ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

# On Subordination Properties of Univalent functions

## Waggas Galib Atshan<sup>1</sup>, Faiz Jawad Abdulkhadim<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, University of Al-Qadisiya, Diwaniya, Iraq

Abstract: In this paper, we study some results of Differential Subordination for classes of univalent functions in the unit disk.

2014 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

**Keywords**: Univalent analytic function, subordination, starlike function, convex function

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}}^+$  be denote the class of all functions  $f(\mathbb{Z})$ , in the unit disk **U**, of the form

$$f(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_nz^{n-n/\alpha}\,,\qquad\alpha=\{2,3,4\ldots\},\quad (1.1)$$
 which are analytic in the open unite disk 
$$U=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:\,|z|<1\}\text{ and satisfying }f(0)=1\,.$$

Also, let  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}}^-$  be denote the class of all functions f(z), in the

$$f(z) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-n/\alpha}, \quad \alpha = \{2, 3, 4 \dots\},$$
 (1.2)

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and satisfying

$$f(0) = 1$$

For two functions f and g analytic in U, we say that f is subordinate to  $\mathbf{g}$  in  $\mathbf{U}$ ,

Written f < g or f(z) < g(z), if there exists a Schwarz function w(z) analytic in U, with w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))_c (z \in U)$ .

In particular, if the function g is univalent in U then  $f \prec g$  if and only if

$$f(0) = g(0)$$
, and  $f(U) \subseteq g(U)$ .

A function  $f \in \Sigma_{\infty}^+$  is said to be starlike of order  $\beta$  if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zf(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \beta$$
,  $(z \in U, 0 \le \beta \le 1)$ 

Denote this class by  $5^*(\beta)$ .

A function  $f \in \Sigma_{\alpha}^+$  is said to be convex of order  $\beta$ , if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z\check{f}(z)}{\check{f}(z)}\right\}>\beta, \qquad (z\in U\ ,\ 0\leq\beta\leq1)$$

**Lemma (1)**[1]:. Let  $\mathbf{q}$  be univalent in the unit disk  $\mathbf{U}$ , and  $\mathbf{\theta}$  be analytic in domain  $\mathbb{D}$  containing q(U). If

$$zp(z)\theta(p(z)) \prec zq(z)\theta(q(z))$$

$$p(z) \prec g(z)$$

and q is the best dominant

Lemma (2) [3]: Let q be convex univalent in the unite disk U, and let  $\theta$  be analytic in a domain Dcontaining q(U).

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\hat{\theta}(q(z)) + 1 + \frac{z\hat{q}(z)}{\hat{q}(z)}\right\} > 0$$

If p is analytic in U with p(0) = q(0) and  $p(U) \subseteq D$ , then  $z\tilde{p}(z) + \theta(p(z)) \prec z\tilde{q}(z) + \theta(q(z)),$ 

 $p(z) \prec q(z)$ 

and q is the best dominant.

Lemma (3)[3]:Let q be convex univalent in the unit disk U, and  $\psi_{\varepsilon}t \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$Re\left\{1+\frac{z\dot{\tilde{q}}\left(z\right)}{\dot{q}\left(z\right)}+\frac{\psi}{t}\right\}>0.$$

If p is analytic in U and

$$\psi p(z) + tz \dot{p}(z) \prec \psi q(z) + tz \dot{q}(z)$$

then

 $p(z) \prec q(z)$ 

and q is the best dominant.

