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Abstract: Allelochemicals and physical barriers such as wax of leaf surfaces, trichomes, cell wall thickness and lignifications of host plants play important role in unsuitability of prey to predatory lady beetles. Four economically important host plants of family Fabaceae (Phaseolus sinensis, Lablab purpureus, Vigna radiata and Vigna mungo) infested by Aphis craccivora (Hemiptera: Aphididae) were selected for experiment to observe the growth pattern of one of the most potent predator of aphids, Cheilomenes sexmaculata (Fabric.) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). The significant variation was observed in the length and width of grubs of each instar stage of predator when preyed on A. craccivora on these host plants. The maximum growth of each instars were observed on P. sinensis followed by L. purpureus, V. radiata and V. mungo. The highest length (7.29±0.15mm) and (1.32±0.06mm) in width of 4th instar larvae was recorded on P. sinensis than other host plants at 19.45±0.55°C and 60.85±1.015% RH. This variation is observed significant by ANOVA test for length (F1=28922.7, F2=18.6; P<0.05) and for breadth (F1=13504.3, F2=44.1429; P<0.05). Similarly, the developmental period of C. sexmaculata was also found to be host plant dependent. The longest developmental period was recorded on V. mungo (17.64±0.24 days) and shortest on P. sinensis (14.00±0.02 days). This difference is also observed significant between each instars and host plant by analysis of variance test (F1=197.667, F2=60.333; P<0.05). It is observed that P. sinensis is most suitable host plants for the growth and development of Larvae of C. sexmaculata. V. radiata and V. mungo were the less suitable plants probably due to presence of allelochemicals and trichomes on the leaves which directly affect the searching efficiency of predator.
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1. Introduction

Coccinellids are the foundation for integrated pest management IPM and core of sustainable agricultural development (Dufour, 2001). They have been found significant role in reducing aphid population (Hzdek, 1973; Agarwala and Chaudhari, 1995; Mari et al., 2005; Olmez Bayhan et al., 2006). Many physiological, ecological and behavioral aspects are governed by interaction with organism from other tropic levels (host plant- prey-predator or parasitoids). Plants insect interaction is a dynamic system. Plants challenged by insects due to changes in compositions and physical properties of cell wall as well as biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (Hopkins and Huner, 2004). Commonly plants produce a large variety of secondary metabolites like phenol, tannins, terpenoids, alkaloids, polyacetylene, fatty acids, steroids, which have an allelopathic effect on the growth and development of the same plant or neighboring plants (Rice, 1992; Khan et. al., 2009; Jayaraman and Ramalingam 2014). Phenolic compounds also function as antimicrobial, antioxidant or chemical toxins in plants and repel would be predators (Mccue and Shetty, 2001). Secondary plant substances, allelochemical impacts gave opportunities to better understand interaction of the plant-aphid- ladybeetles tritropic model and demonstrated that successful biological control of pests must integrate the environmental aspects of each tropic level.

2. Material and Methods

The culture of large number of larvae and adult predator of C. sexmaculata was established in the laboratory in order to supply aphids reared on different host plants viz., Phaseolus sinensis, Lablab purpureus, Vigna mungo and Vigna radiata for the experiment. Fresh aphids were also collected daily with infested leaves of each host plants from experimental field and supplied as food. Mating pairs were collected from the stock culture and beetles were reared on aphids on its host plants in separate beaker (25cmx10cm) at room temperature. The filter paper was placed in the bottom of beaker and top covered by muslin cloth. The eggs laid by these pairs on different host plants were used in experiments. Fresh eggs were collected from stock culture from each host plants. After hatching of eggs, the grubs were transferred individually to another beakers (25cmx10cm) with fresh 100 aphids/predator of mix age with twig/leaves of food plants to avoid canabilism on different host plants viz., L. purpureus, P. sinensis, V. mungo and V. radiata. During post–embryonic developmental period, size of each instar stage was measured. Fresh aphids were provided daily to each larva till the pupation.

