# Awareness of Students of Applied Medical Sciences Faculty Regarding Needle Stick and Sharp Injuries (NSSIs). Taif University, Taif, KSA

Ahmed A.I. Elryah<sup>1</sup>, Hanan A. M. yousef<sup>2</sup>, Khaled A. D. Khader<sup>3</sup>, Higazi M. Awad<sup>4</sup>, Daghass<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1.2.3</sup> Assistant professors, Nursing Department, faculty of Applied Medical Science, Taif University, KSA.

<sup>4</sup> Assistant professors, of Medical-Surgical Nursing, faculty of nursing, Shendi University

<sup>5</sup> Nursing students, faculty of Applied Medical Science - Taif University

**Abstract**: Applied medical sciences students (particularly nursing and laboratory) are at high risk for needle stick and sharp injuries, especially when there are lack of experiences and awareness. This study aimed to assess the awareness of applied medical sciences students regarding needle stick and sharps injuries and to determine the prevalence of NSSIs among those students. A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted by self-administered questionnaire on a representative random sample taken from nursing and laboratory department students. The total of students involved in this study was 92, (49 were from nursing department) and (43 were from laboratory department). 45.7%, of them were exposing to NSSIs and 89.1% were fully vaccinated against HBV. The orientation for the students about the infection control recommendations and guidelines is mandatory and students are advised to avoid recapping of used needle, however in case of exposure to NSSIs, the students should have report this incidence to their clinical instructors immediately.

Keywords: Awareness, Needlestick and Sharp Injuries, Blood Borne Viruses, Students.

#### 1. Introduction

Needlestick and sharp injuries (NSSIs) can increase the risk of blood borne pathogens infection. A Needlestick injury is a percutaneous piercing wound typically set by a needle point, but possibly also by other sharp instruments or objects. Commonly encountered by people handling needles in the medical setting, such injuries are an occupational hazard in the medical community <sup>1</sup>. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that about 385,000 sharps-related injuries occur annually among HCP in hospitals<sup>2</sup>. In 2011, the percutaneous injury rate was 19.46 per 100 occupied beds<sup>3</sup>. Sharps injuries are primarily associated with occupational transmission of hepatitis **B** virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), but they may be implicated in the transmission of more than 20 other pathogens Exposure Prevention Network (EPINet) suggests that one of every ten HCP has a needlestick exposure each year.<sup>6</sup>. Despite their seriousness as a medical event, needlestick injuries have been neglected.<sup>7</sup>. On the other hand, as needlesticks have been recognized as occupational hazards, their prevention has become the subject of regulations in an effort to reduce and eliminate this preventable event.<sup>8</sup>. Underreporting of exposures remains a distinct problem, even in institutions that provide easily accessible reporting systems<sup>9</sup>. EPINet data from 2011 documented approximately 700 percutaneous injuries. Of those, nurses reported the most frequent number, followed by attending clinicians, and medical trainees such as medical students, interns, residents, and fellows 10.

Among health care personnel (HCP) trainees, only about 50 percent of percutaneous exposures are reported to occupational health <sup>11</sup>. The most common reason that such

injuries were not reported was lack of time. According to data from the CDC, 18 percent of HCP trainees (e.g. interns, residents, and fellows) sustain a percutaneous exposure annually<sup>11</sup>.

Long work hours and sleep deprivation result in fatigue, which is associated with a threefold increase in the risk of needlestick injuries <sup>12.13</sup>. The two most common devices involved in percutaneous injuries include disposable syringes and suture needles <sup>10</sup>. These sharp devices are most commonly used for suturing, administering injections, or drawing venous blood. Minimizing risks to HCP for acquisition of bloodborne pathogens should be an integral part of the infection control and occupational health programs in all healthcare facilities<sup>7.14.</sup> <sup>15.</sup> All healthcare facilities are required by Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA) to undertake measures to reduce occupational exposures to bloodborne pathogens, and include the use of engineering controls that minimize the risk of sharp injuries (e.g., needleless intravenous medication systems, blunted suture needles)  $^{10.16}$ . The key measures required by (OSHA) include the following; all HCP with "reasonably anticipated" exposure to blood must receive yearly education on the epidemiology of bloodborne pathogen transmission and means of minimizing such risks; and all atrisk HCP must be offered hepatitis B immunization at no cost to the employee. Healthcare facilities must provide personal protective equipment (PPE) and HCP must use PPE when performing procedures during which it is reasonably anticipated that exposure to blood might occur.

