

# Generalization Sum of Boundedness of Toeplitz Operators

Eltigani Ismail Hassan

<sup>1</sup>Al Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU), College of science, Department of Mathematics and Statistics

<sup>2</sup>University of Bahri & College of Applied Science and Industrial, Department of Mathematics

**Abstract:** In this paper, we investigate the product sum of bounded of Toeplitz product  $T_{f_j} T_{g_j}$  with  $f_j$  and  $g_j$  in weighted Bergman space. Where  $f_j$  and  $g_j$  are square integrable analytic functions.

## 1. Introduction

The study of this problem was initiated by Sarason [4]. In the context of Hardy space  $H^2$  of the unit circle, often he had obtained of functions  $f$  and  $g$  in  $H^2$  such that the product  $T_f T_g$  is bounded on  $H^2$  [5,6]. The Poisson kernel plays the role of the Berezin transform. Treil showed that a condition analogous is necessary [4], while the second author proved that a condition analogous is sufficient [1]. The above results are analogous to [7], and generalize the results [8,9]. However, the proofs require new tools to establish the necessary condition, as well as consideration of higher derivatives, and an inner product formula involving higher derivatives. Recently Park [10] has proved a necessary and close - to - sufficient condition for Toeplitz product on the Bergman space of the ball. Also condition  $B_2$  was introduced by Bekoll and Bonami in [11].

In this paper we will generalize a necessary condition for boundedness of sum of the Toeplitz product  $T_{f_j} T_{g_j}$ . Instead of product of two Toeplitz operators are bounded in weighted Bergman space we will show that the product sum of Toeplitz operators are bounded in the same space and also we will prove that  $B_2$  is a necessary condition for the Bergman projection to be bounded on  $L^2(u dA)$ .

## 2. Main Results

We show that the following condition for sum of boundedness of Toeplitz product  $T_{f_j} T_{g_j}$  in weighted Bergman space. Consider the product  $f_j \otimes g_j$  on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$  defined by  $f_j \otimes g_j h_j$ . It is easily proved that sum of  $f_j \otimes g_j$  is

$$\sum_{j=1}^n T_{f_j \otimes g_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n (T_{f_j \otimes g_j} U_\omega^{(\alpha)}) U_\omega^{(\alpha)} (T_{g_j \otimes g_j} U_\omega^{(\alpha)}) U_\omega^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{j=1}^n (U_\omega^{(\alpha)} T_{f_j}) U_\omega^{(\alpha)} (U_\omega^{(\alpha)} T_{g_j}) U_\omega^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{j=1}^n U_\omega^{(\alpha)} (T_{f_j} T_{g_j}) U_\omega^{(\alpha)} \quad (2)$$

For all  $\omega \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . Inequality (1) applied  $f_j \otimes g_j$  and  $g_j \otimes g_j$  gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j \otimes g_j\| \|g_j \otimes g_j\| \leq C_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n \|T_{f_j \otimes g_j} T_{g_j \otimes g_j}\| = C_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n \|T_{f_j} T_{g_j}\|$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n B_\alpha[|f_j|^2](\omega) B_\alpha[|g_j|^2](\omega) \leq C_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n \|T_{f_j} T_{g_j}\|$$

bounded on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$  with norm equal to  $\sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j \otimes g_j\| = \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_\alpha \|g_j\|_\alpha$ . We will use Berezin transform: writing  $K_\omega^{(\alpha)}$  for normalized reproducing kernel, we define the Berezin transform of bounded linear operator  $S$  on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . To be the function  $B_\alpha[S]$  defined on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . By  $B_\alpha[S](\omega) = \langle SK_\omega^{(\alpha)}, K_\omega^{(\alpha)} \rangle$  for  $\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n$ , the boundedness of  $S$  implies that the function  $B_\alpha[S]$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . The Berezin transform is injective, for  $B_\alpha[S](\omega) = 0$  for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n$ , implies that  $S = 0$ , the zero product on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$  see [1]. We will also make use of following continuity condition of Berezin transform:  $S_N \rightarrow S$  in operator norm, then  $B_\alpha[S](\omega) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} B_\alpha[S_N](\omega)$  for  $\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n$ . The above statement is an immediate consequence of the following inequality:

$$|B_\alpha[S](\omega) - B_\alpha[S_N](\omega)| \leq \|S - S_N\|.$$

**Theorem 1.** let  $1 < \alpha < \infty$ , and  $f_j, g_j$  in  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . If  $T_{f_j} T_{g_j}$  is bounded on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n} B_\alpha[|f_j|^2](\omega) B_\alpha[|g_j|^2](\omega) < \infty$$

