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Abstract: A web service is defined as a software system designed to support interoperable machine-to-machine interaction over a network. Put in another way, Web services provide a framework for system integration, independent of programming language and operating system. Web services are widely deployed in current distributed systems and have become the technology of choice. The suitability of Web services for integrating heterogeneous systems is largely facilitated through its extensive use of the Extensible Markup Language (XML). Thus, the security of a Web services based system depends not only on the security of the services themselves, but also on the confidentiality and integrity of the XML based SOAP messages used for communication. Recently, Web services have generated great interests in both vendors and researchers. A web service based on existing Internet protocols, open standards and provides a flexible solution to the problem of application integration. This paper provides an overview of the web services, web service security and the various algorithms used for encryption of the SOAP messages have complexity overhead.
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1. Introduction

A web service allows access to application code using standard Internet technologies illustrated in Figure 1. In other words, if an application can be accessed over a network using a combination of protocols like HTTP, XML, SMTP, or Jabber, then it is a web service. Despite all the media hype around web services, it really is that simple.

A web service is defined as a software system designed to support interoperable machine-to-machine interaction over a network. Put in another way, Web services provide a framework for system integration, independent of programming language and operating system.

A web service is an interface positioned between the application code and the user of that code as shown in figure 2. Web service acts as an abstraction layer, separating the platform and programming-language-specific details of how the application code is actually invoked. This standardized layer means that any language that supports the web service can access the application's functionality.

The suitability of Web services for integrating heterogeneous systems is largely facilitated through its extensive use of the Extensible Markup Language (XML). The interface of a Web service is for instance described using the XML based Web Services Description Language (WSDL).

RMI (Remote Method Invocation) has been a part of Java SDK since version 1.1 and provides an API for developing distributed applications in Java. RMI uses the JRMP (Java Remote Method Protocol), which uses TCP/IP for communication. RMI client requires an open port to communicate with RMI server. When there is a firewall between the client and the server, RMI communication is blocked by default. This can be a major problem, particularly with applications, which require connectivity...
and interoperability over different LANs, each secured by its own firewall. Such applications are more and more common with the adoption of e-business applications and integration between enterprises.

On the other hand, the security restrictions are getting stricter because of the increased threats. The Web services framework is divided into three areas: communication protocols, service descriptions, and service discovery and specifications are being developed for each. The following specifications are currently most salient and stable in each area:

1) The simple object access protocol (SOAP) that enables communications among Web services. Its fundamentally a stateless, one-way message exchange paradigm that enables applications to create more complex interaction patterns (e.g., request/response, request/multiple responses, etc.) by combining one-way exchanges with features provided by an underlying protocol and/or application-specific information.

2) The Web Services Description Language (WSDL) that provides a formal, computer-readable description of Web services. It provides a model and an XML format for describing Web services. WSDL defines services as collections of network endpoints or ports.

3) The Universal Description, Discovery and Integration (UDDI) directory that is a registry of Web services descriptions. It provides a mechanism for clients to find Web services. Web services are meaningful only if potential users may find information sufficient to permit their execution.

2. Related Works

In web services, compute a number of QoS-based approaches have been engaged for web service recommendation [2],[8]. However, there is a lack of real-world web service QoS data sets for verifying these approaches. Without large-scale web service data sets, characteristics of real-world web service QoS cannot be fully mined, and various QoS-based approaches are thus difficult to be realistic and practical. Framework that supports SOA implementation, allowing dynamic invocation of SOAP/WSDL-based and RESTful services [3][15][20].

The complexity increases when such services are part of collaborative business processes involving multiple partners with different priorities [18]. Describes a pattern for implementing SOAP message processing. However, most of the proposed security in social network [13]. Why web services needed social services [11] and various security issues in online social network are four categories: privacy breaches, viral marketing, network structural attacks, and malware attacks and focus primarily on privacy concerns [19]. Web services have proven to be indispensable in creating interoperable communications between machines on today’s Internet, but at the same time the overhead and complexity of web service technology such as SOAP, XML, and HTTP are too high for use in the constrained environments often found in machine-to-machine applications. Overview of the web architecture, its core REST concepts, and the current state of the art in web services [1][10].