#### 2. Methodology

**Design**: This is descriptive cross-sectional study carried out to assess the awareness of applied medical sciences students regarding needle stick and sharps injuries.

**Setting**: This study was conducted at faculty of applied medical sciences (CAMS), in this faculty there are four departments (Nursing, Laboratory, Physical therapy and Radiology). This study involve nursing and laboratory department students, Physical therapy and Radiology students were excluded because they were at low risk to contact of (NSSIs).

**Subjects and sampling:** The study sample was taken from undergraduate nursing and laboratory. The sample was derived from the second, third, fourth year and bridging students from both departments. The first year students were excluded from the study because they were not starting their clinical practice. The total of students participated in this study were 92; (49 nursing and 43 laboratory).

**Data collection:** The data was collected by selfadministered questionnaire consisted of three parts; part one include questions about sociodemographic features of students such as (department, academic year, vaccination and immunity status). Part two include questions about student's knowledge about NSSIs such as viruses transmitted by NSSIs, incidences of NSSIs among students, recapping of needle ...ect. Part three consisted of questions about attitude and awareness of students NSSIs; such as prevention of NSSIs, factors increased risk for NSSIs, and actions should be done after exposure to NSSIs.

**Data collection technique (procedure):** before distribution of questionnaires each student was informed about the objectives of the study. The total of questionnaires distributed was 115, the returned questionnaires with full answered was 92. (Return percent was 80). For this study the data was collected during academic day, each student was allowed 30 to 60 minutes to answer the questionnaire.

**Data analysis:** For this the data was analyzed by SPSS version 20. Chi- square test was used to compare the independent variables with the characteristics such as expose to needle stick injuries, hepatitis B vaccine and to compare the awareness between nursing and laboratory students.

## 3. Results

In this study the data was gathered from 92 male students. About half of students were from nursing department 53.3%, the rest were from laboratory department. Majority of student 89.1% were vaccinated against hepatitis B virus and near to half of them were known their immune status against this virus. More details regarding students` demographics are presented in *Table 1*.

Item	Demographic	Frequency	Percentage		
	characteristics				
Department	Nursing	49	53.3%		
	Laboratory	43	47.7%		
Academic year	Third year	12	13%		
	Fourth year	31	33.7%		
	Bridging	49	53.3%		
Hepatitis B	Yes	79	89.1%		
vaccination	No	13	14.1%		
Immune status	Positive	50	54.3%		
	Negative	42	45.7%		

Table 1: Shows the demographic characteristics of students

Table 2 represents students` knowledge and attitude towards needle stick and sharp injuries. This table reveals that 45.7% of students were exposed to needle stick and sharp injuries during their practices and 59% of them were reported this incidence to their clinical instructors. In addition, 85.9% of students were using gloves for venopuncture procedure and 73.9% of them were believed that needle stick and sharp injuries are preventable.

Table 2: Shows knowledge and attitude of students regarding needle stick and sharp injuries:

Table 2. Shows knowledge and attitude of student	is regarding needle stick and sh	up injuit	65.	
Questions	Options	N	Percentage	
Which of the flowing viruses are highest risk to be transmitted by needle	HIV	45	48.9%	
stick and sharp injuries?	HBV	25	27.2%	
	HCV	22	23.9%	
Did you ever have needle stick or sharp injury?	Yes	42	45.7%	
	No	50	54.3%	
When you had a needle stick injury, are reported this incidence to your	Reported	25	59.5%	
clinical instructor ?	Not reported	17	40.5%	
Using of gloves for venopucture procedures.	venopucture procedures. Yes			
	No	13	14.1%	
Did you recap the needle post venopuncture procedure?	Yes	59	64.1%	
	No	33	35.9%	
In case you have to recapped the needle, are you using one hand	By using one hand technique	49	53.3%	
technique (scoop method) for recapping?	By using two hands	43	47.3%	
Are you heard about needleless safety device?	Yes	60	65.2%	
	No	32	34.8%	
Needle stick or sharp injuries are preventable	Yes	68	73.9%	
- · -	No	24	26.1%	

