

On a New Class of Uniformly Convex Univalent Functions with Negative Coefficient Defined by a Linear Operator

Waggas Galib Atshan¹, Enaam Hadi Abd^{2,3}

¹Department of Mathematics ,College of Computer Science and Mathematics,University of Al-Qadisiya,Diwaniya-Iraq.

²Department of Computer, College of Science, University of Kerbala , Kerbala-Iraq

³Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad-Iraq

Abstract: The main purpose of this paper is to introduce new class of uniformly convex functions with negative coefficients defined by a linear operator in the open unit disc U . We obtain some geometric properties, like coefficient estimates, closure theorems, extreme points, distortion theorems, convolution and radii of starlikeness and convexity.

Keywords: Univalent function, uniformly convex, linear operator, hadamard product .

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45

1. Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (a_k \geq 0, k \in N) \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and univalent in the open unit disk $U=\{z \in C : |z|<1\}$.

Definition (1)[3,4]: A function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class S_p (uniformly α -starlike functions) if it satisfies the condition:

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| + \beta, \quad (z \in U, \alpha \geq 0) \quad (2)$$

Definition (2)[5,6]: A function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class UCV (uniformly α -convex functions) if it satisfies the condition :

$$\begin{aligned} Re \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma) \left[z \left(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^m + \left(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)' \right] + \gamma \left[z \left(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^m + \left(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)' \right]}{(1-\gamma) \left(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)' + \gamma \left(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)'} \right\} \\ > \alpha \left| \frac{(1-\gamma) \left[z \left(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^m + \left(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)' \right] + \gamma \left[z \left(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^m + \left(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)' \right]}{(1-\gamma) \left(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)' + \gamma \left(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)'} - 1 \right| \\ + \beta, \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

where $D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z) = Q_l^{m,\delta}(a,b;z) * f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\delta(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right]^m \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(b)_{k-1}} a_k z^k$, is a linear operator defined and introduced by (cf.[1]) $D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b): A(n) \rightarrow A(n)$ and

$Q_l^{m,\delta}(a,b;z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\delta(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right]^m \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(b)_{k-1}} z^k$, a,b are positive real numbers, $m \in Z$ and $(x)_k$ is the Pochhammer Symbol defined by $(x)_k = \begin{cases} (x+1)\dots(x+k-1), & k \in N \\ 1, & k = 0 \end{cases}$.

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 \right\} > \alpha \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - 1 \right| + \beta, \quad (z \in U, \alpha \geq 0) \quad (3)$$

For a function $f \in A$ given by(1) and $g \in A$ defined by

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

we define the Hadamard product of f and g by

$$(f * g)(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k, \quad z \in U. \quad (4)$$

Lemma(1)[2]: Let $w = u+iv$. Then $Re w \geq \alpha$ if and only if $|w - (1 + \alpha)| \leq |w + (1 - \alpha)|$, where $\alpha \in R$.

Lemma(2)[2]: Let $w = u+iv$ and α, β are real numbers. Then $Re w \geq \alpha|w - 1| + \beta$ if and only if $Re\{w(1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) - \alpha e^{i\theta}\} > \beta$.

Definition(3): For $0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \delta \geq 0, l \geq 0$, a function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class α -UCV(β, γ, a, b) if it satisfies the inequality

2. Coefficient Estimates

In the following theorem, we obtain the sufficient and necessary condition to be the function f in the class α -UCV(β, γ, a, b) .

Theorem(2.1): Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1). Then $f(z)$ is in the class α -UCV(β, γ, a, b) if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) k [k(1 + \alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)] a_k \\ \leq (1 + l)(1 - \beta) , \quad (6)$$

where $U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) = \left[\frac{1+\delta(k-1)+l}{1+l} \right]^m [1 + \delta\gamma(k-1) + l(a)k-1(b)k-1]$.

The result (6) is sharp .

