

Figure 1: The places from where honey sample was collected

Physico-chemical analysis:

2.2.1 Colour Determination

The color intensity of the honey samples was measured according to the Pfund classifier.

2.2.2 Electrical conductivity

An EC meter was calibrated as per manufacturer’s instructions and the electrode was dipped in honey solutions. [9].

2.2.3 Determination of moisture (refractometric method):

2.2.4 pH, Free acidity : pH was measure by pH meter in a solution containing 1g of honey sample in 20 ml of distilled water according to Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1990) [10].

2.2.5 Phenol Content: Phenol content was done by Folin ciocalteau method [11].

2.2.6 Estimation of Protein: Proteins in honey samples were estimated by Folin - Ciocalteau method at 720 nm.

2.2.7 Estimation of Total Carbohydrate content: Total Carbohydrate content of honey samples were estimated by Phenol Sulfuric acid Method at 480 nm.

2.2.8 Estimation of Reducing Sugars by DNSA method: Reducing Sugars of honey samples were estimated by DNSA method at 530nm.

2.2.9 Determination of Invertase activity: Invertase activity was determined using the Siegenthaier method

2.3.0 Determination of hydroxyl methylfurfural after White: Determination of hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) was done using the Winkler method.

2.3.1 Determination of antioxidant activity with the DPPH radical scavenging method: The antioxidant properties of each honey sample were also studied by evaluating the free radical-scavenging activity of the DPPH radical. The

determination was based on the method proposed by Ferreira et al. [12].

3. Results

3.1 Physicochemical analysis

The analysis of physical characteristics of different honey samples is given in Table 2. The maximum values for different parameters is highlighted in red and the minimum value is highlighted in green. The parameters are also shown separately in figures 2.6




Figure 2: COLOUR Pfund(mm) of different honey samples

Table 2: The various parameters tested for Physico-chemical analysis of Honey samples

SAMPLES	COLOUR Pfund(mm)	pH	FREE ACIDITY	CONDUCTIVITY (mho) x10	REFRACTIVE INDEX (u)	Moisture R CONTENT(%)	ASH CONTENT (g/100g)
S1	217.18	4.0	5.9	0.543	1.494	27.7	0.04
S2	280	4.3	6.4	0.693	1.477	23.8	0.03
S3	230	4.4	3.8	0.601	1.469	27	0.04
S4	164	4.4	5.5	0.674	1.482	21.8	0.03
S5	254	4.3	6.4	0.596	1.482	21.8	0.03
S6	205	3.9	19.2	1.690	1.473	25.4	0.08
S7	194	4.0	4.5	0.379	1.470	26.6	0.06
S8	183	3.97	5.1	0.058	1.486	20.2	0.08
S9	197	3.88	10.2	0.088	1.482	21.8	0.08
S10	210	3.97	11.9	0.198	1.450	17	0.07
Mean	213.4	4.11	7.89	0.552	1.476	27.6	0.05

3.2 Determination of Invertase activity and hydroxyl methylfurfural after White in Table 3.

Table 3: Determination of Invertase activity and hydroxyl methylfurfural after White

SAMPLE	PROLINE (mg/kg)	INVERTASE (Units/kg)	INVERTASE NUMBER	PHENOL CONTENT (mg / kg)	HMF CONTENT (mg/kg)
S1	256	3.65	0.49	0.051	15.71
S2	2880	5.34	0.73	0.043	22.45
S3	3200	7.15	0.97	0.044	10.47
S4	960	32.7	4.45	0.045	9.73
S5	3368	15.4	2.09	0.054	17
S6	6400	9.5	1.29	0.045	11.22
S7	3555	72.4	9.86	0.037	14.96
S8	1600	15.8	2.16	0.042	7.4
S9	5760	11.5	1.55	0.047	17.96
S10	6400	4.4	0.6	0.043	19.4
Mean	3437.9	17.77	2.419	0.0451	14.63