Table 3 expounds the awareness of students regarding needle stick and sharp injuries (NSSIs), 28.3% of students were not known post needle stick injury recommendations such as milking out of more blood from injured site. Most needle stick sharp injuries have been neglected and not

reported this was agreed by 62% of students. Whereas majority75% of students were believed that most injuries occur during recapping of used needles.

## International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2013): 6.14 | Impact Factor (2013): 4.438

Table 3: Shows awareness of students regarding needle stick and sharp injuries (NSSIs):

Items **Options** Ν Percentage Agree 57 62 Most NSSIs have been neglected and not reported. Disagree 8 8.7 29.3 Don't know 27 40.2 37 Agree Post NSSIs the recommendation is to milk out more 29 31.5 Disagree blood from injured site? Don't know 26 28.3 65 70.7 Agree Post NSSIs the affected area should be immediately Disagree 5 5.4 washed thoroughly with soap and water? Don't know 22 23.9 72.8 67 Agree Post- exposure prophylaxis (PEP) should be initiated 7 7.6 Disagree within one hour of the injury; Don't know 18 19.6 Agree 69 75 Most injuries occur during recapping of used needles Disagree 16 17.4 7.6 Don't know 7 74 80.5 Agree Post injury the exposed person should be monitor for at 6 6.5 Disagree least 6 months after exposure Don't know 12 13



## Figure 1: illustrate students` view regarding factors predisposing for needlesticks and sharp injuries

Table 4 presents the comparison of students' exposure to Needlestick and sharp injuries, according to their academic year, departments, using of gloves for venopuncture and Hepatitis B vaccination. It was found that there was a significant relation between departments (p=0.014) in regard to status of Hepatitis B vaccine, which mean 95.3% of laboratory students was vaccinated against hepatitis B virus Whereas only 77.6% of nursing students were vaccinated against it.

### International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2013): 6.14 | Impact Factor (2013): 4.438

 Table 4: Compare the exposure of students to Needlestick and sharp injuries, according to their academic year, departments, using of gloves for venopuncture and Hepatitis B vaccination

Characteris	Did you ever have needle stick or sharp							Are using of glove for venopuncture?					
tic	Yes		No				Yes		No		$\mathbf{X}^2$	P-	
					$\mathbf{X}^2$	P-	n	%	n	%		valu	
Academic	n	%	n	%		valu						e	
Third year	7	17%	5	10%			9	11.4	3	23%	1.	0.50	
Fourth year	15	36%	1	32%	1.	0.51	2	34.2	4	31%	35	9	
Bridging	20	40.9	2	59.1	33	3	4	87.7	6	12.3%	1		
	Did you ever have needle stick or sharp							Hepatitis B vaccine status					
Department	Yes			No	$\mathbf{X}^2$	P-	V	Vaccin Not vaccinated		t vaccinated	$\mathbf{X}^2$	P-	
•	n	%	Ν	%		valu	n	%	n	%		valu	
Nursing	22	52.8	2	54%	.0	.887	3	77.6	1	22.4%	5.	0.01	
Laboratory	20	40.9	2	59.1	24		4	95.3	2	4.7%	97	4	

Table 5 shows the comparison of students' characteristics with reporting of needlestick injuries to clinical instructors and the technique used to recap used needles in case they have to recap them. It was found that there was a significant relation between departments (p=0.014) in regard to status of Hepatitis B vaccine, which mean 95.3% of laboratory students was vaccinated against hepatitis B virus Whereas only 77.6% of nursing students were vaccinated against it. In this table there was no significant relation between academic year and departments (p>0.05) in regard to reporting of Needlestick injuries to clinical instructors.

