubfoot treated by Ponseti method, J orthop unfall, 2012, 150(2), 190-197
- [23] Bor N, Coplan JA, Herzenberg JE, Ponseti method for idiopathic clubfoot; min 5 year follow up, Clin.orthop Relat Res, 2009, 467(5), 1263-1270
- [24] Segar E, Keret D,Lokiec F et al., Early experience with Ponseti method for the treatment of congenital idiopathic clubfoot, Isr Med Assoc J, 2005, 7(5), 307-310
- [25] Chu A, Labar AS, Sala et al., Clubfoot classification : correlation with ponseti treatment; J Pediatr orthop , 2010, 30(7), 695-699
- [26] Halanski MA, Davison JE, Huang JC, Walker CG et al., Ponseti method compared to surgical management of clubfoot : A prospective comparision, J Bone joint surg Am, 2010,92, 270-278
- [27] Atul bhaskar, Piyush patni : classification of clubfoot relapse pattern treated with ponseti technique, Indian J orthop(serial online) 2013. Avail.from:<http://www.ijoonline.com/text.asp?2013/47/370/114921>.