

Comparative Study on Protein of M₂ Generation in Wild Chickpea Treated with EMS and Gamma Radiation Independently and in Combination

Dr. Girish C. Kamble¹, Dr. H. J. Petkar², Dr. A. S. Patil³

¹Department of Botany, SRRL Science College, Morshi (Dist-Amravati), India

²MCA Department, Dr. BNCPE, Yavatmal, India

³Department of Biotechnology, SGB Amravati University, Amravati, India

Abstract: *The chickpea is one of important leguminous crop and India is single largest producer. The grain legumes serve as an important source of proteins as the protein content of seed is more. Therefore, the grain legume is a good substitute to animal proteins in the diet. Mutation breeding is employed to mute the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the seed protein in many crops. Legume seed proteins play an important role to enhance the nutritional quality including structure, texture, flavor and colour to food products. The present work has been undertaken to assess the mutagenic effect of gamma radiation and ethyl methane sulphonate on protein content in wild chickpea and its induced mutants in M₂ generation.*

Keywords: EMS, Gamma radiation, Wild chickpea, Protein, Bradford Assay

1. Introduction

The proteins present in the legume seed are composed of water-soluble albumin and salt soluble globulins and their proportion may be modified with respect to either of the two under the influence of mutated genes and such alteration improves nutritional value [4]. Legume seed proteins primarily improve the nutritional quality and impart a variety of functional properties as structure, texture, flavor and colour to food products. Inter and intra specific variation in seed protein have been reported in wheat, barley and their wild relatives [11]. The gamma radiation has been reported to generate variation and seed storage protein in *Phaseolus vulgaris* [7]. The various concentration of EMS has been reported to induce variation in cowpea [14]. The chromosomal rearrangements or even doubling of the chromosome numbers have been reported with no or very small effects on the seed protein profile [13]. *Cicer reticulatum* is the wild progenitor of cultigen [3]. The more or less similar pattern of albumin and globulin proteins has been reported in all the *Pisum* species [16].

2. Material and Method

The seeds of *Cicer reticulatum* of Accession Number ICC 17121 were procured from the ICRISAT, Patancheru, India. The seeds of 1st set treated with various concentration of EMS viz. 0.1%, 0.2%, 0.3% and 0.4% formed treatment T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅ respectively. The seeds of 2nd set first treated with chemical mutagen and thereafter subjected to physical mutagenic treatment with various concentration of EMS and doses of gamma rays in 0.1% EMS +5KR, 0.2% EMS +10KR, 0.3% EMS +15KR and 0.4% EMS +20KR forming treatment T₆, T₇, T₈, T₉ respectively. The seeds of 3rd set subjected to various doses of gamma radiation viz. 5KR, 10KR, 15KR, 20KR, 25KR, 30KR formed treatment T₁₀, T₁₁,

T₁₂, T₁₃, T₁₄ and T₁₅ respectively while the untreated normal 4th set scored as control formed treatment T₁. The treated seeds were sown to raise M₁ generation to derive M₁ seeds yield. The M₁ seeds sown to raise M₂ generation in order to obtain M₂ seed yield. The test seeds of M₂ generation of all the T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₇, T₈, T₉, T₁₀, T₁₁, T₁₂, T₁₃, T₁₄, and T₁₅ treatments were used for the extraction and estimation of protein in M₂ generation.

The seed storage Protein was extracted using Protein Extraction Buffer (PEB). The M₂ test seeds of all the treatments were powdered and the 25 mg of seed flour of each treatments was mixed with 1ml of Protein Extraction Buffer (0.05 M Tris -HCL, 0.2% SDS, 5 M Urea and 1% β-Mercaptoethanol with pH-6.8-7.00) in the eppendorf tube to extract the seed storage protein thereafter, centrifuged at 15000×g rpm for 7 Minutes at 4°C in cooling centrifuge [5]. The extracted crude proteins are recovered as a clear supernatant and store in refrigeration for estimation. The soluble protein was estimated by dye-binding method [8]. Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 (CBB G-250) is one of the dyes that combines with protein to give an absorption maximum in the region of 595nm wave length. Red dye CBB G-250 turned blue on addition to the protein sample and the absorbance of working dye was maintained 1.18 [17]. The Bovine Serum Albumin was used as standard protein. The Protein reagent (0.01%) was used in the present study was made by dissolving 100 mg of Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 in 50 ml of 95% alcohol and 100 ml of 85% (wt. / vol.) Ortho-phosphoric acid followed by diluted to 1 Liter with the double distilled water. Every time, the fresh reagent was prepared at the time of use. The seed protein content of all the treatments was evaluated using Bradford assay (1976) against BSA as standard at 595 nm on UV spectrophotometer. The standard graph was plotted between absorbance and quantity of BSA.

10 µl protein extract in PEB aliquot was assayed with 5ml of CBB G-250. The amount of protein in unknown sample was calculated for all the treatments using standard. Absorbency measurement of each sample was taken in triplicate and the mean of three reading taken as the optical density of the sample. The quantity of each fraction was evaluated in relation to standard curve following Bradford assay [8] dye-binding method [15]. The protein estimation by Bradford assay for all the treatments of M₂ generation is represented in **Table 1**.