Figure 3: HMF content of different Honey samples




Figure 4: Phenol content of different Honey samples

3.3 Total reducing and non-reducing sugars: The total sugar and non-reducing sugar content of different honey sample are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4: Total sugar content, reducing sugar and Sucrose content of different honey samples

sample	Total sugar content (%) (g/ml)	Reducing sugar (%) g/g	Sucrose (%)
S1	62.45	63.34	2.54
S2	63.23	63.24	2.34
S3	57	59.34	2.45
S4	58.90	67	2
S5	65	65	1.89
S6	54	62.1	2.29
S7	68	62	1.76
S8	65	61	2.90
S9	59	56	2.45
S10	56.50	53	2.22
Mean	60.02	52.1	2.23

The Total Sugar content (%) g/ml, mean of all samples was found to be of 60.02 g/ml and mean reducing content was found to 52.1g/g with the mean Sucrose content of all honey samples was 2.23%.

3.4 Result of antioxidant activity with the DPPH radical scavenging: The result of antioxidant activity of different Honey samples done by the DPPH radical scavenging assay are given in Table 5.the standard used was Ascorbic acid.

4. Discussion

4.1 Physical Analysis:

4.1.1 pH

Honey is characteristically acidic, with a pH ranging between 3.2 and 4.5. All of our honey samples were acidic, with pH values ranging between 3.6 and 4.1. Because it has been reported that low pH inhibits the presence and growth of microorganisms, honey may have the potential to be used as good antibacterial agents.

4.1.2 Moisture content

The percentage of moisture content in the investigated samples ranged from 17.19 to 27, which are above 20, the maximum limit for the moisture content as per the Codex standard for honey.

4.1.3 Color analysis: The color characteristics of the honey samples are presented in graph. According to the USDA, honey samples with Pfund values less than 8 are classified as “water white”, between 9 and 17 mm are classified as “extra white”, between 18 and 34 mm as “white”, between 35 and 50 mm as “extra light amber”, between 51 and 85 mm as “light amber”, between 86 and 114 as “amber” and greater than 114 as dark amber. Based on this classification, all honey samples were classified as dark amber.

4.1.4 Electrical conductivity: EC is an indicator of the botanical origin of honey. It has been reported that blossom honeys and mixtures of blossom and honeydew honeys ideally should have EC values of less than 0.8 mS/cm according to the European Union. EC values of honey samples varied between 0.2 and 0.8 which is within the range recommended by the European Union.

4.15 HMF Concentration: HMF concentration is widely recognized as a parameter of honey freshness. This is because it is absent in fresh honeys, and its levels tend to increase during processing and/or due to aging. HMF values of honey sample is within the range of 7.22 mg/kg that do not exceeded the limits (80 mg/kg) established by European Community regulations.

4.2 Biochemical Analysis

4.2.1 Sugar content

The total sugar content of the samples ranged from 42.8% to 60.6%.

4.2.2 Total phenolics: The phenolic acid content determined using Gallic acid as standard was between 152.4 and 688.5 mg Gallic acid/kg ($r^2 = 0.995$).

4.2.3 Proline: Proline is reported to mainly originate from bee salivary secretions during the conversion of nectar into honey. Protein levels are dependent on the type of flora that the bees visit and thus may be variable. Proline concentrations are an indicator of honey quality and of adulteration (suspected if proline levels are below 183 mg/kg). All of our samples generally had high proline levels (above 183 mg/kg), indicating that the quality of the honey is good.

4.2.4 DPPH

DPPH is a stable nitrogen centered radical and has been widely used to test the free radical scavenging ability of various samples. The higher the DPPH scavenging activity, the higher is the antioxidant activity of the sample. The percentage of DPPH scavenging activity of honey indicating that they have good antioxidant activities.

