

# Construction of Upper Bound of Minimum Weight of An Even Formally Self Dual Code Over GF(4)

Dr. Mary Jansi Rani<sup>1</sup>, J. Princivishvamar<sup>2</sup>, K. Abinaya Priya<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Head and Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Thanthai Hans Roever College, Perambalur

<sup>2,3</sup> Research Associate, Thanthai Hans Roever College, Perambalur

**Abstract:** In this correspondence, we have constructed an upper bound of minimum weight of an even formally self dual code over GF(4). We have related the properties of self dual codes over GF(4) and discussed to isolate two classes of codes for which the minimum distance  $d \leq 2\lfloor n/6 \rfloor$ . They have established that the minimum weight of an even formally self dual code of length  $n$  satisfies  $d \leq 2\lfloor n/6 \rfloor + 2$  except for the cases  $n = 12$  and  $n = 14$ .

**Keywords:** Minimum distance, Upper bound, Self dual codes, Formally self dual codes, Weight enumerator, External weight enumerator

## 1. Introduction

Self dual codes are important for a number of practical and theoretical reasons [1,6,7,9,20-26,28,30,32]. These codes are of greatest interest when the weight of the code words are divisible by a constant. A theorem of Gleason, Pierce and Turyn [3,4,36] says that for except for certain trivial codes with minimum distance 2, there are only three cases in which a self dual or formally self dual code over a field GF(q) can have all weights divisible by a constant  $c > 1$  namely,

- a)  $q = 2, c = 2$  or 4
- b)  $q = 3, c = 3$
- c)  $q = 4, c = 2$ .

(a) and (b) have been considered in several papers. (c) is discussed exhaustively in P.J. MacWilliams, An odyzko, NJA Sloane and 1+N Ward [2]. In the present paper we consider to isolate two classes of codes for which the minimum distance  $d \leq 2\lfloor n/6 \rfloor$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

A linear code of length  $n$  over GF(4) is a  $K$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_4^n$  where  $\mathbb{F}_4$  is a field of 4 elements  $0, 1, \alpha, \beta$  with  $\beta = \alpha^2 = \alpha + 1, \alpha^3 = \beta^3 = 1$ . A linear code  $C$  over a  $\mathbb{F}_4$  of length  $n$  consists of  $4^k$  vectors  $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n), u_i \in \mathbb{F}_4, i=1, 2, \dots, n$  for  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in C, \vec{u} + \vec{v} \in C$  and  $f\vec{u} \in C$  where  $f \in \mathbb{F}_4$ . The minimum weight of  $C$  is

$$d = \min \{ \text{wt}(\vec{u}), \vec{u} \neq \vec{0}, \vec{u} \in C \}$$

We recall that the weight of  $\vec{u}$  written  $\text{wt}(\vec{u})$  is the number of non-zero  $T \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$ , the sum evaluated in  $\mathbb{F}_4$ .

The dual code  $C^\perp$  of  $C$  is given by  $C^\perp = \{ \vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_4^n, \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 0 \text{ for all } \vec{u} \in C \}$

If  $C$  is an  $[n, k, d]$  code  $C^\perp$  is an  $[n, n-k, d^\perp]$  code where  $d^\perp \neq d$  in general.

The conjugate of  $t \in \mathbb{F}_4$  is denoted by  $\bar{t}$  and  $\bar{\bar{t}} = t^2, \vec{u} = \{ \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2, \dots, \bar{u}_n \}$ .  
 $\bar{C} = \{ \vec{u}; \vec{u} \in C \}$

The concatenation of two vectors  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{v}$  is the vector

$$|\vec{u} | \vec{v} | = (u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n, v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n).$$

Let  $C$  and  $D$  be two linear codes of dimensions  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  respectively over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ .

The direct sum  $C \oplus D$  of  $C$  and  $D$  is given by

$$C \oplus D = \{ |\vec{u} | \vec{v} | ; \vec{u} \in C, \vec{v} \in D \}.$$

If  $b = C \oplus D$  where  $C \neq \emptyset, D \neq \emptyset$  then  $b$  is called a decomposable code. Otherwise it is indecomposable.

