Survey Paper on Different Approaches for Noise Level Estimation and Denoising of an Image

Bheem Prasad Ram[1], Sachi Choudhary[2]

[1]M. Tech. Scholar[1], Department of Computer Science and Engineering, School of Engineering & IT, Mats University, Raipur India

[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, School of Engineering & IT, Mats University, Raipur India

Abstract: ***Estimation of noise level in an image is a very important parameter to improve the efficiency of denoising. This article presents different approaches used so far by the researchers for the estimation of blind noise level using statistical and averaging method and denoising of an image. The paper also contains problems in different approaches identified by the survey.***

Keywords: Patch based Noise level Estimation, Principal Component Analysis, Denoising

Sub index terms: Kurtosis, Local PCA, Adaptive PCA, Blind Denoise, Non local- PCA, Singular value Decomposition, Non Local mean with PCA, PCA with Local pixel Group

1. Introduction

Image noise is random (not present in the object imaged) variation of brightness or color information in images, and is usually an aspect of electronic noise. Noise is important factor of image processing. Noise randomly present on the image it's not present objectively. We consider blind noise that has not depended on the parameter. Blind noise has not specific parameter so we analysis sweet -able parameter for blind Noise estimation which can give true noise level.

The various noise level estimation categories like filter based noise estimation, selection of block based, and al so on various model of noise model are proposed according to own of interested researcher. Estimation method depends on parameter. There performance depends heavily on accuracy of noise level estimation. Blind noise level estimation is an important part of image processing. The different noise estimation model and de-noise algorithm are estimation to the noise and remove to the noise from image. But these noise estimation and de-noising algorithm still cannot achieve the best performance. These uses of Noise model which is well for single independent to additive white Gaussian noise. There are generally they are classifiable into filter based approach, patch based approach, statistical approach

2. Noise Level Estimation

Literature survey described related to this block or patch based noise estimation:

Images are decomposed into a number of patches. We can consider an image patch as a rectangular window in the image with size W × W. The patch with the smallest standard deviation among decomposed patches has the least change of intensity. The intensity variation of a homogenous patch is mainly caused by noise.

2.1. Noise level estimation method based on principal component Analysis

S.Pyatykh, et al [1]: New noise level estimation method based on principal component Analysis of image blocks. Show that the noise variance can be estimated as the smallest eigenvalue of the image block covariance matrix. It is at least 15 times faster compared with the methods with similar accuracy and it is at least 2 times more Accurate than other methods. Method does not assume the existence of homogeneous areas in the input image; hence it can successfully process images containing only textures. Our experiments show that only stochastic textures those only stochastic textures. It's near to true noise but not efficient result.

Drawback: Patch selection not homogeneous selection .so not stability in result. Over estimate in case weak texture and lower noise level. Underestimate in case rich texture and higher noise level.

2.2. Kurtosis Based Noise Estimation

Z. Daniel et al [14]: Natural images are known to have scale invariant statistics. While some earlier studies have reported the kurtosis of marginal band pass filter response distributions to be Constant throughout scales, other studies have reported that The kurtosis values are lower for high frequency filters than For lower frequency ones. They propose a resolution for this discrepancy and suggest that this change in kurtosis values is due to noise present in the image. Then suggest that this effect is consistent with a clean, natural image corrupted by white noise. Those propose a model for this effect, and use it to estimate noise standard deviation in corrupted natural images. Noise estimation is Outperform State of art method.

2.3 Patch based Method Noise Estimation

D.-H. Shin,et al [3]: In this paper a patch-based method in which the patches whose standard deviations of intensity close to the minimum standard deviation among decomposed

patches are selected. Then the noise level is computed from the selected patches. This algorithm is simple and effective, but it tends to overestimate the noise level for small noise level cases and is underestimated in large noise level cases. The reason is that Patch selection result varies depending on the input Image and noise level.