3. Literature Survey

The amazon web services provided an overview of the various security processes they have used for providing security to web services [1].

Joe M. Tekli, Ernesto Damiani, Richard Chbeir and Gabriele Gianini gave an overview of current research related to SOAP processing performance enhancement [7], focusing on similarity-based approaches, as well as WS-Security optimizations, and XML parallel processing architectures. Most methods build on the observation that SOAP message exchange usually involves highly similar messages [14]. They identified the common parts of SOAP messages, to be processed once, only repeating the processing for parts which are different, and substantially reducing SOAP processing overhead [20].

Nils Agne Nordbotten has provided an overview of current security standards for XML and Web services. Together these standards provide a flexible framework for fulfilling basic security requirements such as confidentiality, integrity, and authentication, as well as more complex requirements such as, authorization, and federated identities [12].

Mechanisms such as those provided by Web Services Policy and the Web Services Description Language (WSDL) may also provide valuable sources of information to an attacker trying to find weaknesses in a system[10] [9] [8]. In addition to more common security issues, there are also some attacks/vulnerabilities that are specific to XML [12]. Although XML firewalls may be able to detect messages trying to exploit these vulnerabilities, the use of end-to-end encryption may effectively prevent such detection [13].

Hongbing Wang, Joshua Zhengxue Huang, Yuzhong Qu, Junyuan Xie have presented Web services, an emerging technology for the Web. They presented three aspects of Web services: the service security, the service composition, and the service semantics. They are critical to the successful deployment of Web services [14].

Doug Tidwell, James Snell, Pavel Kulchenko has mentioned in their book that a critical insight is that web services do not replace existing technology infrastructures. Rather, they help to integrate existing technologies. In other words, if you need a J2EE application to talk to another application, web services makes it easier [15]. Web services won't completely replace that 30-year-old mainframe system in the back closet that nobody ever thinks about anymore. But web services might provide cross-platform automated access to the mainframe's applications, thus opening new channels of business [5].
4. System Overview

The Web Services architecture is based upon the interactions between three roles: service provider, service registry and service requestor. The interactions involve the publish, find and bind operations. Together, these roles and operations act upon the Web Services artifacts: the Web service software module and its description. In a typical scenario, a service provider hosts a network-accessible software module (an implementation of a Web service). Service provider and service requestor roles are logical constructs and a service can exhibit characteristics of both. Figure 3 illustrates these operations, the components providing them and their interactions. Since both SOAP and WSDL are XML-based, XML messages have to be parsed on both the server and the client side and proxies have to be generated on the client side before any communication can take place. Which may result in a longer response time of the server in case of a Web service server.

![Figure 3: Web Services Architecture](image)

4.1 Roles in Web Services Architecture

1. **Service provider**: From a business perspective, this is the owner of the service. From an architectural perspective, this is the platform that hosts access to the service.

2. **Service requestor**: From a business perspective, this is the business that requires certain functions to be satisfied. From an architectural perspective, this is the application that is looking for and invoking or initiating an interaction with a service. The service requestor role can be played by a browser driven by a person or a program without a user interface, for example another Web service.

3. **Service registry**: This is a searchable registry of service descriptions where service providers publish their service descriptions. Service requestors find services and obtain binding information (in the service descriptions) for services during development for static binding or during execution for dynamic binding.

4.2 Operations in Web Service Architecture

For an application to take advantage of Web Services, three behaviors must take place: publication of service descriptions, lookup or finding of service descriptions, and binding or invoking of services based on the service description. These behaviors can occur singly or iteratively. In detail, these operations are:

1. **Publish**: To be accessible, a service description needs to be published so that the service requestor can find it. Where it is published can vary depending upon the requirements of the application.

2. **Find**: In the find operation, the service requestor retrieves a service description directly or queries the service registry for the type of service required.

3. **Bind**: Eventually, a service needs to be invoked. In the bind operation the service requestor invokes or initiates an interaction with the service at runtime using the binding details in the service description to locate, contact and invoke the service.

**Artifacts of a Web Service**

1. **Service**: Where a Web service is an interface described by a service description, its implementation is the service. A service is a software module deployed on network accessible platforms provided by the service provider. It exists to be invoked by or to interact with a service requestor. It can also function as a requestor, using other Web Services in its implementation.