**Proof:** Suppose that  $f_j, g_j$  are analytic on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$  such that the densely defined Toeplitz product  $T_{f_j} T_{g_j}$  is bounded on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . We see that there exists a finite constant  $C_\alpha$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j \otimes g_j\| \leq C_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n \|T_{f_j} T_{g_j}\| \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Thus } \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_\alpha \|g_j\|_\alpha \leq C_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n \|T_{f_j} T_{g_j}\|$$

$$\text{Applied to } f_j, g_j \text{ that } T_{f_j \otimes g_j} U_\omega^{(\alpha)} = U_\omega^{(\alpha)} T_{f_j} ,$$

For all  $\omega \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . So, for  $f_j, g_j \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ , a necessary condition for the sum of Toeplitz product  $T_{f_j} T_{g_j}$  to be bounded on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$  is

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n} B_\alpha[|f_j|^2](\omega) B_\alpha[|g_j|^2](\omega) < \infty$$

We will need the two generalized estimates conditioned in the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $1 < \alpha < \infty$  for  $f_j \in L^2(B_n, V_\alpha)$  and  $h \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n)$  we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |(T_{f_j} h_j)(\omega)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\theta_\alpha [|f_j|^2](\omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-|\omega|^2)^{\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2}}} \|h_j\|_\alpha, \text{ For all } \omega \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

**proof.** By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n |(T_{f_j} h_j)(\omega)|^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f_j(z)| |h_j(z)|}{|1 - \langle \varphi_\omega, z, \omega \rangle|^{n+\alpha+1}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|f_j(z)|^2}{|1 - \langle \varphi_\omega, z, \omega \rangle|^{n+\alpha+1}} dV_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \sum_{j=1}^n |h_j(z)|^2 dV_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\theta_\alpha [|f_j|^2](\omega)}{(1-|\omega|^2)^{n+\alpha+1}} \|h_j\|_\alpha^2 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.** let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ , and let  $f_j$  be in  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . If for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n} B_\alpha [|f_j|^{2+\omega}](\omega) < \infty,$$

Then the operator sum of  $T_{f_j}$  is bounded on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . By Hölder's inequality,

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_j|^2 dV_\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_j|^{2+\omega} dV_\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2+\omega}}$$

Applying this to the function  $f_j \circ \varphi_\omega$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n T_{f_j} \left( \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_n K_u(\cdot, a_n) \right), \left( \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_n K_u(\cdot, a_n) \right) \right\rangle_{A^2(u)} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{n=1}^m T_{f_j} \left( \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_n K_u(\cdot, a_n) \right) (a_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \int_{\mathbb{D}} K_u(a_i, z) \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_n k_u(z, a_n) dV(z) = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_n k_u(\cdot, a_n) \right\|_{L^2(u)}^2 \lesssim \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_n k_u(\cdot, a_n) \right\|_{A^2(u)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

So, if  $\{S_m\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $A^2(u)$ , it is also in  $L^2(v)$  and also converges in  $L^2(v)$ . We have that if  $S_m \rightarrow h_j$  in the  $A^2(u)$ - norm, then  $S_m \rightarrow h_j$  in  $L^2(v)$  and consequently for any function  $q_j^f \in A^2(u)$ ,

$$\langle k_j, S_m \rangle_{L^2(v)} \rightarrow \langle E_j, h_j \rangle_{L^2(v)}, \text{ moreover}$$

$$\langle \sum_{j=1}^n T_{f_j} E_j, h_j \rangle_{A^2(u)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sum_{j=1}^n T_{f_j} E_j, S_m \rangle_{A^2(u)} = \langle \sum_{j=1}^n T_{f_j} E_j, S_m \rangle_{L^2(v)}$$

therefore

$$\langle \sum_{j=1}^n T_{f_j} E_j, h_j \rangle_{A^2(u)} = \langle \sum_{j=1}^n E_j, h_j \rangle_{L^2(v)}$$

Hence for  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\langle \sum_{j=1}^n T_{f_j} B_\alpha B_\alpha \rangle_{A^2(u)} = \langle B_\alpha B_\alpha \rangle_{L^2(v)} = \bar{v}(a) \|B_\alpha\|_{A^2(u)}^2$$

$$v(D_r(a)) \lesssim C(1-|a|^2)^4 \|B_\alpha\|_{A^2(u)}^2 \sim \frac{(1-|a|^2)^4 \mu(D_r(a))}{(1-|a|)^4} \lesssim (D_r(a)).$$

