Organizing User Search Histories on User Precedence Based Search with Security

Pappula Praveen¹, S. Kalyani²

¹M.Tech, Department of CSE, Anurag Group of Institutions, Hyderabad, India

²Associate Professor, Department of CSE, Anurag Group of Institutions, Hyderabad, India

Abstract: Now, users are facing many complicated and complex task-oriented goals on the search engine. Those are managing finances, making travel arrangements or any other planning and purchases. To reduce this problem, usually break down the tasks into a few codependent steps and issuing multiple queries, and which store repeatedly over a long period of time, whatever the user can search in the search engine, that information search engines keep track of their queries and clicks while search in the search engine or online. In this paper we become skilled at the complexity of organizing user's historical queries into in an active and expected manner. Automatic identifying query groups are compassionate for the number of different search engines, deals with applications. Those are query suggestions, result status, query alterations. In this we are proposing security for the related query groups. When we work in the single or any organization, security will provides the security for the user's data or information in the search engine or any data base.

Keywords: Selective Jamming, Denial-of-Service, Wireless Networks, query reformulation, click graph, Packet Classification.

1. Introduction

Now- a -days, we have large data or information in the web, but we contain some complex problems while searching in the online for the required data. When the user enters a query in the search engine, then the user may or may not get the required information within the short time and minimum clicks. Various studies on query logs like, Yahoo's and AltaVista's has reveal that only 20-30 percent of queries are navigational [1]. That is since user's now pursuing broader information and task leaning goals, such as arranging for outlook tour, organization their assets, scheduling and their obtain decisions. The searching will start by entering a keyword in the search engine. A difficult task such as travel scheduling has to be broken down into a number of codependent steps over a period of time. User could primary search on probable destinations, time lines, proceedings, etc., and then the user can search for the majority proper planning for rental cars, air tickets, meals and temporary housing etc. Each step requires one or more queries, and every query results in one or more clicks on related pages.

To decrease the trouble on the user's while searching in the search engine. Some of major search engines introduced a new "Search History" feature. Which assists to the users during their complex search quests online is the capability to identify group related queries together, which allocates the user's to track their online searches by recording their clicks and queries. For example, user has interested to search some queries in the online, that queries will be stored in the search history, which assists to the users to make sense and keep track of gueries and clicks in the search history [2]. This query grouping permits the users to better understanding which the user searched before. For example, if the user can searched for a query that already in the history log, then that will be displayed in the related query Group, by which the user can be able to select required query from the query group. The query group consists of correlated queries, and it will show only important query as an alternative of Wikipedia.

In this paper we study the problem of organizing a user's search history into a set of query groups in an automated and energetic fashion. Every query group is a group of queries by the similar user that are related to each other. And the user searched for new query groups may be created over time. In particular, we develop an online query grouping method over the query fusion graph that combines a probabilistic query reformulation graph[3], which captures the relationship between queries frequently issued together by the user's, and query click graph, which captures the relationship between queries and clicks on similar URLs.

Time	Query
01:05:33	Crick info
01:06:21	Hotel
01:10:42	Bangalore
01:12:44	Ip1
01:22:55	Taj banjara hotel
01:45:12	Eenadu

01:56:33	Sitara hotel
02:02:33	Live score
02:05:22	Vaartha
02:06:34	Cricket
02:12:22	Madhura hotel
02:35:34	Hyderabad
03:05:22	Chennai
03:12:23	sakshi
04:34:44	Mumbai

Fig (a): Users search history

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

Group 1	Group 2	Group 3
Hotels	Cric info	Bangalore
Taj banjara	Ipl	Chennai
Sitara hotel	Cricket	Hyderabad
Madhura hotel	Live score	Mumbai

Fig (b): Search history of a user's one day together with query groups

2. Premilinary Results

2.1 Objectives

Our major objective is organizing a user's search history into correlated query groups routinely, which consists of one or more connected queries and their correlated clicks.