2. **Service Description**: The service description contains the details of the interface and implementation of the service. This includes its data types, operations, binding information and network location. It could also include categorization and other metadata to facilitate discovery and utilization by service requestors. The service description might be published to a service requestor or to a service registry. The Web Services architecture explains how to instantiate the elements and implement the operations in an interoperable manner.

5. Web Services Security

Web services context, security means that the recipient of a message should be able to verify the integrity of the message and to make sure that it has not been modified. WS-Security from OASIS defines the mechanism to include integrity, confidentiality, and single message authentication features within a SOAP message. WS-Security makes use of the XML Signature and XML Encryption specifications and defines how to include digital signatures, message digests, and encrypted data in a SOAP message. WS-Security is concerned with security for SOAP messages, thus, WS Security clearly builds on top of SOAP. In addition, WS Security also makes use of XML Signature and XML Encryption. The Web Services Security (WSS) specifications aim to provide a framework for building secure Web services using SOAP, and consist of a core specification and several additional profiles. The core specification, the Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security specification, defines a security header for use within SOAP messages and defines how this security header can be used to provide confidentiality and integrity to SOAP messages.

The recipient should have received a message confidentially so that unauthorized users could not read it, know the identity of the sender and determine. These are usually met through encrypting messages. Security is critical to the
adoption of Web services by enterprises, but the Web services framework does not meet basic security requirements. The fact that Web services involve exchange of messages means that securing the message exchange is an important issue to consider when building and using Web services. A few standards have come out to alleviate the message security problem, including WS Security and various other initiatives towards enabling digital signatures on XML messages and transactions.

In general, there are four basic security requirements that the Web Services security layer must provide:

1. **Confidentiality** is the property that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized individuals, entities, or processes; and guarantees that the contents of the message are not disclosed to unauthorized individuals.

2. **Authorization** is the granting of authority, which includes the granting of access based on access rights and guarantees that the sender is authorized to send a message.

3. **Data integrity** is the property that data has not been undetectably altered or destroyed in an unauthorized manner or by unauthorized users thereby insuring that the message was not modified accidentally or deliberately in transit.

4. **Proof of origin** is evidence identifying the originator of a message or data. It asserts that the message was transmitted by a properly identified sender and is not a replay of a previously transmitted message. This requirement implies data integrity.

6. **Security Algorithms**

Web Service security is big challenge for researchers as it requires a strong security algorithm for the encryption of data. The XML encryption scheme is being used presently for encrypting the messages between the different programming languages running on different platforms; but this XML encryption algorithm is symmetric key encryption algorithm and it creates communication overhead, hence there is need to use an asymmetric key encryption algorithm.

The more powerful version of DES is used for high security called Triple-DES. To start encrypting with Triple-DES, two 56-bit keys are selected. Data is encrypted via DES three times, the first time by the first key, the second time by the second key and the third time by the first key once more.

AES is a newer encryption standard and is now the preferred one to use for XML Encryption. AES is a substitution-linear transformation network with 10, 12, or 14 rounds, depending on the key sizes, which are currently set at 128, 192, or 256 bits. The block size used in AES is 16 bytes. The data block to be processed is partitioned into an array of bytes forming a matrix with rows and columns. Each cipher operation is byte-oriented.

7. **Complexity and Overhead**

The HTTP may in theory seem simple, when used by modern HTTP servers, clients, and proxies it is not. HTTP has evolved into a highly complex protocol as used between modern servers and browsers. A large number of features and optional headers may be employed, increasing embedded device complexity. The use of XML as a payload adds further parsing complexity. In RPC web services this is further compounded by the use of SOAP.

The RESTful web service paradigm needs to be extended into the constrained domain. To do this we need a fresh approach to both the transfer protocol used to convey REST semantics, and the payload formats exchanged between applications. At the same time, this needs to be done as a natural extension of today’s HTTP web. The complexity of creating and parsing content must be minimized for very constrained devices at the same time.

