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Abstract: Question answering systems have become increasingly popular because they deliver users short, succinct answers instead of overloading them with a large number of irrelevant documents. Question Answering (QA) is a specialized form of information retrieval. Given a collection of documents, a Question Answering system attempts to retrieve the right answers to questions posed in natural language. In order for question answering systems to benefit from this vast store of useful knowledge, they must copy with large volumes of useless data. Question Answering systems (QA) uses natural language processing (NLP) techniques to process a question, then searches for the required information to identify the answer and presents the answer to the user. The Web is vastly larger in size and boasts incredible “data redundancy,” which renders it amenable to statistical techniques for answer extraction. The data-driven approach can yield high levels of performance and nicely complements traditional question answering techniques driven by information extraction. By organizing these resources and annotating them with natural language, we can successfully incorporate Web knowledge into question answering.
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1. Introduction

QA systems aim to retrieve point-to-point answers rather than flooding with documents or even matching passages as most of the information retrieval systems do. For e.g. “who is the iron man of India?” the exact answer expected by the user for this question is (Sardar Balabh bhai patel), but not intends to read through the passages or documents that match with the words like first, iron man, India etc.

Keyword-based search, used by most search engines, is a common means of document retrieval on the Web. Question answering systems address this problem. Recent successes have been reported in a series of question-answering evaluations that started in 1999 as part of the Text Retrieval Conference (TREC). Another inconvenience of the keyword queries is the large amount of retrieved irrelevant information. The best systems are now able to answer more than two thirds of factual questions in this evaluation. The combination of user demand and promising results have stimulated international interest and activity in question answering.

We need systems that allow a user to ask a question in everyday language and receive an answer quickly and succinctly, with sufficient context to validate the answer. As users struggle to navigate the wealth of on-line information now available, the need for automated question answering systems becomes more urgent. Current search engines can return ranked lists of documents, but they do not deliver answers to the user. The best systems are now able to answer more than two thirds of factual questions in this evaluation. The combination of user demand and promising results have stimulated international interest and activity in question answering.

Answering a question, a search engines must analyze the question, perhaps in the context of some ongoing interaction; Systems must find one or more answers by consulting on links; then it must present the perfect answer to the user in appropriate form clear or supporting materials.

This section provides an overview of some dimensions of this research in terms of:

- Questions
- Classification of Questioners Levels
- Document Retrieval
- Answers
- Answer Generation
- Evaluation
- Clustering
- Framing
- Presentation
Questions
The perspectives of these types of questions may vary, but the common are but the goal is to obtain precise answer from the system. We can distinguish different kinds of questions: yes/no questions, “Wh”questions (who established Mahabodhi, what is the distance between Mumbai and lonavla), indirect requests (I would like you to list ...), and commands (Name all the historical places...). All of these consider as questions. However, systems depend heavily on the use of “Wh” words for clues (anybody needs a person answer, when needs a time answer) may have difficulty processing such type of questions.

Classification of Questioners Levels:
This section presents a classification of different levels of Questioners.
• Casual Questioners: IR retrieval from documents or passages.
• Template Questioners: NLP techniques to parse the questions.
• Cube Reporter: Named Entity tagging and CE to relate entities.
• Professional Information Analyst: name entity tagging and CE and GT.

Document Retrieval:
When the user poses a question to a system sitting atop a huge database of unstructured data (text files), the first order of business is to reduce that pile to perhaps a handful of documents where the answer is likely to be found.

Answers:
The result is stored as a document which is in WX format. Answers may be long or short, they may be lists or narrative. Then the result is converted into required text which is required by the user and displayed to the user. For example, if a user wants justification, this requires a longer answer. But Short answer reading comprehension tests require short phrases.

This level extracts the correct possible answers for different classification of questions. There are also different methodologies for construct. This level extracts the correct possible answers for different classification of questions.

Searching an answer: through extraction - cutting and pasting snippets from the original document(s) containing the answer.

Where the answer is drawn from multiple sentences or multiple documents, the coherence of an extracted answer may be reduced, requiring generation to synthesize the pieces into a coherent whole.

Answer Generation:
Typically the answer to these analytical type questions will require many pages of information.Example1 below shows the first portion of the answer generated by HITIQA for the Black Sea query. The answer is simply composed of text passages from the zero conflict frames. The text of these frames are ordered by date and outputted to the user. Current work is focusing on answer generation.