3. Results

During the study, C. sexmaculata moulted thrice and passes through four larval stages (Plate: 1-4). Significant variation was observed on growth and development of larvae on different host plants at 19.45±0.55°C and 60.85±1.015% RH. The maximum length and width of 1st instar larvae were
recorded on *P. sinensis* (1.48±0.11mm; 0.44±0.1mm) and minimum on *V. radiata* (1.38±0.13mm; 0.39±0.02mm). This variation is observed significant by ANOVA test for length and width (*F*1=28922.7, *F*2=18.6) (*F*1=13504.3, *F*2=44.14; *P*<0.05) (Table-1&2). However, the minimum development period of 1st instars was recorded on *P. sinensis* (4.4±0.13 days) and maximum on *V. mungo* (5.4±0.13 days). Similar, results were also observed on 2nd, 3rd and 4th instar stages larvae (Table: 1, 2). Total larval period was recorded minimum on *P. sinensis* (14.00±0.00 days) and maximum on *V. mungo* (17.6±0.24 days) (Table-3). The effect of food plants/ prey quality on larval development of *C. sexmaculata* is observed significant (*F*1=197.667, *F*2=60.333; *P*<0.05).

### Table 1: Average length (mm) of grubs of *C. sexmaculata* on *A. craccivora* among host plants (mean ± SE).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host plants</th>
<th>1st instar</th>
<th>2nd instar</th>
<th>3rd instar</th>
<th>4th instar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. sinensis</em></td>
<td>1.48±0.11</td>
<td>4.29±0.12</td>
<td>5.99±0.19</td>
<td>7.29±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. purpureus</em></td>
<td>1.41±0.09</td>
<td>4.24±0.14</td>
<td>5.83±0.12</td>
<td>7.16±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>V. radiata</em></td>
<td>1.40±0.08</td>
<td>4.23±0.11</td>
<td>5.83±0.11</td>
<td>7.16±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>V. mungo</em></td>
<td>1.38±0.02</td>
<td>4.21±0.01</td>
<td>5.77±0.08</td>
<td>7.10±0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Average breadth (mm) of grubs of *C. sexmaculata* on *A. craccivora* among host plants (mean ± SE).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host plants</th>
<th>1st instar</th>
<th>2nd instar</th>
<th>3rd instar</th>
<th>4th instar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. sinensis</em></td>
<td>0.44±0.01</td>
<td>0.78±0.03</td>
<td>0.85±0.04</td>
<td>1.32±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. purpureus</em></td>
<td>0.41±0.01</td>
<td>0.75±0.04</td>
<td>0.83±0.03</td>
<td>1.29±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>V. radiata</em></td>
<td>0.41±0.01</td>
<td>0.74±0.03</td>
<td>0.82±0.05</td>
<td>1.29±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>V. mungo</em></td>
<td>0.39±0.02</td>
<td>0.74±0.02</td>
<td>0.79±0.04</td>
<td>1.27±0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Developmental period (in days) of grubs of *C. sexmaculata* on *A. craccivora* among host plants (mean ± SE).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host plants</th>
<th>1st instar</th>
<th>2nd instar</th>
<th>3rd instar</th>
<th>4th instar</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. sinensis</em></td>
<td>4.4±0.13</td>
<td>3.4±0.24</td>
<td>3.2±0.20</td>
<td>3.0±0.00</td>
<td>14.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. purpureus</em></td>
<td>4.8±0.19</td>
<td>3.8±0.19</td>
<td>3.6±0.24</td>
<td>3.2±0.19</td>
<td>15.6±0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>V. radiata</em></td>
<td>5.2±0.20</td>
<td>4.2±0.20</td>
<td>3.8±0.20</td>
<td>3.4±0.24</td>
<td>16.6±0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>V. mungo</em></td>
<td>5.4±0.13</td>
<td>4.4±0.13</td>
<td>4.2±0.08</td>
<td>3.6±0.13</td>
<td>17.6±0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plate 1: 1st Instar stage of *C. sexmaculata*

Plate 2: 2nd Instar stage of *C. sexmaculata*

Plate 3: 3rd Instar stage of *C. sexmaculata*

Plate 4: 4th Instar stage of *C. sexmaculata*
larval development varies, when same source of food (A. gossypii) was provided on two different host plants. It is clear evidence from earlier works that the host plants play important role on the relative duration of instars of C. sexmaculata and found to be substantial and vary with the plant varieties. Pandi et al., (2012) found that grub of C. sexmaculata took 8.2±0.38 days during development on A. craccivora at 27±1ºC and 60±5% RH. However, Nyaanga et al. (2012) reported that the quality of food and environmental factors like temperature, humidity also play an important role on different aspects of the biology of (Cheilomenes lunata) coccinellid beetles.

