

# Risk Management of Some Machines in Centre for Equipment Maintenance and Industrial Training (CEMIT) in Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola

Dzarma, Daniel Ezra<sup>1</sup>, S.S. Abdulkadir<sup>2</sup>, Idama<sup>3</sup>, E.A

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Statistics and Operations Research, ModibboAdama University of Technology, Yola, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Chemical Engineering Department, ModibboAdama University of Technology, Yola, Nigeria

**Abstract:** Centre for Equipment Maintenance and Industrial Training (CEMIT) in ModibboAdama University of Technology, Yola faces some risks associated with machines operations. The following method was used to address the problems: Structured questionnaire was used to obtained data on financial losses from CEMIT. The Annualized Loss Expectancy Model (ALEM) was employed to analyse the data. The analysis of the data obtained reveals that the tenoning machine has highest ALE of ₦800,000, next to it is circular saw machine which has The Annualized Loss Expectancy ALE of ₦559,980, Spindle moulding machine which has ALE of ₦329,985 is third and mortising machine with ALE Of ₦25,000 is the least. The management were recommended to allocate resources for the maintenance of the machine in the order of their ALE priority. The assets with highest ALE should be allocated higher resources likewise with the subsequent assets.

**Keywords:** Risk, management, Annual Loss, and machines

## 1. Introduction

This research is focused toward addressing the problems of risk associated with some equipment in Centre for Equipment Maintenance and Industrial Training (CEMIT) in ModibboAdama University of Technology, Yola. This will be achieved by identifying the machines with high risks and develop strategies for risk mitigation. Proper management of equipment assets affects production costs. When maintenance can be performed knowledgeably and only when needed, costs can be reduced. As efforts to maximize and optimize the process have occurred, additional stress on the mechanical assets may have been introduced, resulting in higher support costs. In some cases, the increased asset support costs may exceed the additional process benefits. Clearly, the impacts need to be known and understood Harker (2000).

There are different definitions of risk but the most famous definition of risk is that provided by Knight (1921), who wrote during a period of active research into the foundations of probability. Contemporaneous research includes John Maynard Keynes (1921), Misses (1928), and Kolmogorov (1933). One debate from this period relates to subjective versus objective interpretations of probability. According to objective interpretations, probabilities are real. We may discover them by logic or estimate them through statistical analyses. According to subjective interpretations, probabilities are human beliefs. They are not intrinsic to nature. Individuals specify them to characterize their own uncertainty. The philosophical roots of subjective interpretations of probability may be traced to Hume (1748):

Though there be no such thing as *Chance* in the world; our ignorance of the real cause of any event has the same

influence on the understanding, and begets a like species of belief or opinion. (p. 55) Groundbreaking accounts of subjective probability include those of Ramsey (1931), Finetti (1937), and Savage (1954). The subjectivist position is aptly summarized by de Finetti The abandonment of superstitious beliefs about the existence of Phlogiston, the Cosmic Ether, Absolute Space and Time, or Fairies and Witches, was an essential step along the road to scientific thinking. Probability, too, if regarded as something endowed with some kind of objective existence, is no less a misleading misconception, an illusory attempt to exteriorize or materialize our true probabilistic beliefs (Holton, 2004).

Risk management is a permanent cycle process that involves activities for establishing, monitoring and ensuring continual improvement of the organization's activity. This process includes four main activities, which have to be permanent applied and developed: - Design the management system involves identifying business requirements, assessing the likelihood and the impact of the risks, including the implementation of a security policy and selecting the adequate countermeasures for the existing risks;

- Implement the management system involves applying control measures and work procedures, resource allocation, setting the responsibilities and conduct training and awareness programs;
- Monitoring, reviewing and reassessing the management system involve an evaluation of effectiveness of controls and working procedures, of business changes, of previous incident reports and of existent risks;
- The improvement and update of the management system involves correcting the identified dysfunctions, or eliminating the unsustainable decisions or applying new control measures.

(Dzarma, 2014).