Evaluation:
What makes an answer good? Is a good answer long, short answers may be better, containing sufficient context to justify its selection as an answer? Context is useful if the system presents multiple candidate answers, because it allows the user to find a correct answer, even when that answer is not the top ranked answer.

However, in other cases the experiences of the TREC question answering evaluations [1] show that it is easier to provide longer segments that contain an embedded answer than shorter segments; we discuss issues of evaluation and criteria for question selection and answer correctness in greater detail.

Justify a solution is based on correct and accurate answer which makes the system user friendly. In other hand each and every user wants a System that Save time and easy to use.

Clustering:
We use n-gram-based clustering of text passages and concept extraction to uncover the main topics, themes and entities in this set.

Retrieved documents are first broken into naturally occurring paragraphs. Duplicate paragraphs are filtered out and the remaining passages are clustered using a combination of hierarchical clustering and n-bin classification.

A list of topic labels is assigned to each cluster. A topic label may come from one of two places: First, the texts in the cluster are compared against the list of key phrases extracted from the user’s query.

If a match with the key phrases from the question cannot be obtained, word net is consulted to see if a common ancestor can be found. For example, “gun” and “machine gun” are kinds of “weaponry” in Word Net, which allows an indirect match between a question about weapon inspectors and a text reporting a discovery by the authorities of a cache of “rifles” and “machine guns”.

Framing:
We use a text framing technique to delineate the gap between the meaning of the user’s question and the System “Understanding” of this question. In the current version of the system, frames are fairly generic templates, consisting of a small number of attributes, such as Location, Person, Country, Organization, ETC.
Presentation:

Finally, in real information seeking situations, there is a user who interacts with a system in real time. The user often starts with a general (and underspecified) question, and the system provides feedback directly or indirectly by returning too many documents.

The user then narrows the search, thus engaging in a kind of dialogue with the system. Facilitating such dialogue interactions would likely increase both usability and user satisfaction.

In addition, if interfaces were able to handle both speech input and dialogue, question answering systems could be used to provide conversational access to Web based information - an area of great commercial interest, particularly to telecommunications and Web content providers.

When user fires query to our QA system then query is preprocess by tokenization, Stop word removing and stemming and then Query is fed to the token file object to identify the type of answer (for example: If the question contains keyword like distance then the answer should contain words like km, kilometer, miles etc...). Then based on the procedure programming style for specific key word our system searches the answer by performing natural language processing.

In our proposed system we developed a QA System for tourism domain. Where by using a crawler we collected parsed web page content of many tourism site web pages and then preprocess the web information by tokenization, Stop word removing and stemming to store them in file systems.

Related answer strings are specified and saved in Database. Like km, miles, meters, Yards are the words for any distance related Questions. And then link these with the tokens for finding answer in the collected information.

Then auto token System will take all these file information and extract the key words and store with its belonged File URL in the database or this can be done manually and save in database actually this configures token file.

To date, there has been little work on interfaces for question answering. There have been few systematic evaluations of how to best present the information to the user, how many answers to present to a user, how much context to provide, or whether to provide complete answers vs. short answers with an attached summary or pointers, etc. This is an area that will receive increased attention as commercial question answering interfaces begin to be deployed.

Facilitating such dialogue interactions would likely increase both usability and user satisfaction. This is an area that will receive increased attention as commercial question answering interfaces begin to be deployed.

The user often starts with a general (and underspecified) question, and the system provides feedback directly or indirectly by returning too many documents.

In addition, if interfaces were able to handle both speech input and dialogue, question answering systems could be used to provide conversational access to Web based information an area of great commercial interest, particularly to telecommunications and Web content providers.

These systems include conversational question answerers, front-ends to structured data repositories and systems which try to find answers to questions from text sources, such as encyclopedias.

The best-known early question answering program3 is BASEBALL (Green et al., 1961), a program for answering questions about baseball games played in the American league over one season. Given a question such as who did the Red Sox lose to on July 5? Or how many games did the Yankees play in July? Or even On how many days in July did eight teams play?, BASEBALL analyzed the question.

3 Defined here as taking as input an unrestricted range of questions in natural language, and attempting to supply an answer by searching stored data.

While BASEBALL was relatively sophisticated, even by current standards, in how it dealt with the syntax and semantics of questions, it was limited in terms of its domain {baseball only } and by the fact that it was intended primarily as an interface to a structured database and not as an interface to a large text collection. In this regard BASEBALL was the first of a series of programs designed as 'natural language front-ends to databases'.