Proof: By Definition (3) and let $|z| = 1$, we have

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma) \left[z(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'' + (D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' \right] + \gamma \left[z(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'' + (D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' \right]}{(1-\gamma)(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' + \gamma(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'} \right\} > \\ \alpha \left| \frac{(1-\gamma) \left[z(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'' + (D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' \right] + \gamma \left[z(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'' + (D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' \right]}{(1-\gamma)(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' + \gamma(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'} - 1 \right| + \beta .$$

By Lemma(2), we have

$$Re \left\{ \left[\frac{(1-\gamma) \left[z(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'' + (D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' \right] + \gamma \left[z(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'' + (D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' \right]}{(1-\gamma)(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' + \gamma(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'} \right] (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) \right. \\ \left. - \alpha e^{i\theta} \right\} \geq \beta$$

Hence

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma) \left[z(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'' + (D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' \right] + \gamma \left[z(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'' + (D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' \right] (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})}{(1-\gamma)(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' + \gamma(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'} - \right. \\ \left. \frac{\alpha e^{i\theta} \left[(1-\gamma)(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' + \gamma(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' \right]}{(1-\gamma)(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' + \gamma(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'} \right\} \geq \beta \quad (7)$$

Let

$$A(z) = \left[(1-\gamma) \left[z(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'' + (D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' \right] + \gamma \left[z(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'' + (D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' \right] \right] (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) \\ - \alpha e^{i\theta} \left[(1-\gamma)(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' + \gamma(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' \right].$$

By simplify A(z) becomes

$$A(z) = \left[(1-\gamma)z \left[(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'' \right] + \gamma z \left[(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))'' \right] \right] (1 - \alpha e^{i\theta}) \\ + (1-\gamma)(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' + \gamma(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' .$$

$$B(z) = (1-\gamma)(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' + \gamma z(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z))' .$$

By Lemma(1), (7) is equivalent to

$$|A(z) + (1 - \beta)B(z)| \geq |A(z) - (1 + \beta)B(z)| , \text{ for } 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 .$$

$$|A(z) + (1 - \beta)B(z)| = \left| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k(k-1akzk-11+\alpha e i \theta) \right. \\ \left. + 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} ka_k z^{k-1} \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \beta) \left[1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} ka_k z^{k-1} \right] \right| \\ = \left| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k(k-1)a_k z^{k-1} - \right. \\ \left. \alpha e^{i\theta} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k(k-1)a_k z^{k-1} + 1 - \right. \\ \left. \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} ka_k z^{k-1} + (1 - \beta) - \right. \\ \left. (1 - \beta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} ka_k z^{k-1} \right| = |(2 - \beta) - \right. \\ \left. \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k[k+1-\beta]a_k z^{k-1} - \right. \\ \left. \alpha e^{i\theta} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k(k-1)a_k z^{k-1} \right| \\ \geq (2 - \beta) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k[k+1-\beta]a_k z^{k-1} - \\ \alpha k = 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} kkk-1akzk-1$$

and so

$$|A(z) - (1 + \beta)B(z)| = \left| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k(k-1akzk-11+\alpha e i \theta) \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k a_k z^{k-1} \\
 & \quad - (1+\beta) \left[1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k a_k z^{k-1} \right] \\
 & = - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k (k-1) a_k z^{k-1} - \\
 & \alpha e^{i\theta} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k (k-1) a_k z^{k-1} + 1 - \\
 & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k a_k z^{k-1} - (1+\beta) + \\
 & (1+\beta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k a_k z^{k-1} \\
 & = -\beta - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k [k - (1+\beta) a_k z^{k-1} + \alpha e^{i\theta} k] \\
 & \leq \beta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k [k - (1+\beta) a_k z^{k-1} + \alpha e^{i\theta} k]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |A(z) + (\mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\beta})B(z)| - |A(z) - (\mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{\beta})B(z)| \geq \\
 & \geq [(2-\beta) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k [k+1 - \\
 & \beta a_k z^{k-1} - \\
 & \alpha k = 2\infty U_m k, \delta, l, a, b 11 + l k k - 1 a k z k - 1 - \beta + k = 2\infty U_m k, \delta, l, a, b 11 + l k k - \\
 & 1 a k z k - 1] \\
 & = 2(1-\beta) - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k [k - \beta] a_k - \\
 & 2\alpha \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k (k-1) a_k \\
 & = 2(1-\beta) - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k [k(1+\alpha) - \\
 & (\beta+\alpha)] a_k \geq 0 \\
 & \text{This is equivalent to} \\
 & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) k [k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)] a_k \\
 & \leq (1-\beta)(1+l)
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely suppose that (6) holds, then we must show