Risk management is a systematic approach for minimizing exposure to potential losses. It provides a disciplined environment for continuously assessing what could go wrong (i.e., assessing risks) determining which risks to address (i.e., setting mitigation priorities) implementing actions to address high-priority risks and bring those risks within tolerance

The three core risk management activities:

Assess risk—transform the concerns people have into distinct, tangible risks that are explicitly documented and analyzed

Plan for risk mitigation—determine an approach for addressing or mitigating each risk; produce a plan for implementing the approach

Mitigate risk—deal with each risk by implementing its defined mitigation plan and tracking the plan to completion. These three activities form the foundation of the Risk Management Framework (Alberts and Audrey 2010). The risk management process involves several steps—all designed to help reduce uncertainty concerning the occurrence of a loss. The process consists of six steps: risk identification, risk quantification and evaluation, risk avoidance, loss prevention and control, risk financing, and re-evaluation. Risk Identification: The first step in the risk management process is to determine the risks of loss to which the library is subject. This will involve an analysis of the operations of the library and identification of risks inherent in those operations. In this step, the library will identify those assets or operations that, if damaged or destroyed, would cause difficulty to ongoing operations.

### Risk Quantification and Evaluation

The next step in the process is to quantify the risks identified in step one. This involves an evaluation of the potential size of losses that may occur from the different risks identified and the likelihood that such losses will occur. In other words, the library will evaluate the probable frequency and severity of losses from various risks.

### Risk Avoidance

Avoidance can be the most effective risk management strategy but for many risks inherent in the library's operations, it may not be practical or even possible in the context of the library's mission of service to its community. Yet, some risks can be avoided without causing disruption to library operations. It is advisable to eliminate risks wherever possible and feasible.

### Loss Prevention and Control

Many risks of loss either can be eliminated or reduced significantly by loss prevention activities. Risk Financing: Ultimately, many risks will remain both part of the library's operations and its financial responsibility. Therefore, risk financing is a major component of the risk management process. Risk financing is the process of selecting the most cost-effective means of ensuring funds will be available after a loss to allow the library to rebuild and restore damaged or destroyed property and to continue its mission of service to its constituents.

### Transferring the Risk to Others

Whenever possible, risks should be transferred from the library to others with whom it does business via a non-insurance transfer, such as "hold harmless" or indemnity clauses in contracts with third parties. An indemnity clause or hold harmless agreement written into a contract or lease agreement will provide that a third party contracting with the library will accept financial responsibility for losses caused by that third party's negligent operations on behalf of the library (Breighner and Payton, 2005).

## 2. Methodology

The **Annualized Loss Expectancy (ALE)** used in this paper to assess risk is in lined with the one used by Danjuma and Dzarma (2014) for **Threat Analysis of Some Information Security Assets**.

### Annualized Loss Expectancy (ALE)

(SLE) was computed as the product of Exposure Factor (EF) and the Asset Value Before the threat (AVB). Mathematically

$$SLE = EF \times AVB$$

Step 2

(EF) was computed as the difference between the Asset Value Before threat (AVB) and Asset Value After the threat (AVA) divided by the Asset Value Before the threat multiply by 100

$$EF = \frac{AVB - AVA}{AVB} \cdot 100$$

Step 3

Annualized Rate of Occurrence (ARO) was computed as the ratio of Number of the Times (NT) the threat occurs and the Number of Years Observed (NYO).

$$ARO = \frac{NT}{NYO}$$

Step 4

Annualized Loss Expectancy (ALE) was computed as the product of Single Loss Expectancy and Annual Rate of Occurrence:

$$ALE = SLE \times ARO$$

### Analysis and Result

#### Annualized loss expectancy of computer, books and the library building

Table below gives the summary of ranking ALE of the spindle moulding machine, Tenoning machine, mortising machine and Circular saw.

**Table 1: ALE Spindle moulding machine**

AVB	₹1,500,000
AVA	₹400,000
EF	73.33%
ARO	3/10

The data in table 1 were used to compute the ALE of Spindle moulding machine which is given in table 5

**Table 2: ALE Tenoning machine**

AVB	₹5,000,000
AVA	₹1,000,000
EF	80%
ARO	2/10

The data in table 2 were used to compute the ALE Tenoning machine as given in table 5

**Table 3: ALE mortising machine**

AVB	₹100,000
AVA	₹50,000
Depreciation /EF	50%
ARO	5/10

The data in table 3 were used to compute the ALE of mortising machine which is given in table 5

**Table 4: ALE Circular saw**

AVB	₹3,000,000
AVA	₹200,000
EF	93.33%
ARO	2/10

The data in table 4 were used to compute the ALE of mortising machine this is given in table 5

**Table 5: The annual Loss Expectancy (ALE) summary for some Library Assets**

Asset	AVB	EF	SLE	ARO	ALE
spindle moulding machine	₹1,500,000	73.33 %	₹1,099,950	0.3	₹329,985
Tenoning machine	₹5,000,000	80 %	₹4,000,000	0.2	₹800,000
mortising machine	₹100,000	50%	₹50,000	0.5	₹25,000
Circular saw	₹3,000,000	93.33%	₹2,799,900	0.2	₹559,980

