

Sex Determination by Applying Discriminant Functional Analysis on Patellar Morphometry

I. Kayalvizhi¹, S. Arora², B. Dang³, Swati Bansal⁴, R. K. Narayan⁵

^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5}Pt. B. D. S. PGIMS, Department of Anatomy, Rohtak, Haryana -124001, India

Abstract: Recently a method which estimates the sex of unknown skeletal remains by discriminant functional analysis (DFA) of the patella bone has received much recognition. DFA equation on patella bone is available for South Italian population, Iranian population, Thai population, archeological sample of prehistoric period and also for South Africans of European ancestry. The aim of this study was to derive discriminant functional equation for sex determination from measurements of patella bones in North Indian population. The authors have analyzed 31 skeletons (19 males and 12 females) of both sides from the collection in the Department of Anatomy, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak. Seven measurements for both the sides were taken on 62 patella bones of males (19) and females (12). Parameters measured were maximum length, maximum width, maximum thickness, maximum height and width of external and internal articular facets. These measurements were used to determine sex by using discriminant functional analysis. The results of this study exclusively based on patellar dimensions can determine the sex of North Indian population when other long bones, skull and pelvis bones are not available or after major accidental deaths when long bones get fragmented. This discriminant function carried out by statistical analysis will be the future method for sex determination which needs basic data for this bone from their own population.

Keywords: Forensic Anthropology, Sex determination, Discriminant function analysis, Patella, North Indian Population

1. Introduction

Sex determination is one of the major challenges for the forensic anthropologist within a medicolegal context. Estimation of sex is more reliable if the complete skeleton is available for analysis but in majority of forensic cases human skeletal remains are either incomplete or damaged [1].

The skull, pelvis and long bones are frequently absent or fragmented so sex prediction must be attempted from other parts of skeleton [1].

However the accuracy of sex estimation from other skeletal elements depends on the degree of sexual dimorphism exhibited by the skeleton.

Generally, there are two methods to study for sex determination from skeletal remains viz: morphologic and metric method of studies. The morphologic method involves the observation of sexual traits on pelvic bone for a wide subpubic angle in females. This method is quicker but will have accurate results if the observer has enough experience. On the other hand, the metric method is based on measurements and statistical techniques which doesn't require expertise and can be repeated to validate results [2].

One of the skeletal elements drawing more attention recently is the patella (kneecap). Patella, one of the largest sesamoid bone is situated in front of the knee joint in the tendon of the quadriceps femoris. It is flattened and triangular in shape and has an anterior and posterior surface, three borders, and an apex. The kneecap is a solid element of the human skeleton with no discernible morphological features for determining sex and thus no significant difference is attributed to race. However, being a sesamoid bone that forms within the tendon of the quadriceps muscle, it is very resistant to postmortem changes and so can be available for

personal identification purposes even in adverse condition [8].

There are a few studies about determination of sex from patella. The first was made by McWilliam and El Najjar in 1978 [3]. They used water displacement method to calculate the volume of the bone. Most of the male patellas were more than 15cc and the female were less than 11cc. Intronza et al used 80 right patella bones of South Italian population and analysed by univariate discriminant analysis and multivariate discriminant analysis for correct sex determination [1]. The accuracy reported was 83.8%. In their population, Dayal and Bidmos (2005) used maximum height, width and thickness of the patellae in South African Blacks and gained the highest rate of classification of 85% [4]. Kemkes-Grottenthaler (2005) also used the same method on 82 samples and gained 85% average accuracy (when sample size was not taken into consideration) [5]. Mahfouz and his co-workers (2007) used nonlinear classification methods on 228 samples and gained 90.9% overall accuracy [6]. Akhlaghi and his co-workers (2010) using 113 patellae from the fresh cadavers of Iranian population found the highest average accuracy of 92.9% [2]. To add to the literature in India, a recent data on patellar measurements for sex determination had also been recorded in North Bengal population where mean values were documented and discussed [7]. Recently PaoloPhoophalee et al reported 90.5% accuracy of sex determination on average using all parameters by multivariate discriminant analysis.

