









variables of spring and remnant flux in the circuit breaker, the phase of the source that provides power for the circuit breaker coil, and others. If semiconductor components are used as substitutes for circuit breakers, their on-state voltage or resistance result in very large power consumption. Therefore, this method is difficult for practical applications. During the switching-on period, a core with a DC source is used to introduce an air gap into the magnetic circuit. The method tends to worsen some of the characteristics in the magnetic circuit, and its reduction of the inrush current is finite. This study attempts to decrease the inrush current by asymmetrical winding. Differing from the traditional symmetric winding structure in transformer design, the objective is procured by changing the secondary winding coil distribution. This method can provide a high inrush equivalent inductance for reducing inrush current, and a suitable leakage inductance for voltage regulation and short-circuit current. In the modern Asymmetrical winding configuration technique, the same attention has been paid on the appropriate voltage regulation and short circuit current in the design of transformer. From the structural parameters of transformer, the leakage inductance and inrush equivalent inductance are to be analyzed which takes care of magnetizing inrush current in transformer before the transformer manufacturer under the corresponding leakage inductance value[6]. The magnetizing inrush is a transient and occurs primarily when a transformer is energized. The major source to reduce this inrush current is the inrush equivalent impedance during this period. If we are in a position to increase this inrush equivalent inductance, inrush current gets reduced to a considerable extent. So, the characteristics and the magnitude of inrush equivalent impedance must be understood. The impedance of the transformer is a combination of resistance and inductance. The magnitude of winding resistance is so small that it can be ignored in the discussion of restraining inrush current [6]. A larger value of impedance reduces the short circuit current, but increases the voltage regulation. Therefore, impedance of transformer ought to be controlled within an appropriate range. Different distribution transformers of the S-P-S and S-P-S-P structures can be used for demonstration purposes. Calculated values of leakage inductance will vary with the value of  $x$  in S-P-S structure and the values of  $x$  and  $y$  in the S-P-S-P structures. So, the asymmetric winding configuration affects the magnitude of leakage inductance. A transformer requires an appropriate leakage inductance to match the rating interrupting capacity of the breaker and low voltage regulation. The per unit value of the equivalent impedance  $Z\%$  and the voltage regulation  $e\%$  are set in standards for impedance. Before performing the experimental switching-on, transformers should be demagnetized using a variable AC source to eliminate the residual flux in the core. The relationship between the maximum inrush current and inrush equivalent inductance, the increase in inrush equivalent inductance and the decrease in inrush current are all proportional to each other. Moreover, these relationships demonstrate that the main cause of the reduction in the inrush current is the increase in the inrush equivalent inductance, as implemented by the method of coil winding distribution. Using this method, restrained inrush current will be able to increase the rating of the capacity and voltage, because the size of the iron coil, size of the oil duct, thickness of the wire,

thickness of paper insulation etc are all increased. So, this study presents a new viewpoint in the design for a transformer that involves a multilayer structure and an altered winding coil distribution to restrain the inrush current. The limited inrush current, satisfying the low voltage regulation and providing a suitable short-circuit impedance are equally emphasized. The inrush equivalent inductance and the leakage inductance are determined from the structural parameters of the transformer in asymmetrical winding configuration such that the various magnitudes of inrush currents can be estimated before the transformer manufacturing with the corresponding leakage inductance magnitude.

## 5. Conclusion

The phenomenon of core flux reduction can greatly simplify closing strategies, allowing the delayed strategy to be very effective. The delayed strategy can also provide a reduction of inrush transients when switching transformers with more than three core legs and no delta connected winding. However, complete elimination of inrush currents is not possible with these configurations.

In Sequential phase energization technique, there is an optimal neutral resistor value for the proposed scheme. This value is a compromised value between the need to suppress the inrush currents when the first two phases are energized and need to suppress the current when the third phase is energized. It is not essential to use an exact optimal value. Resistances around the optimal value are almost equally effective. With the proposed resistance value(s), the neutral resistor based scheme can lead to 80% to 90% reduction on inrush current<sup>[3]</sup>. A small neutral resistor size of less than 10 times the transformer series saturation reactance can achieve 80 to 90% reduction in inrush current among three phases.

## References

- [1] J. Brunke, K. Frohlich, "Elimination of Transformer Inrush Currents by Controlled Switching-Part I: Theoretical considerations" IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery vol 16 no.2 April 2001.
- [2] J. Brunke, and K. Frohlich, "Elimination of Transformer Inrush Currents by Controlled Switching-Part II: Application and performance considerations" IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery vol 16 no.2 April 2001.
- [3] S. Abdulsalam, and W. Xu, "A Sequential phase Energisation technique for transformer inrush current reduction – Transient performance and Practical Considerations" IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery vol 22 no.1 January 2007.
- [4] Y. Cui, G. Abdulsalam, S. Chen and W. Xu, "A Sequential phase Energisation technique for transformer inrush current reduction-Part I: Simulation and experimental results" IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery vol 20 no.2 April 2005.
- [5] W. Xu, G. Abdulsalam, Y. Cui and X. Liu "A Sequential phase Energisation technique for transformer inrush current reduction-Part II:

