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Abstract: Cloud Computing with Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) is an entirely novel area and has inconceivable scope of research. It is a talented saleable infrastructure archetype that promises to exterminate require for maintaining exclusive computing amenities by companies and institutes comparable. It has obsessed insubstantial, technical, economic, and client experience characteristics. All through the use of virtualization and resource time allocation, clouds provide with a testing position of physical resources a gargantuan client base with dissimilar requirements. However, a user uses public network for the duration of right of entry of cloud services at the same time an adversary knows how to get full control over the public network. Therefore, a user ought to advocate a mechanism in which user and server can authenticate each other and generate a safe session. In this projected protocol, user and server established a session key and can communicate securely through the public network. This recently protocol decreases the cost of computation deeply and compared with previous existing protocol. Detailed network security investigations have been made to authenticate the proficiency of the protocol. Additional, this protocol has the argument to likely attacks in cloud computing.
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1. Introduction

Cloud computing is the speedy rising Internet based technology that tolerates computer assets to be collective on an on-demand foundation. In cloud computing user do not sentient as regards, where data is accumulated and how their data is being processed. They simply admittance data development and lastly store them in the cloud. Also, they can access data at anytime, anywhere if they are having Internet connection. This technology is greatly scalable, distributed in nature and flexible. In cloud computing computational resources are provided to the end-user as a service [10]. It is a model for enabling suitable on-demand admittance to servers, storage, functions, networks and services which can be quickly provisioned and unrestricted with smallest organization exertion or service provider communication. It is an imaginative client-server construction that accomplishes the user’s hardware necessities and diminishes generally user side requirements and complicatedness. Yet, there is require for a uniform definition of cloud computing. the paper adopts, definition of NIST characterization in cloud computing “a model for enabling convenient on-demand network access to a shared pool of configurable computing resources (e.g., networks, servers, storage, applications, and services) that can be rapidly provisioned and released with minimal management effort or service provider interaction” [8]. Cloud Computing Technology mainly partitioned into five types of public cloud computing such as: Software as a Service (SAAS), Platform as a Service (PAAAS), Data Storage as Services (DSAAS), Network as a Service (NAAS) and Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS). The more information of the concepts, characteristics and architecture in cloud computing [6, 5].

Currently, cloud computing is newly developed technology, there are many issues such as data portability, ownership, conversion, multiplatform support, data backup, reliability and many more. Among all these issues security is the most important issue. Security issues involve virtualization security, distributed computing security, access control, application security, identity management, authentication [1]. In 1984 Shamir proposed the concept of ID-Based Cryptography (IBC) to remove the authentication, announcement, and protection of public key certificates. In IBC client’s unique identity, like as rather than a random number, e-mail address, as the client’s unrestricted key, and the client’s equivalent private key is generated based on the client’s unrestricted key by the system’s trusted organization. The organization’s trusted authority is incomparable and is the represents of the IBC. It is called Private Key Generator (PKG) or Key Generate Centre (KGC) [2]. In current years, several identity-based authentication protocols have been proposed for cloud [4, 7, 13]. Yang and Chang [13] proposed an identity-based remote user authentication protocol for mobile users based on elliptic curve cryptography (ECC). This protocol succeeds to the qualities of both identity based elliptic curve and cryptosystem. To remove these security flaws, Chen et al. Presented an advanced password based authentication protocol, which is secured to provide mutual authentication and is appropriate for Cloud Computing atmosphere [4]. In 2012, Wang et al. [12] showed that Chen et al. protocol is not protected and weak to offline password guessing attack and key compromise impersonation attack and also undergo from clock synchronization problem. Kang and Zhang [7] proposed short key size identity based authentication protocol, which involves the computation of bilinear pairing on super singular elliptic curve group with large element size where the computation cost of the pairing is approximately three times more than that of elliptic curve point multiplication. In 2013 Mishra et. al [9] presented a pairing-free identity based authentication framework for cloud computing which show identity based authentication and security in cloud environment using different attacks. In addition to authentication it also provides session key
between the client and server and mutual authentication [3, 14].
This paper presents An Identity-Based Secure Authenticated Framework by Using ECC in Cloud Computing. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. 2. Preliminaries, 3. The proposed protocol, 4. Security Analysis, 5. Performance analysis and 6. Conclusion.