3. Result and Discussion

The seed storage protein in all the treatments estimated by Bradford assay was found to be enhanced in all the treatment with respect to the untreated control and tabulated in the **Table 1**. The higher amount of protein as 35 µg was observed in T₂, T₃ and T₁₂ treatment of M₂ generation. The protein content has been reported as increased in *Phaseolus* followed by the mutagenic treatment [15]. The seed protein content of mutants has been reported as an increased in *Cicer arietinum* over the control followed by the mutagenic treatment with different concentration of sodium azide (SA), ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS) and gamma radiation (GR) in M₃ generation [6]. Relative increase in protein content and the highest increase have been reported in 5KR and 10KR in 2 different *Phaseolus* variety [15]. The alteration of protein composition is due to mutated genes as has been reported by Singh and Shashtry [19] and Tallbery [21]. The induction of high protein mutant may be attributed to the micromutation with positive (+ve) effects while low seed yield to micro-mutations with negative (-ve) effects [15].

The proteins are the direct result of gene therefore, mutation in gene(s) responsible for its synthesis may be reflected in the polypeptides [15]. The ratio of two subfractions water soluble albumin and salt soluble globulin can be altered in favour of either of two under the influence of mutated genes [12]. The +ve alteration in seed protein contents indicate that the induced changes are as a result of mutated genes [15, 10].

High protein and high amino acids were reported in mutant treated with different mutagen high protein and high amino acid content in *Vicia* treated with EMS and gamma rays [9], 21-34.95% high protein in gamma treated mutant in M₅ generation [1]. Gamma ray induced protein mutants reported in different crop *Cicer* [18] 13.1% high protein [2] in *Vigna* high protein reported following treatment with EMS, gamma rays and Sodium Azide by Tahir Nadeem *et al.* [20].

4. Conclusion

The protein content of all the induced mutants in M₂ generation showed variation with respect to the untreated control parents in the present study. The chemical and physical mutagen showed the potential to change the protein content through the mutation in the wild chickpea. The variation was observed between control and its induced mutants in present study.

5. Acknowledgement

The authors are very much thankful and grateful to ICRISAT, Patancheru (AP) India for supplying the wild germplasm to the present study. The authors are sincerely grateful to the MCA department Dr. BNCPE, Yavatmal for providing the computer facility for the computation and analysis of the data.

References

- [1] Abo-Hegazi, A. M., "High protein lines is field beanx *Vicia faba* from a breeding programme using γ-rays," *Seed protein improvement in cereals and Grain Legumes II*. I.A.E.A. Vienna: pp. 33-36, 1979.
- [2] Abo-hegazi, A. M., "Seed protein and other characters in M4 generation of chickpea", *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed.*, 40: pp. 122-126, 1980.
- [3] Ahmad, F. and Slinkard, A. E., "Genetic relationships in the genus *Cicer* L., as revealed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of seed storage proteins", *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 84: pp. 688-692, 1992.
- [4] Amrishahi, M. C. and Tavakoli, M., "Protein content of different varieties of five species of rules Crops", Improving plant protein by nuclear Techniques (*Proc. Symp. Vinna*, 1970) I.A.E.A. Vienna: pp. 331-333, 1970.
- [5] Asghar, R., Siddique, T. and Afzal, M., "Inter and intra-specific variation in SDS-PAGE electrophorograms of total seed protein in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Germplasm", *Pak J. Biol. Sci.*, 6 (24): pp. 1991-1995, 2003.
- [6] Barshile, J. D. and Apparao, B. J., "Genetic Improvement of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Using Induced Mutations" In: Q.Y. Shu (Ed.) *Induced Plant Mutations in the Genomics Era*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and IAEA, Rome: pp. 91-94, 2009.
- [7] Belele, C. L., Vieira, G. S., and L. R. Goulart, "Effect of gamma radiation on morphological traits and seed storage protein of bean", *Legume Research Issue*, 45: pp. 23, 2001.
- [8] Bradford, Marion M., "A Rapid and Sensitive Method for the Quantitation of Microgram Quantities of Protein Utilizing the Principle of Protein-Dye Binding", *Analytical Biochemistry* 72: pp. 248-254, 1976.
- [9] Hussein, H. A. S. and Abdalla, M. M. F., "Gamma ray and EMS induced of yield and protein traits of mutants in the m4 and m5 generation seed protein improvement in cereals and grain legumes", (*Proc. Symp. Neuherberg*, 1978) IAEA, Vienna: pp. 23-31, 1979.
- [10] Kamble, G. C. and Petkar, H. J., "Some biochemical and biotechnological Perspectives in wild chickpea and its induced mutants," *American Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapeutic*, 2 (1): pp. 41-49, 2015.
- [11] Massod, M. S., Oikuno, K. and Anwar, R., "Inter and intra-specific variation in SDS-PAGE electrophorograms of total seed protein in wheat, barley and their wild relatives", In: Genetic resources of Cereals and their utilization in Pakistan (A. A. Jaradat Ed.). *Proceeding of National Seminar 8-10 Feb.*