2.4 Weak texture patch based Noise estimation

Xinhao Liu et al [4]: A patch-based noise level estimation algorithm is proposed in this paper, patches generated from a single noisy image. They are estimate the noise level from image patches using principal component analysis (PCA) and the image comprises only weak textured patches. They are patch-based noise level estimation for select weak textured patches from a noisy image. As described in this paper, they are propose a novel algorithm to select weak textured patches from a single noisy image based on the gradients of the patches and their statistics. Then estimate the noise level from the selected weak textured patches using PCA. That noise level estimation algorithm outperforms the state-of-the-art algorithm. In this approaches applied the PCA technique to estimate the noise level based on the weak textured patch dataset. They are use the maximum eigenvalue of the image gradient covariance matrix as the metric for texture strength and discuss how it changes with different noise levels σn. In contrast to state-of-the-art methods, that method is more scene-independent and presents significant improvement for both accuracy and stability for a range of noise levels in various scenes. But no efficient accuracy that method overestimated in case lower noise and weak texture patch.

2.5 Low Texture strength and weak texture patch based noise level estimation and blind denoise

Xinhao Liu, Masayuki et al [5]. In this paper, they are propose a patch-based noise level estimation algorithm and suggest that the noise level parameter should be tuned according to the scene complexity. That approach includes the process of selecting low-rank patches without high frequency components from a single noisy image. The selection is based on the gradients of the patches and their statistics. Then, the Noise level is estimated from the selected patches using principal component analysis. Because the true noise level does not always provide the best performance for non-blind denoising algorithms, which further tune the noise level parameter for non-blind denoising. . The practical estimation and setting of the parameter for denoising is discussed. In this an algorithm to select low-rank patches without high frequency from images corrupted by Gaussian noise. Those apply the PCA technique to estimate the noise level based on the data of selected patches. The eigenvalues of the image gradient covariance matrix are used as the metric for texture strength and how it changes with different noise levels σn is analyzed. In contrast to state of the art methods, this method is more scene-independent and presents significant improvement for both accuracy and stability for a range of noise levels in various scenes. There are use states of art method for improve in efficiency of denoising

3. Denoising of an Image

In this paper we introduce different categories of PCA based denoising method. PCA based Denoising is different type patch based PCA, adaptive PCA, Local PCA, and Non local PCA and two stage PCA denoise.PCA with local pixel grouping etc

3.1. Patch-based Denoising

Xiaogang Chen et al.[6]:In this paper, present a novel fast patch-based denoising technique based on Patch Geodesic Paths (PatchGP). PatchGPs treat image patches as nodes and patch differences as edge weights for computing the shortest (geodesic) paths. The path lengths can then be used as weights of the smoothing/denoising kernel. PatchGPs can be effectively approximated by minimum hop paths (MHPs) that generally correspond to Euclidean line paths connecting two patch nodes. To construct the denoising kernel, hay further discretize the MHP search directions and use only patches along the search directions. This method is state-of-the-art methods such as NLM and BM3D but is a few orders of magnitude faster.

3.2 PCA-Based Spatially Adaptive Denoising of CFA images

Zhang [9] presented a principal component analysis Based denoising algorithm which works on directly on the color filleting array (CFA) images. This algorithm can effectively suppress noise while preserving color edges and details. The technique of principle component analysis (PCA) is employed to analyze the local structure of each CFA variable block, which contains color components from different channels. This approaches are spatially adaptive PCA denoising scheme works directly on the CFA image and it can effectively exploit the spatial and spectral correlation simultaneously.

3.3 Denoising based Adaptive Principal Components analysis

D. D. Muresan et al.[10]:This paper presents a novel approach to image denoising using adaptive principal components. In this image is corrupted by additive white Gaussian noise. That denoising technique performs well in terms of image visual fidelity, and in terms of PSNR values, they are new technique compares very well against some of the most recently published denoising algorithms. This approaches a novel and simple approach to decomposing an image using adaptive principal components. The paper emphasized the strengths of this new decomposition approach by applying it to image denoises.