Therefore ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Re \left\{ \frac{\left[(1-\gamma) \left[z \left(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^{''} + \left(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^{'} \right] + \gamma \left[z \left(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^{''} + \left(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^{'} \right] \right] (1+\alpha e^{i\theta})}{(1-\gamma) \left(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^{'} + \gamma \left(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^{'}} \right. \\
 \left. - \frac{\alpha e^{i\theta} \left[(1-\gamma) \left(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^{'} + \gamma \left(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^{'} \right]}{(1-\gamma) \left(D_l^{m,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^{'} + \gamma \left(D_l^{m+1,\delta}(a,b)f(z) \right)^{'}} \right\} \geq \beta
 \end{aligned}$$

By simplify and choosing the values of z on the positive real axis, where $0 \leq z = r < 1$, the above inequality reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 Re \left\{ \frac{\left[- \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k (k-1) a_k r^{k-1} + r - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k a_k r^k \right] (1+\alpha e^{i\theta})}{r - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k a_k r^k} \right. \\
 \left. - \frac{\left[r - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k a_k r^k \right] (\beta + \alpha e^{i\theta})}{r - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k a_k r^k} \right\} \geq 0 .
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $Re(-e^{i\theta}) \geq -|e^{i\theta}| = -1$, the inequality is correct

for all $z \in U$, letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$ yields .

$$\begin{aligned}
 Re \left\{ \frac{- \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k (k-1) a_k + 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k a_k}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k a_k} \right. \\
 \left. - \frac{\alpha \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k (k-1) a_k + \beta - \beta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k a_k}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k a_k} \right\} \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

and so by the mean value theorem, we have

$$(1-\beta) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k [k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)] a_k \geq 0 .$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) \frac{1}{(1+l)} k [k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)] a_k \\
 \leq 1 - \beta .
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b) k [k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} z^k, \quad k \geq 2 .$$

Corollary(2.1): Let the function $f(z)$ is in the class α -UCV(β, γ, a, b). Then

$$a_k \leq \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} , \quad k \geq 2 .$$

3. Closure Theorems

In the next theorems, we will prove the closure property for the class α -UCV(β, γ, a, b).

Theorem(3.1): Let the function $f_j(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k$, ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) be in the class α -UCV(β, γ, a, b). Then $h(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$, ($a_{k,j} > 0$) belong to the class α -UCV(β, γ, a, b), where $b_k = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s a_{k,j}$.

Proof: Since $f_j(z) \in \alpha$ -UCV(β, γ, a, b), ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$), then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]a_{k,j} < (1+l)(1-\beta)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]b_k \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]\left(\frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s a_{k,j}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)] \right] a_{k,j} \\ &\leq (1+l)(1-\beta) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem(3.2): Let $f_j(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k$, ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) and $0 < c_j < 1$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^s c_j = 1$. Then $F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^s c_j f_j(z)$ is also in the class α -UCV(β, γ, a, b).

Proof: Since $f_j \in \alpha$ -UCV(β, γ, a, b) for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]a_{k,j}}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} \leq 1 .$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^s c_j f_j(z) = \sum_{j=1}^s c_j [z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k] \\ &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^s c_j a_{k,j} \right) z^k . \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]a_{k,j}}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} \left[\sum_{j=1}^s c_j a_{k,j} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \left[\frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]a_{k,j}}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^s c_j = 1 . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F(z) \in \alpha$ -UCV(β, γ, a, b). \square

4. Extreme Points

In the next theorem, we obtain the extreme points of the class α -UCV(β, γ, a, b).

