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Abstract: Testing of database application is crucial for ensuring high software quality as undetected faults can result in unrecoverable data corruption. Conventionally database application testing is based upon whether or not the application can perform a set of predefined functions. While it is useful to achieve a basic degree of quality by considering the application to be a black box in the testing process white box testing is required for more thorough testing. However the semantics of the structural query language (SQL) statements embedded in database application are rarely considered in conventional white box testing techniques. In this paper we study the generation of constraints that respect the semantics of SQL statements embedded in a database application program. We have described a tool which generates a set of constraints. Database instance for program testing can be derived by solving the set of constraints using existing constraint solvers.
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1. Introduction

Database application programs play a central role in our information based society, so testing whether they behave correctly is of great importance. Because of the huge space of possible database states that must be considered, these programs pose new challenges to software testing. On the other hand, the structure of this state space and constraints on legitimate states expressed in the database schema and in additional business rules, offer an opportunity for automatic generation of tests.

2. Testing Database Application

Database application programs play a central role in our information based society, so testing whether they behave correctly is of great importance. Because of the huge space of possible database states that must be considered, these programs pose new challenges to software testing. On the other hand, the structure of this state space and constraints on legitimate states expressed in the database schema and in additional business rules, offer an opportunity for automatic generation of tests.

Test Case Generation

Database management systems are widely used in many applications. The data stored in the databases is an important corporate asset and it is therefore important that the database system is error-free and stable. Many applications rely on the DBMS for actual implementation as well. The best way to ensure the reliability of this is to do DBMS testing regularly.

Testing can also help eliminate bugs early on and save a lot of time in implementing the system. DBMS testing, in general, is a labor intensive, time-consuming process, often performed manually. Automating DBMS testing not only reduces development costs, but also increases the reliability in the developed systems. White box testing techniques like statement testing, branch testing, condition coverage and path testing [11] can be employed to test some portions of or whole database application to attain a more complete testing of the application. White box testing lets testers examine the code in detail and make sure that at least a certain degree of test coverage such as execution of every statement has been achieved [11].

Test Data Preparation

A System is programmed by its data. Functional testing can suffer if data is poor, and good data can help improve functional testing. Good test data can be structured to improve understanding and testability. Its contents, correctly chosen, can reduce maintenance effort and allow flexibility.
Preparation of the data can help to focus the business where requirements are vague.

Test Outcome Verification:
Verification and validation is the process of checking that a product, service, or system meets specifications and that it fulfills its intended purpose. Verification is a Quality control process that is used to evaluate whether or not a product, service, or system complies with regulations, specifications or conditions imposed at the start of a development phase. Verification can be in development, scale-up, or production. This is often an internal process.

Validation is a Quality assurance process of establishing evidence that provides a high degree of assurance that a product, service, or system accomplishes its intended purpose. This often involves acceptance of fitness for purpose with end users and other product stakeholders.

3. Basics of SQL

In this section, we overview some basic concepts of database application programs. Readers are referred to [4,9] for more details.

A. The Relational Model

Its central idea is to describe a database as a collection of predicates over a finite set of predicate variables, describing constraints on the possible values and combinations of values. The content of the database at any given time is a finite (logical) model of the database, i.e. a set of relations, one per predicate variable, such that all predicates are satisfied. A request for information from the database (a database query) is also a predicate.

The purpose of the relational model is to provide a declarative method for specifying data and queries. We directly state what information the database contains and what information we want from it, and let the database management system software take care of describing data structures for storing the data and retrieval procedures for getting queries answered.

Example 1. An Employee table may have the attribute eid, name, age, gender, position, etc. Each row gives information about a particular employee. A specific Employee table is given as Table 1.

The relational model is closely related to the first-order predicate calculus. It is known that the relational algebra with the basic operators such as union and join has the same expressive power as first-order predicate logic [4]. In this paper, we focus on a subset of SQL and formulate it using the many-sorted predicate calculus. In the calculus, a table is treated as a sort which consists of all the rows of the table. Each column corresponds to a function. Let us look at Table 1. We can consider employee as a set of three elements: el, e2 and e3.

The functions are defined as follows:

| eid (e1) = 1, eid (e2) = 2, eid(e3) = 3; |
| Age(e1) = 38 Age (e2) = 36 Age (e3) = 22; |

B. The Query language

Although we refer to the SQL language as a “query language,” it can do much more than just query a database. It can define the structure of the data, modify data in the database and specify security constraints.

In relational databases, data are retrieved using SQL (Structured Query Language). A query statement of SQL maps one or several input tables into a result table. The basic structure of an SQL expression consists of three clauses: select, from and where.

The select clause corresponds to the projection operation of the relational algebra. It is used to list the attributes desired in the result of a query. The from clause corresponds to the Cartesian-product of the relational algebra. It lists the relations to be scanned in the evaluation of the expression. The where clause corresponds to the selection predicate of the relational algebra. It consist of a predicate involving attributes of the relations that appear in the from clause.