2. Related Work

The development of systems that interact with human users in natural language has long been an aim of the artificial intelligence research community. Since 1960s, till the field was in its infancy, a variety of natural language database front-ends, dialog systems, and language understanding systems have been created.

Current QA Systems are capable of evaluating answers from complex system of data. Many of the present QA systems are for a particular domains that is, specific topic such as scientific topics, or for limited types of questions only, such as descriptive questions. Any problem with the present QA system is that they suffer from low recall. The answer to question is also limited to pre-defined categories [1].

Use a wide-coverage statistical parser which aims to produce full parses. The constituent analysis of a question that it produces is transformed into a semantic representation which captures dependencies between terms
in the question. [2] , the current trend in Question Answering focus on open domain, which has been largely driven by the TREC-QA Track. Nonetheless, QA system of open domain is lacking to treat the special domains for all question types, because no restriction is imposed either on the question type or on the user’s special vocabulary and it is very hard to construct a common knowledge (ontology) base for open domain.

FAQ answering systems retrieve existing answers from their databases. Auto-FAQ [4] and FAQ Finder [5] are two representative systems aimed at automating navigation through FAQ sets. They have three common core features:

- The QA systems use a natural language based interface – a user asks his or her question in ordinary English.
- The QA systems answer it by one or several pre-stored related questions and their answers, if any.
- All system interact with their users through WWW (initially FAQ Finder did not have a Web-based user interface).

Higher accuracy in solution extraction has been greatly achieved by using heuristics. [6] Fully parses questions and then apply a large number of rules to the parse tree to classify questions. In contrast, The System learning approach can automatically construct a high performance question classification program which leverages thousands or more features of questions.

Provide sufficient training data, the performance of a learned classification program usually improves. Moreover, a learned classification program is more flexible than a manual one since it can be easily adapted to a new area, and there are many papers describing systems learning approaches to question classification, such as [7] use support vector machines, a machine learning approach. [8] Uses language models for question description.

Or the constraint identification process may involve parsing the question with grammars of varying sophistication [9] or using full-blown query expansion techniques by, for example, issuing a query based on the keywords against an encyclopedia and using top ranked retrieved passages to expand the keyword set [10]. Once the type of entity being sought has been identified, the remaining task of question analysis is to identify additional constraints that entities matching the type description must also meet. This process may be as simple as extracting keywords from the rest of the question to be used in matching against candidate answer-bearing sentences. This set of keywords may then be expanded, using synonyms and/or morphological variants [11]. Restricted-domain QA has a long history, beginning with systems working over databases. E.g. BASEBALL [12] and LUNAR [13]. Use a robust partial parser which aims to determine grammatical relations in the question where it Can (e.g. main verb plus logical subjects and objects). Where these relations link to the entity identified as the sought entity, they are passed on as constraints to be taken into account during answer extraction.

Proposed QA System is the one of highly enriched and inseparable part of information Retrieval (IR) System. There are many types of IR system protocols are been using in the present day Scenario’s, like

**Vector Space Model (VSM):** This is an algebraic model for representing text documents [13], models both the documents in the collection and the query strings as vectors in a finite dimensional Euclidean vector space.

**Probability Retrieval Models:** The first idea of probabilistic retrieval was proposed by Maron and Kuhns [14]. And it is based on probability that the document is relevant to the query.

**Inference Network Model:** In this model, document retrieval is modeled as an inference process in an inference network [15]. Most techniques used by IR systems can be implemented under this model.

Information extraction (IE) is a new technology enabling relevant content to be extracted from textual information available electronically. Information extraction essentially builds on natural language processing and computational linguistics, but it is also closely related to the established area of information retrieval, it is as a method of searching for information in some ways similar to Question Answering. Generally,

The process of IE has two major parts. First, the system extracts individual “facts” from the text of a document through local text analysis. Second, it integrates these facts, Producing larger facts or new facts (through Inference). The facts are integrated, the pertinent facts are translated into the required output format.

Many IE systems have been proposed and used in the research area. Some of the IE types are discussed below in brief.

**Automated Content Extraction:** Automated Content Extraction (ACE) is a large-scale evaluation effort for IE systems run by the National Institute of Standards and Technologies (NIST). ACE challenges participating systems to locate references of people, geo-political entities such as cities, states and nations, locations with physical extent, organizations and facilities within newswire text and broadcast news transcripts.

**Named Entity Recognition:** Named Entity (NE) Recognition is a specialized form of the IE task dedicated to identifying phrases in text that refer to entities like people, organizations, date, dates and currency amounts and facilities, and extracting their semantics.