Select Best Query Group Input:

1) The current singleton query group sc containing query qc and set of clicks clks

2) A set of existing query groups S={s1.....,sm}

3) A similarity threshold Tsim, 0<_Tsim<_1

Output: The query group s that best matches sc, or a new one if necessary

(0) $S = \Box$ (1) T_{max}=T_{sim} (2) For i=1 to m (3) If sim(sc,si)>tmax (4) $S=s_i$ (5) $T_{max} = sim(s_{c}, s_{i})$ (6) If $s = \Box$ (7) S=s sc (8) $S=s_{c}$ $\operatorname{Sim}_{\operatorname{time}}(S_{c}, S_{i}) = \frac{1}{\left| time(q_{c}) - time(q_{i}) \right|}$ (9) Return s

Fig: Algorithm for query group

2.2 Dynamic Query Grouping

In which, identification of user's search history into a correlated query group and then join these query groups in an iterative fashion, there will not be any adverse effect of changing a user's obtainable query group. So that, which involves high-computational cost for every new query, so it is not feasible to create single group to every new query entered by the user. When the user can enter a query in the search engine, we first place the current query and clicks into a singleton query group $S_c = \{q_c, c|k_c\}$, and then we compare with presented query group si within a user's history[4]. If there is an existing query group adequately relevant to S_c. If so, we combine with the $S_{\mbox{\tiny c}}$ with the query group S having maximum similarity T_{max} above or equal to the threshold T_{sim}, or else we keep Sc as a new singleton query group and insert it into S.

3. Query Relevance

If the user entered an obtainable query in the search engine, that is the query related and appear close to each other in time in the user's history, in that situation we can defined time-based relevance metric as follows,

3.1 Query Import Ants

(3.1.1) Time

Where, sim_{time}(S_c,S_i) is defined as the inverse of the time interval between the times (3.1.2) Jacquards

If the query group textually similar then we use jaccard similarity, as follows,

$$\operatorname{Sim}_{\operatorname{jaccard}}(S_c, S_i) = \frac{|words(q_c) \cap words(q_i)|}{|words(q_c) \cup words(q_i)|}$$

Sim_{iaccard}(S_c,S_i) is defined as the fraction of common words between q_c and q_i Above two time-based and text-based relevance metrics may work well in some cases, but they cannot capture query similarity. If the user is multitasking means, more than one tab opens in his browser. Then we can follow the co-retrieval method as follows,

(3.1.3) Co-Retrieval

$$\operatorname{Sim}_{\operatorname{cor}}(S_{c}, S_{i}) = \frac{|retrieved(q_{c}) \cap retrieved(q_{i})|}{|retrieved(q_{c}) \cup retrieved(q_{i})|}$$

Sim_{cor}(S_c,S_i) is defined set of retrieved pages retrieved (q_c) and (q_i)

3.2 Query Relevance Using Search Logs

Let us know about, how to define the query relevance based on web search logs, It means that relevance is aimed at capturing two important properties of related queries. Let us

know how we can use these graphs to analyze query weight and how we can combine the click. Search behavior graphs: Three types of graphs from the search logs of a commercial search engine. They are given below

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

3.2.1 Query reformulation graph (QRG)

This represents, the relationship between a pair of queries that possible reformulation of each other. Ouerv reformulation graph(QRG), is one way to identify related queries that are typically initiate within the query logs of a search engine. If two queries that are concerned repeatedly by the several users happen frequently, then they are likely to reformulations of each other[5]. Simtime is a time based metric among two queries and which makes use of the distance among the time stamps of the queries within the users search history. Based on the query logs, we can create the query reformulation graph, QRG = (vQ, Σ_{QR}) and set of edges Σ_{QR} . Every pair (qi,qj), where qi is issued before qj and we can count the number of such occurrences across all users daily activities, denotes countr (qi,qj). If the two pairs are not relevant [6], then we filter out infrequent pairs and include only the query pairs whose counts exceed a threshold value, Tr. For each (q_i,q_j) with countr $(q_i,q_j) > Tr$, we add a direct edge from qi to qj to Σ_{QR} , the edge weight wr (qi,qj),

$$w_{r}(\mathbf{q}_{i},\mathbf{q}_{j}) := \frac{count_{r}(q_{i},q_{j})}{\sum_{q_{i},q_{k} \in \sum Q^{R}} count_{r}(q_{i},q_{j})}$$

3.2.2 Query click graph (QCG)

This represents the relationship among two queries repeatedly direct to clicks on related URLs. One way to capture related queries from the search logs are to be consider queries that are likely to provoke users to click repeatedly on the same set of URLs. Foremost start by considering a bipartite click through graph, $CG = (V_q V_u, \Sigma c)$ used by fuxman. CG has two dissimilar set of nodes related to queries, V_q , and URLs, V_u , extracted from the click logs[7]. The edge $(qi.uk) \in \Sigma c$, if query qi was issued and URL uk was clicked by the users. We weight each edge (qi, uk) by number of times qi was issued and uk was clicked, countc (qi, uk). the weight edge (qi.qj) in QCG, $w_c (qi.qj)$ is defined as follows,

$$W_{c}(q_{i},q_{j}) := \frac{\sum_{u_{k}} \min(count_{c}(q_{i},u_{k}),count_{c}(q_{c},u_{k}))}{\sum_{u_{k}} count_{c}(q_{i},u_{k})}$$