A typical SQL query has the form

| Select t1.a1, t2.b2 From t1, t2 Where a1=b1; |

Example 1. (Cont’d.) The following is a simple query statement:

| SELECT Eid FROM Employee WHERE position = 'manager' and Gender; = 'female'; |

The result table has only one tuple whose Eid is 3.

4. Database Instance Generation

A. The Problem

To test a program with embedded SQL statements, we need to find appropriate input data, which include a database instance. The conventional white-box testing methods are inadequate because the execution of the program is affected by the result of SQL statements. Let us consider the code fragment in Section III C. The loop is not executed if the query results in an empty table. For the purpose of white-box testing, we need input data such that the loop is executed once or not executed at all. Thus, test data generation for database applications necessitates the tackling of the following general problem.

Table 1: Information About Employees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eid</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Suresh</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>67000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sakshi</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>45000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Vaibhav</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>35000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Given an SQL statement and a property, find a database instance such that the result of executing the statement satisfies the property.

We understand that test data generation also comprises finding the values of ordinary program variables. But in this paper, we would like to focus on the database-specific aspects and the generation of a database instance.

We refrain from giving a language to describe the properties. The following are some examples of them:

(Pl) The result table is empty, i.e., it does not have any row.
(P2) The result table has a row which has a negative attribute value.

(Pl) and (P2) represent the scenario of null case and exception, respectively. These are two common properties that practitioners used to test database application programs. Let us denote the result table by Rst. Without loss of generality, we assume that it has a single column. The above properties can be represented by the following two formulas in the syntax of SQL:-

(p1) NOT EXISTS Rst

(p2) 0 > ANY Rst

To solve a CSP, we need to find the values of the variables such that all the constraints are satisfied. For the purpose of test data generation, we use a formula to describe the relationship between the input (database instance) and the output (result table). Solutions satisfying the formula can be computed using existing techniques and tools available in the CSP community.

For simplicity, we assume that there are two tables (t1 and t2) and the attributes are denoted by a1, a2, .... The selected attribute is a1. Suppose table t1 contains the rows t1i (1<=i<=m) and table t2 contains the rows t2j (1<=j<=n). Let Cond denote the condition in the WHERE clause. We first consider the simpler case where condition does not involve sub queries. Our goal is to determine the values of the following entries such that some formula holds:

• t1i.a1, for each row i, and each attribute a1 of t1
• t2j.a1 for each row j and each attribute a1 of t2

The formula is generated based on an SQL statement and a property.

For example:

• If the property is “EXISTS Rst”, the formula is V a1 C1(i, j);

• If the property is “const rop ALL Rst” (const is a numeric constant, rop is a relational operator such as >=), the formula is C1 (i, j) (const rop a1(i, j)).

Here C1 (i, j) is derived from Cond by changing t1.a1 to t1i.a1 and changing t2.a1 to t2j.a1. Note that t1, t2 and a1 are used in the SQL statement while t1, t2 and a1 denote unknowns of the constraint satisfaction problem. If the selected attribute a1 is from table t1, a1 is t1i.a1; and if a1 is from table t2, is t2j.a1. If both t1 and t2 have the attribute a1, the user should specify explicitly which one is to be selected.

B Constraint Solving

It is fairly easy to see that a CSP can be given an incremental formulation as a standard search problem as follows:

• Initial state: The empty assignment, in which all variables are unassigned.
• Successor function: A value can be assigned to any unassigned variable, provided that it does not conflict with previously assigned variables.
• Goal test: The current assignment is complete.

The input given to the tool consists of a Schema, SQL statement and an assertion and the required output is a set of constraints.

The constraints generated are further verified in constraint generation tool to see if they are satisfiable or not. If they are satisfiable, the desired database instances are obtained. This can be used for white-box testing which will assist the tester significantly.

Schema

The schema of a database system is its structure described in a formal language supported by the database management system (DBMS). In a relational database, the schema defines the tables, the fields, relationships, views, indexes, packages, procedures, functions, queues, triggers, types, sequences, materialized views, synonyms, database links, directories, Java, XML schemas, and other elements.

The schema is given as follows

Create table t1
(a1 int,a2 float,a3 int) [1];

Create table t2
(b1 int ,b2 int) [2];

There are two tables having 3 attributes and 2 attributes, respectively. We have specified that the first table has one row and the second table has two rows. They can be changed as per our requirements.

Basic structure of SQL Queries

The basic structure of an SQL expression consists of three clauses: select, from and where.

The select clause corresponds to the projection operation of the relational algebra. It is used to list the attributes desired in the result of a query

The from clause corresponds to the Cartesian-product of the relational algebra. It lists the relations to be scanned in the evaluation of the expression.