Our study utilizing discriminant function analysis will produce an equation to determine sex from this bone.

2. Materials and Method

Sixty two unfractured and nonpathological right and left patellae samples of both sexes were obtained from skeletons (19 male, 12 female) belonging to North Indian population

in the Department of Anatomy of Pt. B .D. Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak.

Seven metrical measurements were recorded using measuring calipers:

Maximum Height (MH): Maximum linear distance between the tip of the apex and the base.

Maximum Width (MW): Maximum linear distance between the medial and lateral borders.

Maximum Thickness (MT): Maximum distance between the anterior and posterior surfaces.

Height of External Facet (EFH): Maximum distance between the most superior and the most inferior points on the articular facet on the posterior surface.

Width of External Facet (EFW): Linear distance between the lateral border of the patella and the median ridge of the articular facet.

Height Of Internal Facet (IFH): Maximum distance between the most superior and the most inferior points on the articular facet on the posterior surface.

Width of Internal Facet (IFW): Linear distance between the medial border of the patella and the median ridge of the articular facet.

The statistical product and service solution program (SPSS 16) was used to analyze the data. Descriptive statistics including mean, standard deviation were obtained for all variables. After establishing that significant difference exists between male and female mean values for each measurements, discriminant function analyses was performed. The aim of this study was to determine sex using discriminant function analysis from the skeletal collection whose sex, age and time of death were known. Discriminant analysis was applied using discriminant function equation for the determination of sex from all patella variables.

$$DF = a + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + \dots + b_nx_n$$

Where a = constant,

$b_1b_2\dots b_n$ = patella variables (anthropometric)

$x_1x_2\dots x_n$ = discriminant function coefficients.

DF = discriminant function.

All possible combinations between the considered parameters were then automatically performed and the discriminant functions were listed in order of highest sex discriminant degree.

Determination of demarcating values for sex determination was done utilizing sectioning point.

$$\text{Sectioning point} = (Z_m \times N_f) + (Z_f \times N_m) / (N_m + N_f)$$

Where Z_m and Z_f are group centroids for male and female, N_m and N_f are number of patella of male and female respectively.

The sectioning point was calculated from group centroids. In cases where the DFA score was less than sectioning point, was considered female and for values of DFA above sectioning point were considered male.

3. Results

The results of descriptive statistical analysis were reported in Table I showing the mean, and standard deviation (SD) of right and left side for both the sexes.

The results of all 7 metrical measurements in the present study showed that the average size of the left and right male patella bones were larger than those of the female.

Discriminant function analysis was performed from all seven measurements for both the sides to confirm the sex (Table II and III).

Descriptive statistical analysis on right side reveals the greatest sex difference with the measurement variable of maximum height followed by height of external facet, maximum width, height of internal facet, width of internal facet, width of external facet and the least was by maximum thickness.

Table 1: Showing the mean, and standard deviation (SD) of right and left side for both sex

No.	Variables	Male: 19		Female: 12	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD
1	MH -R	42.9	4.8	38.1	2.8
2	MW -R	42.1	3.1	39.0	3.9
3	MT -R	19.7	1.1	18.6	2.3
4	EFH - R	30.5	3.8	26.7	3.5
5	EFW - R	25.3	1.9	23.4	3.0
6	IFH - R	26.1	2.7	23.4	4.9
7	IFW - R	22.1	2.3	19.8	3.9
8	MH -L	41.7	3.4	38.3	3.5
9	MW -L	41.3	3.4	37.9	4.7
10	MT -L	20.7	1.5	19.2	3.6
11	EFH - L	30.9	3.1	27.8	3.5
12	EFW - L	26.4	2.5	23.9	2.1
13	IFH - L	26.0	2.4	23.6	4.9
14	IFW - L	22.4	1.7	20.7	3.2