### 2. Main Results

Theorem (1):Let the function q be univalent in the unit  $q(z) \neq 0$ and diskU,

$$z\dot{q}(z)\theta(q(z))\neq 0$$

is starlike in U. If  $f \in \Sigma_m^+$  satisfies the subordination

$$2 - \frac{z\hat{f}(z)}{\hat{f}(z)} + \frac{z^2\hat{f}(z)}{z\hat{f}(z) - f(z)} < \frac{-z\hat{q}(z)}{\delta q(z)}$$
. (2.1)

Then

$$\left[\frac{z^2 \dot{f}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)}\right]^{\delta} \prec q(z),$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

**Proof:** Define the function **p** by

$$p(z) = \left[\frac{z^2 f(z)}{z f(z) - f(z)}\right]^{\delta}, \quad z \in U \cdot \delta \in \mathbb{C}/\{0\} \quad (2.2)$$

$$z\dot{p}(z) = \delta \left[ \frac{z^{2}\dot{f}(z)}{z\dot{f}(z) - f(z)} \right]^{\delta} \left[ -2 + \frac{z\dot{f}(z)}{\dot{f}(z)} - \frac{z^{2}\dot{f}(z)}{z\dot{f}(z) - f(z)} \right] < \frac{-z\dot{q}(z)}{\delta q(z)}, (2.3)$$

Volume 3 Issue 9, September 2014

## International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

by setting  $\theta(w) = \frac{-1}{\delta w}$ , it can easily observed that  $\theta(w)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} / \{0\}$ . Then we obtain that  $\theta(p(z)) = \frac{-1}{\delta p(z)}$  and  $\left[\frac{z^2 \hat{f}(z)}{z \hat{f}(z) - f(z)}\right]^{\circ} < \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ 

$$\theta(q(z)) = \frac{-1}{\delta q(z)}$$

From (2.3), we have

$$z\vec{p}(z)\theta(p(z)) = 2 - \frac{z\hat{f}(z)}{\hat{f}(z)} + \frac{z^2\hat{f}(z)}{z\hat{f}(z) - f(z)},$$
 (2.4)

form (2.1) and (2.4), we get

$$z\dot{p}(z)\theta(p(z)) < \frac{-z\dot{q}(z)}{\delta q(z)} = z\dot{q}(z)\theta(q(z)).$$

Therefore by Lemma (1), we get  $p(z) \prec q(z)$  by using (2.2) we obtain the result.

By taking  $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$   $(-1 \le B < A \le 1)$  in the Theorem (1), we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary (1): If  $f \in \Sigma_{\alpha}^+$  satisfies the subordination

$$2 - \frac{z \dot{\hat{f}}(z)}{\dot{\hat{f}}(z)} + \frac{z^2 \dot{\hat{f}}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)} < \frac{(B - A)z}{\delta (1 + Az)(1 + Bz)}$$

$$\left[\frac{z^2 \dot{f}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)}\right]^{\delta} < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$$

and  $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  is the best dominant.

By taking  $q(z) = \frac{1+z}{1+z}$  in the Theorem (1), we obtain the following corollary

<u>Corollary (2)</u>: If  $\in \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}^{+}$  satisfies the subordination

$$2 - \frac{z \dot{\hat{f}}(z)}{\dot{\hat{f}}(z)} + \frac{z^2 \dot{\hat{f}}(z)}{z \dot{\hat{f}}(z) - \hat{f}(z)} \prec \frac{-2z}{\delta (1-z)(1+z)}$$

$$\left[\frac{z^2 \hat{f}(z)}{z \hat{f}(z) - f(z)}\right]^{\delta} < \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$
and  $q(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$  is the best dominant.

Theorem (2):Let q be convex univalent in the unit disk U and q(0) = 0. If  $f \in \Sigma_{\alpha}^{+}$  satisfies

$$\frac{\delta z \dot{\tilde{f}}(z)}{\dot{\tilde{f}}(z)} \left[ \frac{z^2 \dot{\tilde{f}}(z)}{z \dot{\tilde{f}}(z) - f(z)} \right]^{\delta} \prec z \dot{q}(z) + 2\delta q(z) + \delta q^{\delta+1}(z), (2.5)$$

$$\left[\frac{z^2 \dot{f}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)}\right]^{\delta} \prec q(z),$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