3.2.3 Query fusion graph (QFG)

This combines the queries in the earlier two graphs. These three graphs have the similar set of vertices V_Q, but those edges are defined differently. We combine both the query reformulation graph(QRG) and query click graph within QRG and query click information within QCG into a single graph QFG = (vq, Σ QF) that we refer as the fusion graph. the weight of edge(qi,qj) in QRG, wf(qi,qj) and taken to be a linear sum of the edge weights, wr(qi,qj) in EQR and wc(qi,qj) in EQC, as follows:

$$w_f(q_i, q_j) = \alpha * w_r(q_i, q_j) + (1 - \alpha) * w_c(q_i, q_j)$$

The relative contribution of the two weights is controlled by [7], and we denote a query fusion graph constructed with a particular value of α as QFG (α).

Algorithm for calculate the query relevance

- Input:
- (1) Query fision graph, QFG
- (2) The jump vector, g
- (3) The damping factor, d
- (4) Total number of random walks, numRWs
- (5) The size of neighbourhood, maxHops
- (6) The given query, q

Output:

- (0) Initialize rel $_{qF}=0$
- (1) Numwalks=0; numvisit=0
- (2) While numwalks<numRWs
- (3) numHops=0;v=q
- (4) while v NULL numHops \leq maxHops $\Box \Box$
- (5) numHops ++
- (6) rel $_{qF}(v)$ ++; numvisits++
- (7) v=selectnext node to visit(v)
- (8) numwalks++
- (9) for each v, normalize rel $_{qF}(v)$ = rel $_{qF}(v)$ /numvisits

SELECT NEXT NODE TO VISIT: Algorithm for selecting next node to visit Input:

- (1) the query fusion graph, QFG
- (2) the jump factor, g
- (3) the damping factor, d
- (4) the current node, v

Output:

- (0) if random()<d
- (1) v={qi|(v,qi) $\in \Sigma_{QF}$ }
- (2) pick a node $q_i \in v$ with probability $w_f(u,q_i)$
- (3) else
- (4) $v = \{q_i | g(q_i) > 0\}$
- (5) pick a node $q_i \in v$ with probability $g(q_i)$
- (6) return qi

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we show how such queries are stored in the search history while searching in the online. And we can used reformulation graph and click graphs, which includes useful data on user behavior when searching online. We have seen how the historical queries into groups in an energetic and routine manner. Regularly identifying query groups are compassionate to the number of users. In addition, we are increasing and providing the security to the query group. In an organization, security is more significant to secure the data or information. The security plays a key role for providing security to the user's data. With no security, data or information will be losses by the illegal users. Security, it will afford the data, if we were authenticated. Otherwise it will not provide anything.

References

- Heasoo Hwang, Hady W. Lauw, Lise Getoor, and Alexandros Ntoulas "Organizing User Search Histories" ", Knowledge ang Data Engineering, IEEE Transactions on volume:24, Issue:5, 2012.
- [2] J. Teevan, E. Adar, R. Jones, and M.A.S. Potts, "Information Re Retrieval: Repeat Queries in Yahoo's Logs," Proc. 30th Ann. Int'l ACM SIGIR Conf. Research and Development in Information Retrieval
- [3] J.-R. Wen, J.-Y. Nie, and H.-J. Zhang, "Query Clustering Using User Logs," ACM Trans. in Information Systems,
- [4] R. Baeza-Yates and A. Tiberi, "*Extracting Semantic Relations from Query Logs*," Proc. 13th ACM SIGKDD Int'l Conf. Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD), 2007.
- [5] J. Han and M. Kamber," *Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques*". Morgan Kaufmann, 2000.
- [6] W. Barbakh and C. Fyfe, "Online Clustering Algorithms," Int'l J. Neural Systems, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 185-194, 2008.
- [7] Data Mining Concepts M. Berry, and M. Browne, eds. World Scientific Publishing Company, 2006.

Author Profile



Pappula Praveen received the B.Tech degree in Computer Science Engineering from JNTU Hyderabad in 2011 and pursuing M. Tech degree in Computer Science Engineering from Anurag Group of Institutions, JNTU Hyderabad, India



S.Kalyani working as Associate Professor in Computer Science Engineering from CVSR College of Engineering from Anurag Group of Institutions Venkatapur(V), Ghatkesar(M), Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad-500088, Telangana State.