- Islamabad, Pakistan, IPGRI: pp. 125, 1994.
- [12] Muller, H. P., "Some factor affecting seed proteins content and protein yield in pea genotype", *PNL*, 9: pp. 35, 1977.
- [13] Nakai, Y., "Variation of esterase isozymes and some soluble proteins in diploids and their autoteraploids in plants", *Jap. J. Genet.*, 52: pp. 171-181, 1977.
- [14] Odeigah, P. G. C. and Osanyinpeju, A. O., "Induced mutation in cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata*", *J. Genet breeding*, 51: pp. 126-132, 1998.
- [15] Prasad, A. B., Verma, N. P., Jha, H. M., "Seed protein content and protein pattern in gamma ray induced Phaseolus mutant", In: *Mutagenesis Basics and Applied* Ed. A. B. Prasad, Print House (India), Lucknow: pp. 158-184, 1986.
- [16] Przybylska, J., Blixt, S., Hurich, J., Zimniak-Przybylska, Z., "Comparative study of seed protein in the genus *Pisum*. I Electrophoretic Pattern of different Protein Fractions", *Genetic Polonica*, 18: pp. 27-38, 1977.
- [17] Sadasivum, S. and Manickam, A., "Biochemical Method", 2nd edition, New Age International (P.) Ltd. Publishers, New Delhi: pp. 58-59, 1996.
- [18] Sheikh, M. A. U., Kaul, A. K., Mia, M., Chaudhary, M. H. and Bhuiya, A. D., "Screening for natural variants and induced mutants in some legumes for protein content and yielding potentials Seed Protein Improvement by Nuclear Technique", (*Proc. Res. Coord. Meeting*, Baden and Vienna, 1977) *IAEA*, Vienna: pp. 223-233, 1978.
- [19] Singh, V. and Sastry, L.V.S., "Studies on the proteins of the mutants of barley I. Extraction and Electrophoretic characterization", *Cereal Chem.*, 54: pp. 1-12, 1977.
- [20] Tahir Nadeen M., Abdul Shakoor, Abid Ali and Sadiq, M., "Seed protein improvement in wheat and pulses through induced mutation, Seed Protein Improvement by Nuclear Technique", (*Proc. Res. Coord. Meeting* Baden and Vienna, 1977). *IAEA*, Vienna: pp. 59-68, 1978.
- [21] Tallberg, A., "Protein and lysine content in high lysine double-recessive of barley I. Combination between mutant 1508 and Hiproly backcross", *Hereditas.*, 94: pp. 253-260, 1981.

Author Profile



Dr. Girish Charandas Kamble received the M.Sc. and M.Phil. degrees in Botany from SGB Amravati University in 1991 and 1997 respectively. He received UGC teacher fellowship for the Ph. D. degree in Biotechnology from SGB Amravati University in 2014. He is presently working as head and teaching faculty in the department of botany at SRRLSCol, Morshi. He has 15 years teaching experience for UG level and 16 years research experience. He is associated in the research area Cytology, Biotechnology, Hydrobiology, Protein Profiling. Dr. H. J. Petkar received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in Computer Engineering from SGB Amravati University in 2001 and 2009 respectively. She has received her Ph. D. in language engineering from Mahatma Gandhi International Hindi University, Wardha. Presently she is working as Head and AP in MCA department Dr. BNCPE, Yavatmal from 2001. She has 14 years teaching experience and 12 years research experience. The area of the research is Speech Recognition, Language Engineering, Bioinformatics, Data Ware Housing And Mining. Dr. A.S. Patil She has done her M.Sc. and Ph.D. in the subject Biotechnology. She is presently working as a teaching faculty in post graduate department of biotechnology, SGB Amravati University, Amravati

Table 1: Protein Quantitation by Bradfords Assay in M₂ Generation of wild *Cicer reticulatum* L. and its mutants

Sr No	Treatment	ProteinSample Extract (in PEB)	Phosphate Saline Buffer (PSB pH=7.00)	Protein Reagent	Optical Density at 595 nm	Quantity of Protein µg/250µg Seedflour	Mg/100 mg w/w
1	T ₁	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.1429	15µg	6.00
2	T ₂	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.3299	35µg	14.00
3	T ₃	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.3212	35µg	14.00
4	T ₄	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.2829	31µg	12.4
5	T ₅	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.2460	26µg	10.4
6	T ₆	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.2448	26µg	10.4
7	T ₇	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.1975	21µg	8.4
8	T ₈	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.1701	18µg	7.2
9	T ₉	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.1576	16µg	6.4
10	T ₁₀	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.1782	18µg	7.2
11	T ₁₁	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.2135	23µg	9.2
12	T ₁₂	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.3272	35µg	14.00
13	T ₁₃	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.2699	28µg	11,2
14	T ₁₄	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.1892	19µg	7.6
15	T ₁₅	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.2571	27µg	10.8