

Figure 2: Example message diagram of DTSN with the differentiated reliability extension

A Transport Protocol for Congestion Avoidance in Wireless Sensor Networks Using Cluster-Based Single-Hop-Tree Topology:

This paper proposes a transport protocol in wireless Sensor Network with noisy environment which avoids congestion. To achieve this they have used cluster-based single hop tree topology. Here WSN is divided into abundance of clusters having varied quantity of sensors. The density of the clusters is totally dependent on the accuracy required. Here sensors are arranged in tree topology where each leaf sensor will be sensing information from the environment & will be passing the received information to the corresponding parent node & so on up to the sink node. This process goes on continuously until the sensors stored values converges to the predefined values.[10]

The existing transport protocols in WSNs mainly deal with congestion control, reliability & priority scheduling. Many of them protocols are using single hop networks.[11] Author have proposed protocol called as a Single Hop Cluster Tree Congestion Avoidance Estimation Protocol (SHCTAE) which is used to avoid congestion in noisy environment where all identical sensors are arranged in cluster based tree topology. The root of the tree is called as a sink node which collects the information from its all subordinates. Here parent can have any number of children's. The depth & the breadth of the tree is merely dependent on the geographical area which is supposed to be sensed by sensors. So we can make inference the number of sensors required is equal to the area to be sensed by the sensors. Note that each node is a cluster head of that cluster which represents set of sensors. Each sensor in tree will be having information regarding to its cluster head. The number of clusters in cluster head can be different. Here sensors are arranged in tree topology. The information sensed by leaf sensor is transmitted to specific set of sensor in spite to all members of that cluster. They will be in direct single hop transmission whereas sensors belonging to the different clusters may not be in range of direct transmission. In one time cycle each sensor will sense its environment, going to measure the intended parameters & will be storing them into small memory. After this all sensors stop sensing & processes the averaging of measurements & resumes sensing the environment. This way each sensor at each cycle calculates new value & replaces previously stored values. This process goes on until all are the sensors converges to the some predefined value. The replacement is done on the basis of a formula, suppose after few iteration the value in the nodes are considered as a "Eav" and in the next iteration the value is replaced by Eav1 now if (Eav1- Eav) <= δ [where δ= 0.01, called as a typical acceptable error margin, then the process will stop and Eav1 is considered as the true value else the process of gossiping will repeat.[8] Thus it results into maximization network lifetime.

Trading Transport Timeliness & Reliability for Efficiency in Wireless Sensor Networks:

The one of important task in wireless sensor networks is to deliver the data from sensor nodes to the sink. Plenty of applications require this delivery to the sink node in reliable as well as in timely form. There are several protocols for such provision [12][13][14] With respect to data transport in WSN either concentrates on reliability [15][16][17][18][19][20][21] or timeliness [22][23][24][25][26][27] or both [28][29][30][31]However, this leads to the cost of higher energy use as in both situations an additional texts have to be set like retransmissions to achieve reliability and optimal path to achieve timeliness. This author's aim is tuning reliability and timeliness in order to achieve a maximized efficiency. Their approach's has taken requirements of reliability & timeliness as an input to meet up user requirements.

To do so they come up with two approaches:

- Getting number of optimal retransmissions per hop with delay compensation
- Path split and/or path replication if reliability or timeliness either of requirements are violated.

The author has come up with two algorithms i.e rT algorithm which gives tunable timeliness with best effort reliability. This algorithm will be finding the optimal numbers of retransmissions are required in a per hop basis & implements delay compensation on a per hop basis. If delay compensation is not useful, a path replication and/or path splitting is conducted. The second algorithm is RT algorithm that gives tunable reliability and timeliness that too in composition. RT is an a extension of rT algorithm. RT is used when delay compensation as well as path replication and/or path splitting is not that effective.

