

strategies and reports were also vigorously utilized. Finally, both data sources were analyzed, narrated and interpreted thematically. In the due course, entire process of analysis will be shaped up within the blue prints of conceptual framework (Figure.1).

5. Results and Discussions

In line with the above-mentioned framework, basic aspects and findings regarding EIA practices, corresponding challenges and prospects presented in the following manner.

5.1 Self-Directed EIA

Based on Abaza, Bisset, and Sadler, 2004 self-directed assessment recognized internationally as an important measure that proponents who are responsible for development activities made accountable for their environmental decisions and actions. In accordance with the above, the federal environmental policy dictated that an EIA should be made “by the relevant sectoral ministries or departments, if in the public sector, and by the developer, if in the private sector (Tesfaye, 2012). According to 2014 report of Eastern Hararge zone land and Environmental protection office, ever since 2009 private development proposals including, 2 Horticultures, 1 Mineral water, 1 Bio fuel, and 2 dry coffee processing factories: along with 18 public irrigation projects, and 44 public road construction projects had been proposed. Due to peculiar nature and aspects inherited in those proposed development projects, the national EIA guideline (EPA, 2002) categorized them as sensitive projects that required prior EIA undertaking. In line with Krishnamoorthy, 2008; Tesfaye 2012; and EPA, 2000 for investment endeavor to be sustainable, EIA need to be effectively practiced in advance of project approval. In contrary to the above, based on various data sources, almost all proposals implemented in the study area without EIA undertaking and limited issuance of environmental permit from concerned regional and local environmental protection bodies. A case in point, “The accidental allocations of land for a German bio-fuel investment project inside the Babille Elephant sanctuary” could be seen as one of the spectacular events (Kruger, Aman and Inku, 2012).

The difficulties to proactively assess adverse impacts of development proposals and corresponding hurdles to prohibit activities of industries in environmental sensitive areas have caused significant challenges. The 2007 environmental assessment reports of Oromia regional state revealed that unregulated solid and waste disposals from industries; unmanaged chemical and pesticide application by private agri-business; and execution of extensive public road constructions and irrigation projects without take in to consideration of the eco-system caused tremendous environmental degradation in urban and rural areas. Such counter-productive and unsustainable development activities jeopardized integrity of socio-economic and environmental concerns of various stakeholders in various forms (Economic Commission for Africa, 2005). As outlined by Mulugeta, 2012 the entire efforts of development endeavors have been moving faster than the

means to control and supervise it. Based on an assessment made by this research, the entire efforts of EIA practices have faced tremendous challenges to realize sustainable socio, economic and environmental development due to the following interrelated pertinent factors.

5.1.1 Coordination among Sectoral Environmental Units (SEU)

Mulugeta, 2012 described, EIA administrative organs that is “SEU” came in to exist with the primary responsibilities of coordinating and following up development activities in harmony with environmental laws and regulations. In this regard, as Kruger, Aman and Inku, 2012 investment licensing agencies are required by law to ensure that EIA either is done or is not mandated for private investment projects before approving an investment permit. Interview results revealed that, federal and regional investment licensing offices allowed projects to be operated in the study area without undertaking EIA and corresponding environmental permits. Additionally, 44 public road construction and 18 irrigation projects executed by SEU without adequate consultation with concerned environmental protection offices. The 2007 environmental assessment report of Oromia regional state disclosed poor institutional coordination among local EIA administrative organs including, lack of appropriate and fair awareness on environment in SUE; the existence of minimal efforts to integrate EIA in project cycles; lack of environmental focal persons and insignificant consultations within SUE, all contributed to the prevalent weak EIA practices. In the nutshell, the pursuit of unregulated private short-term economic gains and the desire to satisfy urgent development needs override basic environmental concerns.