Table 5: Result of antioxidant activity done with the DPPH radical scavenging Assay

Samples	IC 50 Values (ug/ml)
Sample 1	29
Sample 2	29
Sample 3	18
Sample 4	18.5
Sample 5	16
Sample 6	16
Sample 7	15
Sample 8	15
Sample 9	19
Sample 10	18
Ascorbic acid	5.14




Figure 5: Graphical representation of antioxidant activity done with the DPPH radical scavenging Assay

5. Conclusion

This is the first study to investigate the physicochemical and antioxidant properties of Western Maharashtra honeys more elaborately. This study showed that Western Maharashtra honey samples have high antioxidant potential, as indicated by their high phenolic, flavonoid, and ascorbic acid and proline contents. Honey quality is assessed largely on the basis of its color, flavor, physicochemical composition and pollen spectrum. Based on the results obtained, it is concluded that the 75% of Chemical composition of honey samples collected from Western Ghats confirmed the requirement of Codex Alimentarius 2001.

Table 6: All the Parameter normal range and sample range

Parameters	Normal Range	Sample Range
COLOUR(mm Pfund)	>114(Amber Honey)	164-280
pH	3.2 - 4.5	3.8-4.4
FREE ACIDITY(meq /kg)	20-200	38-192
ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY(mS cm-1)	0.09-0.80	0.058-1.690
MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	18-18.5	17-70.6
ASH CONTENT(% (w/w))	0.005-0.05	0.03-0.08
PROLINE CONTENT(mg/kg)	180 Min	256-6400
INVERTASE NUMBER	4-10	0.49-9.86
PHENOL CONTENT(mg gallic acid/ kg)	0.1-1.44	0.037-0.051
HMF CONTENT(mg/ kg)	5-40	7.4-22.45

References

- [1] National Honey Board: Honey and Bees; 2003. <http://www.nhb.org>
- [2] Gheldof N, Engeseth NJ: Antioxidant capacity of honeys from various floral sources based on the determination of oxygen radical absorbance capacity and inhibition of in vitro lipoprotein oxidation in human serum samples. *J Agric Food Chem* 2002, 50:3050–3055.
- [3] Jaganathan SK, Mandal M: Antiproliferative effects of honey and of its polyphenols: A review. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 2009, 2009:article ID 830616.
- [4] Council Directive of the European Union. Council directive 2001/110/ec of 20 december 2001 relating to honey. *Off. J. Eur. Communities* 2002, 47–52.

- [5] Al, M.L.; Daniel, D.; Moise, A.; Bobis, O.; Laslo, L.; Bogdanov, S. Physicochemical and bioactive properties of different floral origin honeys from Romania. *Food Chem.* 2009, 112, 863–867.
- [6] Bertonec J, Doberšek U, Jamnik M, Golob T: Evaluation of the phenolic content, antioxidant activity and colour of Slovenian honey. *Food Chem* 2007, 105:822–828.
- [7] Aljadi AM, Kamaruddin MY: Evaluation of the phenolic contents and antioxidant capacities of two Malaysian floral honeys. *Food Chem* 2004, 85:513–518.
- [8] USDA: United States Standards for Grades of Extracted Honey. May 23. USDA. Washington DC: Agricultural Marketing Service; 1985.
- [9] Bogdanov S., Lüllmann C., Martin P., Von der Ohe W., Russmann H., Vorwohl (1999): Honey quality, methods of analysis and international regulatory standards: review of the work of the International Honey Commission. *Mitt. Lebensm. Hyg.*, 90, 108–125.
- [10] Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1990). In: Helrich K (ed) *Official Methods of Analysis*, 15th ed., Arlington, VA USA, pp. 1025–1026, 1033–1034.
- [11] Singleton VL, Orthofer R, Lamuela-Raventós RM: Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. *Methods Enzymol* 1999, 299:152–178.
- [12] Ferreira ICFR, Aires E, Barreira JCM, Esteveinho LM: Antioxidant activity of Portuguese honey samples: Different contributions of the entire honey and phenolic extract. *Food Chem* 2009, 114:1438–1443.

Author Profile

Dr. Madhavi D. Rane received the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in Microbiology from Amravati University, Amravati in 1999 and 2003, respectively. Currently working as Asst Professor in Department of Microbiology at Abeda Inamdar Senior College, Azam Campus, Camp, Pune.