The where clause corresponds to the selection predicate of the relational algebra. It consist of a predicate involving attributes of the relations that appear in the from clause.

A typical SQL query has the form

Select t1.a1, t2.b2
From t1, t2
Where a1=b1;
**Assertion**

An assertion is any condition that the database must always satisfy. Domain constraints and referential-integrity constraints are special forms of assertions. However, there are many constraints that we cannot express by using only these special forms.

For example, “every loan has at least one customer who maintains an account with a minimum balance of $1000.00” must be expressed as an assertion. When an assertion is created, the system tests it for validity. If the assertion is valid, any future modification to the database is allowed only if it does not cause that assertion to be violated.

An assertion is a predicate expressing a condition that we wish the database to be satisfied.

An assertion in SQL takes the form:

```
Create assertion < assertion-name > check < predicate>
```

Since SQL does not provide a “for all X, P(X)” construct (where P is a predicate), we are forced to implement the constraint by an equivalent construct, “not exist X such that not P(X),” that can be expressed in SQL.

When an assertion is created, the system tests it for validity. If the assertion is valid, then any future modification to the database is allowed only if it does not cause that assertion to be violated. This testing may introduce a significant amount of overhead if complex assertions have been made.

```
Figure 1: Constraint generation tool for white box testing
```

An automatic tool for generating constraints is as shown in the figure 1. The input of the tool consists of three parts: schema, SQL statement and assertion. The output is a set of constraints (which can be given to BONUS).

### 5. Results

#### Example 1

The input is like this:

```
create table tblTest3(nNum int, vcName varchar(50))[1];
create table tblTest4(nNum int, vcName varchar(50))[2];
create table tblTest5(nNum int, vcName varchar(50))[3];
```

```
Sql statement:
select *
```

```
from tblTest3
inner join tblTest4
on tblTest3.nNum = tblTest4.nNum
inner join tblTest5
on tblTest4.nNum = tblTest5.nNum;
```

**Assertion:**

```
Exists Result
```

There are three tables having 2 attributes respectively. The first table has one row and the second table has two rows and the third table has three rows. They can be changed as per our needs. For instance, we may look for two tables each having three rows. The keyword RESULT denotes the result of the query. In this example we are looking for three tables such that the result table is not empty. With this tool we get the following set of constraints:

```
Output:
int tblTest3_0_nNum;
varchar (50) tblTest3_0_vcName;
int tblTest4_0_nNum;
int tblTest4_1_nNum;
varchar(50) tblTest4_0_vcName;
varchar(50) tblTest4_1_vcName;
int tblTest5_0_nNum;
int tblTest5_1_nNum;
int tblTest5_2_nNum;
varchar(50) tblTest5_0_vcName;
varchar(50) tblTest5_1_vcName;
varchar(50) tblTest5_2_vcName;
bool b0 = (tblTest3_0_nNum = tblTest4_0_nNum);
bool b1 = (tblTest3_0_nNum = tblTest4_1_nNum);
bool b2 = (tblTest4_0_nNum = tblTest5_0_nNum);
bool b3 = (tblTest4_0_nNum = tblTest5_1_nNum);
bool b4 = (tblTest4_0_nNum = tblTest5_2_nNum);
bool b5 = (tblTest4_1_nNum = tblTest5_0_nNum);
bool b6 = (tblTest4_1_nNum = tblTest5_1_nNum);
bool b7 = (tblTest4_1_nNum = tblTest5_2_nNum);
{AND
(OR (b0, b1),OR (b2, b3, b4, b5, b6, b7)
}
```

The above set of constraints has solutions which can be found by any constraint solvers.

### 6. Comparative Study

XML is a ubiquitous language used in a wide range of applications for accessing the data stored in relational databases. However, the usual software testing techniques are not designed to address some important features of SQL.

Although many software testing techniques have been proposed and adopted in day to day industrial practice, these are not specifically tailored for handling the particularities of the Structured Query Language (SQL). Our constraint generated tool will be a definite advantage as a requirement for the tester. Database instances can then be generated then by solving these constraints.
7. Conclusion

The testing of database application programs has not received much attention previously. In particular, few test data generation techniques consider the inclusion of database instances, which can be used for white-box testing. A prototype tool is also described. Its input consists of an SQL statement, the database schema definition, together with an assertion which represents the requirement of the tester. The output is a set of constraints which can be given to existing constraint solvers. If they are satisfiable, we obtain the desired database instances.

Certainly it is impossible to work out a fully automatic tool for test data generation, even when the program does not involve databases. But it is reasonable to expect that a powerful tool will assist the tester significantly. Currently the tool has some limitations. For instance it does not handle string variables. We need to represent them as integers instead. In the future we will improve the constraint generation tool described in this paper.
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