7.1 **Performance Results in overhead**

First we measured local performance for all scenarios, where all tests were run on the same computer to avoid network overhead. The results are shown in Table 1. Instantiation was considered separately (shown as instantiation). We can see that the times for basic data types (int, short, long, float, double, boolean, and byte) do not differ considerably, therefore we have calculated the geometric average.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time in ms</th>
<th>RMI</th>
<th>HTTP-to-port</th>
<th>HTTP-to-servlet</th>
<th>Web services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instantiation</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>19.070</td>
<td>19.483</td>
<td>0.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple types average:</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>5.052</td>
<td>7.663</td>
<td>2.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- int</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>5.844</td>
<td>7.669</td>
<td>2.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- short</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>5.041</td>
<td>7.669</td>
<td>2.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- long</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>5.666</td>
<td>7.622</td>
<td>2.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- float</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>5.052</td>
<td>7.668</td>
<td>2.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- double</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>5.066</td>
<td>7.669</td>
<td>2.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- boolean</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>5.066</td>
<td>7.678</td>
<td>2.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- byte</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>5.066</td>
<td>7.613</td>
<td>2.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>String</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>5.105</td>
<td>7.658</td>
<td>2.353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From Figure 4, RMI offers results, which are an order of magnitude better than the other alternatives. Web services are the second fastest alternative. By the basic data types, they are on average ~15 times slower than RMI, by string, web services are ~13.6 times slower. It is interesting that by the instantiation, web services are ~10% faster than RMI. The RMI tunneling scenarios are even slower. HTTP-to-port tunneling is ~32 times slower on average basic data types and ~30 times slower on string than RMI and still ~2 times slower than web services on basic data types and string.

Figure 5 in the remote network scenario RMI still performs much faster than the other alternatives. The average factor comparison however shows a slightly different picture than in local scenario. HTTP-to-servlet tunneling is now considerably faster than HTTP-to-port. The reason can be found in the internals of proxy software and the fact that HTTP-to-port works almost 80% slower when used over a network, because local optimizations cannot be applied any more. HTTP-to-servlet is almost ~49 times slower on basic data types and ~44 times on string than RMI and ~3.2 times than web services. By the instantiation all RMI HTTP tunneling alternatives are ~28 times slower than RMI and ~31 times slower than web services.

7.2 Overhead Analysis

To be able to understand the reasons for the differences in performance between the described scenarios, we have done an overhead analysis. We have used the Borland Optimize It Enterprise Suite 6 profiler and the Perianal tool [19].

To be able to understand the reasons for the differences in performance between the described scenarios, we have done an overhead analysis. We have used the Borland Optimize It Enterprise Suite 6 profiler and the Perianal tool [19]. We have profiled the test scenarios and identified the methods and packages in which the majority of time was spent. Then we have calculated the percentage of time and compared the methods between the scenarios. The results are shown in Table.

Table 2: Overhead analysis with relative times spent in packages and methods for different scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Package/Method (in %)</th>
<th>RMI</th>
<th>HTTP-to-port</th>
<th>HTTP-to-servlet</th>
<th>Web services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>java.net.socketOutputStream.socketWrite</td>
<td>29.23</td>
<td>37.75</td>
<td>86.84</td>
<td>16.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sun.rmi.transport</td>
<td>24.18</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>com.sun.xml.rpc.sp</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>com.sun.xml.rpc.encoding.soap</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>java.net.PlainSocketImpl.doConnect</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sun.net.www</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sun.rmi.server</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>java.rmi.server</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>java.lang.SecurityManager</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>java.net.PlainSocketImpl</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sun.net.cs</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Conclusion

In this paper we have presented Web services, an emerging technology for the Web. The web service overview and the various security issues occurred in the implementation of the xml encryption of the messages. The security of web services is an important aspect and hence a security algorithm is required to implement in web services for key generation and encryption decryption of the messages. Security is important for any distributed computing environment. Recent advancements in XML encoding with W3C EXI along with industry specific formats have shown promising results in minimizing payload overhead and parsing complexity. Further the security is explained in the way of handling web services security with its specifications. In the future work, the discussion can be about authentication and authorization of users, securing the data of users, and tracking the user activity.

The security algorithm described in this paper will be used together in combination for key generation and Encryption.
decryption of the messages which will provide strong security in web services.
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