**Proof**: define the function **p** by

$$p(z) = \left[\frac{z^2 \hat{f}(z)}{z \hat{f}(z) - f(z)}\right]^{\delta}, (z \in U, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \quad (2.6)$$

then

$$z \not p(z) = \delta \left[ \frac{z^2 \dot{f}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)} \right]^{\delta} \left[ -2 + \frac{z \dot{\hat{f}}(z)}{\dot{\hat{f}}(z)} - \frac{z^2 \dot{\hat{f}}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)} \right] (2.7)$$

By setting  $\theta(w) = \delta w^{\delta+1/\delta} + 2\delta w$ , it can easily observed that  $\theta(w)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then we obtain that

$$\theta(p(z)) = \delta[p(z)]^{\delta+1/\epsilon} + 2\delta p(z)$$
 and  $\theta(q(z)) = \delta[q(z)]^{\delta+1/\epsilon} + 2\delta q(z)$  (2.8)

From (2-7) and (2-8), we get

$$z\vec{p}(z) + \theta(p(z)) = \frac{\delta z \hat{f}(z)}{\hat{f}(z)} \left[ \frac{z^2 \hat{f}(z)}{z \hat{f}(z) - f(z)} \right]^{\delta}$$

$$(2.9)$$

From (2.5) and (2.9), we get

$$z\dot{p}(z) + \theta(p(z)) \prec z\dot{q}(z) + 2\delta q(z) + \delta q^{\delta+1}(z) = z\dot{q}(z) + \theta(q(z)),$$
 then by Lemma (2), we get  $p(z) \prec q(z)$ .

By using (2.6), we obtain the result.

By taking  $q(z) = ze^{yAz}$  in the Theorem (2), we obtain the following corollary:

$$\frac{\text{Corollary (3): } \text{If } f \in \Sigma_{\alpha}^{-} \text{ satisfies the subordination} }{\frac{\delta z \dot{f}(z)}{\dot{f}(z)} \left[ \frac{z^{2} \dot{f}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)} \right]^{\delta}} < \left( z^{2} \gamma A + z + 2\delta + \delta e^{\delta + 1/\delta} \right) e^{\gamma A z},$$

$$\left[\frac{z^2 \mathring{f}(z)}{z \mathring{f}(z) - f(z)}\right]^{g} < z e^{\gamma A z}$$

and  $q(z) = ze^{yAz}$  is the best dominant.

By taking  $q(z) = (1 - z)^{-2ab}$  in the Theorem (2), we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary (4): If  $f \in \Sigma_{\infty}^-$  satisfies the subordination

$$\frac{\delta z \dot{\tilde{f}}(z)}{\dot{\tilde{f}}(z)} \left[ \frac{z^2 \dot{\tilde{f}}(z)}{z \dot{\tilde{f}}(z) - f(z)} \right]^{\delta} < 2zab(1-z)^{-(2ab+1)} + 2\delta(1-z)^{-2ab} + \delta(1-z)^{-2ab(\frac{\delta+1}{\delta})}$$

$$\left[\frac{z^2 \dot{f}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)}\right]^{\delta} \prec (1 - z)^{-2ab},$$

and  $q(z) = (1 - z)^{-2\alpha b}$  is the best dominant.

Theorem (3):Let the function q be convex univalent in the unit disk  $U, \dot{q}(z) \neq 0$  and assume that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{z\dot{q}(z)}{\dot{q}(z)} + 2\delta\right\} > 0$$
, (2.10)

## Volume 3 Issue 9, September 2014

# International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

If  $f \in \Sigma_{+}^{+}$  satisfies the subordination

$$t\delta \left[ \frac{z^2 \hat{f}(z)}{z \hat{f}(z) - f(z)} \right]^{\delta} \left[ \frac{z \hat{f}(z)}{\hat{f}(z)} - \frac{z^2 \hat{f}(z)}{z \hat{f}(z) - f(z)} \right] < 2t\delta q(z) + tz \hat{q}(z), (2.11)$$

$$\left[\frac{z^2 \dot{f}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)}\right]^{\delta} \prec q(z). (z \in U, \delta \in \mathbb{C}/\{0\}),$$

and q(z) is the best dominant.