5.1.2 Public Involvement in the EIA Process

Abaza, Bisset, and Sadler, 2004 argued that public involvement make information available to the concerned public and seek their views and comments in an open, transparent and accountable manner. In order to prepare robust and defensible EIA studies that brings acceptable social and environmental outcomes. Public involvement instituted in EIA as a defining component after the right to development (principle 3), the right to participation (principle 10), and the right to be safe (principle 22) which ratified by signatory countries in the 1992 UN Rio-Earth summit (Krishnamurthy, 2008). Accordingly, article 92 and article 44 of the 1995 FDRE (Federal Democratic Republic Ethiopian) Constitution recognizes the right of the people to be consulted and express their views on the planning and implementation of policies and projects; the right of citizens to live in a clean environment; and the rights to get commensurate monetary or alternative compensation. In line with the above, Environmental policy of the country (EPA, 1997) provided explicit principle to empower communities to make their own decisions on matters affecting their lives and environment. As to Mulugeta, (2012) this presumed to increase consideration of local environmental conditions and the opinions of local people who often bear the highest environmental cost of development decisions.

The EIA guideline document (EPA, 2002) argued that proponents along with affected communities are required

to conduct an independent, free, transparent and credible EIA. To its effect, the guideline empowered local EIA administrative bodies' to guide and enforce public involvement provision in the EIA process. In spite of the above, Tesfaye, 2012; and Mulugeta, 2012 described that in Ethiopia, there is no functional mechanisms for ensuring public involvement. In other words national environmental law does not clearly indicated the procedures including how, when and where to implement public involvement. In spite of the above, focused group discussion results revealed, local environmental protection offices relatively promoted communities' environmental awareness through establishing environmental clubs in the study area. Nevertheless, the entire local development endeavors did not feature public involvement as per EIA guideline. In other words, local communities and relevant stakeholders' basic interests have not been appropriately considered by executed and on-going private and public projects. As to an assessment made by this research, lack of functional mechanisms to public involvement, limited community understanding on environmental rights; lack of technical skills to participate in EIA; inadequate cooperation among communities, local NGO's and local EIA administrative organs, all contributed public involvements to be barely synchronised in development endeavours of the study area.

5.1.3 Compliance on Environmental Monitoring and Auditing

Abaza, Bisset, and Sadler, 2004 compliance on environmental monitoring, and auditing require evaluating factories as to whether they respect laws and environmental standards: the way of handling production instruments, chemical disposals, efficient use of resources and their environmental impacts. As outlined by Kruger, Aman, and Inku, 2012 compliance on environmental monitoring and auditing practices have been expensive and difficult to exercise in large parts of the country including the study area. The following aspects identified as major challenges on compliance on EIA monitoring and auditing.

5.1.3.1. Preconditions for Project Approval and Implementation

National EIA guideline of the country (EPA, 2002) and Megelete Oromya proclamation no. 147/2009 clearly empowered local EIA administrative bodies to undertake periodic monitoring to check as to whether prior agreed up on preconditions of project approval including mitigation measures and monitoring plans are implemented or not. For the above to happen, the entire process of project approval and implementation decision need to be made based on prior EIA undertaking (Kruger, Aman, and Inku, 2012; and Krishnamoorthy, 2008). Based on an interview result, one of the most pertinent problems in the study area with regard to monitoring has been lack of EIA undertaking. In fact, specific consensual preconditions for project approval and implementation including, contractual agreements, mitigation measures and environmental management plan that should have been served as environmental monitoring lost from the scene of EIA process. Such scant environmental monitoring performances in the study area in turn reposition the entire efforts of environmental management from proactive to reactive approach.

5.1.3.2 Environmental Pollution Standards

Compliance on environmental auditing requires well-established context specific environmental standards including, ambient air and water quality, emission and discharge limits that industries need to comply with during operation and decommission of projects (Abaza, Bisset, and Sadler, 2004). To its effect, obligations of industries must be defined in EIA guideline and be enforceable without cost to the community such as by the inclusion of predefined sanctions in case of non-compliance (Vhugen, 2012). Nonetheless, as Kruger, Aman, and Inku, 2012 described that long time experiences of lacking binding standards at national level imposed tremendous challenges to undertake EIA auditing. In fact, as various data sources including the 2007 environmental assessment report of Oromia regional state clearly indicated that, unregulated effluents and wastes that have been discharging from both private industries and public owned projects have caused devastating environmental degradations in the region including the study areas.

