

Seasonal Variation in the Epidermal Thickness in the Skin of Indigenous Goat of Assam

Henguli Pathak¹, Munmun Sarma²

¹Department of Anatomy and Histology, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati 781022, Assam, India

²Department of Anatomy and Histology, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati 781022, Assam, India.

Abstract: A comprehensive study on epidermal thickness of Assam indigenous goat were carried out in 23 distinct anatomical body regions in two different seasons viz. summer and winter. In adult Assam indigenous goat the mean thickness of epidermis was found higher during summer than winter values being $63.58 \pm 2.721 \mu\text{m}$ and $57.94 \pm 2.902 \mu\text{m}$ in summer and winter respectively. Also significant regional variation in the epidermal thickness was recorded in Assam indigenous goat. Epidermis was conspicuously thick in the regions of muzzle. The epidermis of the skin on the dorsal aspect of different anatomical was found to be slightly thicker than the lateral and ventral aspects of these regions. The thickness of stratum corneum was highest in the muzzle in both the seasons. However, variation in the thickness of stratum corneum among various regions was non-significant.

Keywords: epidermis, seasonal variation, skin, stratum corneum and thickness

1. Introduction

Goats play an active role in the socio economic condition of rural people. Goats are well adapted to tropical and subtropical conditions. The skin is one of the most important parts of the body because it interfaces with the environment and is the first line of defense from external factors. The epidermis is composed of the outermost layers of the skin. It forms a protective barrier over the body's surface, responsible for keeping water in the body and preventing pathogens from entering. Though skin and its various aspects have been studied, however literature in respect of seasonal variation in epidermal thickness depicting its regional differences is scanty. Rao Sundara and Singh (1) reported that epidermal thickness was found to have no relationship with skin thickness. Same was also reported by Sharma and Bharadwaz (2), Bhattacharya et al. (3). Hence present study was undertaken to study the region wise variation in the epidermal thickness in the skin of indigenous goat of Assam.

2. Materials and Methods

A total of twelve numbers of apparently healthy goats were studied during summer (June to August) and winter (December to February). Skin samples from 23 anatomically distinct regions were collected from the animals under local anesthesia by shaving the sites. These tissue pieces were processed routinely for paraffin sectioning and sections were stained by Mayer's haematoxyllin and eosin method as per Luna (4). Subsequently measurement of epidermis of these stained sections were done by a Nikon 200 microscope and Image-Pro Express Version-6.0 software. Statistical analysis of data was done as per the method of Snedecor and Cochran (5). The work was carried out under the protocol approved by the institutional ethical committee.

3. Results and Discussion

The thickness of epidermis and keratin layer of different body regions of indigenous goat of Assam in summer and winter were enumerated in Table 1 and Table 2.

In adult Assam indigenous goat the mean thickness of epidermis was $63.58 \pm 2.721 \mu\text{m}$ and $57.94 \pm 2.902 \mu\text{m}$ in summer and winter respectively. Epidermal thickness was found higher during summer than winter. However, this variation was non-significant. Kozlowski and Calhoun (6) reported that average total epidermal thickness of sheep skin collected from 35 body areas varied from $27 \mu\text{m}$ to $42 \mu\text{m}$. Jagiwala et al. (7) reported that in patanwadi sheep thickness of epidermis was $35.117 \pm 1.672 \mu\text{m}$ at 1 month of age and $71.740 \pm 1.169 \mu\text{m}$ at 16-18 months of age. In Marwari sheep the value recorded at 16-18 month of age was $50.269 \pm 1.615 \mu\text{m}$.

The thickness of epidermis varied significantly among the 23 selected anatomical locations ($P < 0.05$) both in summer and winter. Epidermal thickness was recorded highest in perineal region in both the seasons being $98.05 \pm 5.47 \mu\text{m}$ in summer and $91.12 \pm 1.981 \mu\text{m}$ in winter respectively followed by muzzle with micrometrical estimates of $89.69 \pm 1.264 \mu\text{m}$ in summer and $88.42 \pm 1.565 \mu\text{m}$ in winter which was not in consonance with Bagi and Mudholkar (8) who reported the thickness range of muzzle epidermis in surti buffalo to be 299.48 to 454.62 μm and 242.58 to 448.56 μm in young and adult respectively. In the Assam indigenous goat, thickness was recorded lowest in lateral aspect of ear being $44.39 \pm 3.275 \mu\text{m}$ in summer and $35.67 \pm 0.871 \mu\text{m}$ in winter respectively. The epidermis of the skin on the dorsal aspect of different anatomical regions viz. neck, thorax and loin was found to be slightly thicker than the lateral and ventral aspects of these regions. Thickness was also found slightly higher in the lateral aspect of limbs compared to the medial aspect. This was in accordance with Bhayani et al. (9) in lion and Ahmad et al. (10) in Madras Red sheep.