The key approach or you may say key idea of this paper has been explained by means use of three scenarios which are clearly revealed in figure 3. [4]



Figure 3: Three illustrative scenarios for the proposed information transport

In order to get a fully distributed solution, author has proposed decisions must be made per hop basis. For instance, it has been proven that per hop reliability in WSN outperforms the acknowledgment and retransmissions. Accordingly, hop-by-hop retransmission towards the sink is the standard approach. So we can conclude entire reliability of network is divided across multiple hops on the path. Similarly author has proposed design of timeliness strategy on a per hop basis. The scheme of tunable reliability is modified by means use of appropriate retransmissions per hop allowed at tolerable link latency. Sometime all retransmissions are required with no modifications. If on a hop Hi the number of needed retransmissions are not at all possible without violating the LtoHi, then proper countermeasures are needed. Author has discussed the following, where they have deeply discussed these developed countermeasures, which represents their proposed idea. The three basic circumstances illustrated in Figure 3 where the information is sent by S1, S2 and S3.

Delay Compensation: Let's assume S1 which is generating information and sending it to the sink. Author has assumed that in between nodes require a number of retransmissions which are violating the tolerated link latency & if the causing delay wouldn't exceed a value (say delta) of the tolerated link latency of the upcoming next hop, for which delay compensation is needed. This scheme ensures strict timeliness with best effort reliability. Delay Compensation with Path Split: Assume S2 has made delay compensation; however, Node D is not able to do delay compensation anymore as it may cause the link latency which would exceed the tolerated latency of the next hop. Accordingly author has proposed a mechanism which will split the path to ensure Rdhop within the required L to Hi. Here we refer to path split means sending the same message to the neighboring nodes. Delay Compensation with Path Replication: In S3 scenario node F will be requiring delay compensation as well as path split across two neighbor sensor nodes i.e. J and K. As delay compensation as well as path split are not effective at Node K. Thus, Node K will be requiring conducting path replication to three neighboring sensor nodes. Please note that here number three is completely based on the number of retransmissions left. Path replication reflects sending the same message to the two or neighboring sensor nodes. All the three scenario reveals how proposed system will working more efficiently which will be finding the trade-off between the reliability & timeliness on one side & reduces number of retransmissions on another side through delay compensation, then path split, then path replication if required.[32]

Improving energy saving & reliability in WSN using a simple CRT-based packet forwarding solution:

In this paper author proposes a forwarding scheme for WSN which combines the aim of low computational complexity & high performance with respect to energy efficiency & reliability. Author proposes a packet splitting algorithm which is completely based on the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT).

The proposed approach simply divides the original message into many packets in such a manner each node in the network will be forwarding only small sub-packets. This splitting is done by using CRT algorithm which characterized by simple modular division between integers. All sub-packets (called CRT components) once received correctly the sink node simply recombines them in order to form original message. Author has introduced few main considerations in his system by taking into account erasure channels, MAC-layer overhead & eventually actual computational resources required by nodes.

To bring more clarity author has considered a sensor network where each of the node send periodically messages to a base station. Consider the figure 4 Nodes A & B want to send a packet to the base station S. To do so node A & B can send that particular packet via X,Y& Z. If normal forwarding scheme is used two cases can be differentiated:

Case 1] A & B can select different next hop nodes with probability of 2/3.
Case 2] A &B can select the same next hop node with probability of 1/3.



Figure 4: Message forwarding scenarios

If the message is in size of 'w' bits then the number of maximum bits transmitted by a node amongst X,Y& Z is 'w' bits in case1 & 'w/2' bits in case2. Let's assume now that node X,Y & Z knows that A & B have three choices to forward the packet which is exactly the case in fig 4 [c] where each of node transmits maximum '2/3w' bits. If we compare different packet forwarding schemes presented in fig 4.the last one reduces the maximum number of bits to be transmitted by a node i.e. [c]. [33]

3. Observations

A lot of research is still getting carried out to come up with effective and low cost localization algorithms in wireless sensor network especially in noisy environments. The main barrier in wireless sensor network for its research is limited available resources as well as the trade-off relation between them. WSNs dealing with many new applications to satisfy their own requirements with the consideration of its challenges Various transport protocols are optimized in order to achieve reliability but still desired reliability is an issue. Algorithms with low complexity needed to be develop to address the exact reliability requirement of a certain application. To bring more reliability researchers now focusing to make existing systems more general & more variable up to certain extend. Any application often need reliability but the question is up to what level ? It is important to bring some intelligence in WSNs as well as to hike its dynamic ability while dealing with distinct requirements of certain applications.