3.4 Image denoising with patch based PCA

Deledalle and salmon et al.[16] are in the recent year introduce three patch based PCA algorithm which perform hard thresholding on the coefficients of the patches in image specific orthogonal dictionaries that for the task of image denoising; nearly State-of-the-art results can be achieved using orthogonal dictionaries only. The algorithms differ by the methodology of learning the dictionary: There carry out a

comprehensive empirical evaluation of the performance of these algorithms in terms of accuracy and running times. PCA-based denoising appears to be competitive with the state-of-the-art denoising algorithms, especially for large images and moderate signal-to-noise ratios. Image denoising based on orthonormal dictionaries learned from the image itself by three different strategies:

a. Patch based global PCA (PGPCA)
b. Patch based local PCA (PLPCA)
c. Patch based hierarchical (PHPCA)

Image denoising based on orthonormal dictionaries learned from the image to choose at most three parameters: size of patches, threshold level, and searching zone width (PLPCA) or the number of recursions (PHPCA).

3.5 Noise Reduction With Non-Local PCA

Joseph salmon et al [18] The Poisson distribution used to model this noise have variance equal to its mean so blind application of standard noise removals methods yields significant artifacts. The aim of the present work is to demonstrate that for the task of image denoising; nearly state-of-the-art results can be achieved using small dictionaries only, provided that they are learned directly from the noisy image. To this introduce patch-based denoising algorithms which perform an adaptation of PCA (Principal Component Analysis) for Poisson noise.

Drawback: This non local PCA method especially for Poisson noise not efficient for additive Gaussian noise.

3.6 PCA with local pixel grouping,

Zhang et al**. [**11**].** This paper presents an image denoising scheme by using principal component analysis (PCA) with local pixel grouping (LPG).there are selected from the local window by using block matching based LPG. Statistics calculations for PCA transform that the image local features can be well preserved after coefficient shrinkage in the PCA domain to remove the noise. The LPG-PCA denoising procedure is iterated one more time to further improve the denoising performance, and the noise level is adaptively adjusted in the second stage. The denoising performance effectively preserves the image fine structures while smoothing noise.

Drawback: local image structure is preserving while denoise.so due to low peak signal to ratio the quality of image is low. LPG-PCA method achieves very competitive denoising performance, especially in image fine structure preservation, compared with state-of-the-art denoising algorithms

3.7 Denoising with Singular Value Decomposition

Phillip K Poon, et al. [7] In this paper three techniques of image denoising through Singular Value Decomposition (SVD). In the first method, use SVD to represent a single noisy image as a linear combination of image components, which is truncated at various terms. Then compare each image approximation and determine the effectiveness of truncating at each term. The second technique, extends the concept of image denoising via SVD, but uses a block wise analysis to conduct denoising. Third technique are image denoising with block wise Principal Component Analysis (PCA) computed through SVD.Compared to the first two techniques, this is a superior technique in reducing the image RMSE. Like all denoising techniques, PCA cannot completely recover the original image when noise is added, but it is possible to obtain an optimal number of projections and an optimal block size at each noise level that minimizes the RMSE.

3.8 PCA-based Noise Reduction in Ambulatory ECGs

I Romero IMEC et al.[8] in this paper PCA is used for cleaning noisy ECGs . In this main aim, ECG with artificial motion artifacts were generated by combining clean 8-channel ECG with noise signals. 8- Channel PCA was applied and then inverted after selecting a subset of principal components (PC). Input and output of PCA filtering was compared by calculating the correlation coefficient and estimating the SNR.PCA performed better when retaining more number of PCs. Reducing the number of input ECG channels did not yield to a significant difference when it was reduced from eight down to two. This work investigated the performance of PCA in denoising ECG signals recorded in ambulatory conditions. It was observed, that for high SNR values, retaining the principal components (PC) of highest variances gave best performance.