## References

- [1] K.Stroethoff: The Berezin transform and operators on spaces of analytic functions, in : J.Zemanek (Ed).Linear operator, in: Banach Center Publ,38,Polish Acad.Sci. Warsaw, 1997,P.P.361-380.

$$\sum_{j=1}^n B_\alpha [|f_j|^2](\omega)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n B_\alpha [|f_j|^{2+\omega}](\omega)^{\frac{1}{2+\omega}}$$

and thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left( B_\alpha [|f_j|^2](\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left( B_\alpha [|f_j|^{2+\omega}](\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2+\omega}} \quad (4)$$

for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n$ , so condition (4) implies necessary condition (2)

**Proof.** Assume that for  $\omega > 0$ ,  $\tilde{M}$  is positive constant such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n B_\alpha [|f_j|^{2+\omega}](\omega) \leq \tilde{M}^{2+\omega}$

for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n$ . By (3) we also have

$$B_\alpha [|f_j|^2](\omega) \leq \tilde{M}^2 \quad (3)$$

For all  $\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n$ . Let  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_j$  be bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . It follows from Lemma 2.

## 3. Bounded sum of Toeplitz operators

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that  $u$  satisfies the  $B_2$  condition and that the Toeplitz operator  $T_{f_j}: A^2(u) \rightarrow A^2(u)$  is bounded.

Then the Berezin transform  $\tilde{f}_j$  is bounded.

**proof.** First notice that for every finite sum

$$S_m = \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_n K_u(\cdot, a_n), \quad a_n \in \mathbb{D}, \text{ The following holds}$$

and consequently,  $\tilde{v}(a) \|T_{f_j}\|$ .

We will need the following lemma due to constant:

**Lemma 5.** Suppose  $u$  satisfies condition  $B_2$ , then

$$\|B_\alpha\|_{A^2(u)}^2 \sim \frac{\mu(D_r(a))}{(1-|a|)^4}$$

**Proposition 6.** If  $\tilde{v}$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{D}$  then  $v$  is a  $\mu$ -Carleson measure, where  $\mu = u dA$ .

**proof.** Fix  $0 < r < 1$ , if  $\tilde{v}$  is bounded then there exists a constant  $C < \infty$  such that for every  $a \in \mathbb{D}$

$$\frac{1}{\|B_\alpha\|_{A^2(u)}^2} \int_{D_r(a)} \frac{1}{|1-\alpha z|^4} dV(z) \leq C,$$

Consequently

$$\frac{1}{\|B_\alpha\|_{A^2(u)}^2} \int_{D_r(a)} \frac{1}{|1-\alpha z|^4} dV(z) \leq C,$$

- [2] Karel Stroethoff and Dechao Zheng :Bounded Toeplitz Products on Bergman spaces of the unit ball J.Math.Anal.325(2007) 114-129.
- [3] O.Constantin, Carleson embeddings and some classes of operators on weighted Bergman spaces J.Math.Anal.Appl.365(2010)668-682.
- [4] D.Sarason,Products of the Toeplitz operators ,in V.P.Havin,N.K.Nikolski(Eds)Linear and complex

- Analysis Problem Book 3, Part 1, in lecture notes in Math  
Vol 1573, Springer - Verlag, Berlin, 1994, pp 318-319.
- [5] D. Sarason: Exposed points in  $H^1$ . In: oper. Theory  
Adv. Appl, Vol. 41, Birkhauser  
Verlag, Basel, 1998, pp. 485-496.
- [6] D. Sarason: Exposed points in  $H^1$ . In: oper. Theory  
Adv. Appl, Vol. 48, Birkhauser - Basel, 1990, pp. 333-347.
- [7] K. Stroethoff, D. Zheng, Bounded Toeplitz products on  
the Bergman space of the  
polydisk, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 278(2003)125-135.
- [8] K. Stroethoff, D. Zheng, products of Hankel and Toeplitz  
operators on the Bergman  
space, J. funct. Anal. 169(1999)289-313.
- [9] K. Stroethoff, D. Zheng, Bounded Toeplitz products on  
weighted Bergman  
spaces, preprint, <http://www.MathUmt.edu/Stroethoff/BTPWBS.pdf>, 2004.
- [10] J. D. Park; Boundedness of Toeplitz products on the  
Bergman space of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , preprint, 2004.
- [11] D. Bekoll and A. Bonami, Inegalite Poides Pour le noyau de  
Bergman (French). C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. A-B  
286(1978), n. 18, 775-778.