Isolation and Culture Conditions Optimization For PHB Production by *Pseudochrobactrum asaccharolyticum*
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Abstract: The extensive uses of petroleum based synthetic plastics have resulted in losses of natural resources and accumulation in the environment. It has been a major cause of global environmental concern. Poly-β-hydroxybutyrate is a potent replacement to petroleum based synthetic plastic, because has mechanical properties similar to polypropylene and completely biodegradable too. In current piece of work, an attempt was made to isolate potent PHB producing bacteria from different root nodule, soil and water samples. Sudan Black B was used for primary screening of bacterial isolates for PHB production. Presence of functional groups in extracted PHB was confirmed with the help of FTIR analysis. Culture media conditions having incubation time period 72 h, temperature 30˚C, pH 7, glycerol as carbon source, ammonium sulphate as nitrogen source and C:N ratio as 16:1 were found to be supportive for maximum PHB production by isolate M7(2). *Pseudochrobactrum asaccharolyticum* is first time reported as a PHB producing strain.
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1. Introduction

Conventional non-biodegradable plastic is a magnificent gift of current science and technology for humanity. This wonderful product has some exclusive qualities of being light as well as strong and reasonably priced. Plastic play important role in our day to day life like it use to prepare simple plastic carry bags to complex surgical medical devices [1]. Petroleum based synthetic plastic derive from insubstantial non renewable natural resources and led serious environmental problems because of their non biodegradable nature. Accumulation of non-degradable plastic bags in the environment is one of the major causes of pollution now-a-days. Recycling can be done but it is very tedious process. As considering the seriousness of problems caused by petroleum based synthetic plastic there is a strong need to develop an environment friendly and cost effective biodegradable natural material is required [2]. Among biopolymers Poly-β-hydroxybutyrate is best alternative of synthetic plastic because its thermoplastic characteristics are similar to the synthetic polymer to a large extend. PHA is biodegradable, compostable and biocompatible [3]. The group of PHAs contains polyesters like polyhydroxybutyrate, polyhydroxybutyrate co-hydroxyvalerates (PHBV), polyhydroxybutyrate co-hydroxyhexanoates (PHBHx), polyhydroxybutyrate co-hydroxyoctanoates (PHBO) etc. Poly- β-hydroxybutyric acid (PHB) is a most valuable member of poly- β-hydroxyalkanote (PHA). PHB is produced by several microorganisms such as *Bacillus megaterium*, *Ralstonia eutropha*, *Capriavidus necator*, *Rhizobium* spp., *Azotobacter* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., etc [4].

PHB is a kind of polyesters synthesized by various microorganisms as energy reserve materials under unfavorable conditions, i.e. limitation of some essential nutrients or excess availability of carbon source. Accumulation of intracellular storage of PHB has been considered as part of survival mechanism used by microbes in adverse conditions [5]. The major limitation in use of PHB is high production cost that creates difficulty in the use of PHB at industrial level. In the last few year considering the environmental deterioration various attempts have been made to use the PHB for replacement of conventional plastics at commercial level.

Therefore alternative methods such as isolation of potent PHB bacteria and optimization culture conditions for increase in PHB production are required for reducing the cost of PHB production. By keeping above facts in consideration, this work was designed to isolate novel PHB producing bacteria from various leguminous root nodules, soil and water samples and to optimize culture conditions such as incubation period, temperature, pH, carbon source, nitrogen source and ratio of carbon and nitrogen source for increase in PHB production and characterization of extracted PHB.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Sample Collection

For isolation of PHB producing bacteria samples were collected from root nodules of leguminous plants, soil and water from various sites like soil were taken from rhizosphere, composting site, municipal waste site, mud, ground and house hold water, sewage water, waste water, salt water were taken as water samples (Table I). Samples were stored in sterile condition for further use. A standard PHB positive bacterial strain, *Capriavidus necator* MTCC 1472 was selected as a positive control which was obtain from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC), Chandigarh, India.

2.2 Isolation of Microbial Strains
Root nodules were sterilized with 0.1% mercuric chloride, washed with sterile water, crushed and a loopful of crushed material was streaked on YEMA medium containing Congo red. Selected colonies were sub cultured to obtain pure culture. On the other hand soil and water samples were subjected to serial dilution plating. For that 10 fold dilutions were made ranging from $10^{-1}$ to $10^{-7}$. Dilutions were plated on nutrient agar plates. After 48 hrs of incubation at 30˚C, well formed colonies were re-streaked to obtain pure culture. Pure culture was maintained in 50% glycerol stock.