**Proof**: Define the function **p** by

$$p(z) = \left[\frac{z^2 \hat{f}(z)}{z \hat{f}(z) - f(z)}\right]^{\delta}, (z \in U, \delta \in \mathbb{C}/\{0\}), \quad (2.12)$$

$$tz \not p(z) = t\delta \left[ \frac{z^2 \dot{f}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)} \right]^{\delta} \left[ -2 + \frac{z \dot{f}(z)}{\dot{f}(z)} - \frac{z^2 \dot{f}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)} \right]$$

It can easily observed that

$$2t\delta p(z) + tz p(z) = t\delta \left[ \frac{z^2 \hat{f}(z)}{z \hat{f}(z) - f(z)} \right]^{\delta} \left[ \frac{z \hat{f}}{\hat{f}(z)} - \frac{z^2 \hat{f}(z)}{z \hat{f}(z) - f(z)} \right], (2.13)$$

Then by (2.11) and (2.13), we get

$$2t\delta p(z) + t\delta p(z) \prec 2t\delta q(z) + tzq(z)$$

By setting  $\psi = 2t\delta$  in Lemma (3), we get

 $p(z) \prec q(z)$ 

By using (2.12), we obtain the result.  
By taking 
$$q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$
  $(-1 \le B < A \le 1)$  in the Theorem

(3), we obtain the following corollary: **Corollary (5):** Let  $f \in \Sigma_{\alpha}^+$  and assume that

$$Re\left\{\frac{1-Bz}{1+Bz}+2\delta\right\}>0.$$

$$t\delta\left[\frac{z^2\mathring{f}(z)}{z\mathring{f}(z)-f(z)}\right]^\delta\left[\frac{z\mathring{f}}{\mathring{f}(z)}-\frac{z^2\mathring{f}(z)}{z\mathring{f}(z)-f(z)}\right] < 2t\delta\frac{1-Bz}{1+Bz}+\frac{t(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)^2}.$$

$$\left[\frac{z^2 \dot{f}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)}\right]^{\delta} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \qquad (-1 \le B < A \le 1)$$

and  $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  is the best dominant.

By taking  $q(z) = e^{\sqrt{Az}}$  in the Theorem (3), we obtain the

Corollary (6): Let  $f \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}^+$  and assume that

 $Re\{1 + yAz + 2\delta\} > 0.$ 

If f satisfies the subordination

$$t\delta \left[ \frac{z^2 \dot{f}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)} \right]^{\delta} \left[ \frac{z \dot{f}(z)}{\dot{f}(z)} - \frac{z^2 \dot{f}(z)}{z \dot{f}(z) - f(z)} \right] \prec (2\delta + z) t e^{\gamma Az},$$

$$\left[\frac{z^2 \hat{f}(z)}{z \hat{f}(z) - f(z)}\right]^{\delta} < e^{\gamma A z},$$

and  $q(z) = e^{yAz}$  is the best dominant.

#### References

- [1] O. Juneja, T. Reddy, M. Mogra, A convolution approach for analytic functions with negative coefficients, Soochow, J. Math., 11(1988), 69-81.
- [2] K.S. Miller, B. Ross, An introduction to the fractional Calculus and fractional differential equations, John -Wily and Sons, Tnc., (1993).
- [3] S. S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations; Theory and Applications, Series On Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol.225, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 2000.

#### **Author Profile**



Waggas Galib Atshan is working as Assistant Professor, Dr. in Mathematics (Complex Analysis), teacher at University of Al-adisiya, College of Computer Science & Mathematics, Department of

Mathematics. He has 90 papers published in various journals in mathematics till now. He has taught seventeen subjects in mathematics till now (undergraduate, graduate). He is supervisor of 20 students (Ph.D., M.Sc.) till now. He has attended 23 international and national conferences.