Table 2: Direct Discriminate Analysis on Right side

	Variable	Classification function coefficient	Group centroids		Correctly classified		Avg accuracy %
			M	F	M	F	
All (F1) variables	MH	0.464					
	MW	-0.045					
	MT	-0.074					
	EFH	0.145					
	EFW	0.011					
	IFH	-0.043					
	IFW	-16.424	0.835	-1.323	78.9	83.3	80.5
(F2) 5 variables	MH	0.445					
	MW	-0.0108					
	MT	-0.097					
	EFH	0.087					
	EFW	-14.458	0.786	-1.245	84.2	75	80.6
(F3) 5 variables	MT	-0.045					
	EFH	0.376					
	EFW	0.013					
	IFH	-0.067					
	IFW	0.038- 9.516	0.506	-0.801	73.7	66.7	71
(F4) 4 variables	EFH	0.367					
	EFW	0.018					
	IFH	-0.066					
	IFW	0.017-9.978	0.504	-0.798	73.7	75	74.2

Descriptive statistical analysis on the left side reveals the greatest sex differentiation with the measurement variable of maximum height and maximum width followed by height of external facet, width of external facet, height of internal facet, width of internal facet and the least was by maximum thickness.

4. Discussion

Patella, a sesamoid bone is often availed intact even after major accidental deaths where long bones easily get fragmented. Males have a comparatively larger muscle build as compared to females thus it could be inferred that this small bone might show a remarkable degree of sexual dimorphism.

For the past three decades, several studies were being carried out in different parts of the World for patellar sexual dimorphism among various populations.

One such pioneer study was conducted by Gunn and McWilliams, using volume of the bone. In his reports the highest average accuracy for sex classification was 88% for Europeans. Measurements taken on most bones in the body have shown higher mean values for males as compared to females. The patella follows a similar pattern [9]. In our study similar observations were found from mean of all

parameters done for male and female patella bones. The maximum mean height of patella bone in males was 42.9 and 38.3 in females for right side and difference in values was also noted on left side, where the value in males was 41.6 and in female it was 39.1.

From table II and III average accuracy has been reported utilizing DFA equation. Maximum height of Patella bone of both sides and maximum width plays a major role in sexual dimorphism if the mean parameter was considered.

Sex determination by subjecting some measurements of the patella was done by O'Connor(1996) [10]; Introna et al:1998 [1]; Kemkes2005 [5]; Bidmos2005 [12]; Dayal and Bidmos 2005 [4]; Akhlaghi2010 [2], and Paolophoophalee et al 2012 [11] on various populations using discriminant function analysis utilizing one; or a few or all various measurements of patella and their accuracy for sex determination were tabulated (Table no. IV). We are aware that patterns of sexual dimorphism vary among populations and that the functions obtained could not have a similar accuracy if applied to different populations. So this study confirms the usage of discriminant functional analysis with patella parameter for sexual dimorphism in North Indian Population.

This bone gains importance in sexual dimorphism.

Table 3: Direct Discriminate Analysis on Left side

	Variables	Classification function coefficient	Group centroids		Correctly classified		Avg accuracy %
			M	F	M	F	
All (F1) variables	MH	0.266					
	MW	-0.024					
	MT	0.031					
	EFH	0.168					
	EFW	0.123					
	IFH	-0.092					
	IFW	-14.945	0.49	-0.78	68.4	66.7	67.7
(F2) 5 variables	MH	0.262					
	MW	-0.073					
	MT	0.036					
	EFH	0.094					
	EFW	0.119-14.195	0.46	0.73	68.4	58.3	64.5
(F3) 5 variables	MT	-0.044					
	EFH	0.31					
	EFW	0.111					
	IFH	-0.028					
	IFW	-9.398	0.38	-0.61	68.4	75	71.1
(F4) 4 variables	EFH	0.298					
	EFW	0.96					
	IFH	-0.019					
	IFW	0.017-9.978	0.38	-0.61	68.4	83.3	74.2