4. Comparison

Table 1: Comparison of approaches with pros and cons

Paper Title	*Approach*	*Pros*	*Cons*
Differentiated Reliability for Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks,2012, IEEE	Allowing source to memorize only the important packets to comply with memory limitations	Energy Efficient, Flow Control, Error Recovery	Reliability is less No mechanism for congestion avoidance, Protocol is not adaptive
Trading Transport Timeliness & Reliability for Efficiency in Wireless Sensor Networks,2013, IEEE	Tune reliability & timeliness to maximize efficiency	Offers Reliability Avoids Congestion Adaptive in nature	Not energy efficient, No consideration of sampling accuracy attributes
Efficient multimedia transmission in wireless sensor network	Modifying the behaviour of previously proposed reliable transport protocol "Direct transmission for sensor network"	Reliability, Energy efficiency is moderate, Loss recovery, Flow Control.	Absence of adaptive algorithm to select proper configuration values.
A Transport protocol for congestion avoidance in Wireless Sensor Network Using Cluster-Based Single Hop Tree Topology	Uses cluster based single hop tree topology for congestion avoidance	Energy Efficient Avoid Congestions, Loss Recovery.	In absence of explicit mechanism no loss recovery & congestion avoidance, Monitors only slowly changing Environment's
Improving Energy Saving and Reliability in Wireless Sensor Using a Simple CRT-Based Packet-Forwarding Solution	Chinese Remainder Theorem for packet splitting	improves Energy Efficiency & Reliability	Overhead of MAC header. Modular division need several clock cycles

5. Acknowledgement

Our thanks to all the experts who have contributed towards survey of this paper.

6. Conclusion

In this paper we have discussed different reliability schemes for wireless sensor network. Each scheme has its own pros and cons. Researchers has focused more to optimize the utilization of valuable resources of WSN. Though various schemes have been proposed still WSN distrusted due to its enough lack of reliability which is one of important requirement of any application. Transport Protocols like DTSN, M-DTSN, and SHCTAE are good but not enough to guarantee the de sired reliability. It is necessary to do research without excessive utilization of limited resources of WSN so that it will result into an effective system to serve the intended purpose was well as to prolong the network life.

References

[1] Efficient Multimedia Transmission in Wireless Sensor networks, Jose F. Mingorance - Puga et al.