3.9 Non-Local Means Image Denoising

Tasdizen et al [14]. This paper presents an image denoising algorithm that uses principal component analysis (PCA) in conjunction with the non-local means image denoising. Image neighborhood vectors used in the non-local means algorithm are first projected onto a lower-dimensional subspace using PCA. Consequently, Neighborhood similarity weights for denoising are computed using distances in this subspace rather than the full space. This is modification to the non-local means algorithm results in improved accuracy and computational performance. The lower-dimensional projections are not only used as search criteria but also for computing neighborhood similarities resulting in increased accuracy in addition to reduced computational cost.

3.10 Denoising with shape-Adaptive principal component analysis

Dabov, A. Foi et al. [15] In this paper an image denoising method that ex-ploits nonlocal image modeling, principal component analysis (PCA), and local shape-adaptive anisotropic estimation. The Non-local modeling is exploited by grouping similar image patches in 3-D groups. The denoising is performed by shrinkage of the spectrum of a 3-D transform applied on such groups. The effectiveness of the shrinkage depends on the ability of the transform to sparsely represent the true-image data, thus separating it from the noise. Improve the sparsity in two aspects. First, that employs image patches (neighborhoods) which can have data-adaptive shape. Second, PCA on these adaptive-shape neighborhoods as part of the employed 3-D transform. The

PCA bases are obtained by eigenvalue decomposition of empirical second-moment matrices that are estimated from groups of similar adaptive-shape neighborhoods. thay show that the approaches method is competitive and outperforms some of the current best denoising methods, especially in preserving image details and introducing very few artifacts and use block-matching of square blocks to and similar neighborhoods, just as it is done in the original BM3D algorithm.

4. Problem Statement

In the term of noise level estimation we find local intensity based noise estimation and when estimate to the noise overestimate in case lover noise level and weak texture and some underestimation in case large noise level and rich texture. S. Pyatykh, et al [1] in this paper considers local intensity and not homogeneous block selection so not stability in result of noise estimation. Overestimate and underestimate in case complex texture image. Xinhao Liu et al [4]: introduce weak texture based noise estimation which is best but overestimation in case weak texture and lover noise, which is near to the true noise but not efficient.

Xinhao Liu, Masayuki et al [5] are introduce to weak texture and texture strength based noise estimation .In this way only underestimate in all case above discussed problem. In the term of noise reduction or Denoise different denoise method are introduce above researcher or author which is state of art method .and patch based PCA based noise reduction is state art method.

Zhang [9] In This algorithm effectively suppress to noise while preserving color edges and details. This technique of principle component analysis (PCA) is employed to analyze the local structure of each CFA variable block, which contains color components from different channel.

Deledalle and salmon et al. [16] PCA-based denoising appears to be competitive with the state-of-the-art denoising algorithms, especially for large images and moderate signal-to-noise ratios. Zhang et al**. [**11**].** Local image structure is preserving while denoise.so due to low peak signal to ratio the quality of image is low. Patch-based methods such as Non-Local Means (NLM) and BM3D have become the facto gold standard for image denoising, which is also a state of art method artifact for denoises of noisy image.

5. Conclusion and Further Work

In this paper we discussed about to the noise estimation and denoising by using principal component analysis and related recent paper that noise estimation and denoising. Noise level is important factor of image processing. When the simultaneously noise estimation and denoise are performed than Denoise of image depend on estimation noise level. Above discussed paper have mainly state of art method and computation complexity. Contrast in cased state of art in image denoises .and which is local properties of image. Image quality assessment like MSE, Standard Deviation and RMSE are best measurement method. Which define how many noise are present in image and quality of image are define by the MSE and RMSE. There minimum standard deviation is measure quality of estimator and high PSNR and Low MSE is measure good Denoise. Noise estimation and Denoise is main aim discussed in this survey paper .we discussed the different denoising method by using PCA and some latest denoise algorithm. We can simple and effective with scene independent noise estimation .they are not directly markedly depend on the input noisy image. Our work method is based on non local mean with patch based adaptive principal component analysis denoising algorithm

6. Acknowledgment

Many thanks go to graceful all above paper author for available Latest research paper with code free cost on internet .Which is very helpful for my knowledge and my work.