Table 4: DFA analysis utilizing Patellar parameters on various population

Authors & Year	DFA	Reported parameter	Accuracy in reported Patella parameter with sides	Population
INTRONA 1998	UDA	Thickness	Right 83.8%	South Italian
	MDA	Max. Height	Right 83.8%	South Italian
KEMKES 2005	UDA	Max. Height	100%	Prehistoric Skeleton samples from medieval period
	MDA	Max. Height	100%	Prehistoric Skeleton samples from medieval period
BIDMOS et al 2005	UDA	Max. Height	85%	South African Whites
	MDA	All parameters	85%	South African Whites
DAYAL & BIDMOS 2005	MDA	Max. Width	85%	South African Blacks
		Max Height		
		Max. Thickness		
		Max. Width		
AKHLAGI et al 2010	DA	Max Height	93%	Iranian population
		Max. Thickness		
		Max. Width		
PAOLO PHOOPHALEE et al 2012	MDA	All parameters	90.50%	Northern Thai Population

5. Conclusion

The implementation of discriminant function analysis based on patella morphometry offers a simple and well studied approach for sex determination on small bones of an individual from incomplete skeleton remains.

From the present study it has shown that mean values for males were higher than those for the females.

The equation derived can be used for sex determination in our group of North Indian population with the highest accuracy of 80.5% using variables.

6. Acknowledgement

All the authors would like to thank Dr. R. Rohilla, Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, PGIMS, Rohtak, for his help in applying DFA for the data in the study.

References

- [1] F. Introna, G.D. Vella, and C.P. Campobasso, "Sex determination by Discriminant analysis of patella measurements", *Forensic Science International*, XCV, pp. 39-45, 1998.
- [2] M. Akhlagi, A. Sheikhzadi, and A. Naghsh, "Identification of sex in Iranian Population using patella"

- dimensions”, Journal of Forensic and Legal medicine, XVII: pp150-155. 2010.
- [3] El Najjar and K.R. McWilliams, Forensic Anthropology. Charles C. Thomas, Springfield, IL, pp89, 1978.
- [4] M.R.Dayal and M.A. Bidmos, “ Discriminating sex in South African Blacks using patella dimensions”, Journal of Forensic Science, Nov. Vol. L, (6), 2005.
- [5] A.Kemkes, Grottenthaler, “Sex determination by Discriminant analysis: an evaluation of the reliability of patella measurements”, Forensic Science International, CXLVII, pp 129-133, 2005.
- [6] M. Mahfouz, A. Badawi, B. Merkl, E. E. A. Fatah, E. Pritchard, K. Kesler, M. Moore, R. Jantz, and L. Jantz ,“Patella sex determination by 3D statistical shape models and nonlinear classifiers”, Forensic Science International, CLXXIII, pp. 161-170. 2007.
- [7] TK. Jana, S. Giri, H. Roy, M. Kar, S. Santra, Das., “Patellar anthropometry in Sex differentiation- a study in the Nothern Part Of West Bengal, India”, Journal of Indian Medical Association, CXI (10), pp. 657-660, 2013.
- [8] S. Standring, editor: Williams A., Newell RLM., Collins P et al, Gray’s Anatomy: The Anatomical Basis Of Clinical Practice, 39th ed. London: Elsevier Churchill Livingstone, 2005, pp.1472.
- [9] Mc Gunn, KR. McWilliams, “A Method For Estimating Sex of The Human Skeleton From The Volume Of The Patella, Talus or Calcaneus”, Homo Gottingen, XXXI: pp. 189- 198. 1980.
- [10] WG. O’Connor, “The dimorphic sesamoid: differentiating the patella of females and males by height, width and thickness measurements”, Master’s thesis of arts in the department of Anthropology. University of South Carolina, 1996.
- [11] M.A. Bidmos, N. Steinberg, and K.L. Kuykendall, “ Patella measurements of South African whites as sex assessors”, HOMO- Journal of Comparative Human Biology, LVI: pp. 69-74, 2005.
- [12] P. Phoophalee, S. Prasitwattanaseree, S. Riengrojpitak , P. Mahakkanukrauh, “Sex Determination by Patella Measurements in Thais” , in proceedings of AGRC, Forensic Science Graduate Programme, Faculty of Science, Mahidol Univ., Bangkok, Thailand,2012.