**Table 5:** Compares the student's characteristics with reporting of needlestick injuries to clinical instructors and the technique used to recap used needles in case they have to recap them

	useu io	) used nee	li case u	ney have to recap them										
Characteris	in case you have to recap the needle are							Reporting of needlestick injuries to clinical						
tic	you using one hand technique or two hand							instructors						
	One hand		Two hands				Re	Reported Not reporte		Not reported	$\mathbf{X}^2$	P-		
	technique		technique		$\mathbf{X}^2$	P-	n	%	n	%				
Academic	n	%	n	%		valu								
Third year	8	66.7	4	33.3%	2.	0.25	3	37.5	5	62.5%	2.	0.24		
Fourth year	13	41.9	18	58.1%	76	2	1	73.3	4	26.7%	81	4		
Bridging	28	57.1	21	42.9%	0		1	57.9	8	42.1%	9			
	in ca	in case you have to recap the needle are							Reporting of needlestick injuries to clinical					
Department	you us	you using one hand technique or two hand						instructors						
	One hand		d Two hands		$\mathbf{X}^2$	P-	Re	eported		Not reported	$\mathbf{X}^2$	P-		
	technique		technique			valu		-		-		valu		
	n	%	Ν	%		е	n	%	n	%				
Nursing	28	57.1	21	42.9%	.6	0.27	1	56.5	1	43.5%	0.	0.45		
Laboratory	21	48.8	22	51.2%	35	9	1	63.2	7	36.8%	19	3		

## 4. Discussion

92 male students were participated in this study, from two departments; 49(53.3%) were nursing students, 43(47.7%) were laboratory students. Hepatitis B vaccination is curtail for students in medical and health sciences, and CDC<sup>17</sup> recommended vaccination against HBV for medical and nursing students, laboratory technicians, pharmacists, hospital volunteers, and administrative staff. In various studies performed among the students from different fields of health in world and Turkey, the rates of hepatitis B vaccination were changed between 50% with 99.3% <sup>18.</sup>. In this study (79) 89.1% of students were fully vaccinated against hepatitis B virus, which indicated that most of students were oriented about the important of this vaccine. In regard to significant of Hepatitis B vaccination, this study showed, there was a significant relation between departments (p<0.05). "The major blood-borne pathogens of concern associated with needlestick injury are hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV) and HIV. Among these viruses HBV take highest chance (30%) to be transmitted by NSSIs." <sup>19</sup>. This study revealed that students think that HBV was take

27.2% and HIV 48.9%. Respectively, so the students need to know that HBV take highest chance to be transmitted by NSSIs than HIV. In study performed among the students from the departments of medicine, dentistry, nursing and midwifery, the frequency rate of NSSIs was determined to be 71.1% <sup>20.</sup> However, in various studies performed among health school students (nursing, midwifery, health officials) in Turkey, the rates of injuries were determined between 35.5% with 74.1%. <sup>18.</sup> In this study, among (92) students from both department the rate of inquiries was 45.7% (42). Compared to these studies, this rate was considered high because near to half of students were expose to needle stick injuries.

In regard to reporting of exposure to clinical instructor, this study found there no statistical significant (p>0.05) between departments and academic year.

In regard to the student should have to recap the used needle, this study found that near to half of (47.3% students using two hands to recapped the used needle, this methods increase the risk to expose to needle injury, so the students need more clinical to practice to not recapping needles and in case of they have to that, they must have to use one hand technique (scoop method) recapped the used needle. About factor predispose for exposure to NSSIs, "one study performed among nursing students in Brazil, the factors affecting the rate of exposure to NSSIs were found as lack of attention (22.2%), lack of experience (13.9%), inadequate hand skills (9.7%) and hurrying (6.9%)."<sup>18</sup>. In this study the factor affecting expose to injuries was heavy work (37%), lack of concentration (30.5%), lack of experiences (18.5%) and lack of equipments to dispose sharps (13%). This study revealed that heavy work was dominant factor predisposed to needle stick injuries.