5.1.3.3 Institutional Capacity of Local EIA Administrative Organs

In order to strengthen local level compliance auditing, the same proclamation on pollution control no.300/2002 allowed regional and local environmental protection bodies to adopt stricter area specific environmental standards than the federal standards regarding establish maximum allowable concentration of environmental pollutants, regulation and deterrent punishments to those who endanger human environmental safety and health. In spite of all legal empowerments, area specific standards and consequent compliance auditing barely executed in the study area. Mulugeta, 2012; Krishnamoorthy, 2008; and Kruger, Aman, and Inku, 2012 all agreed that establishment of environmental standards and corresponding regulation, and follow up of environmental auditing require sufficient human and physical resources. Nevertheless, as the focus group discussion results revealed, EIA administrative machineries have been suffering from shortage of skillful personals both in qualitative and quantitative terms. Local environmental protection offices have been also working with physical and finance resource constraints. Most importantly, Zone and woreda level EIA administrative heads have been assigned to the position based on their political affiliation rather than merit requirements of EIA. Due to inadequate institutional means and limited implementation capacities, imperative issues including undertaking of biophysical, social and economic base line study, establishments of permissible environmental standards, analysis and evaluation of project specific impacts and mitigation measures became tremendously difficult. Consequently, industries emission levels and related impacts have not proactively investigated. Additionally, due to lack of pre-set environmental standard and related loopholes, local EIA administrators did not make corrective measures through initiating legal proceeding against defaulters in the court of law. Most importantly, as Kruger, Aman, and Inku, 2012 argued local development officials do not have the political standing to challenge wealthy businesspersons who may have better political connection at a higher level in the government. In the nutshell, local communities'

rights to be safe from development led hazards and related basic socio-economic and environmental concerns barely addressed in the study area.

5.1.4 Citizen Suits Enforcement Against Environmental Pollution

“The polluter or user pays” international environmental principle hold that polluter and users of natural resources should bear the full environmental and social costs of their activities (Mulugeta, 2012). The proclamation on pollution control no.300/2002 empowered citizens to appeal directly to court to enforce environmental standards against polluting industries without having a “vested interest” (Kruger, Aman, and Inku, 2012). On top of the above, in the absence of prescribed environmental standards, communities’ norms and values towards pollution incidence could serve to initiate environmental litigations against defaulters (proclamation on pollution control no.300/2002). In fact, though citizen suit rights could not avoid adverse impacts in advance of happening; it empowered affected communities’ to claim compensations from polluting industries by themselves. As key informants interview results revealed, even if, there were occurrences that affected local communities’ appealed pollution cases in court of law, citizen suit rights challenged to promote interests of affected local communities. Despite Tesfaye, 2012 argued that citizen enforcement is considerably less expensive than enforcement by regulatory agencies; the actual practice on the ground dictated otherwise.

The constitution, environmental legislations and corresponding environmental act of the country described communities need to be safe from development led hazards, and if any, compensation needs to be given to affected community. Nonetheless, development activities, which adversely affected local communities, have not been accountable. As interview results revealed, local communities’ minimal scientific understanding on pollution limit and impacts, inadequate EIA guidance from local environmental protection offices, absence of corporate social responsibilities, and delayed decisions by court of law weaken enforcements of citizen suits against pollution.

5.1.5 Corporate Social Responsibility

Investors today are prudent to consider the principles of socially responsible investment, which attempts to create positive social changes, minimize environmental change and incorporate religious or ethical beliefs in to the investment process (Vhugen, 2012; and Tesfaye, 2012). Hence, socially responsible investors must look in to environmental issues and risks on a short-term and long-term basis. Proponents’ interview results revealed that, given limited capital, inadequate managerial and technical skills, and inadequate exposures to international environmental best practices; the issue of social responsibility barely implemented by industries in the study area. On top of that, absence of incentive mechanisms to promote good environmental performances, and the prevalent scarce EIA enforcement mechanisms among others led proponents not to comply with pertinent environmental regulations. Most importantly, as various data sources indicated, relevant issues like undertaking

EIA considered by proponents as wastage of time and money.