2. Preliminaries

2.1 Notations

Symbols Meaning

\(P\): A large prime number
\(\mathbb{F}_P\): Prime finite field
\(\mathbb{E}\): An elliptic curve over a prime finite field
\(\mathbb{G}\): Additive elliptic curve cyclic group
\(\mathcal{G}\): Generator of group \(\mathbb{G}\)
\(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3\): Cryptographic secure hash functions
\(m\): Master key of PKG
\(P\_\text{pub}\): The public key of PKG
\(ID_i\): The entity’s identity
\(PK_i\): Private key of entity \(i\)
\(sk\): Secure session key

2.2 Computational Problem Elliptic Curve Cryptography

Elliptic Discrete Logarithm Problem (EDLP): For given \(A, B \in \mathbb{F}_P\) find \(k \in \mathbb{F}_P\) such that \(A = kB\), which is hard.

Elliptic Computational Diffie-Hellman Problem (ECDHP): For \(x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p\) and the \(\mathcal{G}\) is the generator of \(\mathbb{G}\), given \((\mathcal{G}, x\mathcal{G}, y\mathcal{G})\), then compute \(xy\mathcal{G}\) is hard to the group \(\mathbb{G}\).

3. The Proposed Protocol

The protocol is composed of major three algorithms:

3.1 Set Up

Private Key Generator (PKG) takes a security parameter \(k\), returns security parameter and master key \(m\) for given \(k\). PKG follows these steps:

Choose an arbitrary generator \(\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{G}\).
Select a master key \(m \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*\) and public key \(P_{\text{pub}} = m\mathcal{G}\).
Choose collision free one way hash functions
\(\mathcal{H}_1: \{0,1\}^* \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p\) .
\(\mathcal{H}_2: \{0,1\}^* \times \{0,1\}^k \times \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^k\).
\(\mathcal{H}_3: \mathbb{G} \times \{0,1\}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*\).
Publish systems parameters \(< \mathbb{F}_P, \mathbb{E}, \mathcal{G}, k, \mathcal{G}, P, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3\>\) and \(m\) keep secret.

3.2 Extraction

Entity \(i\) submits her/his public identities \(ID_i\) to PKG. Then PKG verifies the proof of identity. If verification succeeds, it generates the partial private key as:

- Generate a random number \(x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p\).
- Compute \(l_i = x_i \mathcal{G}\) and \(h_i = \mathcal{H}_1(ID_i||l_i)\).

- PKG generates the partial private key as \(J_i = x_i + mh_i\). Then PKG distributes user partial private key via a secure channel.

On receiving partial private key entity \(i\) checks the condition \(J_i \mathcal{G} = l_i + \mathcal{H}_1(ID_i||l_i)P_{\text{pub}}\). Then entity \(i\) sets public key \(PK_i = J_i \mathcal{G}\).

3.3 Authentication and Key Agreement

User \(U\) and server \(S\) mutually authenticate each other and establish a session key as:

\(U\) Generate a random number \(r_U \in \mathbb{Z}_p\), an opaque string \(sid\) as a session identity and computes \(K_{U} = r_U PK_{U}\) and sends \(< ID_U, sid, K_{U}, T_1 >\) to \(S\). Where \(T_1\) is the current date and time of \(U\).

On receiving message, \(S\) computes \(T_2 - T_1\), checks \(T_2 - T_1 \leq \Delta T\). Where \(T_2\) message receiving time of \(S\) and \(\Delta T\) is the valid time delay in message transmission. If condition is hold then, \(S\) generates a random number \(r_S \in \mathbb{Z}_p\), computes \(K_S = r_S PK_S\), mutual authenticated code \(MAC_S = \mathcal{H}_3(ID_S||ID_U||sid||K_U||K_{U})\) and sends \(< ID_S, MAC_S, K_{U}, T_3 >\) to \(U\). Where \(T_3\) is the current date and time of \(S\).

On receiving message, \(U\) computes \(T_3 - T_2\), checks \(T_3 - T_2 \leq \Delta T\). Where \(T_3\) message receiving time of user \(U\) and \(\Delta T\) is the valid time delay in message transmission. If condition is hold then, \(U\) generates random number \(r_U \in \mathbb{Z}_p\), computes mutual authenticated code \(MAC_U = \mathcal{H}_3(ID_U||ID_S||sid||K_S||K_{S})\), session key \(sk_U = r_{U1} + \mathcal{H}_3(r_U K_{S})||MAC_S\) and sends \(< ID_U, MAC_U, T_5 >\) to \(U\). Where \(T_5\) is the current date and time of \(U\).