References

[1] S. Pyatykh, J. Hesser, and L. Zheng, “Image noise level estimation by principal component analysis,” IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 687–99, Feb. 2013.
[2] X. Zhu and P. Milanfar, “Automatic parameter selection for denoising algorithms using a no-reference measure of image content,” IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 3116–32, Dec. 2010..
[3] D.H .Shin, R-H.Park.S.Yang and J-H.Jung “Block-based noise estimation using adaptive Gaussian filtering” IEEE Trans, Consumer Election vole 51 no1 – pp,218-226 Feb 2005
[4] Xinhao Liu, Masayuki Tanaka, and Masatoshi Okutomi,“Noise level estimation using weak textured patches of asingle noisy image,” in IEEE International Conference on Image Processing, 2012, pp. 665–668
[5] Xinhao Liu, Masayuki Tanaka, and Masatoshi Okutomi “Single-Image Noise Level Estimation for Blind Denoising”,IEEE trans. Image Processing, VOL. 22, No. 12, October 2013
[6] Xiaogang Chen1,3,4, Sing Bing Kang2, Jie Yang1,3, and Jingyi Yu4 “Fast Patch-based Denoising Using Approximated Patch Geodesic Paths”
[7] Phillip K Poon, Wei-Ren Ng, Varun Sridharan ”Image Denoising with Singular Value Decompositon and Principal Component Analysis” December 8, 2009
[8] I Romero IMEC, Eindhoven, the Netherlands “PCA-based Noise Reduction in Ambulatory ECGs”, pp-677-680 ISSN-0276-654,26-29 Sept. 2010
[9] Lei Zhang, Rastislav Lukac, Xiaolin Wu and David Zhang,"PCA Based Spatially Adaptive Denoising of CFA images for Single- Sensor Digital Cameras" IEEE Transactions on Image Procession,VOL. 18, NO. 4, APRIL 2009.
[10] D. D. Muresan and T.W. Parks, “Adaptive principal components and image denoising,” in IEEE ICIP, 2003, vol. 1, pp. 101–104.
[11] Zhang, W. Dong, D. Zhang, and G. Shi. Two-stage image denoising by principal component analysis with local pixel grouping. Pattern Recogn., 43(4):1531–1549, 2010.
[12] Buades, B. Coll, and J.-M. Morel, “A non-local algorithm for image denoising,” in IEEE CVPR, 2005, pp. 60–65.

[13] D. Zoran and Y. Weiss, "Scale invariance and noise in natural images," in Computer Vision, 2009 IEEE 12th International Conference on. IEEE, 2009, pp. 2209–2216
[14] Tasdizen, "Principal components for non-local means image denoising," in Image Processing, 2008. ICIP 2008. 15th IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2008, pp. 1728–1731.
[15] Dabov, A. Foi, V. Katkovnik, and K. Egiazarian, "Bm3d image denoising with shape-adaptive principal component analysis," in Proc. workshop on signal processing with adaptive sparse structured representations (SPARS09), vol. 49. Citeseer, 2009.
[16] Charles-Alban Deledalle, Joseph Salmon and Arnak Dalalyan. "Image denoising with patch based PCA: local versus global". Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference, pages 25.1-25.10. BMVA Press, September 2011
[17] Xinhao Liu, Masayuki Tanaka, Masatoshi Okutomi" Estimation of signal dependent noise parameters from single image" ICIP 2013: 79-82.
[18] Joseph salmon, Zachary, Charles-alban deledalle "Poision noise Reduction with non local PCA" Acoustics, Speech And Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2012 IEEE International Conference On,30 March 2012,Page(S): 1109 – 1112 ISSN : 1520-6149

Author Profile

Bheem Prasad Ram received the B.E degrees in Information Technology from Kirodimal Institute of Technology Raigarh, 2012 and currently pursuing M. Tech in Computer Science and Engineering,

Ms. Sachi Choudhary is working as Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, School of Engineering & IT, Mats University, Raipur India