Bhattacharya et al. (3) reported that in yak out of the 46 different anatomical regions, the epidermal thickness was found highest in the abdominal regions ($557.53 \pm 28.94\mu\text{m}$) and lowest in the temporal regions ($24.33 \pm 0.73\mu\text{m}$). Aslan et al. (11) also observed significant differences in terms of the thickness of the epidermis layer between regions like shoulder and abdomen; shoulder and neck; abdomen and neck, and abdomen and thorax ($P < 0.05$) and opined that this may be due to differences of environmental factors. Thickness of epidermis was found mainly to be less in neck region but more in abdominal region. The maximum and minimum thickness of skin in Madras Red sheep was recorded by Ahmad et al. (10) on the selected regions of dorsal, lateral and ventral aspect of neck, thorax and loin. They found maximum thickness on dorsal neck region ($2925.12 \pm 119.00 \mu\text{m}$) and minimum on ventral thorax region ($1505.25 \pm 79.53 \mu\text{m}$).

Stratum corneum represented the most important interface between the internal and external environment of the organism (Bhattacharya et al., 12). In the indigenous goat of Assam, the mean thickness of stratum corneum of epidermis was found to be $27.63 \pm 1.321 \mu\text{m}$ and $26.27 \pm 1.216 \mu\text{m}$ in summer and winter respectively. However, Hafez et al. (13) reported that in the skin structure of Egyptian buffaloes and cattle the average thickness of stratum corneum alone was reported $5\mu\text{m}$ and thickness of epidermis was almost uniform with an average of $51\mu\text{m}$ in cattle. Bhayani and Vyas (14) stated that in Gir cattle the minimum thickness of stratum corneum and epidermis was found to be $9.98 \pm 0.91 \mu\text{m}$ and $23.68 \pm 2.82 \mu\text{m}$ in back and abdominal regions respectively. The maximum thickness of epidermis and stratum corneum was $13.77 \pm 1.37 \mu\text{m}$ and $35.23 \pm 2.89 \mu\text{m}$ in forehead region respectively. Difference among various regions was non-significant. Thickness of each region did not vary significantly between the seasons. The thickness of stratum corneum was highest in the muzzle in both the seasons and the values recorded were $45.19 \pm 3.821 \mu\text{m}$ and $40.15 \pm 1.571 \mu\text{m}$ in summer and winter respectively. In summer, the lowest value was recorded in neck dorsal region ($20.04 \pm 0.718 \mu\text{m}$), but during winter, lowest thickness was recorded on back region ($17.25 \pm 2.743 \mu\text{m}$)

4. Author's Contribution

Sarma conceived and designed the review. Pathak executed the experiment and analyzed the data. Both the authors interpreted the data and critically revised the manuscript.

5. Acknowledgement

The authors thankfully acknowledge Dr. K.K. Sarma, Professor & Head, Department of Veterinary Surgery and Radiology, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati 781022, Assam, India, for his help rendered during locally anesthetizing the animals.

References

[1] RaoSundara KV and Singh UB. 1991: Comparative studies on the skin thickness of crossbred sheep

(Corridale x Deccani F1) with Deccant sheep. Souvenir and Abstracts: National Symposium on Recent Advances in Anatomy of Ruminants. 7-9.

[2] Sharma DN and Bharadwaj RL 1993: Regional variations in the thickness of the skin of adult yak. Indian Vet. J., **70**: 437-438.

[3] Bhattacharya M, Sheikh IU and Rajkhowa J. 2004: Epidermal thickness in the skin of yak. Indian J. Vet. Anatomy, **15**(1&2): 73-76.

[4] Luna LG. 1968: Manual of Histological Staining Methods of the Armed Forces Institute of pathology. 3rd edn., Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, NewYork.

[5] Snedecor GW and Cochran WG. 1994: Statistical Methods, 6th edn. Iowa state University Press, USA.

[6] Kozlowski GP and Calhoun ML 1969: Microscopic anatomy of the integument of sheep. Am.J.Vet.Res., **30**:1267-1278.

[7] Jagiwal PS, Vyas KN and Shukla RK. 1986: Midside skin thickness and fibre diameter of Marwadi, Patanwadi and Patanwadi X Rissian Merino crosses. Indian Vet. J.,**63**: 204-207.

[8] Bagi AS and Mudholkar R. 1992: Histomorphology of the muzzle skin of the surti buffalo in response to its tactile hairs. Paper presented in VII Annual Convention of IAVA at APAU, Tirupati.

[9] Bhayani DM, Vyas KN, Vyas YL and Pandya SP.1995: Histomorphological study on the skin of lion. Indian J. Vet. Anatomy., **7**(1/2): 44-51.

[10] Ahmad MS, Sathyamoorthy OR and Ramesh G. 2010: Regional variation in the microscopic structure of skin in post natal madras red sheep (*Ovis aries*). Indian J. Vet. Anatomy,**22**(2): 33-39.

[11] Aslan S, Kocamis H, Gulmez N, Nazh M. 2004: Histological and histometrical studies on the skin of Zavot breed ccattle. Indian Veterinary Journal **81**(11):1254-1257.

[12] Bhattacharya M, Chakraborty A and Day S. 1998: Scanning Electron Microscopy of Stratum Corneum in the skin of one horned Rhinoceros (*Rhinoceros unicornis*). Indian J. Vet. Anatomy,**10**(1/2): 90-91.