On receiving message, \(S\) computes \(T_6 - T_5\), checks \(T_6 - T_5 \leq \Delta T\). Where \(T_6\) message receiving time of \(S\) and \(\Delta T\) is the valid time delay in message transmission. If condition is hold then, also checks \(MAC_S = ? MAC_U\), if verified then, \(S\) generates random number \(r_S \in \mathbb{Z}_p\), computes session key \(sk_S = r_S + \mathcal{H}_3(r_U K_{S}||MAC_S)\).

From the explanation of this protocol, \(S\) and \(U\) agreed session key can be computed as: \(sk = sk_S = sk_U\). And, once the session establishes user can store/access his/her data strongly via the public channel.

4. Security Analysis

In this section, we have to discuss security analysis of the proposed protocol secure against following security attacks:

- **Identity Management:** The server stores all the registered identities \(ID_U\) of users \(U\) in the database and check availability of unique identities in each new registration.

- **Perfect forward Secrecy:** An adversary cannot compute session key because to compute session key
  \(sk = r_{U1} + \mathcal{H}_3(r_U K_{S}||MAC_S) = r_{U1} + \mathcal{H}_3r_{U1} K_{S}||MAC_U\), Where to computes \(KS\) or \(KU\) is equivalent to ECDHP in ECC.
Fig: Authentication and Key Agreement

- **Session Key Agreement**: A session key $sk$ is recognized between the $U$ and $S$ then, authentication development. The session key is different for different users. Hence adversary cannot access the session key of particular user.

- **Mutual Authentication**: Mutual authentication is a significant attribute for a verification service opposing to server parading attack. This protocol provides a mutual authentication between user and server by ECC-based private and public key exchange.

- **User Privacy**: The proposed Protocol never transmits user private data in message form. The messages $<ID_U, sid, K_U, T_1>$ and $<ID_U, MAC_U, T_2>$ are transmitted via the open channel. Manifestly, these messages cannot be interpret easily to get identity, password etc. Hence, the proposed protocol provides user privacy.

- **Replay Attack**: Replay Attack is most general attack in authentication development. On the other hand, the common countermeasures are time-stamp and random number instrument. The proposed protocol provides a mutual authentication between user and server by ECC-based private and public key exchange.

- **Man in the Middle Attack**: User and server authenticate each other without persuasive. An adversary or malicious user can try man in middle attack by sending the forge message. However, to authenticate each other user and server exchange message authentication code ($MAC$). To compute $MAC$, knowledge of hashed value required, although, hashed is assumed secret and cannot be finished with publicly known values.

- **Phishing Attack**: Mutual authentication between the user and the server is performed in the proposed protocol. Only the legitimate server can launch appropriate user classification data, which will be verified by the user. Hence, the protocol is strong against phishing attack.

- **No Key Control**: In the proposed protocol, user $U$ and server $S$ have an input into the session key neither accomplice can power the full session key to be a preselected value. The session key $sk = r_s + H_3(r_U K_S || MAC_U)$, depends on random number and hash function, therefore, any single user cannot handle the result of the session keys.

- **Session Key Agreement**: A session key $sk$ is recognized between the $U$ and $S$ then, authentication development. The session key is different for different users. Hence adversary cannot access the session key of particular user.

- **Perfect forward Secrecy**: An adversary cannot compute session key because to compute session key $sk = r_s + H_3(r_U K_S || MAC_U)$, depends on $K_S$ or $K_U$ is equivalent to ECDHP in ECC.

5. **Performance Analysis**

In this session, the paper discussed Authentication and Key Agreement phase which is the main computation cost of an authentication mechanism. This protocol is more secured than [4] and [9].
Authentication between User and Cloud Server is critical. By the analysis of performance, this protocol is more efficient compared than Chen et al. and Mishra et al in cloud environments. In addition, the protocol is pairing-free and its security can be assured by EDLP and ECDHP.

## Conclusion

Authentication between User and Cloud Server is critical certification in data security which is also necessary in Cloud Computing. The paper shows the security analysis of this protocol. By the analysis of performance, this protocol is more efficient compared than Chen at al and Mishra et al[9] in cloud environments. In addition to, the protocol is permitted by a budding cryptographic technique from the pairing-free and its security can be assured by EDLP and ECDHP.
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