2.3 Screening of Isolates for PHB Production

Selected pure bacterial isolates were screened for PHB production using carbol fuchsin and Sudan Black B Stain. Carbol fuchsin staining was performed to determine the intracellular production of PHB by the isolate. A thin smear of each isolate was stained with carbol fuchsin stain for 45 s. The isolates capable of producing PHB showed dark colored granules of PHB intracellularly [6]. PHB producing bacteria was further confirmed using Sudan black B staining method. Sudan black B stain was prepared as 0.3% solution (w/v) in 60% ethanol [7]. The smear of cultures was made on glass slides and heat fixed. The samples were stained for 10 min with Sudan black solution, rinsed with water and counter stained with 0.5% safranin for 5 min and observed under light microscope at 1000x magnification.

2.4 PHB Extraction and Quantification:

Polyhydroxybutyrate polymer was extracted using the dispersion method with few modifications [8, 9]. Bacterial cells were collected by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 min at room temperature. Pellet was washed with phosphate buffer saline (pH 7.4); Cell pellet were air dried and their weights were taken. Chloroform and sodium phosphate buffer saline (pH 7.4). Cell pellet were air dried 12.5μl Chloroform and 12.5μl sodium hypochlorite per mg PHB. The amount of PHB present was quantified by determining the weight of precipitate obtained. The PHB producing isolates were grown on nutrient agar plates. After 48 hrs of incubation at 30˚C, well formed colonies were re-streaked to obtain pure culture. Pure culture was maintained in 50% glycerol stock.

2.6 FTIR analysis of PHB extracts

FTIR is one of the important techniques to obtain the information regarding structure of compound. The extracted polymer samples were prepared in KBr pellet and FTIR absorption spectrum was recorded in a range of 4000 cm$^{-1}$ to 400 cm$^{-1}$. The FTIR spectra of the bacterial isolates were compared with that of the standard PHB purchased from Sigma Aldrich [13].

2.7 Culture Condition Optimization for Increase in PHB Production

2.7.1 Effect of incubation periods on PHB production

The isolate was incubated for a time period of 96 h. At each point of time (24, 48, 72 and 96), culture was tested for bacterial growth and PHB production by dry cell weight measurement method [14].

2.7.2 Effect of temperatures on PHB production

To standardize the optimum temperature for PHB production, bacterial isolate was inoculated in M9 broth at temperatures 26˚C, 30˚C, 37˚C and 42˚C for 72 hours. PHB yield was quantified, based on that optimum temperature for maximum PHB production was determined [15].

2.7.3 Effect of media pH on PHB production

The effect of different pH on PHB yield was checked by inoculating culture in M9 medium having different pH viz., 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9. The media pH was adjusted with hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide. Culture was incubated in shaking condition at 30˚C at 100 rpm for 72 h. After incubation PHB production was checked and the optimum pH for maximum PHB yield was determined [15].

2.7.4 Effect of different carbon sources on PHB production:

The effect of various carbon sources on PHB production was determined by inoculating the cultures in M9 medium supplemented with glucose, sucrose, starch, mannose and glycerol at 1% concentration separately. Culture was incubated at 30˚C on a shaker with 100 rpm for 72 h. Based on the maximum PHB yield the best carbon source was determined [16].

2.7.5 Effect of different nitrogen source on PHB production:

The PHB positive isolate was inoculated in 30ml of M9 broth containing the best carbon source and different nitrogen sources (tryptone, peptone, ammonium sulphate, cystine and sojybean meal) at 1% concentration. PHB yield was determined for all the isolates after 72 h of incubation following the standard microbiological methods [11]. Molecular identification was checked by 16S rDNA sequencing [12]. Genomic DNA was isolated from PHB positive bacterial isolates and gene amplification was done by PCR using universal primers. Identification of isolates was carried out on the basis of the results of morphological, biochemical and molecular characters studied.
at 30°C, and the best nitrogen source was selected on the basis of their yield [16].

2.7.6 Effect of different Carbon to Nitrogen Ratio on PHB production (C/N Ratio):
In addition to the determination of the best C and N sources, the effect of different C: N ratios on PHB production were also determined. For this, cultures were inoculated in M9 supplemented with different concentrations of ratios of the best C and N source (C/N ratio as 1:1, 2:1, 4:1, 8:1, 16:1 and 20:1). Cultures were incubated at 30°C on a rotary shaker 100 rpm for 72 h. After incubation, PHB yield was quantified based on the yields the most favorable C/N ratio was determined.

3. Results and discussion:

3.1 Sample collection and isolation of PHB producing bacteria:
A total of 96 well formed bacterial colonies (10 from root nodules, 7 from rhizospheric soil sample, 9 from composting site soil, 4 from house hold water, 7 from waste water sample, 12 from sewage sample, 4 from salt water sample, 1 from municipal waste soil, 9 from Mody University ground soil and 10 from mud soil sample) were picked, re-streaked and pure cultures were maintained for further analysis.