## 5. Conclusion

The rate of expose to inquiries among students was 45.7%, majority of them were vaccinated against (HBV) and there was a significant relation between departments (p<0.05) in regard to HBV

## 6. Recommendations

The orientation for the students about the infection control recommendations and guidelines is mandatory and students are advised to avoid recapping of used needle, however in case of exposure to NSSIs, the students should have report this incidence to their clinical instructors immediately.

# References

- [1] Needlestick injury from Wikipedia available @ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Needlestick injury access on 18.10.2014.
- [2] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The STOP STICKS campaing: Sharps injuries. http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/stopsticks/sharpsinjuries.ht ml (Accessed March 25, 2014).
- [3] International Healthcare Worker Safety Center, University of Virugina. (2011) EPINet Report: Needlestick and sharp-object injuries. http://www.healthsystem.virginia.edu/pub/epinet/epin etdatareports.html (Accessed March 25, 2014).
- [4] Collins CH, Kennedy DA. Microbiological hazards of occupational needlestick and other sharps' injuries. J Appl Bacteriol 1987; 62:385-402.
- [5] Wagner D, de With K, Huzly D, Hufert F, Weidmann M, Breisinger S, Eppinger S, Kern WV, Bauer TM. Nosocomial transmission of dengue. Emerg Infect Dis (2004); 10(10):1872-3.
- [6] Henderson DK. Management of needlestick injuries: a house officer who has a needlestick. JAMA. (2012) (1):75-84. PubMed
- [7] Mülder K. "Nadelstichverletzungen: Der bagatellisierte "Massenunfall"".Dtsch Arztebl (in German) 102 (9): 558–61. Retrieved (2009).
- [8] Prüss-Ustün A, Rapiti E, Hutin Y. "Estimation of the global burden of disease attributable to contaminated sharps injuries among health-care workers". American Journal of Industrial Medicine 48 (6): (2005).PMID 16299710.

- [9] Henderson DK. Management of needlestick injuries: a house officer who has a needlestick. JAMA 2012; 307:75.
- [10] International Healthcare Worker Safety Center, University of Virugina. EPINet Report: Needlestick and sharp-object injuries. (2011) http://www.healthsystem.virginia.edu/pub/epinet/epinetdat areports.
- [11] Schillie S, Murphy TV, Sawyer M, et al. CDC guidance for evaluating health-care personnel for hepatitis B virus protection and for administering post exposure management. (2013), MMWR.
- [12] Fisman DN, Harris AD, Rubin M, et al. Fatigue increases the risk of injury from sharp devices in medical trainees: results from a case-crossover study. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol (2007); 28:10.
- [13] Ayas NT, Barger LK, Cade BE, et al. Extended work duration and the risk of self-reported percutaneous injuries in interns. JAMA (2006); 296:1055.
- [14] Askarian M, Yadollahi M, Kuochak F, et al. Precautions for health care workers to avoid hepatitis B and C virus infection. Int J Occup Environ Med 2011; 2:191.
- [15] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Workbook for designing, implementing and evaluating a sharps injury prevention. http://www.cdc.gov/sharpssafety/resources.html (Accessed March 25, 2014).
- [16] Occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens--OSHA. Final rule. Fed Regist (1991); 56:64004.
- [17] CDC .Recommended Vaccines for Healthcare Workers. Available @http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/adults/recvac/hcw.html access on 29/11/2014.
- [18] Kurşun Ş. & Arslan S. Needlestick and Sharp Injuries among Nursing and Midwifery Students. IJCS 2014 May-August Vol 7 Issue 2.aviable @ http://www.internationaljournalofcaringsciences.org/docs/ 33.%20Kursun-1.pdf
- [19] Hanafi. M.I, Mohamed, A.M, Kassem M.S. Needlestick injuries among health care workers of University of Alexandria hospitals. EMHJ Vol. 17 No. 1 2011
- [20] Askarian M. & Malekmakan L. The prevalence of needlestick injuries in medical, dental, nursing and midwifery students at the university teaching hospitals of Shiraz, Iran. Indian Journal of Medical Sciences (2006). 60: 227-232.