If  $C$  is an  $[n_1, k_1, d_1]$  code and  $D$  is an  $[n_2, k_2, d_2]$  code over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  then  $b = C \oplus D$  is an  $[n_1+n_2, k_1+k_2, \min(d_1, d_2)]$  code.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $A_i$  denote the number of code words of weight  $i$  in  $C$ . The weight enumerator of  $C$  is given by

$$W_C(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i x^{n-i} y^i$$

**Definition 2.2** The complete weight enumerator of  $C$  is the polynomial,  $CWC_C(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{i,j,k,l} A_{i,j,k,l} x^i y^j z^k t^l$

where  $A_{i,j,k,l}$  is the number of code words in  $C$  containing  $i$  0's and  $j$  1's  $k$   $\alpha$ 's and  $l$   $\beta$ 's it is observed that  $CWC_C(x, y, y, y) = W_C(x, y)$

A linear code  $C$  is called even if all the codes have even weight.  $C$  is called formally self-dual if  $C$  and  $C^\perp$  have the same weight enumerator

$$W_C(x, y).$$

$C$  is said to be weakly self-dual if  $C \subset C^\perp$ ,  $C$  is called strictly self-dual if  $C = C^\perp$ .

### 3. Weight Enumerator of an Even Formally Self Dual Code

We can write the enumerator of an even formally self dual code in a particular form. As any positive integer is in one forms  $3m, 3m+1$  or  $3m+2$ . We take  $n = 2(3m+v)$  where  $v = 0, 1$  or  $2$  then

$$W_c(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \eta_2^{n/2-3i} \theta_6^i \dots \dots \dots (1) \text{ for suitable coefficients } a_i \text{ which are rational numbers .}$$

In (1) we can choose  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$  are chosen that  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m}, a_{2m+1}$  are zero as also  $a_{2m+3}, a_{2m+5}, \dots, a_{3m}$  and  $a_{3m+2}$  are zero if  $m$  is odd and  $3m+1, 3m-1, \dots$  are zero if  $m$  is even. So we can rewrite (1) as

$$x^n + 0.x^{n-1}y + \dots + 0.x^{n-2m-1}y^{2m+1} + A_{m+2}x^{n-2m-2}y^{2m+2} + A_{2m+4}x^{n-2m-4}y^{2m+4} + \dots = \eta_n(\text{say}) \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

The values of  $a_i$  ( $= 0$  or otherwise) can be determined uniquely using  $\eta_2$  and  $\theta_6$ .

**Definition 3.1** Let  $\eta_n$  given in (2) is called the external weight enumerator. If  $A_{2m+2}^* \neq 0$  then  $C$  has minimum weight  $\leq 2m+2$ . If  $C$  has minimum weight  $= 2m+2$ . Then equation (2) we have  $W_c(x, y) = \eta_n$

$$2m+2 = 2\left[\frac{n}{6}\right] + 2$$

Where  $[x]$  denotes the greatest integer not greater than  $x$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For  $n=12$ , the even formally self dual code denoted by  $E_{12}$  has minimum weight  $4 = 2[n/6] < 2[n/6] + 2$ .

**Theorem 3.1** For an even formally self dual code  $[n, n/d, d]$  if  $d < 2[n/6] + 2$  then  $d \leq 2[n/6]$ .

**Proof** If  $d < 2[n/6] + 2$  then  
 $d/2 < [n/6] + 1$  (or)  
 $d/2 \leq [n/6] \Rightarrow d \leq 2[n/6]$ .

**Remark** The upper bound is reached in the case of a  $[12, 6, 4]$  code it is known [1]

**Fact 1** In (4)  $A_{2m+4}^*$  is negative for  $n \geq 102$ , if  $n = 6m$  and  $A_{2m+4}^*$  is negative for  $n \geq 122$  if  $n = 6m+1$ . Further  $A_{2m+4}^*$  is negative for  $n \geq 122$  if  $n = 6m+2$ . So, there is no code has weight enumerator  $\eta_n$  for these values of  $n$ .