6. Summary

EIA formally instituted in Ethiopia: with primary objectives to realize sustainable socio-economic and environmental development. To this end, building blocks of EIA including environmental legislations, institutional arrangements and administrative mechanism established in accordance with international environmental principles. In spite of the above encouraging measures, local EIA administrative machineries faced a range of difficulties to discharge EIA relevant responsibilities in the study area. Among which, insufficient coordination among SEU’; limited implementation capacity; inadequate public involvement; unsatisfactory EIA auditing; lack of standards and agreed preconditions for project approval; weak enforcements against environmental pollution; and absence of good corporate social responsibility contributed to the prevailing scant EIA practices. Owing to the above, public and private investment projects showed little interests to environmental integrity and long term socio-economic concerns. Rather the entire local development efforts quite often pursued short-term economic gains and urgent social development needs. Such unregulated economic growth endeavors in turn potentially indorsed unjust resource sharing, and intergenerational environmental injustice in the study area.

7. Acknowledgment

We would like to extend our deep gratitude to our family for their tireless support to our research. In addition, we also need to appreciate those who supported us in providing valuable data without which our efforts could not come true.

References

- [1] Abaza H, Bisset R, and Sadler B. (2004). EIA and Strategic Environmental Assessment: Towards an Integrated Approach. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [2] Bharucha E. (2004). Text Book For environmental Studies For undergraduate Courses of all branches of higher education. New Delhi.
- [3] Blowers A. (2006). Planning For a Sustainable Environment. Printed by biddles Ltd, London.
- [4] Creswell, J. W., and Plano Clark, V. L. 2003. *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- [5] Demellawy E. (2010). Economic Growth and the Environment: A Hand Book of environmental Management. Edward Elgar publishing limited. The Lypialts, Uk.
- [6] Eastern Hararge Zone Land and Environmental protection office (2014). Environmental performance assessment reports on Eastern Hararge. Harar (unpublished)

- [7] Ethiopian Environmental Protection Authority (EPA). (2002). EIA guideline document. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [8] Economic Commission for Africa (ECA). (2005). Sustainable Development Report on Africa: *Managing Land-Based Resources for Sustainable Development*. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [9] Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia (FDRE) Constitution. (1995). Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [10] Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia (FDRE). (1995). Negarit Gazeta, Proclamation No.9/1995
- [11] Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia (FDRE). (2002). EIA proclamation No.299/2002.
- [12] Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia (FDRE). (1995). Proclamation No.300/2002.
- [13] Gay, L.R., Mills, G.E. and Airasian, P. 2009. *Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Applications* (9th Ed.).New Jersey: Pearson Education, Inc., USA.
- [14] Glasson J; Therivel R; Chadwick A.(2005).Introduction to environmental Impact Assessment. Rout ledge, London.
- [15] Kruger J., Aman K., and Inku A. (2012).Environmental Permitting in Ethiopia: No Restraint on “Unstoppable Growth”, Haramaya Law Review, Vol.1, Haramaya University College of Law.
- [16] Krishnamoorthy B. (2008). Environmental Management: Text and Cases. Second edition. Printed by Mennakshi, Delhi.
- [17] Miller T. (2007). Living In the Environment: Concepts, Connections and Solutions. Sixteen editions. Belmont, CA94002-3098, USA.
- [18] Mulugeta G. (2012). The Ethiopian Environmental Regime versus International Standard: Policy, Legal, And Institutional Frameworks, Haramaya Law Review, Vol.1, Haramaya University College of Law.
- [19] Oromia Regional State (2009). Megeleta Oromia Proclamation No.147/2009.
- [20] Oromia Regional Land and Environmental protection Bureau(2014). Environmental performance assessment reports of Oromia regional state. Addis Ababa (unpublished).
- [21] Tesfaye A. (2012). Environmental Impact Assessment and Monitoring under Ethiopian law, Haramaya Law Review, Vol.1, Haramaya University College of Law.
- [22] U.N. Conference on trade and development (UNCTAD). (2009).World Investment Report: Traditional Corporations, Agricultural Production and Development U.N. Doc. UNCTAD/WIR.
- [23] VhugenD.(2012).Large-Scale Commercial Investment In Land: Seeking To Secure Land Tenure And Improve Livelihoods, Haramaya Law Review, Vol.1, Haramaya University College of Law.