- Theoretical analysis and design guide” IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery vol 20 no.2 April 2005.
- [6] J. Chhen, T. Liang, C. Cheng, S. Chen, R. Lin and W. Yang, “Asymmetrical winding configuration to reduce inrush current with appropriate short-circuit current in transformer” IEE Proc.-Electr. Power Appl., Vol.152, No.3, May 2005.
- [7] J. Faiz and S. Saffari, “Inrush Current Modeling in a Single-Phase Transformer”, ” IEEE Transactions on Magnetics , vol.46, No.2, February 2010.
- [8] Y. Wang, S. Abdulsalam, and W. Xu, “Analytical Formula to Estimate the Maximum Inrush Current”, IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery vol 23 no.2, April 2008.
- [9] M. Vanti, S. Bertoli, S. Cabral, A. Gerent, “Semianalytic Solution for a simple Model of Inrush Currents in Transformers”, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics , vol.44, No.6, June 2008.
- [10] A. Rezaei-Zare, “ An Accurate Current Transformer Model Based on Preisach Theory for the Analysis of Electromagnetic Transients”, IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery vol 23 no.1, January 2008.
- [11] J. Faiz and S. Saffari, “Inrush Current Modeling in a Single-Phase Transformer”, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics , vol.46, No.2, February 2010.
- [12] A. Abou-Safe, G. Kettlebrough, “ Modelling and Calculating the In-Rush Currents in Power Transformers”, Damascus Univ. Journal Vol. (21)-No. (1) 2005
- [13] A. A. Adly, 2001, “Computation of Inrush Current Forces on Transformer Windings,” IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, Vol. 37, No. 4, pp. 2855-2857.
- [14] C. K., Llang, T. J., Chen, J. F., Chen, S. D. and Yang, W. H., 2004, “Novel Approach to Reducing the Inrush Current of a Power Transformer,” IEE Proceedings on Electric Power Applications, Vol. 151, No. 3, pp. 289-295.
- [15] Lin, C. E., Cheng, C. L., Huang, C. L. and Yeh, J. C., 1993a, “Investigation of Magnetizing Inrush Current in Transformers. I. Numerical Simulation,” IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 246-253.
- [16] Lin, C. E., Cheng, C. L., Huang, C. L. and Yeh, J. C., 1993b, “Investigation of Magnetizing Inrush Current in Transformers. II. Harmonic Analysis,” IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 255-263.
- [17] Mao, P. L. and Aggarwal, R. K., 2001, “A Novel Approach to the Classification of the Transient Phenomena in Power Transformers Using Combined Wavelet Transform and Neural Network,” IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, Vol. 16, No. 4, pp. 654-660.
- [18] Molcrette, V., Kotny, J. L., Swan, J. P. and Brudny, J. F., 1998, “Reduction of Inrush Current in Single-Phase Transformer Using Virtual Air Gap Technique,” IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, Vol. 34, No. 4, pp. 1192-1194.
- [19] Rico, J. J., Acha, E. and Madrigal, M., 2001, “The Study of Inrush Current Phenomenon Using Delivery, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 231-237.
- [20] Yabe, K., 1997, “Power Differential Method for Discrimination Between Fault and Magnetizing Inrush Current in Transformers,” IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 1109-1118.
- [21] A. Abou-Safe, G. Kettleborough, “Modeling and Calculating the In-Rush Currents in Power Transformers” Damascus Univ. Journal Vol. (21)-No. (1)2005
- [22] The J & P Transformer Book, Twelfth edition, “A PRACTICAL TECHNOLOGY OF THE POWER TRANSFORMER” Martin J. Heathcote, CEng, FIEE
- [23] E. Cardelli, E. D. Torre, “Theoretical consideration of magnetic Hysteresis and Transformer Inrush Current”, IEEE Transactions on magnetics , vol.45, No.11, November 2009.
- [24] Y. Wang, S. G. Abdulsalam, “Analytical formula to estimate maximum Inrush current”, IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol.23, No.2, April 2008.
- [25] S. Chen, R. Lin, “Magnetizing Inrush Model of Transformers based on Structure Parameters”, IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol.20, No. 3, July 2005.
- [26] N. Chiesa, H. Kristian, “Novel Approach for reducing transformer inrush current: Laboratory measurements, Analytical interpretation and simulation studies”, IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol.25, No. 4, October 2010.
- [27] M. Nagpal, T. G. Martinich, “Assessing and limiting impact of transformer inrush current on power quality”, IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol.21, No. 2, April 2006.
- [28] A. Gaudreau, P. Picher, “No-Load losses in transformer under over excitation/Inrush- Current conditions: Tests and a new model”, IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol.17, No. 4, October 2002.
- [29] B. A. Mork, D. Ishchenko, F. Gonzalez and S. D. Cho, “Parameter estimation methods for five limb magnetic core model”, IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol.23, No. 4, October 2008.
- [30] S. E. Zirka, Y. I. Moroz, A. J. Moses, “Static and Dynamic Hysteresis Models for studying Transformer Transients”, IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol.26, No. 4, October 2011.
- [31] V. Molcrette, J. Kotny, j. Swan and J. Brudny, “Reduction of Inrush current in single phase transformer using virtual air gap technique”, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, vol.34, No. 4, July 1998.
- [32] A. A. Adly, “Computation of Inrush Current Forces on transformer windings”, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, vol.37, No. 4, July 2001.

### Author Profile



**Hareesh S. Nankani** received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in Electrical Engineering from M. S. University, Faculty of Technology and Engineering, in 1994 and 2008, respectively. During year 1995-1996, he stayed in R & D Section of Jyoti

Limited, Baroda and during year 1996-1999, he stayed in Alembic Limited, Baroda in commissioning of Co-Generation Plant. He is now an Associate Professor with S.V.M.I.T. (G.T.U.), Bharuch since 1999.



**Dr. R. B. Kelkar**, Ex-Professor in Electrical Engg., Faculty of Technology and Engineering, M. S. University, Baroda, received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in Electrical Engineering from Jiwaji University, Gwalior and University of Pune, Pune, in 1972 and 1975 respectively. He has been awarded Ph.D. degree from M.S. University, Baroda, 1998.