The consensus results indicated strongly that host plant of aphid influence the larval development of C. sexmaculata. The Larvae of ladybeetle species when consumed A. craccivora feed on P. sinensis shows faster development than those consumed aphids from V. mungo. Moreover, Larvae of C. sexmaculata which reared on V. radiata and V. mungo showed slow development and high mortality. These results, partially explained by allelochemical compounds of host plants V. mungo and V. radiata may be toxic to predator which caused slow development and death of larvae of C. sexmaculata. Taggar et al. (2014) reported that phloem feeding whitely induces oxidative stress on V. mungo (black gram) and induction of high levels of antioxidative compounds may probably play significant role in host plant defence. Plant antioxidant compound such as phenolics are believed to play an important role in chemical defence against herbivores (Appel, 1993).

Chemical constitutions of host plant are the one of explanation of unsuitability or suitable prey to predator (Omkar and Mishra 2005, Chowdhary et al., 2008). Secondary plants substances allelochemicals such as linamarin acted as defensive compound to reduce ability of herbivore to utilize plant protein, resulting reduced quality of prey decreased the development of predator (Riddick et al., 2011). The better development and survival of C. sexmaculata when reared on aphid from P. sinensis and L. purpureus was likely favourable for suitability of A. craccivora to larval growth of C. sexmaculata. Generally coccinellids more preffered prey species supported performance of their larvae and adults (essential prey) than poor prey species (alternative prey) (Omkar and Mishra 2005; Cabral et al., 2006; Giorgi et al., 2009).

Larval of C. sexmaculata had shows, slow development with minimum body size took longer period minimum on V. mungo and V. radiata, this may be also due to presence of trichomes on leaves surfaces (Plate:5&6). V. mungo have much trichomes than V. radiata thus, density of trichomes also affect the searching efficiency of predator which directly affect their development. Thus, due to prolonged searching time less number of aphids were consumed by predator and also developed slowly. Rattanapun (2012) also reported, less consumption of aphids and longer larval development of C. sexmaculata which is also similar to present observation. Presence of trichomes on host plants also affects the searching efficiency of predator. Similarly, Southwood (1986) and Werker (2000) reported that the morphological and density of trichomes vary considerably among plant species, Some trichomes have glands that

4. Discussion

Tank and Korat (2007) reported the average size (length and width) of 1st to 4th instar larvae of C. sexmaculata (1.41±0.16mm; 0.42±0.02mm); (4.25±0.18; 0.75±0.17 mm); (5.83±0.29mm; 0.83±0.05 mm) and (7.17±0.20mm; 1.29±0.14mm) respectively when preyed on A. gossypii which is also similar to present observation. Presence of trichomes on host plants also affects the searching efficiency of predator. Simillarly, Southwood (1986) and Werker (2000) reported that the morphological and density of trichomes vary considerably among plant species. Some trichomes have glands that
release secondary metabolites. (terpenes, alkaloids) which can be poisonous repellent or trap insects (Duffey, 1986). The differences in morphological structures and secondary plant substance composition and utilization by specialist and generalist pests may constitute useful information to designed biological control of aphid pests by predator.

5. Conclusion

In the present study we investigated the effect of host plants on the growth and development of C. sexmaculata. As we know that nutritional value, secondary chemistry and morphology of plants can influence both size and developmental period of predator. On the basis of present studies it is concluded that host plants play an important role in the suitability of prey for development of predators. Such type of information is very useful to designing the biological control programme of aphids.
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