Theorem(4.1): Let $f_1(z) = z$ and

$$f_k(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} z^k , \quad k \geq 2 .$$

Then $f \in \alpha$ -UCV(β, γ, a, b) if and only if it can be expressed as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z) , \text{ where } \sigma_k \\ &\geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k = 1 . \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Suppose that $f(z)$ is expressed in the form :

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z) \\ &= \sigma_1 z \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma_k \left[z - \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} z^k \right] \\ &= z \left(\sigma_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma_k \right) \\ &- \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} \sigma_k z^k \\ &= z \\ &- \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_k z^k , \text{ where } d_k \\ &= \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} \sigma_k . \\ \text{Hence } f &\in \alpha \text{-UCV}(\beta, \gamma, a, b), \text{ since} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} & \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k = 1 - \sigma_1 < 1 \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \alpha$ -UCV(β, γ, a, b), then From(6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k &= \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} a_k , \quad k \\ &\leq 2 \text{ and } 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma_k = \sigma_1 . \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \\ &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} \sigma_k z^k \\ &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma_k (z - f_k(z)) \\ &= z \left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma_k \right) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sigma_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z) \quad \square$$

5. Weighted Mean

Definition (5.1): Let and $g \in \alpha - UCV(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$, where

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

Then the weighted mean $E_i(z)$ of f and g is given by

$$E_i(z) = \frac{1}{2} [(1-i)f(z) + (1+i)g(z)], \quad 0 < i < 1.$$

In the theorem below, we will show the weighted mean for this class:

Theorem(5.2): If f and g be in the class $\alpha - UCV(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$, then the weighted mean of f and g is also in the class $\alpha - UCV(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$.

Proof: By Definition (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(z) &= \frac{1}{2} [(1-i)f(z) + (1+i)g(z)] \\ E_i(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(1-i) \left(z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1+i) \left(z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1-i)a_k z^k + z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1+i)b_k z^k \right] \\ &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} [(1-i)a_k + (1+i)b_k] z^k \end{aligned}$$

Since f and g are in the class $\alpha - UCV(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$ so by Theorem(2.1), we get

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} a_k \leq 1,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} b_k \leq 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} &\left(\frac{1}{2} [(1-i)a_k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1+i)b_k] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} (1-i)a_k \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} (1+i)b_k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(1-i) + \frac{1}{2}(1+i) = 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

6. Radii of starlikeness and convexity

In the next theorems, we obtain the radii of starlikeness and convexity for the class α -UCV(β, γ, a, b).

Theorem(7.1): Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class α -UCV(β, γ, a, b). Then $f(z)$ is starlikeness of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) in disk $|z| < r_1(\beta, \gamma, a, b, \rho)$, where $r_1(\beta, \gamma, a, b, \rho)$

$$= \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho)U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)]}{(k-\rho)(1+l)(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)]} z^k \quad (9)$$

Proof: We must show that $\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \rho$ for $|z| < r_1(\beta, \gamma, a, b, \rho)$, we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1}} \quad (10)$$

(10) is bounded above by $1 - \rho$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\rho)}{(1-\rho)} a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1. \quad (11)$$

Also from Theorem (2.1), if $f \in \alpha - UCV(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} a_k \leq 1 \quad (12)$$

In view of (12), we notice that (11) holds true if

$$\frac{(k-\rho)}{(1-\rho)} |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)}.$$

That is, if

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho)U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)]}{(k-\rho)(1+l)(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

Setting $|z| = r_1$, we get the desired result. \square

Theorem(7.2): Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class α -UCV(β, γ, a, b). Then $f(z)$ is convex of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) in disk $|z| < r_2(\beta, \gamma, a, b, \rho)$, where $r_2(\beta, \gamma, a, b, \rho)$

$$= \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho)U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)]}{(k-\rho)(1+l)(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

The result is sharp for the function given by (9).