The information in the table 5 shows that Tenoning machine has highest Asset Value Before the threat (₹5,000,000), next to it is Circular saw (₹3,000,000) followed by Spindle moulding machine (₹1,500,000) and mortising machine (₹100,000) is last. Circular saw have highest Exposure factor (93.33%), followed by Tenoning machine (80%), next to it is spindle moulding machine (73.33%) and mortising Machine (50%) is last. Circular saw has the highest Single Loss Expectancy of ₹2,799,900 next to it is Tenoning machine ₹4,000,000 followed by spindle moulding machine (₹1,099,950) and mortising machine (₹50,000) is last. Mortising machine have highest Annual Rate of Occurrence (ARO) of 0.5, next to it is spindle moulding machine (0.3), followed by circular saw and tenoning machine (0.2). Tenoning machine has highest Annualized Loss Expectancy of ₹800,000, Circular saw has moderate ALE of ₹559,980 next is spindle moulding machine ₹329,985 and mortising machine has least ALE of ₹25,000.

### 3. Discussion

The results in the table 5 shows tenoning machine has highest Annualized Loss Expectancy. There are currently a large number of the traditional hand-fed, single-end toning machines still in operation and the standard of guarding at

most of these older machines is not very high. This is because of the way the machine operates and the type of work done, both of which make conventional guarding difficult. This machine has high chance of injuring operators (<http://www.hse.gov.uk>). This indicates that tenoning machine has the highest risk among the four machines. The results also shows that circular saw has second annualized loss expectancy which also indicates that it has high risk. Spindle moulding machine and Mortising machine have moderate and low risk respectively.

### 4. Recommendations

The management of CEMIT are recommend to allocates resources for machine maintenance and replacements in ALE Priority as follows:

Tenoning machine should be given first priority, next to it is circular saw, followed by spindle moulding machine and mortising machine is last.

### References

- [1] Alberts, C. J and Audrey J. Dorofee, A. J (2010). Risk management framework. Acquisition Support Program, Technical Report, August 2010 <http://www.sei.cmu.edu>
- [2] Breighner, M. and Payton, W. (2005). *Risk and Insurance Management Manual*. Library Administration & Management Association, a division of ALA. <http://www.alastore.ala.org>.
- [3] Danjuma, J. and Dzarma, E. (2014). Threat Analysis of Some Information Security Assets in Ibrahim Babangida Library of Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola. Unpublished manuscript
- [4] Dzarma, E.D (2014), Library Security Risk Analysis: case study of Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola. Masters Thesis submitted to the department of Statistics and operations.
- [5] Harker R. (2000). Understanding Machinery Management – Using Machine Condition and Process Information for Maximum Benefit. *ORBIT Third Quarter 2000*
- [6] Hume, D. (1748). *Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding*. Keynes, John Maynard. 1921. *A Treatise on Probability*. London: Macmillan.
- [7] Holton, G. A. (2004). Defining Risk. *Financial Analysts Journal* Volume 60, Number 6
- [8] Finetti, B. (1937). "La Prévision: Ses Lois Logiques, Ses Sources Subjectives." *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré*, vol. 7: 1–68. Translated (1964) in *Studies in Subjective Probability*.
- [9] Knight, F. H. (1921). *Risk, Uncertainty, and Profit*. New York: Hart, Schaffner, and Marx.
- [10] Kolmogorov, A. N. (1933) *Grundbegriff e der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. Translated
- [11] (1960) as *Foundations of the Theory of Probability*. 2nd English ed.
- [12] New York: Chelsea Publishing. Ramsey, F. P. (1931). "Truth and Probability." *The Foundations of Mathematics and Other Logical Essays*. New York: Harcourt Brace.

- [13] Knight, F. H. (1921). *Risk, Uncertainty, and Profit*. New York: Hart, Schaffner, and Marx.
- [14] Kolmogorov, A. N. (1933) *Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. Translated
- [15] (1960) as *Foundations of the Theory of Probability*. 2nd English ed. New York: Chelsea Publishing.
- [16] Mises, R. (1928). *Wahrscheinlichkeit, Statistik und Wahrheit*.
- [17] 3rd German ed. Translated (1957) as *Probability, Statistics and Truth*. 2nd revised English ed. New York: Macmillan.
- [18] Savage, L. J. (1954). *The Foundations of Statistics*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- [19] Safe use of single-end tenoning machines ;Woodworking Sheet No 39 <http://www.hse.gov.uk>