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Abstract: In this system Sybil attack is detected by localization of nodes by using the infrastructures. Privacy and security are the two important issues in VANETs. Sybil attack is an attack that the malicious user pretends to be multiple vehicles. This reduces the load on the DMV by finding the Sybil vehicle. This is done by the help of RSU that determines the location of the suspicious node and finds the difference from its position. This type of detection need not disclose its identity and privacy is preserved. This is validated by simulating in a realistic test case.
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1. Introduction
As the road accidents are increasing day by day, the government and the automotive industry faces a big challenge in securing the road. A single distraction or a misjudgement can cause road accident that may take human life. Vehicular-ad-hoc-networks (VANET) are being used for traffic control, accident avoidance and management of parking. VANET enables the vehicles to communicate each other by wireless means. VANET faces many security threats that attack on VANET can lead to human life loss. The Sybil attack is very harmful when there is no centralized authority. Sybil attack means the vehicle claims to have many identities and gives an illusion to the driver that there are additional vehicles on the road. Thus, the malicious vehicle can cause a traffic jam. This method is to enhance security in VANET by detecting the Sybil attack. The proposed scheme is an infrastructure-based scheme and a pool of pseudonyms are assigned to the vehicles. That is the vehicles obtain pseudonym from a trusted road side board. These pseudonyms are hashed to a common value so that it can prevent vehicles from Sybil attack. The detection is performed in two steps. In first step the detection is performed by road-side unit which measures the position of each vehicle. This measures a distinguishability degree between the vehicles. If the degree of distinguishability is above the threshold then the RSU report to DMV (central authority). In second step the DMV computes the fine grained hash of the suspicious vehicles.

2. Motivation and Related Works
Zhou et al.[3] described a privacy preserving scheme for the detection of Sybil attack by road side unit and DMV. In this scheme a pool of pseudonyms are hashed to a same value, only with that pseudonym they can communicate. This can increase the privacy but it will be an overload for the DMV to maintain the list, when all the suspicious vehicles, reported to DMV. Studer et al.[5] and Tang et al.[4] proposed two methods against the Sybil attack by a central authority. One of the scheme described is by assigning tickets to the neighbouring nodes inorder to authenticate them. This is done by central authority which again makes over load to it. Another method is a more distributed scheme, by which a regional authority is assigned to generate (TACKS) temporary anonymous certified keys.

Douceur[2] proposed the Sybil attack in peer-to-peer networks, which affects the distributed network. A way to prevent this attack is by assigning a certified authority. If each vehicle had one and only one identity, they can prevent attack to the VANET as they can be easily tracked.

Resource testing is another way to prevent Sybil attack. Xiao et al.[6] and Bouassida et al.[7] described the methods for resources testing. In [9] integrated radar and verification of coherence with the suspected node is done by the received GPS beacon. A spatio-temporal correlation that exists between two vehicle is found as the two vehicles are in the same space at the same time by Park et al.[10]. This is done as the different node assign different timestamp given by the RSU.

3. System Model
3.1 VANET architecture
- The DMV is the trusted authority that maintains the vehicle records and the certified pseudonyms that are assigned to the vehicles. DMV consist of a large resources that can generate pseudonyms for all the vehicles and can store all the information regarding the vehicles.
- RSU is the access point that are scattered on the roads and informations are passed to the DMV by wired connection. They act as an intermediate to the DMV. Rsu monitor the vehicle activity and if it found to be malicious then it will report to the DMV.
- Vehicles are the untrusted parties and they communicate each other in a multihop manner. They contain wireless devices using 802.11p protocol.
3.2 Attackers assumption

- Attackers have more computational power and can alter the signal strength.
- Attackers may use more than one certified pseudonym to send the messages.
- Attackers can able to launch Sybil attack.

4. Proposed Scheme

In the proposed scheme, the RSU performs the detection steps. And only if the RSU detect the vehicle to be suspicious, it will report to the DMV. Thus decreasing the over load of DMV. As the RSU’s are not the trusted authority they have only the limited information’s. The proposed scheme works in three steps. The first is the initialization step the second is the detection step and the third and final step is the verification step.