3.2 Screening of PHB producing strains:
To differentiate PHB producing bacteria from non PHB producing bacteria, carbol fuchsin staining was carried out. Twenty one isolates were found have dark colored granules of PHB within their cells after carbol fuchsin staining [17]. For further confirmation, these isolates were stained with Sudan Black B dye [18]. Purple to black granules were observed intracellularly with pink background (Figure I). This represents the PHB producing isolates.

3.3 PHB extraction and quantification
All the selected 21 isolates and the standard strain were subjected to quantitative estimation of PHB by chloroform dispersion method. The yield of PHB production was found to vary between 1.5% (w/w) to 16.09% (w/w), by isolate MK9 and M.Com2(1) respectively (Table II). Highest PHB producing bacterial isolate was obtain from composting soil sample and the isolate with lowest yield belong to mud soil sample.

3.4 Morphological, biochemical and Molecular characterization of PHB positive isolates:
Out of 21, 9 PHB producing bacterial isolates were selected for further screening on the basis of equitable amount of PHB produced. So morphological, biochemical and molecular characterization were checked for selected nine isolates (M7(0), M7(2), M7(3), M.com2(2), M.com2(2), MBK2(1), MS8, MW6 and SW/TW3). Colony morphology was recorded in terms of size, shape, texture and staining characteristics. Colony morphology was recorded in terms of size, shape, texture, colour and staining characteristics. Size varied from very small to large, shape diversified between circular, ellipses and irregular. Color varied from white to off white. All type of colony textures were obtained such as raised, convex, flat, wrinkled and slimy (Table 3). The Gram staining of these bacterial strains showed that 7 isolates were Gram positive and only 2 isolates are Gram negative among the eight selected isolates. It or arranged in chain form. All the selected eight PHB producing were subjected to standard biochemical tests and characterization was carried out as per details given in Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology [19].

For molecular identification genomic DNA of bacterial isolates were isolated. The isolates were having DNA of more than 1Kb size. Purified genomic DNA of these isolates were amplified using the universal primers for 16S rDNA. Sequencing was done through Shrimpex Biotech Services Pvt. Ltd., Chennai. The sequences were identified by using NCBI BLAST. (Table 5).

On the basis of literature available it was found that Pseudochrobactrum ascharralolicum was first time identified as PHB producing strain. So this was selected for further analysis.

3.5 FTIR analysis of extracted PHB
In this present study PHB extracted from selected isolate was observed by Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR) spectrum [20]. The standard PHB showed strong bands at 3430cm$^{-1}$, 2976cm$^{-1}$ and 1724 cm$^{-1}$ which represents the presence of terminal OH group, methylene C-H vibrations and carbonyl group respectively (Figure II). The selected PHB sample was revealed almost similar peaks with standard, whereas the remaining peaks are closely lying between 3430cm$^{-1}$ to 400cm$^{-1}$ (Figure III) [20, 21].

3.6 Culture condition optimization for increase in PHB production

3.6.1 Effect of incubation periods on PHB production:
PHB was being produced and with increase in incubation its consumption will increase [23]. The effect of incubation time on PHB produced by isolate was shown in figure IV. 72h was found to be optimum incubation period for M7(2). The isolate was found to produce a PHB yield of 12.82% (w/w).

3.6.2 Effect of temperatures on PHB production:
Effect of different incubation temperatures were checked on PHB production. 30°C temperature was found to be optimum for maximum PHB production by selected isolate. The maximum PHB yield was found to be 12.82 % (w/w).

Below and above this temperature decrease in PHB production was shown (Figure V). Similar results have been shown in previous studies [24]. There it has been shown that 33°C temperature was optimum for PHB production.
3.6.3 Effect of media pH on PHB production:
A range of 5 to 9 media pH was checked to find out optimum pH for PHB production. pH 7 was found to be optimum for maximum PHB production by M7(2) (Figure VI). At pH 5 and pH 9, the selected isolate was found to produce very low yield of PHB, which revealed that acidic and basic media is not suitable for high yield of PHB. At pH 7, the highest PHB yield of 13.33% (w/w) was produced by M7(2) which was a significant amount. The effect of media pH on PHB yield was studied and the maximum production obtained at pH 7 [24].

3.6.4 Effect of different carbon sources on PHB production:
The effect of various carbon sources (glucose, sucrose, starch, mannose and glycerol) on PHB yield was shown in figure VII. Among the carbon sources tested glycerol was found to be the best carbon source for M7(2) [25]. On the basis of the previously reported data and according to the result obtain in present study, it can be concluded that simple sugars like glycerol and glucose are easily utilized by bacteria and because of that enhance the growth and PHB production simultaneously. Although, complex molecule like starch not readily utilized by significant PHB production. Similar conclusions have been made in earlier studies [26]. The maximum PHB production 14.33% (w/w) was again shown by M7(2).