**Fact 2** Let  $b$  be any constant, suppose that  $a_i$  in (1) are chosen that,  
 $X^n + A_d x^{n-d} y^d + A_{d+2} x^{n-d-2} y^{d+2} + \dots$  Where  $d \geq 2[n/6] + 2 - 2b$ . Then one of the coefficients  $A_d, A_{d+2}, \dots$  is negative for all sufficiently large  $n, \eta_{12} = x^{12} + 3y^{12}$ . There is no linear code with weight enumerator  $\eta_{12}$ . However if

$$W_c(x, y) = \eta_{12} + A_6 \theta_6^2$$

$W_c(x, y)$  is the weight enumerator of a code of length 12.  $W = \eta_{14} + A_4 \eta_2 \theta_6^2$  is the weight enumerator of a code of length 14.  $A_4$  is the number of code words of minimum weight 4.

### 4. Construction of Code

Let  $C_i$  be an  $[n_i, k_i, d_i]$   $q$ -ary linear code with generator matrix  $G_i$   $i=1,2$ . If  $n_1 = k_2$ , then we can combine these two code to get another new code. We assume that  $k_1 > k_2$ .

If  $n_1 = k_2$  and  $n_2 > n_1$ , then  $C_1$  is an  $[n_1, k_1, d_1]$  code and  $C_2$  is an

$[n_2, n_1, d_2]$  Code. Let as take

$G_1 = [I_{k_1} | A_1]$  and  $G_2 = [I_{n_1} | A_2]$  where  $A_1$  is  $k_1 \times (n_1 - k_1)$  matrix and  $A_2$  is  $n_1 \times (n_2 - n_1)$  matrix. Since  $n_2 > n_1$  implies  $n_2 - n_1 > 0$ .

$$\text{Let } G = G_1 G_2, \text{ then } G = G_1 G_2 = [I_{k_1} | A_1] [I_{n_1} | A_2] = [I_{k_1} | A_1 | G A_2]$$

Clearly all rows of  $G$  are linearly independent and hence  $G$  generates an  $[n_2, k_1, d]$   $q$ -ary linear code. Since  $G = [G_1 | G_1 A_2]$  and  $G_1 A_2$  is an

$k_1 \times (n_2 - n_1)$  matrix, therefore  $d_1 \leq d \leq d_1 + n_2 - n_1$ .

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $C_i$  be an  $[n_i, k_i, d_i]$   $i = 1, 2$   $q$ -ary linear code. If  $n_1 = k_2$  and  $n_2 > n_1$ , then there exists an  $[n_2, k_1, d]$   $q$ -ary code such that  $d_1 \leq d \leq d_1 + n_2 - n_1$ .

Let  $C_1$  be an  $[n, k, d]$   $q$ -ary linear code with generator matrix  $G_1$  and let  $G_2$  be an  $[n+1, n, 2]$   $q$ -ary linear code with generator matrix  $G_2$ . Without loss of generality, we can take  $G_2$  as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ I_n \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then  $G = G_1 G_2$  is a  $K \times n+1$  matrix, This implies

$$G = G_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ I_n \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} G = \left[ G_1 I_n | G_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

This implies  $G$  generates an  $[n+1, k, d]$  code  $C$  and the minimum distance  $d(C)$  must be greater than or equal to  $d$ .

Since  $G_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is  $k \times 1$  column matrix, therefore  $d(C)$  is either  $d$  or  $d+1$ .

### References

- [1] E.R.Berlekamp P.J.Macwilliams and N.J.A Sloane, Gleasars theorem on self-dual codes IEEE Trans, Information Theory 18[1972]409-414.
- [2] E.R.Berlekamp [1968] Algebraic coding theory, McGraw-Hill Newyork.
- [3] J.H.Canway and V.Pless, on the enumeration of self-dual codes, J.combinatorial theory series A 28 [1980], 26-53 see [12] for errata.
- [4] P.J.Macwilliams, A.M.Odlyzko, N.J.A Sloane and H.N Ward - self - dual codes over GF [4] J.combinatorial theory series A 25 [1978] pp 288-318.
- [5] C.Huffman, V.Pless, Fundamentals of error correcting codes, Cambridge university press, Indian Edn [2004].
- [6] P.J. Macwilliams and N.J.A Solane, the theory of error - correcting codes, North-Holland Amsterdam, 1977.
- [7] F.J.Macwilliams, C.L.Mallows and N.J.A Solane, generalizations of Gleasors theorem or weight enumerators of self-dual codes, IEEE Trans. Information theory, 18[1972], 794-805.