[2] F.Rocha, A. Grilo, P. Pereira, “Performance Evaluation of DTSN in Wireless Sensor Networks", Proceedings of the 4th EuroNGI Workshop on Wireless and Mobility, Barcelona, Spain, January 2008. In Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 5122, 2008
[3] X. Zhang and X.-H. Peng, " A test bed of erasure coding on video streaming system over lossy networks," in Proc IEEE 7th International Symposium on Communications and Information Technologies (ISCIT), Oct. 2007
[4] B. Marchi, A. Grilo, and M. Nunes, “Dtsn: Distributed transport for sensor networks,” in Computers and Communications, 2007. ISCC 2007. 12th IEEE Symposium on, july 2007, pp. 165 –172. [6] F. Rocha,
[5] A. Grilo, P. R. Pereira, M. S. Nunes, and A. Casaca, “Wireless systems and mobility in next generation internet,” L. Cerd`a-Alabern, Ed. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2008, ch. Performance Evaluation of DTSN in Wireless Sensor Networks, pp. 1–9. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-89183-31
[6] I. F. Akyildiz, T. Melodia, and K. R. Chowdhury, “A survey on wireless multimedia sensor networks,” Comput. Netw., vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 921–960, Mar. 2007. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2006.10.002
[7] F. Stann and J. Heidemann, “Rmst: reliable data transport in sensor networks,” in Sensor Network Protocols and Applications, 2003. Proceedings of the First IEEE. 2003 IEEE International Workshop on, may 2003, pp. 102–112.
[8] Differentiated Reliability for Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks
[9] “The network simulator - ns-2,” http: // www.isi. edu / nsnam /ns/.
[10] A Transport Protocol for Congestion Avoidance in Wireless Sensor Networks Using Cluster-Based Single-Hop-Tree Topology.
[11] Rathnayaka, Potdar, Sharif, Sarencheh, Kuruppu, “Wireless Sensor Network Transport Protocol - A State of the Art”, International Conference on Broadband, Wireless Computing, Communication and Applications, 2010.
[12] J. Martnez., et al., QoS in wireless sensor networks: Survey and approach. In Proc. of EATIS, 2007, pp. 1-8
[13] M. Ash., et al., Quality of Service in mobile ad hoc networks: A survey. In Proc. of IJAHUC, 2010, pp. 75-98
[14] P. Suriyachai., et al., A Survey of MAC protocols for mission-critical applications in WSNs. In Proc. of IEEE CST, 2011, pp. 1-25
[15] Y. Sankarasubramaniam., et al., ESRT: Event-to-sink reliable transport in wireless sensor networks. In Proc. of MobiHoc, 2003, pp. 1003-1016
[16] A. Tsirigos and Z. J. Hass, Analysis of multipath routing, part 2: mitigation of the effects of frequently changing network topologies. In IEEE Trans. on Wireless Communications, 2004, pp. 500-511
[17] A. Woo., et al., Taming the underlying challenges of reliable multihop routing in sensor networks. In Proc. of SenSys, 2003, pp. 14-27
[18] J. Zhao and R. Govindan, Understanding packet delivery performance in dense wireless sensor networks. In Proc. of SenSys, 2003, pp. 1-13
[19] S. Bhatnagar., et al., service differentiation in sensor networks. In Proc. of Wireless Personal Multimedia Comm., 2001
[20] B. Deb., et al., ReInForM: Reliable information forwarding using multiple paths in sensor networks. In Proc. of LCN, 2003, pp. 406-415
[21] F. K. Shaikh., et al., Generic information transport for wireless sensor networks. In Proc. of SUTC, 2010, pp. 27-34
[22][22] B. Jiang., et al., CFlood:A constrained flooding protocol for real-time data delivery in WSNs. In Proc. of SSS, 2009, pp. 413-427
[23] A. Sahoo et al., An energy efficient MAC in wireless sensor networks to provide delay guarantee. In Proc. of LANMAN, 2007, pp. 25-30
[24] K. Karenos and V. Kalogeraki, Real-time traffic management in sensor networks. In Proc. of RTSS, 2006, pp. 422-434
[25] C. Lu et al., RAP: A real-time communication architecture for large-scale wireless sensor networks. In Proc. of RTAS, 2002, pp. 55-66
[26] K. Akkaya., et al., An energy-aware QoS routing protocol for wireless sensor networks. In Proc. of DCS Workshops, 2003, pp. 710-715.
[27]. He et al., SPEED: A Stateless Protocol for Real-Time Communication in Sensor Networks. In Proc. of DCS, 2003, pp. 46-55.
[28]] Huang, X, et al., Multiconstrained QOS multipath routing in wireless sensor networks. In Proc. of Wirel. Netw, 2008, pp. 465-478
[29]] E. Felemban., et al., MMSPEED: multipath Multi-SPEED protocol for QoS guarantee of reliability and timeliness in wireless sensor networks. In Proc. IEEE Mobile Computing, 2006, pp. 738-754
[30] P. Park., et al., Breath: An Adaptive Protocol for Industrial Control Applications Using Wireless Sensor Networks. In Proc. of IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing, 2011, pp. 821-838
[31] V. Sachidananda., et al., STC: Sampling and Transport Co-design in Wireless Sensor Networks. TR-TUD-DEEDS-04-01-2012
[32] Trading Transport Timeliness and Reliability for Efficiency in Wireless Sensor Networks
[33] Improving Energy Saving and Reliability in Wireless Sensor Network Using a Simple CRT-Based Packet-Forwarding Solution. Trading Transport Timeliness and Reliability for Efficiency in Wireless Sensor Networks