[13] Hafez BSE, Phnderldin AiL. and Shafie MM. 1955: J. Agric. Sci., **46**: 19.

[14] Bhayani DM and Vyas KN. 1991: Age and regional differences along with effect of drought on the thickness of skin as well as papillary and reticular layer in cattle (*Bos indicus*). Indian Vet. J., **67**: 1148-1152.

Table 1: Micrometry of epidermal thickness (μm) of different anatomical locations of adult indigenous goat of Assam

| Anatomical locations | SUMMER | | WINTER | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|
| | MEAN | $\pm SE$ | MEAN | $\pm SE$ |
| Forehead | 54.57 ^a | 2.693 | 46.30 ^b | 0.980 |
| Muzzle | 89.69 ^a | 1.264 | 88.42 ^b | 1.565 |
| Face | 50.87 ^a | 1.836 | 43.41 ^b | 1.431 |
| Ear | 44.39^a | 3.275 | 35.67^b | 0.871 |
| Neck dorsal | 61.86 ^a | 2.626 | 56.33 ^b | 1.334 |
| Neck lateral | 58.09 ^a | 2.910 | 48.83 ^b | 1.539 |
| Neck ventral | 52.77 ^a | 1.720 | 48.71 ^b | 0.675 |
| Back | 65.70 ^a | 3.308 | 64.56 ^b | 1.213 |
| Chest lateral | 64.34 ^a | 1.222 | 56.84 ^b | 0.816 |
| Chest ventral | 61.90 ^a | 3.494 | 50.88 ^b | 2.025 |
| Axilla | 72.69 ^a | 1.996 | 73.12 ^b | 2.043 |
| Arm | 68.44 ^a | 2.456 | 68.42 ^b | 2.825 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Forearm lateral | 78.24 ^a | 4.405 | 68.31 ^b | 1.888 |
| Forearm medial | 71.82 ^a | 3.110 | 60.30 ^b | 2.672 |
| Loin | 66.90 ^a | 1.281 | 57.09 ^b | 1.671 |
| Abdomen lateral | 60.28 ^a | 1.836 | 51.56 ^b | 3.091 |
| Abdomen ventral | 56.87 ^a | 2.324 | 50.26 ^b | 0.880 |
| Croup | 49.39 ^a | 0.790 | 48.41 ^b | 2.665 |
| Thigh | 49.97 ^a | 2.601 | 49.89 ^b | 5.376 |
| Groin | 48.51 ^a | 2.045 | 42.59 ^b | 2.114 |
| Leg lateral | 72.84 ^a | 2.430 | 67.22 ^b | 2.480 |
| Leg medial | 65.13 ^a | 1.060 | 63.38 ^b | 1.121 |
| Perineal | 98.05^a | 5.470 | 91.12^b | 1.981 |
| TOTAL | 63.58 | 2.721 | 57.94 | 2.902 |

Values bearing different superscripts varied significantly (P<0.05).

Table 2: Micrometry of thickness (µm) of stratum corneum of different anatomical locations of adult indigenous goat of Assam

| Anatomical locations | SUMMER | | WINTER | |
|----------------------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| | MEAN | ±SE | MEAN | ±SE |
| Forehead | 22.58 | 1.084 | 22.33 | 2.575 |
| Muzzle | 45.19 | 3.821 | 40.16 | 1.572 |
| Face | 30.78 | 2.839 | 28.76 | 2.280 |
| Ear | 20.29 | 1.241 | 18.27 | 0.542 |
| Neck dorsal | 20.04 | 0.718 | 17.97 | 1.148 |
| Neck lateral | 32.25 | 1.248 | 28.76 | 0.980 |
| Neck ventral | 20.07 | 1.008 | 18.06 | 0.573 |
| Back | 22.52 | 0.959 | 17.25 | 2.743 |
| Chest lateral | 20.32 | 0.882 | 19.98 | 0.964 |
| Chest ventral | 40.94 | 5.012 | 21.83 | 2.009 |
| Axilla | 28.27 | 1.096 | 26.15 | 1.548 |
| Arm | 26.74 | 0.654 | 26.51 | 1.725 |
| Forearm lateral | 28.27 | 1.863 | 33.37 | 1.751 |
| Forearm medial | 25.48 | 1.041 | 32.06 | 1.485 |
| Loin | 28.25 | 0.908 | 29.47 | 1.276 |
| Abdomen lateral | 22.47 | 1.227 | 23.50 | 0.946 |
| Abdomen ventral | 29.76 | 0.655 | 30.35 | 1.998 |
| Croup | 28.63 | 1.323 | 26.38 | 0.849 |
| Thigh | 26.50 | 1.101 | 26.32 | 0.881 |
| Groin | 26.95 | 1.145 | 24.86 | 0.935 |
| Leg lateral | 29.08 | 0.717 | 32.81 | 1.678 |
| Leg medial | 25.69 | 0.745 | 28.70 | 1.514 |
| Perineal | 34.36 | 2.227 | 30.48 | 1.516 |
| TOTAL | 27.63 | 1.321 | 26.27 | 1.216 |