**Template Matching:** basically known as message understanding, the goal of information extraction is to locate information within free text that matches prepared templates.

### 3. Proposed Method

Here, we describe our approach of Question Answering System with a heuristic approach for the steps shown in figure 1. As shown in figure there are 9 main steps in our
approach.

**Step 1**: user enters a question through user interface in linguistic form.

**Step 2**: we are preprocessing of user question is conducted, where query entered by the user is bring down to its basic meaning words by the following four main activities: Sentence Segmentation, Tokenization, Removing Stop Word, and Word Stemming.

Tokenization is separating the input query into individual words. Sentence segmentation is boundary detection and separating source text into sentence. Next, Removing Stop Words, stop words are the words which appear frequently in the query but provide less meaning in identifying the important content of the document such as „a”, „an”, „the”, etc.. The last step for preprocessing is Word Stemming; Word stemming is the process of removing prefixes and suffixes of each word.

**Step 3**: key step to our answer extraction process, Here we are identifying the tokens which are defining many of the possible domain question’s answerable token keywords which enable our system to search question more efficiently. For example, for the place related query answer always with its unit like Historical places, Hotels, temple etc...

**Step 4**: In this step actually decides the quality of the answers providing by our proposed system. Here we select many of the related domain website where information is been properly defined. For our approach we consider web pages of related places around Pune city of Maharashtra state, India.

**Step 5**: Here we are creating a web crawler which accepts a seed URL of tourism domain and searches it’s all links.

Crawlers are an essential component to search engines; running a web crawler is a challenging task. There are tricky performance and reliability issues and even more importantly, there are social issues. Crawling is the most fragile application since it involves interacting with hundreds of thousands of web servers and various name servers, which are all beyond the control of the system.

Crawling speed is governed not only by the speed of one’s own Internet connection, but also by the speed of the sites that are to be crawled. Especially if one is a crawling site from multiple servers, the total crawling time can be significantly reduced, if many downloads are done in parallel.

Despite the numerous applications for Web crawlers, at the core they are all fundamentally the same. Following is the process by which Web crawlers work:

- Download the Web page.
- Parse through the downloaded page and retrieve all the links.
- For each and every link retrieved, repeat the process.

The Web crawler can be used for crawling through a whole site on the Inter-/Intranet. When we specify a seed URL and the Crawler follows all links found in that HTML page. This usually leads to more links, which will be followed again, and so on. A site can be seen as a tree-structure, the root is the seed URL; all links in that root-HTML-page are direct sons of the root. Subsequent links are then sons of the previous sons.

In our proposed method we developed a web crawler using java programming language, where we used multithreading feature extensively and also used java html parser to parse the web pages. And finally we store all collected web links in the database.

**Step 6**: One of the most crucial phase of our experiment, where our system interact with the live web page of the tourism domain URL. And then by using a designed baby web crawler our system is enable to fetch the data of the web page and then parse all the HTML tags from the web page. Only human readable data is extracted from the web page and also many advertisements contents are also vomited in this phase.

**Step 7**: The parsed data which is collected in the step 6 is again send to preprocessing method of step 2 to bring the data in very ease form and then this data is saved in a specific location in the file form.

**Step 8**: In this step is the engine of our system, where tokens and query keywords are process to get the answer for the specific question.

Here a generalized steps are mentioned below which is followed by our system

- Construct a master vector which constitutes a set of token and keyword (like kilometer, distance)
- Extract the number of the sentences in the document
- For each sentence identify the master vector elements are found then label the sentence.
- Identify the noun in the sentence (here we used a
dictionary file to do so).

- If there is more number of token words in a sentence then identify the nearest token to noun of the question.
- Segment the answer word and extract from the sentence.

Here we describe our approach of Question Answering System with a heuristic approach for the steps shown in figure 1.

These steps are representing in form of algorithm as below.

**Algorithm 1: Our approach**

1. Set $M_v = \{T_k, Kw\}$ (Master vector, token, keyword)
2. For each sentence $S_i$ $i=1$ to $N$
3. If $M_v \in S_i$ then
4. tag $S_i$ as Simp
5. Simp $\neq D_c$ $\rightarrow P_n$ (Proper Noun)
6. (Words of Simp) $W_i \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow A_n$
7. return $A_n$

Step 9: Now Answer are collected as a single word or multiple then arrange them as a list and display to the user.
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