4.1 Initialization step

In the initialization step, the vehicles are assigned a pool of pseudonyms that are certified. The DMV initially hashes the pseudonyms by a one-way hash function and the outcome is filtered out. It is then assigned as the coarse-grained pseudonyms. In second stage it is passed to a fine grained key.

In other words, for each pseudonym $p_l$ in the $m$-th coarse-grained group, we have $H(p_l|κ_c) = Γ_m$, where $H$ is a one-way hash function, and $Γ_m$ is the coarse-grained hash value for group $m$. , the DMV calculates the hash value for the above $p$ with a new key $κ_f$, and selects a set of bits from the result. The bits selected from the new hash value are referred as the “fine-grained hash value”.

4.2 Detection step

- In the first stage the RSU will go through all the pseudonyms and checks the coarse grained group. It may either a false alarm or a Sybil attack.
- In second stage a second screening is done to decrease the false alarm. Here the RSU finds the position of the vehicle. It is done by received signal strength and angle of arrival.
- If $p$ and $p'$ are suspicious pseudonyms ,the RSU compares both the pseudonyms and its position to compute the distinguishability degree measure $t_0$ and $t_1$ be the time when RSU receives the message.

We compute angle and position of $p$ and $p'$ with RSU, by using the data collected from the neighboring RSUs. The $RSU((x_i,y_i)$ computes the received message and the distance between its sender.the neighboring RSUs $RSU_{i-1}(x_i-1,y_i-1)$ and $RSU_{i+1}(x_{i+1},y_{i+1})$ send the distance $d_{i-1}p$ and $d_{i+1}p$ to calculate the p’s position.

- $D_jv = -1$ if RSUj fails to receive the message , i.e , It is not in the communication range of RSUj.
- $D_jv>0$ if RSUj received the message. Taking the two distance, we calculate the position P

$$DD = \sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2} / \max\{t_1 - t_0\}$$

If $DD>>1$, probability of two nodes to be malicious is zero which means that they are just two vehicle belonging to same coarse-grained function. Else, the probability of two nodes to be malicious tends to 1 which means the suspected vehicle is malicious and will report to DMV.

4.3 Verification Step

When the DMV is reported by the RSU that the two vehicle’s pseudonyms are of same coarse-grained function i.e, $DD$ between 0 and 1, the DMV checks for the fine-grained group. If it found true then the DMV identify the suspicious vehicle to be malicious.

5. Experimental Setup and Result Analysis

In this section, the performance is evaluated by the following steps: detection rate, false positive rate, true positive rate and DMV charge.

Simulation setup
Here NS-2 version 2.35 is used for simulation. 802.11p MAC and PHY layer protocol is used. The simulation is done in two scenarios, one is of densely distributed vehicles and other is of sparsely distributed vehicles.
Simulation result can be evaluated by the following parameters:

- **Detection rate (DR)**
  Detection rate is defined by the following expression
  \[
  DR = \frac{TP_d}{TP_r} \times 100\%
  \]
  Where \( TP_d \) is the number of true positive detected. \( TP_r \) is the number of attacks.

- **False positive rate (FPR)**
  It is defined as
  \[
  FPR = \frac{FP}{NBm} \times 100\%
  \]
  Where \( FP \) is the number of false positive reported to DMV.

- **DMV load**
  It is defined by the equation
  \[
  DL = \frac{FP + TP}{NBm} \times 100\%
  \]

6. **Conclusion**

This paper proposes a method to detect the Sybil attack in VANET without giving overload to DMV. Here the detection is performed by RSU by decreasing the work of DMV. The addition of second screening that checks the distance between the suspicious nodes decreases the DMV load and false rate detection. One of the interesting future scope is that, to add a regional scheme upon entering a region by RSU certified sign. This helps in reducing the cost by reducing the number of RSUs.
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