3.6.5 Effect of different nitrogen sources on PHB production:
The effect of different nitrogen sources (tryptone, peptone, ammonium sulphate, cystein and soyabean meal) on PHB yield was represented in figure VIII. M7(2) produced 12.83% (w/w) PHB. Among the various nitrogen sources used ammonium sulphate was found to be best nitrogen source [26]. These results are supported by the results maintained in earlier studies [27], there Ralstonia eutropha was produced maximum PHB when grown in production media supplemented with ammonium sulphate. Ammonium sulphate is simple nitrogen source and easily available rather than other complex nitrogen sources.

3.6.6 Effect of different Carbon to Nitrogen Ratio on PHB production (C/N Ratio):
Figure IX shows the PHB yield shown by M7(2) isolate in the presence of different carbon to nitrogen ratio. Among the various carbon to nitrogen ratio tested, 16:1 [28] was found to be optimum carbon and nitrogen ratio supporting the maximum PHB production. There was an increase in C:N ratio upto 16:1and after that decreasing. Substrate inhibition might be a reason behind it. Similar observations have been made in previous studies [29]. The selected isolate was found to be produced PHB yield of 18.37 % (w/w).

4. Figures and Tables:

Figure 1: PHB granules stained by Sudan Black B staining

Figure 2: FTIR spectra of standard PHB

Figure 3: FTIR spectra of PHB extracted from M7(2) isolate

Figure 4: Effect of incubation time on PHB production by M7(2) isolate
Figure 5: Effect of temperature on PHB production by M.7(2) isolate

Figure 6: Effect of pH on PHB production by M7(2) isolate

Figure 7: Effect of different carbon source on PHB production by M7(2) isolate

Figure 8: Effect of different nitrogen source on PHB production by M7(2) isolate

Figure 9: Effect of different C:N ratio of media on PHB production by M7(2) isolate

Table 1: Isolation and Screening of PHB producing isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Sample description</th>
<th>No. of samples tested</th>
<th>No. of representative isolates</th>
<th>No. of PHB granules containing strains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Root nodules</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Grass Rhizospheric soil</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Composting site</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>House hold water</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Waste water</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Sewage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Salt water</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Municipal waste soil</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Soil from Mody University</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Mud soil</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: PHB production by selected isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>PHB positive isolates</th>
<th>PHB yield% (w/w)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>M7(0)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>M7(2)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>M7(3)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>MK9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>MK9(1)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>M.Com2(1)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>M.Com2(2)</td>
<td>10.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>MW6</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>MS4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>MS7</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>MS8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>MS9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>MWS2(1)</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>MBK2(1)</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>MBK2(3)</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Sw/Tw1</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Sw/Tw2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Sw/Tw3</td>
<td>14.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Sw/Tw5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>MG6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>MG7</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was identified as a new PHB producer in optimized culture work, bacterial isolate PHB production. Therefore it can conclude that bacterial

The present study was outlined to isolate a novel PHB producing bacterial strain among the screened isolates to optimize its culture parameters so as to obtain increase in PHB yield. After the experiments, the PHB production was found to be increased, C:N ratio have a great influence on PHB production. Therefore it can conclude that bacterial PHB production can be improved by optimizing fermentation conditions at industrial level. In this piece of work, bacterial isolate Pseudochrobactrum asaccharolyticum was identified as a new PHB producer in optimized culture conditions, showing capacity for its up gradation at commercial level. Thus, the present study has provided valuable data about the optimized conditions for PHB production that can be utilized at industrial level for PHB production, a fast emerging alternative for petroleum based synthetic plastics.
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Table IV: Molecular identification of bacterial species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Bacterial isolates</th>
<th>Accession no.</th>
<th>Identified bacterial species</th>
<th>Max. Ident(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M7(0)</td>
<td>KP306752</td>
<td>Bacillus sp.</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M7(2)</td>
<td>KM921740</td>
<td>Pseudochrobactrum asaccharolyticum</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M(3)</td>
<td>KP120973</td>
<td>Paenibacillus sp.</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M.Com2</td>
<td>KP120974</td>
<td>B. megaterium</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M.Com2(1)</td>
<td>KP306751</td>
<td>B. thuringenesis</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MBK2(1)</td>
<td>KP306747</td>
<td>B. tequilensis</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MW6</td>
<td>KP306750</td>
<td>B. subtilis</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MS8</td>
<td>KP306748</td>
<td>Bacillus sp.</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sw/Tw(3)</td>
<td>KP306749</td>
<td>Alcaligenes sp.</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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