Proof: It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| < 1 - \rho, \quad |z| < r_2(\beta, \gamma, a, b, \rho)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)a_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k z^{k-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k |z|^{k-1}} \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

(13) is bounded above by $1 - \rho$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(k-\rho)}{(1-\rho)} a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1. \quad (14)$$

Also from Theorem (2.1), if $f \in \alpha - UCV(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$, then we have (12)

$$\text{In view of (12), we notice that (14) holds true if } \frac{k(k-\rho)}{(1-\rho)} |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta+\alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)}.$$

That is, if

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho)U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]}{(k-\rho)(1+l)(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

Setting $|z| = r_2$, we get the desired result. \square

7. Distortion Theorems

In the next theorems, we obtain the growth and distortion bounds for the function $f \in \alpha\text{-UCV}(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$.

Theorem(8.1): Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $\alpha\text{-UCV}(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |z| - \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} |z|^2 &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq |z| + \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} |z|^2, \quad |z| < 1 \end{aligned}$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(2, \delta, l, a, b)2[2(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} z^2 \quad (15)$$

Proof: Since $f(z) \in \alpha\text{-UCV}(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]a_k \\ \leq (1+l)(1-\beta) \\ U_m(2, \delta, l, a, b)2[2(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \\ \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]a_k \leq (1+l)(1-\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k &\leq \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{2[2(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]U_m(2, \delta, l, a, b)} \\ \tau &\leq \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]^2 - (1+l)(1-\beta)^2[k(1+\alpha) - \alpha]}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]^2 - (1+l)(1-\beta)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Since $f, g \in \alpha\text{-UCV}(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} a_k \leq 1,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} b_k \leq 1.$$

We have to find the largest τ such that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\tau + \alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\tau)} a_k b_k \leq 1.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} \sqrt{a_k b_k} \leq 1. \quad (16)$$

We want to show that

$$\tau \leq \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]^2 - (1+l)(1-\beta)^2[k(1+\alpha) - \alpha]}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]^2 - (1+l)(1-\beta)^2}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| \\ \geq |z| \\ - |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \\ \geq |z| - |z|^2 \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{2[2(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]U_m(2, \delta, l, a, b)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| \\ \leq |z| \\ + |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \\ \leq |z| + |z|^2 \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{2[2(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]U_m(2, \delta, l, a, b)}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem (8.2): Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $\alpha\text{-UCV}(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{2(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} |z| &\leq |f'(z)| \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{2(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} |z| \end{aligned}$$

The result is sharp for the function given by (15).

Proof: The proof similar to the Theorem(8.1).

8. Convolution

In the following theorem, we obtain Hadamard product property for the class $\alpha\text{-UCV}(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$.

Theorem(9.1): Let $f, g \in \alpha\text{-UCV}(\beta, \gamma, a, b)$. Then $f * g$ is also in the class $\alpha\text{-UCV}(\tau, \gamma, a, b)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\tau + \alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\tau)} a_k b_k \\ &\leq \frac{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]}{(1+l)(1-\beta)} \sqrt{a_k b_k}. \end{aligned}$$

This equivalently to

$$\sqrt{a_k b_k} \leq \frac{(1-\tau)[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]}{(1-\beta)[k(1+\alpha) - (\tau + \alpha)]}.$$

From (16), we get

$$\sqrt{a_k b_k} \leq \frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]}.$$

Thus it is enough to show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(1+l)(1-\beta)}{U_m(k, \delta, l, a, b)k[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]} \\ &\leq \frac{(1-\tau)[k(1+\alpha) - (\beta + \alpha)]}{(1-\beta)[k(1+\alpha) - (\tau + \alpha)]}, \end{aligned}$$

which is simplified to

References

- [1] A. A. Amer and M. Darus, A Distortion theorem for a certain class of Bazilevicfunction , International Journal of Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 6, No. 12, 2012, pp. 591-597 .
- [2] E. S. Aqlan , Some Problems Connected with Geometric Function Theory , Ph. D. thesis (2004), Pune University, Pune .
- [3] A. W. Goodman ,On uniformly convex functions , Ann. Polon. Math., 56 (1991), 87-92
- [4] A. W. Goodman ,On uniformly starlike functions , J.Math. Anal.& Appl. 155 (1991), 364-370 .
- [5] F. Ronning , Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. , 118 (1993), 189-196 .
- [6] F. Ronning , Integral representation for bounded starlike functions , Annal. Polon.Math. , 60 (1995), 289-297 .